John A. Tiller Unions in E - M categories and coreflective subcategories

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 1, 173--187

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105543

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

15,1 (1974)

UNIONS IN E-M CATEGORIES AND COREFLECTIVE SUBCATEGORIES X)

John TILLER, Conway

<u>Abstract</u>: The concept of M -unions in categories is defined and discussed and a characterization of coreflective subcategories by means of this concept is given.

<u>Key-words</u>: M -union, M-image, factorization, coreflective subcategory.

AMS: 18A30, 18A40 Ref. Ž. 2.726.23

1. <u>Introduction</u>. This paper will be concerned with categorial unions in two settings. First, in an E-M category, M -unions will be defined and discusses. It will be shown that the definition of M-unions can be made stronger than the expected definition and that M-unions exist in many E-M categories.

Second, looking at coreflective subcategories, a characterization of M -coreflective subcategories will be obtained with the use of M-unions and M-images.

Categorical unions have never attracted much attention because coproducts are generally a stronger and more basic idea. However, categorical unions are the generaliza-

- 173 -

x) This research was conducted under the direction of Professor Temple H. Fay and partially supported by Arkansas Educational Research Development Council grant 036.

tion of a very intuitive concept that appears in many situations. For example, unions in the category of all topological spaces take on a simpler form than do coproducts and are more useful in applications.

The categorical definitions not stated in this paper can be found in Mitchell [4], MacLane [3], or Herrlich and Strecker [1].

2. E-M <u>category</u>. E-M categories arise naturally in all categories where some notion of images is introduced. This is stated categorically in terms of factorizations of morphisms.

<u>Definition 1.</u> Let ξ be a category and let E and M be classes of morphisms which are closed under composition with all isomorphisms. We call ξ an E-M <u>category</u> if and only if:

1) Every morphism in ξ has an E-M <u>factorization</u>. That is, given a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$, there exist morphisms e: $: A \rightarrow C$ and $m: A \rightarrow C$ with $e \in E$ and $m \in M$ such that me = f.

2) ξ has the <u>unique</u> E-M <u>diagonal property</u>. That is, given a commutative square mq = fe with $e \in E$ and $m \in e M$, there exists a unique morphism q such that mq = fand qe = q.

<u>Examples</u>. Any category is an E-M category, where E is the class of all morphisms (all isomorphisms) and M is the class of all isomorphisms (resp. all morphisms).

- 174 -

The categories of all sets, semigroups, monoids, groups, Abelian groups, rings, commutative rings, and compact Hausdorff spaces are E-M categories where E is the class of all surjective morphisms and M is the class of all injective morphisms.

The categories of all topological spaces, Hausdorff spaces, compact spaces, and connected spaces are E-M categories, where E is the class of all dense maps (surjective maps, quotient maps) and M is the class of all closed embeddings (resp. embeddings, injective maps).

The categories of all topological spaces and all Hausdorff spaces are E-M categories, where E is the class of all final maps and M is the class of all bijective maps.

The categories of all topological spaces, compact spaces, and connected spaces are E-M categories, where E is the class of all bijective maps and M is the class of all cofinal maps.

It follows from the definition that, in an E-M category, E-M factorizations are essentially unique. Therefore, given a morphism $q:A \rightarrow B$ in an E-M category, $g_E:A \rightarrow q(A)$ and $g_M:q(A) \rightarrow B$ will denote the essentially unique E-M factorization of q_{ee} .

3. M <u>-union</u>. M -unions are a generalization of usual categorical unions.

<u>Definition 2</u>. Let M be a class of morphisms and let $\{d_i: D_i \rightarrow X \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of morphisms in M. Let (D, h) be a pair, where D is an object and $h: D \rightarrow X$ is

- 175 -

a morphism in \mathbb{M} such that there exists a family of morphisms $\{w_i: D_i \rightarrow D \mid i \in I\}$ for which $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}_i} = d_i$ for all $i \in I$.

We say (D, \mathcal{H}) is the M <u>-union</u> of $\{d_i \mid i \in I\}$ if and only if

(U) Whenever $c: C \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in M and $\{\mathcal{H}_{i}: D_{i} \rightarrow C \mid i \in I\}$ a family of morphisms such that $c\mathcal{H}_{i} =$ d_{i} for all $i \in I$, it follows that there exists a unique morphism $q: D \rightarrow C$ such that $cq = \mathcal{H}$.



We say (D, h) is the <u>strong</u> M <u>-union</u> of $d_i | i \in I$ if and only if

(SU) Whenever $f: X \rightarrow A$ is a morphism, $c: C \rightarrow A$ a morphism in M, and $\{\mathcal{H}_i: D_i \rightarrow C \mid i \in I\}$ a family of morphisms such that $fd_i = c\mathcal{H}_i$ for all $i \in I$, it follows that there exists a unique morphism $q: D \rightarrow C$ such that $cq = f\mathcal{H}$.



Strong M -unions are more useful in E-M categories while M -unions suffice in other settings (such as coreflective subcategories).

Although the two unions differ by definition, they coincide in E-M categories under very weak hypothesis. More precisely:

<u>Theorem 1.</u> In an E-M category that has weak pullbacks, let $\{d_i : D_i \rightarrow X \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of morphism in M. Let $h: D \rightarrow X$ be a morphism in M through whic each d_i factors. Then the following are equivalent:

1) (D, h) is the strong M-union of $\{d_i \mid i \in I\}$.

2) (D, h) is the M-union of $\{d_{i} \mid i \in I\}$.

<u>Proof</u>. That 1) implies 2) is clear by setting $f = 1_{\chi}$ in the definition of strong **M** -union.

To show 2) implies 1), let $f: X \to A$ be a morphism $c: C \to A$ a morphism in M, and $\{k_i: D_i \to C \mid i \in I\}$ a family of morphisms such that $ck_i = fd_i$ for all $i \in I$. Then let the following diagram be a weak pullback diagram.

- 177 -



By the unique E-M diagonal property, there exists a morphism $q: \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $cq = f\mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{M}}$ and $q\mathcal{U}_{\mathsf{E}} = p$. Therefore the following is a weak pullback diagram.



Since $ck_i = fd_i$, from the definition of weak pullback there exists for each $i \in I$ a morphism $z_i : D_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(P)$ such that $qz_i = k_i$ and $\mathcal{U}_M z_i = d_i$

Hence, from the hypothesis, there exists a morphism $\kappa: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{P})$ such that $\mathcal{B}_M \kappa = \mathcal{H}$. Therefore $\mathcal{Q}\kappa: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a morphism such that $cq\kappa = f\mathcal{B}_M \kappa = f\mathcal{H}$.

To show uniqueness, let $m, m^*: D \rightarrow C$ be morphisms such that $cm = cm^* \doteq fh$. From the definition of weak pullback, there exist morphisms $d, d^*: D \rightarrow br(P)$ such that qd = m, $b_M d = h$, $qd^* = m^*$, and $b_M d^* = h$. But from the hypothesis, $d = d^*$. Therefore m = $= qd = qd^* = m^*$.

<u>Examples</u>. In the category of all sets, let M be the class of all injective functions. Given a family of sets $\{D_i \subseteq X \mid i \in I\}$, the M-union of their inclusions $d_i: D_i \rightarrow X$ is the pair (UD_i, A_i) , where UD_i is the usual

- 178 -

set-theoretic union and $\mathcal{H}: \cup \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow X$ is the inclusion function.

In the category of all groups, let M be the class of all injective homomorphisms. Given a family of subgroups $\{D_i \mid i \in I\}$ of the group X, the M-union of their inclusion functions $d_i: D_i \longrightarrow X$ is the pair $(\langle \{D_i\} \rangle, \mathcal{H} \rangle)$, where $\langle \{D_i\} \rangle$ is the subgroup generated by the subgroups D_i and $\mathcal{H}: \langle \{D_i\} \rangle \longrightarrow X$ is the inclusion homomorphism.

In the category of all topological spaces, let X be a topological space and consider a family of spaces $\{D_i\}$ $| i \in I \}$, where each set D_i is a subset of the set X.

1) When M is the class of all embeddings and each inclusion $d_i: D_i \rightarrow X$ is an embedding, the M-union of the d_i is the pair (UD_i, A) , where UD_i is the set-theoretic union of the sets D_i . Here UD_i is endowed with the subspace topology and $h: UD_i \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map.

2) When M is the class of all injective maps and each inclusion $d_i: D_i \longrightarrow X$ is an injective map, the M -union of the d_i is the pair (UD_i, h) , where UD_i is the set-theoretic union of the D_i . Here UD_i is endowed with the topology defined by the following:

A subset 0 is open in UD; if and only if $0 \cap D$; is open in D; for all $i \in I$. The map $h: \cup D \to X$ is the inclusion map.

3) Let \mathbb{M} be the class of all closed embeddings and each inclusion $d_i: D_i \longrightarrow X$ a closed embedding. Then the M-union of the d_i is the pair $(cl(\cup D_i), h)$ where $cl(\cup D_i)$ is the closure of the set-theoretic union of the D_i . Here $cl(\cup D_i)$ is endowed with the subspace topology and $h: cl(\cup D_i) \longrightarrow X$ is the inclusion map.

For an arbitrary E-M category \S , it is next shown that the existence of strong M -unions is guaranteed when \S has coproducts and M consists entirely of monomorphisms.

<u>Proposition 1</u>. In an E-M category where M is a class of monomorphisms, let $\{d_i: D_i \rightarrow X \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of morphisms in M. Let the family of morphisms $\{u_i: D_i \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \amalg D_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the coproduct of the D_i . Furthermore, let $p: \amalg D_i \rightarrow X$ be the unique morphism guaranteed by the definition of coproduct such that $pu_i = d_i$ for all $i \in I$. It then follows that $(p(\amalg D_i), p_M)$ is the strong M -union of the d_i .

<u>Proof</u>. First, there exists the family of morphisms $\{n_E u_i : D_i \rightarrow p(\sqcup D_i) | i \in I\}$ such that $p_M n_E u_i = nu_i = d_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Second, let $f: X \rightarrow A$ be a morphism, $c: C \rightarrow A$ a morphism in M, and $\{k_i: D_i \rightarrow C \mid i \in I\}$ a family of morphisms such that $ck_i = fd_i$ for all $i \in I$. Then let z: $(\coprod D_i \rightarrow C)$ be the unique morphism such that $zu_i = k_i$ for all $i \in I$. It follows that cz = fp. By the unique E-M diagonal property, there exists a morphism $q: p(\coprod D_i) \rightarrow C$ such that $cq = fp_N$ and $qp_E = z$. Therefore q_i is the required morphism. Because c is a

- 180 -

monomorphism, q is unique.

It is well known that whenever $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a function and $\{D_{i} \subseteq X \mid i \in I\}$ a family of sets, then $f(\cup D_{i}) = \cup f(D_{i})$. This property stated categorically is important in the relationship between M-unions and strong M -unions.

Theorem 2. Let § be an E-M category. The following are equivalent:

1) { has strong M -unions.

2) f has M -unions and E-M <u>images distribute over</u> M <u>-unions</u>. That is, let $\{d_i: D_i \rightarrow X \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of morphisms in M and let (D, h) be its M -union. Then, given any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ it follows that $(fh(D), (fh)_M)$ is the M -union of $f(fd_i)_M$: $: fd_i(D_i) \rightarrow Y \mid i \in I\}$.

<u>Proof</u>. Clearly any category that has strong \mathbb{M} -unions also has \mathbb{M} -unions. Therefore, to show that 1) implies 2), let $\{d_i: D_i \longrightarrow X \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of morphisms in \mathbb{M} . Let (D, \mathcal{H}) be the strong \mathbb{M} -union of this family. By the definition of strong \mathbb{M} -union there exists a family of morphisms $\{w_i: D_i \longrightarrow D \mid i \in I\}$ such that $\mathcal{M}w_i = d_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be any morphism. By the unique E-M diagonal property, there exists for each $i \in I$ a morphism $Q_i: fd_i(D_i) \longrightarrow fA(D)$ such that $(fh_M)_M Q_i = (fd_i)_M$ and $Q_i(fd_i)_E = (fh_i)_E v_i$.

Therefore, to show that $(fh(D), (fh)_M)$ is the

M -union of $(fd_i)_M$ i $\in I$, let $c: C \to Y$ be a morphism in M and let $(k_i: fd_i(D_i) \to C | i \in I)$ be a family of morphisms such that $ck_i = (fd_i)_M$ for all $i \in I$. Since $f: X \to Y$ is a morphism, $c: C \to Y$ a morphism in M, and $(k_i(fd_i)_E: D_i \to C | i \in I)$ a family of morphism such that $ck_i(fd_i)_E = fd_i$ for all $i \in I$, it follows from the definition of strong M -union that there exists a morphism $m: D \to C$ such that cm = fh. By the unique E-M diagonal property, there exists a morphism $p: fh(D) \to C$ such that $cp = (fh)_M$ and $p(fh)_E =$ = m. Hence p is the required morphism.

To show uniqueness, let $k', k'' : fh(D) \longrightarrow C$ be morphisms such that $cb = ck'' = (fh)_{M}$. Therefore $ck'(fh)_{E} = = cb''(fh)_{E} = fh$. But from the definition of strong M - union, $k'(fh)_{E} = k''(fh)_{E}$. By the unique E-M diagonal property, k = k''.

To show that 2) implies 1), let $\{d_i: D_i \to X | i \in I\}$ be a family of morphisms in M and let (D, h) be its Munion. Let $f: X \to A$ be a morphism, $c: C \to A$ a morphism in M, and $\{k_i: D_i \to C | i \in I\}$ a family of morphisms such that $ck_i = fd_i$ for all $i \in I$. By the unique E-M diagonal property, there exists for each $i \in I$ a morphism $q_i: fd_i(D_i) \to C$ such that $cq_i = (fd_i)_M$ and $q_i(fd_i)_F = k_i$.

Because E-M images distribute over M -unions, it follows that $(\pounds (D), (\pounds h)_M)$ is the M-union of $\{(\pounds_i)_M \mid i \in I\}$. Therefore there exists a morphism $q: \pounds (D) \longrightarrow C$ such that $eq = (\pounds h)_M$. Hence $q(\pounds h)_E: D \longrightarrow C$ is a mor-

- 182 -

phism such that $cq(fh)_{f} = fh$.

To show uniqueness, let $k, k^* : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be morphisms such that $ck = ck^* = fk$. Applying the unique E-M diagonal property twice, we get morphisma $m, m^* : fk(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $cm = (fk)_M, m(fk)_E = k, cm^* = (fk)_M$, and $m^*(fk)_E = k^*$. From the definition of M-union it follows that $m = m^*$. Therefore $k = m(fkr)_E =$ $= m^*(fk)_E = k^*$.

4. <u>Coreflective subcategories</u>. The only subcategories considered in this paper will be assumed to be both <u>full</u> and <u>replete</u>. That is, given K a subcategory of \S :

1) Whenever A and B are objects in K and $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism in \S , then f must also be a morphism in K $(X \underline{is full})$.

2) Whenever A is an object in X and B is isomorphic to A, then B must also be an object in X (X <u>is</u> <u>replete</u>).

<u>Definition 3</u>. Let X be a subcategory of \S .

X is a <u>coreflective subcategory</u> of § if and only if for every object A in §, there exists an object A_K in K and a morphism $k:A_K \rightarrow A$ such that whenever B is an object in X and $f: B \rightarrow A$ is a morphism, it follows that there exists a unique morphism $q: B \rightarrow A_K$ such that kq = f. In this case k is the <u>coreflection morphism</u> of A in K.

Given a class of morphisms M, let K be a coreflec-

tive subcategory of ξ . X is an M <u>-coreflective subcategory</u> of ξ if and only if each coreflection morphism is a morphism in M.

Henceforth, it is assumed that M is a class of monomorphism which is closed under composition.

<u>Proposition 2.</u> M -coreflective subcategories are <u>closed under M -unions</u>. That is, if K is an M -coreflective subcategory of ζ , $\{d_{i}: D_{i} \rightarrow X | i \in I\}$ a family of morphisms in M where each D_{i} is an object in K, and (D, h) the M -union of this family, then D is also an object in K.

<u>Proof</u>. From the definition of M -union, there exists a family of morphisms $\{v_i: D_i \rightarrow D \mid i \in I\}$ such that $Mv_i = d_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Let $\& : D_{ik} \rightarrow D$ be the coreflection morphism of D in K. There exists for each $i \in I$, a morphism $q_i : D_i \rightarrow D_{ik}$ such that $\& q_i = v_i$.

Hence $hk: D_K \longrightarrow X$ is a morphism in M and $iq_i: D_i \longrightarrow D_K$ is a family of morphisms such that $hhq_i = d_i$ for all is I. By the definition of M union, it follows that k is an isomorphism.

Since K is replete, D is an object in K .

E-M factorizations are too powerful in this setting, so a simpler factorization is defined.

<u>Definition 4</u>. Let $f: A \longrightarrow B$ be a morphism. The M-<u>image</u> of f is a morphism $I_f: C \longrightarrow B$ in M such that: 1) There exists a morphism $e: A \longrightarrow C$ such that $I_c e=f$.

- 184 -

2) Whenever $m: D \longrightarrow B$ is a morphism in M and k::A $\longrightarrow D$ a morphism such that $m \cdot k = \ell$, it follows that there exists a unique morphism $q: C \longrightarrow D$ such that $m \cdot q = I_{c}$.

<u>Remark</u>. All categories which have coproducts and M - images have M - unions.

<u>Proposition 5</u>. M -coreflective subcategories are <u>clo</u> <u>sed under</u> M <u>-images</u>. That is, if K is an M-coreflective subcategory of ξ , f: A \rightarrow B a morphism such that A is an object in K, and $I_{f}: C \rightarrow B$ the M-image of f, then C is also an object in K.

<u>Proof</u>. From the definition of M -image, there exists a morphism $e: A \longrightarrow C$ such that $I_f e = f$. Let &: $: C_K \longrightarrow C$ be the coreflection morphism of C in X. Because A is an object in X, there exists a morphism $q: A \longrightarrow C_K$ such that Aq = e.

Hence, $I_{f} \mathcal{H} : C_{K} \rightarrow B$ is a morphism in M and $q: A \rightarrow \longrightarrow C_{K}$ a morphism such that $I_{f} \mathcal{H} q = I_{f} e = f$. Therefore, from the definition of M -image, \mathcal{H} is an isomorphism. Because K is replete, C is an object in K.

The following proposition is similar to one stated in a paper by Herrlich and Strecker [2] except that it uses . M. -unions and M. -images rather than coproducts and extremal epimorphisms.

Theorem 3. Let & be an M -locally small category

- 185 -

that has M -unions and M -images. Let X be a subcategory of ξ . The following are equivalent:

1) X is an M -coreflective subcategory of ξ .

2) X is closed under M -unions and M -images.

<u>Proof</u>. That 1) implies 2) has already been shown. Therefore, to show 2) implies 1), let A be an object in §. Let $\{d_i: D_i \rightarrow A \mid i \in I\}$ be a representative family of M-morphisms with codomain A such that each D_i is an object in X.

Let (D, \mathcal{H}) be the M-union of the d_i . Because X is closed under M-unions, D is an object in X. It will be shown that \mathcal{H} is the coreflection morphism of A in X.

Let **B** be an object in **X** and let $f: B \rightarrow A$ be a morphism. Let $I_f: C \rightarrow A$ be the M-image of f. Because X is closed under M-images, C is an object in X. Since $I_f: : C \rightarrow A$ is a morphism in M, there exists some $j \in I$ and an isomorphism $q: C \rightarrow D_j$ such that $d_j q = I_f$.

Therefore, since there exists a morphism $e: B \rightarrow C$ such that $I_{e}e = f$ and a family of morphisms $\{v_{i}: D_{i} \rightarrow D\}$ $|i \in I\}$ such that $hv_{i} = d_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, then $v_{i}qe:$ $: B \rightarrow D$ is a morphism such that $hv_{i}qe = f$.

Because h is a monomorphism, this induced morphism is unique. Thus h is the coreflection morphism of A in X.

References

[1] H. HERRLICH and G.E. STRECKER: Category Theory, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1973.

- [2] H. HERRLICH and G.E. STRECKER: Coreflective subcategories, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.157(1971), 205-226.
- [3] S. MacLANE: Categories for the Working Mathematician, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [4] B. MITCHELL: Theory of Categories, Academic Press, New York, 1965.

Hendrix College (sophomore)

Conway

Arkansas, U.S.A.

(Oblatum 28.8.1973)