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## A NOTE ON FRECHET SPACES 1)

R. FRIČ, Žilina

Recall that a Frechet space ( $L, \lambda$ ) is a $T_{1}$ topological space such that for every subset $A$ we have $\lambda A=\left\{x \mid x=\lim x_{n}, x_{m} \in A\right\}$, i.e. $\lambda A$ is the set of all limit points of sequences of points of $A$; the space (I, $\lambda$ ) is sain to be sequentially regular if for every sequence $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ of points of $L$ and every point $x$ such that $x \in L-\lambda U\left(x_{m}\right)$ there is a continuous function $f$ on $(L, \lambda), 0 \leqslant f(5) \leqslant 1$, and a subsequence $\left\langle m_{i}\right\rangle$ of $\langle m\rangle$ such that $f(x)=0, f\left[U\left(x_{n_{i}}\right)\right]=1 \quad$ (cf.[3]).

Following [5] a $T_{1}$ topological space ( $L, \lambda$ ) is callea. $x_{0}$-regular if for every countable subset $A$ ans every point $x$ auch that $x \in L-\lambda A$ there is a continuous function $f$ on $(L, \lambda), 0 \leqslant f(x) \leqslant 1$ such that $f(x)=$ $=0, \pm[A]=1$. It can be reanily seen that every $\mathcal{X}_{0}$-regular Fréchet space is sequentially regular. J. Novák askeत in [5] whether every sequentially regular Frechet space is: $x_{0}$-regular.

1) The article is a part of [1].
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The main purpose of the present paper is to show that the answer is no. The space $\Lambda_{\infty}$ constructed by F.B. Jones in [2] 2) (as a Moore space which is not completely regular) is a counter-example. We also give a necessary and sufficient conation for a Fréchet eequentially regular space to be $\mathcal{K}_{0}$-regular an two sufficient conaitions for an $x_{0}$ regular Fréchet space to be completely regular.

Example. Let $L$ be the subset of all points $(\dot{x}, y)$ of the Euclisean plane $R \times R$ such that $y \geq 0$ provined with the following refinement of the pronuct topology: for $n>0$, the sets $V^{\mu}(x, 0)=\{(x, 0)\} \cup\left\{(u, v) \mid(u, v) \in L,(u-x)^{2}+(v-k)^{2}<x^{2}\right\}$ are also neighbourhoors of the point $(x, 0)$ (Niemytzky space).

Denote by $\lambda$ the just describer topology. Clearly, ( $L, \lambda$ ) satisfies the first axiom of countability and hence it is Fréchet. The subspace ( $D, \lambda / D$ ) of ( $L, \lambda$ ) where $D=\{(x, 0) \mid x \in R\}, \quad$ is riscrete. The space $(L, \lambda)$ is completely regular ant hence sequentially regular. The set $D$ is the union of two aisjoint uncountable sets, aenote them by $A$ an* by $B$, such that if $U$ is an open set containing uncountably many points of one of them, then $\lambda \boldsymbol{u}$ contains uncountably many points of the other (for the proof see [2]).

Let $\left.\left\langle\left(L_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a simple sequence of nisjoint copies of the space ( $L, \lambda$ ). For convenience we may
2) It is Professor $J$. Novak who calle» my attention to that article.
imagine these spaces as lying in iffferent planes of the three-ifimensional Eucli*ean space parallel to the plane of L. For each point set $H$ in'L and to every natural $m$ there corresponis in a natural way the set $H_{i n}$ in $L_{m}$ (the set $H$ is the projection of every $H_{n}$ ). The symbol $q$ nenotes always a point of $D$.

Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(L_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right)$ be the topological sum of the above sequence. We monify it in the following manner:

1. If $m$ is onत $(m=1,3,5, \ldots)$ anत $q$ is a point of $B$, then we inentify points $q_{a}$ and $q_{n+1}$ to $\left(q_{n} ; q_{n+1}\right)$; if $m$ is even $(m=2,4,6, \ldots)$ and $q$ is a point of $A$, then we inentify points $q_{m}$ and $q_{m+1}$ to $\left(q_{n} ; q_{n+1}\right)$ (the projection of $\left(q_{n} ; q_{n+1}\right)$ is $q_{\text {}}$ in this case). Let for $\kappa>0$ the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{n}\left(\left(q_{n} ; q_{n+1}\right)\right)= \\
= & \left\{\left(q_{n} ; q_{n+1}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{V_{n}^{n}(q)-(q)\right\} \cup\left\{V_{n+1}^{n}(q)-\left(q_{n+1}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

be funiamental systems of neighbourhooss of these points, i.e. we take a quotient space of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(L_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right)$.
2. We aतत one "iतeal" point $\neq$ (nistinct from all) to the monifien $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(L_{n}, \lambda\right)$.

Let for $\mathrm{Be}=1,2,3, \ldots$; the sets
$O_{k}(\Re)=\left(\nmid \cup\left\{_{n>1} \bigcup_{y>0}\left(x_{n}, y_{m}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\bigcup_{n>k}\left(q_{m} ; q_{m+1}\right)\right\}\right.$
form a funamental system of neighbourhoons of 1 .
Denote by $\left(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ this modifien space (cf,[2], where $\lambda_{\infty}=\left(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}\right) \quad$ ). The space $\left(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ satiafies the first axiom of countability and hence it is

Fréchet, it is "completely regular at every point" except $\uparrow$ but it is not completely regular (at $\uparrow$ ) since $\neq \in$ $\epsilon I_{\infty}-\lambda_{\infty} A_{1}$, but for each continuous function $f$ on $\left(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ we have $f(\not) \in \overline{f\left[A_{1}\right]}$ (of.[2]).

Proposition. The Fréchet space $\left(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ is sequentially regular but fails to be $x_{0}$-regular.

Proof. First prove that $\left(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ is sequentially regular. Since $\left(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$ is "completely regular and hence sequentially regular at every point" except $\nless$, we have to prove that if $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ is a sequence of points of $L_{\infty}$ such that $\left\{\in L_{\infty}-\lambda_{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(x_{m}\right)\right.$, then there is a continuous function $f$ on ( $I_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}$ ) and a subsequence $\left\langle x_{m_{i}}\right\rangle$ of $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ such that
$f(1)=0, f\left(x_{m_{i}}\right)=1, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots$.
Since there is a natural $k_{0}$ such that $x_{m} \in L_{\infty}-Q_{n_{0}}(p)$ for all $m$, we always can and to select a subsequence $\left\langle x_{m_{i}}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ of $\left\langle z_{m}\right\rangle$ such that
a) $\left\langle x_{m_{i}}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is a oonstant sequence or the projection of no $x_{m_{i}}^{\prime}$ lies in $D \subset L$. In this case the construction of $f$ and the subsequence $\left\langle x_{m_{i}}\right\rangle$ of $\left\langle x_{m_{i}}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and hence of $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ is easy and is omitter.
b) If $\left(x_{i}^{\prime}, 0\right) \in D \subset 工$ is the projection of $x_{m_{i}}^{\prime}$, i.e. $x_{m}^{\prime}$ is either of the form of $\left(q_{m}^{(i)} ; q_{m+1}^{(i)}\right), n \leqq k_{0}$, or $x_{m_{i}}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{1}$, then there is a strictly monotone, say increasing, subsequence $\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle$ of the sequence $\left\langle x_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ of real numbers $x_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $\left\langle r_{i}\right\rangle$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that
$x_{i-1}+n_{i-1}<x_{i}-n_{i}<x_{i}+n_{i}<x_{i+1}-n_{i+1}, i=1,2,3, \ldots$. Denote by $u\left(x_{m_{i}}\right)=\left(V^{n_{i}}\left(x_{i}, 0\right)\right)_{1}$ if $x_{m_{i}} \in A_{1}$ and

$$
u\left(z_{m_{i}}\right)=W^{n_{i}}\left(\left(q_{n}^{(i)} ; q_{n+1}^{(i)}\right)\right)
$$

otherwise. Now, let $f$ be a function on ( $L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}$ ) refiner in the following manner:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=1 \text { for } x=x_{m_{i}} ; \\
& f(x)=0 \text { for each } x \text { on the boundary of the }
\end{aligned}
$$

neighbourhoar $U\left(x_{m_{i}}\right)$ of $z_{m_{i}}$ and linear on the segment from $x_{m_{i}}$ to $x, i=1,2,3, \ldots$;

$$
f(x)=0 \text { for } x \in L_{\infty}-\lambda \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} u\left(x_{m_{i}}\right) .
$$

It is easy to verify that $f$ has the aesires propertiea. If the sequence $\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle$ is iecreasing, then the procenure is similar.

## Seconily, tenote by

$$
C=\{(x, y) \mid(x, y) \in L-D ; x, y \quad \text { rational }\} .
$$

The set $C_{1}$ is countable ans can be arranged into a sequence $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ and. $\uparrow \in L_{\infty}-\lambda_{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(x_{m}\right)$. As
$A_{1} \in \lambda_{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(x_{m}\right)$, have $f(n) \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(f\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$ for each continuous function $f$ on $\left(L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}\right)$. Therefore ( $L_{\infty}, \lambda_{\infty}$ ) fails to be $x_{0}$-regular. This completes the proof.

Let ( $L, \lambda$ ) be a Fréchet sequentially regular apace. Recall that the completely regular moaification $\tilde{\lambda}$ of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is the finest of all completely regular topologies for $L$ coarser than $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, the systems of continuous functions on
( $L, \lambda$ ) ans on ( $L, \tilde{x}$ ) coincite and $\lim x_{m}=x$ if and only if the sequence $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle$ is eventually in every $\tilde{\lambda}$-neighbourhoor of $x$ (see [3]). A point $x_{0}$ is called a sire-point of a sequence $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ in ( $L, \tilde{\pi}$ ) if any subsequence $\left\langle x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle$ of $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ noes not converge to $x_{0}$ ans the sequence $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ is frequently in every $\pi$-neighbourhoor of $x_{0}$.

Theorem. A Fréchet sequentially regular space
( $L, \lambda$ ) is $x_{0}$-regular if and only if there is no sequence in ( $L, \tilde{\lambda}$ ) having a sine-point, where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the completely regular morification of $\lambda$.

Proof. I. If there is a sequence $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ in ( $I, \tilde{x}$ ) having a sire-point $x_{0}$, then

$$
x_{0} \in I-\lambda U\left(x_{n}\right), x_{0} \in \tilde{\lambda} U\left(x_{n}\right) .
$$

Thus for each continuous function $f$ on ( $L, \mathfrak{X}$ ) and hence, as mentione above, on ( $L, \lambda$ ) we have

$$
f\left(x_{0}\right) \in \overline{U\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)}
$$

But this implies that ( $L, \lambda$ ) cannot be $x_{0}$-regular.
II. If ( $L, \lambda$ ) is not $x_{0}$-regular, then there is a sequence $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ of points $x_{n} \in L$ and a point $x_{0} \in L$ such that

$$
x_{0} \in L-\lambda U\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

and for each continuous function $f$ on ( $L, \lambda$ ) there is a subsequence $\left\langle m_{i}\right\rangle$ of $\langle m\rangle$ such that

$$
\lim f\left(x_{m_{i}}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

From the refinition of $\tilde{\lambda}$ it follows that

$$
x_{0} \in \tilde{\lambda} u\left(x_{n}\right),
$$

i.e. $x_{0}$ is a sire-point of the sequence $\left\langle x_{m}\right\rangle$ in ( $L, \tilde{\lambda}$ ).

Theorem 2. A regular separable $*_{n}$-regular Fréchet space ( $L, \lambda$ ) is completely regular.

Proof. Denote by $S \subset L$ a countable set such that $G \cap S \neq \varnothing$ for each non-empty open set $G \subset L$. Let $F \subset L$ be a non-empty closed set and $x_{0} \in L-F$. Then there is a neighbourhoor $W\left(x_{0}\right)$ such that $\lambda W\left(x_{0}\right) \subset$ $c L-F$ and $\left(L-\lambda W\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cap S \neq \varnothing$. Hence ( $\left.L-W\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cap S \neq \varnothing$. Now, arrange the countable set ( $\left.L-W\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cap S$, either finite or infinite, into a sequence $\left\langle x_{n}\right\rangle$.Eviनently

$$
x_{0} \in\left(I-\lambda U\left(x_{m}\right)\right) \subset I-F .
$$

Since ( $L, \lambda$ ) is $k_{0}$-regular, there is a continuous function $f$ on ( $L, \lambda$ ) such that

$$
f\left(x_{0}\right)=0, f\left[U\left(x_{n}\right)\right]=1=f[F] .
$$

Corollary. A first-countable separable $k_{0}$-regular topological space is completely regular.

Proof. Professor J. Novak prover in [4] that every first-countable sequentially regular topological space is regular. The assertion follows at once from the foregoing Theorem 2.
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