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# ON ZEROS OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION $\left(p(t) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)=0$ 
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(Received August 5, 1974)

## 1. Consider a differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(p(t) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)=0  \tag{1}\\
\text { where } p(t) \in C^{\circ}[a, \infty), p(t)>0 \text { on }[a, \infty) \\
f(t, y, v) \text { is continuous on } D=\{(t, y, v): t \in[a, \infty) \\
-\infty<y, v<\infty\}, f(t, y, v) y>0 \text { for } y \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We do not suppose the uniqueness of the Cauchy initial problem for the equation (1). In all the work we shall omit the trivial solution $y(t) \equiv 0$ from our considerations.

A solution $y$ of (1) is called oscillatory if there exists a sequence of numbers $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ such that $a \leqq t_{k}<t_{k+1}, y\left(t_{k}\right)=0, y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=$ $=\infty$.

Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) and $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ the sequence of its zeros. Then there exists one and only one sequence of numbers $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ called the sequence of extremants of $y$, such that $t_{k}<\tau_{k}<t_{k+1}, y^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right)=0$ holds (see [1] or Lemma 1 in the present work).

The work [1] deals with some asymptotic properties of the sequence $\left\{\Delta_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}, \Delta_{k}=$ $=t_{k+1}-t_{k}$. It was shown that under the assumptions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \geqq q(t)|y|, \quad\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) \in D \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)=\infty, \quad p(t) \leqq M=\text { const. }<\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq q(t)|y|, \quad\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) \in D \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)=0, \quad p(t) \geqq M=\text { const. }>0
\end{aligned}
$$

the relation $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{k}=0$ or $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{k}=\infty$ holds, respectively. In the present work it will be shown that these assumptions can be reduced if $y$ is such that

$$
0<M_{1} \leqq\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \leqq M_{2}<\infty, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

holds where $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of extremants of $y$.

The following lemma was proved in [1] and it is necessary for our later considerations.

Lemma 1. Let $y$ be an arbitrary solution of (1) and $t_{1}<t_{2}$ its consecutive zeros $\left(y(t) \neq 0\right.$ on $\left.\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$. Then $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are the simple zeros of $y$, there exists one and only one number $\tau$ such that $t_{1}<\tau<t_{2}, y^{\prime}(\tau)=0$ holds and the function $\operatorname{sgn} y . p(t) y^{\prime}$ is decreasing on $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$.
2. Lemma 2. Let $t_{1}$ be an arbitrary zero of an oscillatory solution $y$ of (1) and $\tau$ the first extremant of $y$ lying on the right of $t$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(t_{1}\right)\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|\left(\tau-t_{1}\right)>|y(\tau)| \min _{t_{1} \leq t \leq \tau} p(t) \\
& f\left(t, y(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right) y^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, \tau\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We will prove the statement e.g. for $y(t)>0, t \in\left(t_{1}, \tau\right]$. For $y(t)<0$, $t \in\left(t_{1}, \tau\right]$ the proof is similar. We have from (1):

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}<0, \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, \tau\right],} \\
y^{\prime}(t)-\frac{p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}{p(t)}<0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

From this by integration in the limits from $t_{1}$ to $\tau$ we get:

$$
0>y(\tau)-y\left(t_{1}\right)-p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{\tau} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{p(t)} \geqq y(\tau)-p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \frac{\tau-t_{1}}{\min _{t_{1} \leqq t \leqq \tau} p(t)},
$$

(because of $y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)>0$ ) and this is the first part of the statement. As $y^{\prime}(t)$ does not change the sign on $\left(t_{1}, \tau\right)$ and $y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)>0$ we have $y^{\prime}(t)>0, t \in\left(t_{1}, \tau\right)$. But according to (1) $f\left(t, y(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right)>0$ and so the statement of the lemma is proved.

Theorem 1. Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) such that $\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \leqq M_{1}=$ $=$ const. $<\infty, k=1,2, \ldots$ holds where $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of its extremants. Let a continuous function $f^{*}(t, y)$ exist with the following properties: $f^{*}$ is defined on $D_{1}=$ $=\{(t, y): t \in[a, \infty), 0 \leqq y<\infty\}, f^{*}$ is non-decreasing with respect to $y$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq f^{*}(t,|y|), \quad\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) \in D \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f^{*}(t, M)=0 \quad \text { for } 0<M=\text { const. }<\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Then
a) If there exists a constant $M_{2}$ such that $p(t) \leqq M_{2}<\infty$ holds, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=$ $=0$.
b) If there exist positive constants $M_{3}, M_{4}$ such that $\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \geqq M_{3}, k=1,2, \ldots$, $p(t) \geqq M_{4}>0$ hold, then $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{k}=\infty$.

Proof. Let $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of the zeros of $y, t_{k}<\tau_{k}<t_{k+1}$.
a) By multiplying the equation (1) by $-2 y^{\prime} p$ and by the integration we obtain $\left(J_{k}=\left[t_{k}, \tau_{k}\right]\right):$

$$
\left[p\left(t_{k}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right]^{2}=2 \int_{i_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} p(t) f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) y^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t=2 \int_{t_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} p(t)\left|f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t
$$

(we must use Lemma 2, too). From this

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[p\left(t_{k}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right]^{2} \leqq 2 M_{2} \int_{t_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} f^{*}\left(t, M_{1}\right)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqq 2 M_{2} \times} \\
& \times \max _{t \in J_{k}} f^{*}\left(t, M_{1}\right)\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \leqq 2 M_{2} M_{1} \max _{t \in J_{k}} f^{*}\left(t, M_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the statement of the theorem is proved in this case.
b) It follows by integration of (1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|=\left|\int_{t}^{t_{k}}\right| f\left(t, y(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right)|\mathrm{d} t|, \quad t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. From this for $t=t_{k}$ and according to Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{k}>\left(\tau_{k}-t_{k}\right)>\frac{\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|}{p\left(t_{k}\right)\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right|} \min _{t \in J_{k}} p(t) \geqq M_{3} M_{4} \times \\
\times\left[\int_{i_{k}}^{\tau_{k}}\left|f\left(t, y(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t\right]^{-1} \geqq M_{3} M_{4}\left[\int_{\tau_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} f^{*}\left(t, M_{1}\right) \dot{\mathrm{d}} t\right]^{-1} \geqq \\
>M_{3} M_{4} \Delta_{k}^{-1}\left[\max _{t \in J_{k}} f^{*}\left(t, M_{1}\right)\right]^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\Delta_{k}^{2}>M_{3} M_{4}\left[\max _{t \in J_{k}} f^{*}\left(t, M_{1}\right)\right]^{-1} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty
$$

and the theorem is proved.
Theorem 2. Let $y$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) and $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ the sequence of its extremants. Let $f^{*}(t, y)$ be a continuous function on $D_{1}=\{(t, y): t \in[a, \infty), 0 \leqq y<\infty\}$ such that $f^{*}$ is non-decreasing with respect to $y$ for an arbitrary $t \in[a, \infty)$,

$$
|f(t, y, v)| \geqq f^{*}(t,|y|)>0,(t, y, v) \in D
$$

$\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f^{*}(t, M)=\infty$ for an arbitrary constant $M, 0<M<\infty$.

Let $0<M_{3}=$ const. $\leqq\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \leqq M_{1}=$ const. $<\infty, k=1,2, \ldots$
a) If there exist constants $M_{2}, M_{4}$ such that $0<M_{4} \leqq p(t) \leqq M_{2}<\infty$ holds, then the function $y^{\prime}$ is unbounded on $[a, \infty)$.
b) If there exists a constant $M_{2}$ such that $p(t) \leqq M_{2}<\infty$ holds, then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{k}=0
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of the zeros of $y, t_{k}<\tau_{k}<t_{k+1}$. It follows from Lemma 1 that the arch of the curve $|y(t)|$ for $t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]$ do not lay under the line segments connecting the points $\left[t_{k}, 0\right],\left[\tau_{k},\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|\right]$ and $\left[\tau_{k},\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|\right],\left[t_{k+1}, 0\right]$. Thus

$$
\begin{cases}|y(t)| \geqq\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \frac{t_{k+1}-t}{t_{k+1}-\tau_{k}}, & t \in\left[\tau_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]  \tag{3}\\ |y(t)| \geqq\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \frac{t-t_{k}}{\tau_{k}-t_{k}}, & \\ t \in\left[t_{k}, \tau_{k}\right]\end{cases}
$$

At first we prove the statement b).
b) By integration of (2) (in the limits from $t_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ to $\tau_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ ) and by use of (3) we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|=\int_{\tau_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}}\left|f\left(t, y(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} t \geqq \\
\geqq M_{2}^{-1} \int_{\tau_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} f^{*}(s,|y(s)|) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \geqq M_{2}^{-1} \int_{t_{\tau_{k}}+\frac{\tau_{k}-t_{k}}{2}}^{\tau_{i}} \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} \cdot \\
f^{*}\left(s,\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \frac{s-t_{k}}{\tau_{k}-t_{k}}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \geqq M_{2}^{-1} \int_{\tau_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} \int_{\tau_{k}+\frac{\tau_{k}-t_{k}}{2}}^{\tau_{k}} f^{*}\left(s, \frac{M_{3}}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \geqq \\
\geqq M_{2}^{-1} \min _{t_{k} \leqq s \leqq \tau_{k}} f^{*}\left(s, \frac{M_{3}}{2}\right) \frac{\left(\tau_{k}-t_{k}\right)^{2}}{8} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From this

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k}-t_{k} \leqq \sqrt{8 M_{1} M_{2}}\left(\min _{t_{k} \leqq t \leqq \tau_{k}} f^{*}\left(t, \frac{M_{3}}{2}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{\longrightarrow} 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same way the following relation can be proved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{k+1}-\tau_{k} \leqq \sqrt{8 M_{2} M_{1}}\left(\min _{\tau_{k} \leqq t \leq t_{k+1}} f^{*}\left(t, \frac{M_{3}}{2}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{ } 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The statement of the theorem follows directly from (4) and (5).
a) According to Lemma 2 and the proved part of the theorem the following relations hold:

$$
\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)\right|>\frac{\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|}{p\left(t_{k}\right) \Delta_{k}} \min _{t_{k} \leqq t \leq \tau_{k}} p(t) \geqq \frac{M_{3} M_{4}}{M_{2} \Delta_{k}} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{ } \infty
$$

So the theorem is proved.
Remark 1. How we can see from the proof, the Theorem 2 is also valid if we suppose that $f^{*}(t, y)$ is non-decreasing with respect to $y$ only in the region $D_{2}=$ $=\left\{(t, y): t \in[a, \infty), 0 \leqq y \leqq M_{1}\right\}$ instead of in $D_{1}$.

Theorem 3. Consider a differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+q(t) f(y) h\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g \in C^{\circ}[a, \infty), f \in C^{\circ}(-\infty, \infty), h \in C^{\circ}(-\infty, \infty), q(t)>0$ for $t \in[a, \infty), f(y) y>$ $>0$ for $y \neq 0$.

Let $y$ be its oscillatory solution and $\left\{\tau_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ the sequence of the extremants of $y$.
a) Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)=0,0<h(v) \leqq M<\infty$ for $-\infty<v<\infty$, and $\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \leqq$ $\leqq M_{2}<\infty, k=1,2, \ldots$ Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{\prime}(t)=0
$$

If, in addition, $0<M_{1} \leqq\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|, k=1,2, \ldots$ then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{k}=\infty
$$

b) Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)=\infty, 0<M \leqq h(v)$ for $-\infty<v<\infty$ and $0<M_{1} \leqq\left|y\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right| \leqq$ $\leqq M_{2}<\infty, k=1,2, \ldots$. Then the derivative $y^{\prime}$ of $y$ is unbounded on $[a, \infty)$ and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{k}=0
$$

Proof. The statement of the theorem follows directly from Theorems 1 and 2 and Remark 1 for

$$
f^{*}(t, y)=M q(t) \max _{|u| \leqq y}|f(u)|
$$

and

$$
f^{*}(t, y)=M q(t) \min _{y \leqq|u| \leqq M_{2}}|f(u)|,
$$

respectively.
Remark 2. When proving his Theorem, author of [2] proved the second part of Theorem 3 b ) (that the derivative $y^{\prime}$ is unbounded) for the differential equation (6), $h \equiv 1$, but under many other assumptions on the functions $q$ and $f$.
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