

Barry J. Gardner

Generalized-pure-hereditary radical classes of Abelian groups

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 14 (1973), No. 2, 187--195

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105483>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

GENERALIZED-PURE-HEREDITARY RADICAL CLASSES OF ABELIAN
GROUPS

B.J. GARDNER, Canberra

Abstract: In this paper the investigation of subgroup closure properties of radical classes of abelian groups is continued. A subgroup A of an abelian group B is a p^α -pure, for a prime p and an ordinal α , if the equivalence class of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/A \rightarrow 0$$

belongs to $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(B/A, A)$; if p_1, p_2, \dots is the natural enumeration of the primes and $(\alpha_n) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ is a sequence of ordinals, a subgroup is (α_n) -pure if it is $p_n^{\alpha_n}$ -pure for each n . For an arbitrary sequence (α_n) , we characterize the radical classes which are closed under formation of (α_n) -pure subgroups.

Key Words: Radical class, abelian group, p^α -pure.

AMS, Primary: 20K99, 18B40

Ref. Ž. 2.722.1

Introduction. In [2] and [3] we examined radical classes of abelian groups with various subgroup closure properties and in the present paper the investigation of such properties is continued. We consider in this context a generalization of

purity essentially due to Irwin, Walker and Walker [5]. Let μ be a prime, α an ordinal number. A subgroup A of an abelian group B is μ^α -pure if the equivalence class of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/A \rightarrow 0$$

belongs to $\mu^\alpha \text{Ext}(B/A, A)$. Let μ_1, μ_2, \dots be the natural enumeration of the primes, $(\alpha_m) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ a sequence of ordinals. We call a subgroup (α_m) -pure if it is $\mu_m^{\alpha_m}$ -pure for each m . A radical class which is closed under formation of (α_m) -pure subgroups is called

(α_m) -pure-hereditary, and similar expressions are used to describe radical classes with other subgroup closure properties. We obtain a complete characterization of the (α_m) -pure-hereditary radical classes for an arbitrary sequence (α_m) . The case of ordinary purity, corresponding to the sequence (ω, ω, \dots) , was treated in [2].

We maintain the notation and conventions of [4] and also use the following terminology: Let P be a set of primes, P^* the semigroup of integers generated by P and 1. A group G is P -divisible if $\mu G = G$ for each $\mu \in P^*$ and a P -group if each of its elements has order belonging to P^* ; a subgroup A of a group B is P -pure if $A \cap \mu B = \mu A$ for each $\mu \in P^*$; $Q(P)$ is the group of rational numbers with denominators in P^* ; T (resp. T_μ resp. T_p) is the class of torsion (resp. μ -primary, resp. P -) groups and G_p is the maximum P -subgroup of a group G .

The results.

Theorem 1. Let (α_n) be a sequence of ordinals such that $\alpha_n \geq \omega$ whenever $\alpha_n \neq 0$, and let

$$S = \{p_n \mid \alpha_n \geq \omega\}.$$

The following conditions are equivalent for a radical class $R \neq T$.

(i) R is (α_n) -pure-hereditary.

(ii) R is S -pure-hereditary.

(iii) $R = L(\{Q(P)\} \cup \{Z(p) \mid p \in R\})$, where P, R are sets of primes with $R \subseteq P \subseteq S$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii): For any $p \in S$, the class $R \cap T_p$ either is hereditary or consists of all divisible p -groups ([1], Theorem 2.6). In either case it is S -pure-hereditary, so $R \cap T_S$ is also. In general, if G is an S -pure subgroup of $H \in R$, then G/G_S is isomorphic to an S -pure subgroup of $H/H_S \in R$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [2]). But in H/H_S , p^ω -purity coincides with p^α -purity for any $p \in S$ and $\alpha \geq \omega$, so G/G_S is isomorphic to an (α_n) -pure subgroup of H/H_S and hence belongs to R . Since $H_S \in R$ ([1], Theorem 5.2), the first part of the proof implies that $G_S \in R$; hence $G \in R$ and R is S -pure-hereditary.

(ii) \implies (i): This is clear, since (α_n) -pure subgroups are S -pure.

(ii) \iff (iii): The proof is closely related to that of Theorem 4.2 of [2] (which is the special case where S con-

tains all primes) and we therefore omit it.

Note that the proof of the implication (ii) \implies (iii) given by Jambor ([6], Corollary 3.6) is incomplete. In the proof of Corollary 3.5 the rational groups of nil type are not considered, while in the proof of Corollary 3.6 itself, insufficient evidence is adduced for the conclusion that the type set of M is closed under arbitrary infima.

We can now introduce our principal result.

Theorem 2. Let (α_n) be a sequence of ordinals, $S = \{\rho_n \mid \alpha_n \neq 0\}$, $T = \{\rho_n \mid \alpha_n \geq \omega\}$. A radical class R is (α_n) -pure-hereditary if and only if either

(i) $R \subseteq T$ and $R \cap T_{\rho}$ is hereditary for all $\rho \notin S$,

or

(ii) $R = L(\{Q(P)\} \cup \{Z(\rho) \mid \rho \in R\})$, where $P \subseteq S$ and $R \subseteq P \cap T$.

Proof. I. Let R be of type (i). If A is (α_n) -pure in $B \in R$, then for every prime ρ , A_{ρ} is (α_n) -pure in B_{ρ} . Obviously A_{ρ} belongs to R if $R \cap T_{\rho}$ is hereditary. We are therefore interested only in the case where $\rho \in S$ and $R \cap T_{\rho}$ is the class of divisible ρ -groups. But the latter is ρ^1 -pure-hereditary and hence ρ^{α} -pure-hereditary for any $\alpha > 0$; in particular, it is (α_n) -pure-hereditary.

II. Let R be of type (ii) with $R \neq \beta$, (α_n) a sequence of ordinals for which $\alpha_n \geq \omega$ whenever $\rho_n \in R$, and define

$$\beta_m = \begin{cases} \omega & \text{if } \alpha_m \geq \omega & \text{and } \mu_m \in P \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < \alpha_m < \omega & \text{and } \mu_m \in P \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha_m = 0 & \text{or } \mu_m \in S \setminus P \end{cases} .$$

We first prove that \mathbb{R} is (β_m) -pure-hereditary. Let A be μ^1 -pure in $B \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\mu \in P \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Then B_μ belongs to \mathbb{R} , so is divisible, whence A_μ is also. Direct decompositions $A = A_\mu \oplus \bar{A}$, $B = B_\mu \oplus \bar{B}$ can be chosen so that $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$ and it is straightforward to show that \bar{A} is μ^1 -pure in \bar{B} . But \bar{B} has no elements of order μ , so \bar{A} is μ^ω -pure in \bar{B} . Being divisible, A_μ is μ^ω -pure in B_μ . Thus in \mathbb{R} , for $\mu \in P \setminus \mathbb{R}$, μ^ω -purity coincides with μ^1 -purity. Now Theorem 1 says that \mathbb{R} is P -pure-hereditary, i.e. (γ_m) -pure-hereditary, where

$$\gamma_m = \begin{cases} \omega & \text{if } \beta_m = \omega \text{ or } 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \beta_m = 0 \end{cases} .$$

By our remarks above, (β_m) -purity and (γ_m) -purity coincide in \mathbb{R} , so that \mathbb{R} is (β_m) -pure-hereditary, and a fortiori (α_m) -pure-hereditary.

III. Let \mathbb{R} be of type (ii) with $\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$. Then \mathbb{R} is of the class of all P -divisible groups for some set $P \subseteq S$. For any sequence (α_m) of ordinals, let

$$\beta_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha_m \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha_m = 0 \end{cases} .$$

If A is (β_n) -pure in $B \in \mathcal{R}$, then for each $\rho \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $\rho A = A \cap \rho B = A \cap B = A$, so \mathcal{R} is (β_n) -pure-hereditary, and hence (α_n) -pure-hereditary.

Thus each of the classes described in the theorem has the specified relative heredity property. We turn now to the converse.

IV. Let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ be an (α_n) -pure-hereditary radical class. If $\rho \notin \mathcal{S}$, then since $\text{Ext}(Z(\rho^\infty), Z(\rho))$ is a ρ -group, we have

$$\rho_m^{\alpha_m} \text{Ext}(Z(\rho^\infty), Z(\rho)) = \text{Ext}(Z(\rho^\infty), Z(\rho))$$

for each m , so the natural embedding $Z(\rho) \rightarrow Z(\rho^\infty)$ is (α_m) -pure. Thus $Z(\rho) \in \mathcal{R}$ if $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{T}_\rho \neq \{0\}$, i.e. $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{T}_\rho$ is hereditary.

V. Let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ be (α_n) -pure-hereditary. Then \mathcal{R} is (β_n) -pure-hereditary, where

$$\beta_n = \begin{cases} \max\{\alpha_n, \omega\} & \text{if } \alpha_n \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha_n = 0 \end{cases},$$

and so, by Theorem 1, \mathcal{S} -pure-hereditary. Thus

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L}(\{0\} \cup \{Z(\rho) \mid \rho \in \mathcal{R}\})$$

where $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Suppose there exists such a class for which $\mathcal{R} \neq \mathcal{S}$ and $0 < \alpha_n < \omega$ for some n with $\rho_n \in \mathcal{R}$. We shall obtain a contradiction to complete the proof. To simplify notation

in what follows, let $\pi_m = \pi$ and $\alpha_m = \aleph$.

Let $G = G' \oplus \langle c \rangle$, where $G' \cong Q(P)$ and c has order π^\aleph . Then $G \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $F = \langle f_0 \rangle$, where $f_0 = \pi^\aleph a + c$ and a is a fixed non-zero element of G' ,

$$F^* = \{x \in G \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, (\pi, m) = 1, mx \in F\}.$$

We first prove that F^* is π^\aleph -pure in G .

If $f^* = \pi^\kappa g$, where $f^* \in F^*$, $g \in G$ and $0 < \kappa \leq \aleph$, then $m\pi^\kappa g = nf_0$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(\pi, m) = 1$. Let $g = x + sc$. Then

$$m\pi^\kappa x + m\pi^\kappa sc = n\pi^\aleph a + nc,$$

so $m\pi^\kappa sc = nc$ and $\pi^\aleph \mid (m\pi^\kappa b - n)$. Hence $\pi^\aleph \mid m$, say $m = m'\pi^\aleph$. If $u\pi^\kappa + v\pi^\aleph = 1$, then

$$v\pi^\aleph f_0 = v\pi^\aleph f^* = (1 - u\pi^\kappa)f^*,$$

so $f^* = u\pi^\kappa f^* + v\pi^\aleph f_0 = \pi^\kappa (u f^* + v\pi^\aleph f_0)$ and since $u f^* + v\pi^\aleph f_0 \in F^*$, F^* is π^\aleph -pure in G , as asserted.

Let q be any prime $\neq \pi$. If $g \in G$ and $qg \in F^*$, let $m q g \in F$, where $(\pi, m) = 1$. Then $(\pi, m q) = 1$, so $g \in F^*$; hence $(G/F^*)_q = 0$. From this it readily follows (see for example [5], Theorem 6) that F^* is q^λ -pure in G for any ordinal λ . In particular, F^* is (α_m) -pure in G .

We now need only to show that $F^* \notin \mathcal{R}$. Suppose $lx = 0$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in F^*$, $x \neq 0$. Let

$$mx = tf_0 = t\pi^\aleph a + tc,$$

where $(n, m) = 1$. Then

$$ltn^k a + ltc = mlx = 0,$$

so $ltn^k a = 0$. If $t = 0$, then $mlx = 0$, so $x \in \langle c \rangle$ and $n \mid m$, which is impossible. Hence $l = 0$, so F^* is torsion-free. To belong to \mathcal{R} , F^* must therefore be P -divisible; in particular, $nF^* = F^*$. Assuming this, we have $n^k y = n^k a + c = f_0$ for some $y \in F^*$. Thus for some $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(n, i) = 1$, we have $iy = -j(n^k a + c)$, so that

$$in^k a + ic = n^k j(n^k a + c)$$

which implies that $n^k \mid i$, contrary to what is known about i . The group F^* therefore does not belong to \mathcal{R} , which accordingly is not (α_n) -pure-hereditary.

This completes the proof.

The example used in part V of the proof just given owes something to the proof of Lemma 4 of [7].

One other special case is worthy of separate mention.

Corollary 3. A radical class is neat-hereditary if and only if it is either the class of all P -divisible groups for some set P of primes or a subclass of \mathcal{T} .

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] S.E. DICKSON: On torsion classes of abelian groups, J.Math.Soc.Japan 17(1965),30-35.
- [2] B.J. GARDNER: Torsion classes and pure subgroups, Pacific J.Math.33(1970),109-116.

- [3] B.J. GARDNER: Some closure properties for torsion classes of abelian groups, Pacific J.Math.42(1972), 45-61.
- [4] B.J. GARDNER: Two notes on radicals of abelian groups, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 13(1972),419-430.
- [5] J.M. IRWIN, C.L. WALKER and E.A. WALKER: On π^∞ -pure sequences of abelian groups, pp.69-119 of Topics in Abelian Groups.Scott,Foresman and Co.,Chicago,1963.
- [6] P. JAMBOR: On generation of torsion theories, Comment. Math.Univ.Carolinae 13(1972),79-98.
- [7] K. SIMAUTI: On abelian groups in which every neat subgroup is a pure subgroup, Comment.Math.Univ.St. Paul 17(1969),105-110.

Department of Mathematics
 Institute of Advanced Studies
 Australian National University
 Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia

(Oblatum 22.2.1973)