Miroslav Bartušek On zeros of solutions of the differential equation (p(t)y')' + f(t, y, y') = 0

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 11 (1975), No. 4, 187--192

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104857

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ARCH. MATH. 4, SCRIPTA FAC. SCI. NAT. UJEP BRUNENSIS XI: 187-192, 1975

ON ZEROS OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION (p(t)y')' + f(t, y, y') = 0

MIROSLAV BARTUŠEK, Brno (Received August 5, 1974)

1. Consider a differential equation

(1)

$$\begin{cases}
(p(t) y')' + f(t, y, y') = 0, \\
\text{where } p(t) \in C^{\circ}[a, \infty), p(t) > 0 \text{ on } [a, \infty), \\
f(t, y, v) \text{ is continuous on } D = \{(t, y, v): t \in [a, \infty), \\
-\infty < y, v < \infty\}, f(t, y, v) y > 0 \text{ for } y \neq 0.
\end{cases}$$

We do not suppose the uniqueness of the Cauchy initial problem for the equation (1). In all the work we shall omit the trivial solution $y(t) \equiv 0$ from our considerations.

A solution y of (1) is called oscillatory if there exists a sequence of numbers $\{t_k\}_{1}^{\infty}$ such that $a \leq t_k < t_{k+1}, y(t_k) = 0, y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}), k = 1, 2, ..., \lim_{t \to \infty} t_k = \infty$.

Let y be an oscillatory solution of (1) and $\{t_k\}_1^\infty$ the sequence of its zeros. Then there exists one and only one sequence of numbers $\{\tau_k\}_1^\infty$ called the sequence of extremants of y, such that $t_k < \tau_k < t_{k+1}$, $y'(\tau_k) = 0$ holds (see [1] or Lemma 1 in the present work).

The work [1] deals with some asymptotic properties of the sequence $\{\Delta_k\}_1^{\infty}$, $\Delta_k = t_{k+1} - t_k$. It was shown that under the assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(t, y, y') \right| &\ge q(t) \left| y \right|, \quad (t, y, y') \in D, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} q(t) &= \infty, \quad p(t) \le M = \text{const.} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(t, y, y') \right| &\leq q(t) \left| y \right|, \quad (t, y, y') \in D, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} q(t) &= 0, \quad p(t) \geq M = \text{const.} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

the relation $\lim_{k \to \infty} \Delta_k = 0$ or $\lim_{k \to \infty} \Delta_k = \infty$ holds, respectively. In the present work it will be shown that these assumptions can be reduced if y is such that

$$0 < M_1 \leq |y(\tau_k)| \leq M_2 < \infty, \quad k = 1, 2, ...$$

holds where $\{\tau_k\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of extremants of y.

The following lemma was proved in [1] and it is necessary for our later considerations.

Lemma 1. Let y be an arbitrary solution of (1) and $t_1 < t_2$ its consecutive zeros $(y(t) \neq 0 \text{ on } (t_1, t_2))$. Then t_1 and t_2 are the simple zeros of y, there exists one and only one number τ such that $t_1 < \tau < t_2$, $y'(\tau) = 0$ holds and the function sgn y. p(t) y' is decreasing on (t_1, t_2) .

2. Lemma 2. Let t_1 be an arbitrary zero of an oscillatory solution y of (1) and τ the first extremant of y lying on the right of t. Then

$$p(t_1) | y'(t_1) | (\tau - t_1) > | y(\tau) | \min_{\substack{t_1 \le t \le \tau}} p(t),$$

$$f(t, y(t), y'(t)) y'(t) > 0, \qquad t \in (t_1, \tau).$$

Proof. We will prove the statement e.g. for y(t) > 0, $t \in (t_1, \tau]$. For y(t) < 0, $t \in (t_1, \tau]$ the proof is similar. We have from (1):

$$[p(t) y'(t)]' < 0, \qquad t \in (t_1, \tau],$$
$$y'(t) - \frac{p(t_1) y'(t_1)}{p(t)} < 0.$$

From this by integration in the limits from t_1 to τ we get:

$$0 > y(\tau) - y(t_1) - p(t_1) y'(t_1) \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{p(t)} \ge y(\tau) - p(t_1) y'(t_1) \frac{\tau - t_1}{\min_{t_1 \le t \le \tau} p(t)},$$

(because of $y'(t_1) > 0$) and this is the first part of the statement. As y'(t) does not change the sign on (t_1, τ) and $y'(t_1) > 0$ we have y'(t) > 0, $t \in (t_1, \tau)$. But according to (1) f(t, y(t), y'(t)) > 0 and so the statement of the lemma is proved.

Theorem 1. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (1) such that $|y(\tau_k)| \leq M_1 =$ = const. < ∞ , k = 1, 2, ... holds where $\{\tau_k\}_1^\infty$ is the sequence of its extremants. Let a continuous function $f^*(t, y)$ exist with the following properties: f^* is defined on $D_1 =$ = $\{(t, y): t \in [a, \infty), 0 \leq y < \infty\}$, f^* is non-decreasing with respect to y,

$$|f(t, y, y')| \leq f^*(t, |y|), \quad (t, y, y') \in D,$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} f^*(t, M) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < M = \text{const.} < \infty.$$

 ~ 600 and ~ 100 meV ~ 100 m ~ 100

Then

a) If there exists a constant M_2 such that $p(t) \leq M_2 < \infty$ holds, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} p(t) y'(t) = 0$.

b) If there exist positive constants M_3 , M_4 such that $|y(\tau_k)| \ge M_3$, $k = 1, 2, ..., p(t) \ge M_4 > 0$ hold, then $\lim_{k \to \infty} \Delta_k = \infty$.

Proof. Let $\{t_k\}_1^\infty$ is the sequence of the zeros of y, $t_k < \tau_k < t_{k+1}$. a) By multiplying the equation (1) by -2y'p and by the integration we obtain $(J_k = [t_k, \tau_k])$:

$$[p(t_k) y'(t_k)]^2 = 2 \int_{t_k}^{t_k} p(t) f(t, y, y') y'(t) dt = 2 \int_{t_k}^{t_k} p(t) |f(t, y, y')| |y'(t)| dt,$$

(we must use Lemma 2, too). From this

e transmission de la seconda de la second

.

$$[p(t_k) y'(t_k)]^2 \leq 2M_2 \int_{t_k}^{t_k} f^*(t, M_1) | y'(t) | dt \leq 2M_2 \times \\ \times \max_{t \in J_k} f^*(t, M_1) | y(t_k) | \leq 2M_2 M_1 \max_{t \in J_k} f^*(t, M_1) \to 0, \\ k \to \infty$$

So the statement of the theorem is proved in this case.

b) It follows by integration of (1) that

(2)
$$p(t) | y'(t) | = | \int_{t}^{t_k} |f(t, y(t), y'(t))| dt |, \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$$

holds. From this for $t = t_k$ and according to Lemma 2 we have

$$\Delta_{k} > (\tau_{k} - t_{k}) > \frac{|y(\tau_{k})|}{p(t_{k})|y'(t_{k})|} \min_{t \in J_{k}} p(t) \ge M_{3}M_{4} \times \left[\int_{t_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} |f(t, y(t), y'(t))| dt \right]^{-1} \ge M_{3}M_{4} \left[\int_{t_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} f^{*}(t, M_{1}) dt \right]^{-1} \ge M_{3}M_{4}\Delta_{k}^{-1} [\max_{t \in J_{k}} f^{*}(t, M_{1})]^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\Delta_k^2 > M_3 M_4[\max_{t \in J_k} f^*(t, M_1)]^{-1} \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} \infty$$

and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 2. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (1) and $\{\tau_k\}_1^\infty$ the sequence of its extremants. Let $f^*(t, y)$ be a continuous function on $D_1 = \{(t, y): t \in [a, \infty), 0 \le y < \infty\}$ such that f^* is non-decreasing with respect to y for an arbitrary $t \in [a, \infty)$,

$$|f(t, y, v)| \ge f^*(t, |y|) > 0, (t, y, v) \in D,$$

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} f^*(t, M) = \infty \text{ for an arbitrary constant } M, \ 0 < M < \infty.$

Let $0 < M_3 = \text{const.} \leq |y(\tau_k)| \leq M_1 = \text{const.} < \infty, k = 1, 2, ...$ a) If there exist constants M_2, M_4 such that $0 < M_4 \leq p(t) \leq M_2 < \infty$ holds,

then the function y' is unbounded on $[a, \infty)$.

b) If there exists a constant M_2 such that $p(t) \leq M_2 < \infty$ holds, then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\Delta_k=0.$$

Proof. Let $\{t_k\}_1^\infty$ be the sequence of the zeros of $y, t_k < \tau_k < t_{k+1}$. It follows from Lemma 1 that the arch of the curve |y(t)| for $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$ do not lay under the line segments connecting the points $[t_k, 0], [\tau_k, |y(\tau_k)|]$ and $[\tau_k, |y(\tau_k)|], [t_{k+1}, 0]$. Thus

(3)
$$\begin{cases} |y(t)| \ge |y(\tau_k)| \frac{t_{k+1} - t}{t_{k+1} - \tau_k}, & t \in [\tau_k, t_{k+1}], \\ |y(t)| \ge |y(\tau_k)| \frac{t - t_k}{\tau_k - t_k}, & t \in [t_k, \tau_k]. \end{cases}$$

At first we prove the statement b).

b) By integration of (2) (in the limits from t_k to τ_k) and by use of (3) we have:

$$|y(\tau_{k})| = \int_{t_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} |f(t, y(t), y'(t))| dt dt \ge$$

$$\ge M_{2}^{-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} f^{*}(s, |y(s)|) ds dt \ge M_{2}^{-1} \int_{t_{k}+\frac{\tau_{k}-\tau_{k}}{2}}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}+\frac{\tau_{k}-\tau_{k}}{2}} \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t_{k}+\frac{\tau_{k}-\tau_{k}}{2}}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t}^{t$$

From this

(4)
$$\tau_{k} - t_{k} \leq \sqrt{8M_{1}M_{2}} \left(\min_{t_{k} \leq t \leq \tau_{k}} f^{*}\left(t, \frac{M_{3}}{2}\right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the same way the following relation can be proved:

(5)
$$t_{k+1} - \tau_k \leq \sqrt{8M_2M_1} \left(\min_{\tau_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1}} f^*\left(t, \frac{M_3}{2}\right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0$$

The statement of the theorem follows directly from (4) and (5).

190

a) According to Lemma 2 and the proved part of the theorem the following relations hold:

$$|y'(t_k)| > \frac{|y(\tau_k)|}{p(t_k)d_k} \min_{t_k \le t \le \tau_k} p(t) \ge \frac{M_3M_4}{M_2d_k} \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} \infty.$$

So the theorem is proved.

Remark 1. How we can see from the proof, the Theorem 2 is also valid if we suppose that $f^*(t, y)$ is non-decreasing with respect to y only in the region $D_2 = \{(t, y): t \in [a, \infty), 0 \le y \le M_1\}$ instead of in D_1 .

Theorem 3. Consider a differential equation

(6)
$$y'' + q(t)f(y)h(y') = 0$$

where $g \in C^{\circ}[a, \infty), f \in C^{\circ}(-\infty, \infty), h \in C^{\circ}(-\infty, \infty), q(t) > 0$ for $t \in [a, \infty), f(y) > 0$ for $y \neq 0$.

Let y be its oscillatory solution and $\{\tau_k\}_1^\infty$ the sequence of the extremants of y. a) Let $\lim_{t\to\infty} q(t) = 0$, $0 < h(v) \le M < \infty$ for $-\infty < v < \infty$, and $|y(\tau_k)| \le M \le M_2 < \infty$, k = 1, 2, ... Then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}y'(t)=0.$$

If, in addition, $0 < M_1 \leq |y(\tau_k)|$, k = 1, 2, ... then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\Delta_k=\infty.$$

b) Let $\lim_{t\to\infty} q(t) = \infty$, $0 < M \leq h(v)$ for $-\infty < v < \infty$ and $0 < M_1 \leq |y(\tau_k)| \leq M_2 < \infty$, k = 1, 2, ... Then the derivative y' of y is unbounded on $[a, \infty)$ and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\Delta_k=0.$$

Proof. The statement of the theorem follows directly from Theorems 1 and 2 and Remark 1 for

$$f^{*}(t, y) = Mq(t) \max_{|u| \leq y} \left| f(u) \right|$$

and

$$f^{*}(t, y) = Mq(t) \min_{y \le |u| \le M_2} |f(u)|,$$

respectively.

Remark 2. When proving his Theorem, author of [2] proved the second part of Theorem 3b) (that the derivative y' is unbounded) for the differential equation (6), $h \equiv 1$, but under many other assumptions on the functions q and f.

191

REFERENCES

41

and the state of the

The second s

and the second data water in the transfer of the second second

4.4.4

sko přesponan Conjorate (Conjorate C Moran and ^{Se}organ and an an an an an an an

- [1] Бартушек М.: О нулях колеблющихся решений уравнения (p(t)x')' + f(t, x, x') = 0. Дифференц. урав. То арреаг.
- [2] Катранов А. Г.: О нулях колеблющихся решений уравнения x" + a(t) f(x) = 0. Дифф. урав., VII., № 5, 1971, 930—933.
- [3] Катранов А. Г.: К вопросу об асимптотическом поведении колеблющихся решений нелинейного дифференциального уравнения второго порядка. Дифф. урав., VIII., № 5, 1972, 785—789.

1.5

. .

M. Bartušek 662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a Czechoslovakia