Roman Frič A note on Fréchet spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 13 (1972), No. 3, 411--418

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105429

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

13,3 (1972)

A NOTE ON FRÉCHET SPACES 1)

R. FRIČ, Žilina

Recall that a Fréchet space (L, Λ) is a T_1 topological space such that for every subset Λ we have $\Lambda \Lambda = \{x \mid x = \lim x_m, x_m \in \Lambda\}$, i.e. $\Lambda \Lambda$ is the set of all limit points of sequences of points of Λ ; the space (L, Λ) is said to be sequentially regular if for every sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$ of points of L and every point x such that $x \in L - \Lambda U(x_m)$ where is a continuous function f on (L, Λ) , $0 \leq f(\pi) \leq 1$, and a subsequence $\langle m_i \rangle$ of $\langle m \rangle$ such that f(x) = 0, $f[U(x_{m_i})] = 1$ (cf.[3]).

Following [5] a T_1 topological space (L, Λ) is called χ_0 -regular if for every countable subset Λ and every point x such that $x \in L - \Lambda \Lambda$ there is a continuous function f on (L, Λ) , $0 \leq f(x) \leq 4$ such that f(x) == 0, $f[\Lambda] = 4$. It can be readily seen that every χ_0 -regular Fréchet space is sequentially regular. J. Novák asked in [5] whether every sequentially regular Fréchet space is χ_0 -regular.

1) The article is a part of [1].

AMS, Primary: 54D55

Ref. Ž. 3.961.4

- 411 -

The main purpose of the present paper is to show that the answer is no. The space $\overline{\Lambda}_{\infty}$ constructed by F.B. Jones in [2]²⁾ (as a Moore space which is not completely regular) is a counter-example. We also give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Fréchet sequentially regular space to be χ_{o} -regular and two sufficient conditions for an χ_{o} -regular Fréchet space to be completely regular.

Example. Let L be the subset of all points (x, η) of the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta \ge 0$ provided with the following refinement of the product topology: for $\kappa > 0$, the sets

 $V^{\mathcal{K}}(x,0) = \{(x,0)\} \cup \{(u,w) \mid (u,w) \in L, (u-x)^2 + (w-\kappa)^2 < \kappa^2 \}$ are also neighbourhoods of the point (x,0) (Niemytzky space).

Denote by λ the just described topology. Clearly, (L, λ) satisfies the first axiom of countability and hence it is Fréchet. The subspace (D, λ/D) of (L, λ) where D = {(x, 0) | x \in R }, is discrete. The space (L, λ) is completely regular and hence sequentially regular. The set D is the union of two disjoint uncountable sets, denote them by A and by B, such that if U is an open set containing uncountably many points of one of them, then λU contains uncountably many points of the other (for the proof see [2]).

Let $\langle (L_m, \lambda_n) \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a simple sequence of disjoint copies of the space (L, λ) . For convenience we may

It is Professor J. Novák who called my attention to that article.

imagine these spaces as lying in Aifferent planes of the three-Aimensional Euclidean space parallel to the plane of L. For each point set H in L and to every natural m there corresponds in a natural way the set H_m in L_m (the set H is the projection of every H_m). The symbol q denotes always a point of D.

Let $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (L_n, \lambda_n)$ be the topological sum of the above sequence. We movify it in the following manner:

1. If m is 0^{AA} (m = 1, 3, 5, ...) and Q is a point of B, then we identify points Q_m and Q_{m+4} to $(q_m; q_{m+4})$; if m is even (m = 2, 4, 6, ...) and Q is a point of A, then we identify points Q_m and Q_{m+4} to $(q_m; q_{m+4})$ (the projection of $(q_n; q_{m+4})$ is Q in this case). Let for $\kappa > 0$ the sets

 $\mathbb{W}^{\mathbb{M}}((q_m;q_{m+4})) =$

$$= \{(q_{n}; q_{n+1})\} \cup \{V_{n}^{\kappa}(q) - (q)\} \cup \{V_{n+1}^{\kappa}(q) - (q_{n+1})\}$$

be fundamental systems of neighbourhoods of these points, i.e. we take a quotient space of $\sum_{m=4}^{\infty} (L_m, \lambda_m)$.

2. We and one "ideal" point p (distinct from all) to the modified $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (L_n, \lambda)$.

Let for k = 1, 2, 3, ..., the sets

$$O_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{P}) = (\mathcal{P}) \cup \{\bigcup_{m > \mathcal{R}} \bigcup_{y > 0} (x_m, y_m)^3 \cup \{\bigcup_{m > \mathcal{R}} (Q_m; Q_{m+q})^3\}$$

form a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of p .

Denote by $(L_{\infty}, \Lambda_{\infty})$ this modifies space (cf.[2], where $\Lambda_{\infty} = (L_{\infty}, \Lambda_{\infty})$). The space $(L_{\infty}, \Lambda_{\infty})$ satisfies the first axiom of countability and hence it is

- 413 -

Fréchet, it is "completely regular at every point" except p but it is not completely regular (at p) since $p \in c$ $e \perp_{\infty} - \lambda_{\infty} \Lambda_{1}$, but for each continuous function f on $(\perp_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty})$ we have $f(p_{1}) \in \overline{f[\Lambda_{1}]}$ (cf.[2]).

<u>Proposition</u>. The Fréchet space $(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty})$ is sequentially regular but fails to be κ_o -regular.

<u>Proof</u>. First prove that $(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty})$ is sequentially regular. Since $(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty})$ is "completely regular and hence sequentially regular at every point" except p, we have to prove that if $\langle z_m \rangle$ is a sequence of points of L_{∞} such that $p \in L_{\infty} - \lambda_{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (z_m)$, then there is a continuous function f on $(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty})$ and a subsequence $\langle z_{m_i} \rangle$ of $\langle z_m \rangle$ such that

 $f(p) = 0, f(x_{m_i}) = 1, i = 1, 2, 3, ...$

Since there is a natural \mathcal{K}_o such that $z_m \in L_o - \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}_o}(p)$ for all m, we always can ant to select a subsequence $\langle z'_m \rangle$ of $\langle z_m \rangle$ such that

a) $\langle z'_{m_i} \rangle$ is a constant sequence or the projection of no z'_{m_i} lies in $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{L}$. In this case the construction of f and the subsequence $\langle z_{m_i} \rangle$ of $\langle z'_{m_i} \rangle$ and hence of $\langle z_m \rangle$ is easy and is omitted.

b) If $(x'_{i}, 0) \in \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{L}$ is the projection of $z'_{m_{i}}$, i.e. $z'_{m_{i}}$ is either of the form of $(q_{m}^{(1)}; q_{m+1}^{(i)})$, $m \leq k_{0}$, or $z'_{m_{i}} \in \mathbb{A}_{1}$, then there is a strictly monotone, say increasing, subsequence $\langle x_{i} \rangle$ of the sequence $\langle x'_{i} \rangle$ of real numbers x'_{i} . Let $\langle x_{i} \rangle$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that

- 414-

 $\begin{aligned} x_{i-1} + k_{i-1} < x_i - k_i < x_i + k_i < x_{i+1} - k_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ \text{Denote by } U(x_{m_i}) = (V^{k_i}(x_i, 0))_1 \quad \text{if } x_{m_i} \in A_1 \\ \text{and} \end{aligned}$

$$\mathcal{U}(z_{m_i}) = \mathcal{W}^{L_i}((q_m^{(i)}; q_{m+1}^{(i)}))$$

otherwise. Now, let f be a function on $(L_{\infty}, \Lambda_{\infty})$ defined in the following manner:

f(x) = 1 for $x = x_{m_i}$;

f(x) = 0 for each x on the boundary of theneighbourhoad $U(x_{m_i})$ of x_{m_i} and linear on the segment from x_{m_i} to x, i = 1, 2, 3, ...;

$$f(x) = 0$$
 for $x \in L_{\infty} - A \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U(x_{m_i})$.

It is easy to verify that f has the desired properties. If the sequence $\langle x_i \rangle$ is decreasing, then the procedure is similar.

Secondly, denote by

 $C = f(x, q_{i}) | (x, q_{i}) \in L - D; x, q_{i} \text{ rational } 3.$ The set C_{4} is countable and can be arranged into a sequence (z_{m}) and $p \in L_{\infty} - \lambda_{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (z_{m})$. As $A_{4} \subset \lambda_{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (z_{m})$, we have $f(p) \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (f(z_{m}))$ for each continuous function f on $(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty})$. Therefore $(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty})$ fails to be x_{0} -regular. This completes the proof.

Let (L, Λ) be a Fréchet sequentially regular space. Recall that the completely regular modification $\tilde{\Lambda}$ of Λ is the finest of all completely regular topologies for Lcoarser than Λ , the systems of continuous functions on

- 415 -

<u>Theorem 1</u>. A Fréchet sequentially regular space (L, λ) is χ_0 -regular if and only if there is no sequence in (L, $\tilde{\lambda}$) having a side-point, where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the completely regular modification of λ .

<u>Proof</u>. I. If there is a sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$ in $(L, \tilde{\lambda})$ having a size-point x_o , then

 $x_0 \in L - \lambda \cup (x_m)$, $x_0 \in \widetilde{\lambda} \cup (x_m)$. Thus for each continuous function f on $(L, \widetilde{\lambda})$ and hence, as mentioned above, on (L, λ) we have

$$f(x_0) \in U(f(x_n))$$

But this implies that (L, λ) cannot be x_0 -regular.

II. If (L, λ) is not χ_0 -regular, then there is a sequence $\langle \chi_m \rangle$ of points $\chi_m \in L$ and a point $\chi_0 \in L$ such that

$$x_0 \in L - \lambda U(x_m)$$

and for each continuous function f on (L, Λ) there is a subsequence $\langle m_i \rangle$ of $\langle m \rangle$ such that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x_{m_{\pm}}) = f(x_{0})$$

From the definition of $\widetilde{\lambda}$ it follows that

- 416 -

$$x_{n} \in \widetilde{\lambda} \cup (x_{m})$$

i.e. x_0 is a side-point of the sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$ in (L, $\tilde{\lambda}$).

<u>Theorem 2</u>. A regular separable x_{Λ} -regular Fréchet space (L, Λ) is completely regular.

<u>Proof</u>. Denote by $S \subset L$ a countable set such that $G \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for each non-empty open set $G \subset L$. Let $F \subset L$ be a non-empty closed set and $x_0 \in L - F$. Then there is a neighbourhood $W(x_0)$ such that $AW(x_0) \subset CL - F$ and $(L - AW(x_0)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Hence $(L - W(x_0)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Now, arrange the countable set $(L - W(x_0)) \cap S$, either finite or infinite, into a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$. Evidently

 $x_n \in (L - \lambda U(x_m)) \subset L - F$.

Since (L, A) is x_0 -regular, there is a continuous function f on (L, A) such that

 $f(x_n) = 0$, $f[U(x_m)] = 1 = f[F]$.

<u>Corollary</u>. A first-countable separable \mathbf{x}_o -regular topological space is completely regular.

<u>Proof.</u> Professor J. Novák proved in [4] that every first-countable sequentially regular topological space is regular. The assertion follows at once from the foregoing Theorem 2.

References

 R. FRIČ: Sequential structures and their application to probability theory. Thesis, MÚ ČSAV, Praha, 1972.

- 417 -

- [2] F.B. JONES: Moore spaces and uniform spaces. Proc.Amer. Math.Soc.9(1958),483-486.
- [3] V. KOUTNÍK: On sequentially regular convergence spaces. Czechoslovak Math.J.17(1967),232-247.
- J. NOVÁK: On convergence spaces and their sequential envelopes. Czechoslovak Math.J.15(1965),74-100.
- [5] J. NOVÁK: On some problems concerning the convergence spaces and groups. General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra (Proc.Kanpur Topological Conf., 1968). Academia, Prague, 1971, 219-229.

MÚČSAV v Praze Prahæl, Žitná 25 VŠD v Žiline Žilina, Marxa-Engelsa 25

Československo

(Oblatum 20.4.1972)