Jan Reiterman An example concerning set-functors

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 12 (1971), No. 2, 227--233

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105341

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 12,2 (1971)

AN EXAMPLE CONCERNING SET-FUNCTORS

Jan REITERMAN, Praha

In her paper [2], V. Trnková studied set-functors preserving limits of certain diagrams, leaving open the problem of the existence of a big set-functor preserving finite limits. The aim of this note is to construct a big set-functor preserving finite limits and colimits up to a given cardinal (see Definition 4). The existence of a proper class of measurable cardinals is assumed (see Definition 2).

First we shall recall some well-known definitions:

<u>Definition 1</u>. Let \mathscr{F} be an ultrafilter on a set A. Let ∞ be a cardinal. Then \mathscr{F} is said to be ∞ -complete if for every collection $\{X_{\iota}; \iota \in \mathcal{I}\}$ of sets of \mathscr{F} , card $\mathcal{I} < \infty$ implies $\bigcap_{\mathcal{I}} X_{\iota} \in \mathscr{F}$.

<u>Definition 2</u>. A cardinal ∞ is said to be measurable if there exists an ∞ -complete ultrafilter on ∞ .

<u>Convention 1.</u> Throughout this note, the word functor denotes a govariant functor from the category of sets into itself.

<u>Definition 3</u>. A functor **F** is said to be small if AMS, Primary 18B05 Secondary -

- 227 -

there exists a set A such that for every set $X \neq \emptyset$ $F(X) = \bigcup_{\substack{A:A=X\\A=A}} F(f) [F(A)].$

A functor \mathbf{F} is said to be big if it is not small.

<u>Definition 4</u>. Let $D: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{S}$ be a diagram (\mathcal{S} is the category of sets). Let $(X, \{\Pi_{d}; d \in \mathcal{D}^{\mathfrak{G}_{f}}\})$ be its limit (or colimit resp.). Let F be a functor. We shall say that F preserves limit of \mathcal{D} if $(F(X), \{F(\Pi_{d}); d \in \mathcal{D}^{\mathfrak{G}_{f}}\})$ is a limit (or colimit resp.) of $F \circ \mathcal{D}$.

We shall say that F preserves limits (or colimite resp.) up to a cardinal ∞ if it preserves limit of any diagram $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow S$ such that card $\mathcal{D}^m < \infty$. (\mathcal{D}^m is the set of all morphisms of \mathcal{D} .)

We shall say that F preserves finite limits if it preserves limits up to κ_o .

<u>Convention 2</u>. Let F, G be functors. Denote $F \subset G$ if

(1) F(X) = G(X),

(2) $x \in F(X) \implies F(f)(x) = G(f)(x)$

holds for every X and every $f: X \longrightarrow Y$.

<u>Definition 5</u>. Let J be a directed class. Let a functor F_{L} be given for every $\iota \in J$. Assume

(3) $\iota < \iota' \longrightarrow F_{\iota} \subset F_{\iota'}$,

(4) $\bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{J}} F_L(X)$ is a set for every set X.

Define a functor F by

 $F(X) = \bigcup_{x \in Y} F_{L}(X)$ for every set X,

 $F(f)(x) = F_{e}(f)(x)$ for every $x \in F(X)$, $f: X \to Y$,

- 228 -

 $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ is arbitrary with $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(X)$.

(The correctness of the definition of F(f) is guaranted by (3).)

We shall call F the union of F_{i} , i.e. $\mathcal J$ and we shall write

$$F = \bigcup_{i \in J} F_i$$
.

Lemma 1. Let $F\iota$; $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$, $F = \bigcup_{\iota \in \mathcal{I}} F\iota$ be as in Definition 5. If $F\iota$; $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$ preserve finite limits, so does F.

<u>Proof</u>. I. It is well-known [1] that a functor preserving equalisers and products of any two sets preserves all finite limits.

II. F preserves equalisers. Really, if f, g: $X \rightarrow \longrightarrow Y$ are arbitrary, $E = \{x; f(x) = g(x)\}, j: E \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion then

 $\{x \in F(X); F(f)(x) = F(g)(x)\} = \bigcup_{i \in J} \{x; F_i(f)(x) = F_i(g)(x)\} = \bigcup_{i \in J} F_i(g)(x)\} = \bigcup_{i \in J} F_i(g)[F_i(E)] = F(g)F[E].$

III. F preserves products of any two sets: Let X_1 , X_2 be sets, let $\Pi_i : X_1 \times X_2 \longrightarrow X_i$ (i = 1, 2) be the canonical projections. We have to prove that for every $x_1 \in F(X_1), x_2 \in F(X_2)$ there is exactly one $z \in E(X_1 \times X_2)$ with $F(\Pi_i)(z) = x_i$, i = 1, 2.

The existence of z: Choose $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$ with $x_i \in C$ $\in F_{\iota}(X_i)$, i = 1, 2; as F_{ι} preserves products, there is exactly one $z \in F_{\iota}(X_1 \times X_2)$ with $F_{\iota}(\Pi_i)(z) = x_i$, i = 1, 2. As $F_{\iota} \subset F$, the last equalities are equivalent to those which we had to prove.

- 229 -

The unicity of z: Assume that $F(\pi_i)(z^i) = x_i$, i = 1, 2 for some $z^i \in F(X_1 \times X_2)$. Choose ι with $z, z^i \in F_{\iota}(X_1 \times X_2)$. Thus we have $F_{\iota}(\pi_i)(z^i) = x_i$, $F_{\iota}(\pi_i)(z) = x_i$ which implies $z = z^i$.

Lemma 2. Let F_{L} ; $\iota \in J$, $F = \bigcup_{L \in J} F_{L}$ be as in Definition 5. If J is a linear ordered proper class and if for any $\iota \in J$ there is $\iota' \in J$ such that $\iota < \iota'$ and $F_{L} \neq F_{L}$, then F is big.

<u>Proof</u>. Assume that F is small i.e. that there exists a set A such that for every set $X \neq \emptyset$

 $F(X) = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in A \to X}} F(f) [F(A)] .$

As the ordering of J is linear, there is $\sigma \in J$ with $F(A) = F_{\sigma}(A)$. Consequently,

 $F(X) =_{f_1 X \to X} F_{\infty}(f) [F_{\infty}(A)] \subset F_{\infty}(X)$ for every $X \neq \emptyset$. Choose $\beta \in \mathcal{I}$ with $F(\emptyset) = F_{\beta}(\emptyset)$ and put $\varepsilon = \max \{\infty, \beta\}$. Thus, we have $F(X) \subset C$ $\subset F_{\varepsilon}(X) \subset F_{\varepsilon}(X) \subset F(X)$ for $\varepsilon > \varepsilon$; hence $F_{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > \varepsilon$ which is in contradiction with the assumptions of the lemma.

<u>Definition 6</u>. Let F_{L} ; $\iota \in Ord$ be a system of functors such that $I \subset F_{L}$ for $\iota \in Ord$ (I is the identical functor.) Define functors G_{L} , $\iota \in Ord$ by the transfinite induction as follows:

(5) $G_{\rho} = F_{\rho}$, $G_{\mu} = F_{\mu} \circ \bigcup_{\beta < \mu} G_{\rho}$.

(Evidently, G_{β} , $\beta < c$ form an increasing sequence

- 230 -

and thus the definition of $\bigcup_{\beta < L} G_{\beta}$ is correct.) Let us assume that

(6) for every set X there is an ordinal ∞ such that $F_{\pi}(G_{\infty}(X)) = G_{\infty}(X)$ for every $\gamma > \infty$.

Then G_{L} , $\iota \in Ond$ satisfy the conditions (3),(4) from Definition 5 and we can define a functor Suppr F_{L} by Suppr $F_{L} = \bigcup_{l \in Ond} G_{L}$.

<u>Remark 1</u>. If F, G preserve finite limits, so does $F \circ G$.

Lemma 1'. Let $F \iota$, $\iota \in Ord$, $F = Supp F_{\iota}$ be as in Definition 6. If F_{ι} , $\iota \in Ord$ preserve finite limits, so does F.

Lemma 2'. Let F, $\iota \in Ord$, $F = Supp F_{\iota}$ be as in Definition 6. If for any $\iota \in Ord$ there is $\beta > \iota$ with $F_{A} \neq I$, then F is big.

<u>Proofs</u> of the last two lemmas follow from the definition of Supp F_{L} and from Lemma 1, Lemma 2, Remark 1.

Now, we recall the definition of a functor $Q_{A,F}$ where A is a set and F a filter on A (see [2]): If X is a set, then the elements of $Q_{A,F}$ (X) are equivalence-classes on the set of all f: $A \to X$ with respect to the equivalence $f \sim g = \{x; f(x) = g(x)\} \in F$. For every f: $A \to X$ define [f] by $f \in [f] \in Q_{A,F}(X)$. If f: $X \to Y$ is an arbitrary mapping then $Q_{A,F}(f)([g_1]) =$ $= [f \circ g]$. For every X, $x \in X$ define $\hat{x}: A \to X$ by $\hat{x}(a) = x$ for every $a \in A$ and put $a^{x}(x) = [\hat{x}]$. Evidently, α is a monotransformation from I to $Q_{A,F}$.

- 231 -

Hence there is a functor $\widetilde{G}_{A,\mathscr{F}}$ and an isotransformation $\varepsilon: \mathbb{Q}_{A,\mathscr{F}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_{A,\mathscr{F}}$ such that $\varepsilon^{\times} \circ (u^{\times}(x) = x)$ for every set X and $x \in X$. Thus, $I \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_{A,\mathscr{F}}$. <u>Remark 2</u>. [2] $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_{A,\mathscr{F}}$ preserves finite limits. <u>Remark 3</u>. (a) If \mathscr{F} is a filter on A and X a set, then

card $Q_{A,S}(X) \in (card X)^{card A}$

(b) If \mathscr{F} is an ∞ -complete ultrafilter and if X is a set with card $X < \infty$ then $\widetilde{G}_{A,\mathscr{F}}(X) = X$.

<u>Proof</u> of (a) is easy, (b) follows from the well-known fact that every function $f: A \longrightarrow X$ is (under our assumptions on X and \mathcal{F}) constant on a set of the filter \mathcal{F} .

<u>Theorem</u>. For every cardinal \propto there exists a functor preserving finite limits and colimits up to \propto .

<u>Proof</u>. Let fm_{ι} ; $\iota \in Crd i$ be a class of measurable cardinals such that $m_o > \infty$ and $m_\beta < m_\gamma$, whenever $\beta < \gamma$.

For every $\iota \in Ord$ choose a m_{ι} -complete ultrafilter \mathcal{F}_{ι} on m_{ι} . Put $F_{\iota} = \widetilde{Q}_{m_{\iota}}, \mathcal{F}_{\iota}$ and define \mathcal{G}_{ι} as in Definition 6.

Let X be a set with card $X < m_{L}$ for some $\iota \in \operatorname{Ord}$. As each measurable cardinal is unaccessible, we can easily prove by the transfinite induction that card $G_{\beta}(X) < m_{L+1}$ for $\beta \leq \iota$. In particular, card $G_{\iota}(X) < m_{L+1}$ which implies (see Remark 3(b)) that $F_{\beta}(G_{\iota})(X) = G_{\iota}(X)$ for $\beta > \iota$.

- 232-

Hence we can define F = Supp F,

F is big by Lemma 2' and it preserves finite limits by Remark 2 and Lemma 1'.

As \mathcal{F}_{L} are ∞ -complete ultrafilters, F_{L} defined above preserve coproducts up to ∞ (see [2]). It may be easily proved that $F = Supp F_{L}$ also does.

There was proved in [2] that a functor preserving coproducts up to ∞ , $\infty > \mathcal{K}_o$, preserves coequalisers and thus preserves colimits up to ∞ .

References

J.M. MARANDA: Some remarks on limits in categories, Canad.Math.Bull.5(1962),133-136.

[2] V. TRNKOVA: On descriptive classification of set-functors, I.II. Part I, Comment. Math.Univ.Carolinae 12(1971),143-175; Part II to appear later in the same journal.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta Karlova universita Sokolovská 83, Praha 8 Československo

(Oblatum 9.11.1970)