Ladislav Nebeský A note on line graphs

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 3, 567--570

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105578

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

15,3 (1974)

A NOTE ON LINE GRAPHS

Ladislav NEBESKÝ, Praha

<u>Abstract</u>: Let G be a graph such that no component of G is a tree. In this note, a relationship between spanning subgraphs of G and spanning subgraphs of the line graph of G is discussed.

Key words: Graph; line graph; subdivision graph; spanning subgraph; homeomorphism; contraction.

AMS: 05099 Ref. Z.: 8.83

If G is a graph, then we denote by $V(G), E(G), \sigma(G), L(G)$ and S(G) the vertex set of G, the edge set of G, the minimum degree of G, the line graph of G and the subdivision graph of G, respectively. For the terms and symbols not defined here, see Behzad and Chartrand [1], or Harary [3]. In the present note, we shall prove the following theorem:

<u>Theorem</u>. Let G be a graph such that no component of G is a tree. Then for every spanning subgraph F of G with $\sigma'(F) \ge 4$, there exists a spanning subgraph H of L(G) such that (i) H is homeomorphic with F, and (ii) if F = G, then S(G) is contractible to H.

<u>Proof</u>. Denote V = V(G). If $r \in Y$, then we denote by D(r) the set of edges of G incident with r. If $A \subset V$,

- 567 -

then we denote

$$\mathbb{D}(A) = \bigcup_{v \in A} \mathbb{D}(v) .$$

Assume that there is $\mathbb{B} \subset V$ such that $|\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{B})| < |\mathbb{B}|$. We denote by $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{B}}$ the subgraph of \mathcal{G} induced by \mathbb{B} . Obviously, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{B}}$ contains a component $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $|\mathbb{D}(\widetilde{Y})| < \langle |\widetilde{Y}|$, where $\widetilde{Y} = V(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$. This implies that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a tree and $\mathbb{D}(\widetilde{Y}) = \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a component of \mathcal{G} , which is contradiction.

We have that for every $A \subset V$, $|A| \leq |D(A)|$. From P. Hall's Theorem ([2], see also Theorem 12.3 in [1] or Theorem 5.19 in [3]) it follows that for every $u \in V$, there exists an edge $g(u) \in D(u)$ such that if $v, w \in V, v \neq w$, then $q(v) \neq q(w)$. Denote $X = \{q(u) | u \in V\}$. Let $x \in e \in E(G), x = n \wedge .$ If $x \in X$, then $x \in \{q(n), q(n)\}$. If $x \notin X$, then x is adjacent both to q(n) and to q(h)

Let F be a spanning subgraph of G with $\delta'(F) \ge 1$. We denote by F_0 the graph with $V(F_0) = X \cup E(F)$ and such that distinct vertices q_i and z of F_0 are adjacent in F_0 if and only if there are $u_0, v_0 \in V$ such that

 $u_0 v_0 \in (E(F) \cap \{y, z\} \text{ and } y, z \in \{u_0 v_0, q(u_0), q(v_0)\}.$

It is easily seen that if ψ_o and z_o are adjacent vertices of F_o , then they are adjacent edges of G. Thus F_o is a subgraph of L(G).

Denote Y = E(F) - X. Every $y \in Y$ is a vertex of degree 2 in F_0 and if $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in Y$, then ψ_1 and ψ_2 are not adjacent in F_0 . Let $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in Y$ and $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in E(F)$; then either (1) $g_r(\kappa_1)$ and $g_r(\kappa_2)$ are adjacent vertices -568 - of F_0 or (2) there exists $a_i \in Y$ which is adjacent both to $q_i(x_1)$ and to $q_i(x_2)$ in F_0 . Let x_1 and x_2 be adjacent vertices of F_0 , $x_1 \in X$; then there are s_1 , $s_2 \in V$ such that $s_1, s_2 \in E(F), x_1 = q_i(s_1)$, and either $x_2 = q_i(s_2)$ or $x_2 = s_1, s_2$. This implies that F_0 is homeomorphic with F and that S(F) is contractible to F_0 . For F = G, the proof is complete.

Let $F \neq G$. Denote $Z = E(G) - V(F_0)$. For every $z_0 \in C$, let $a(z_0)$ be one of the vertices x_0 and x_0 , where $x_0 = x_0 x_0$. If $w_0 \in V$, then we denote $B(w_0) = i x \in C$ $Z \mid a(x) = w_0^3$. Denote $V_1 = \{u \in V\} \deg_F u = 1, q(u) \in E(F)\}$.

Obviously, if $t \in V - V_1$, then there are $x_1, y_2 \in c V(F_0)$ such that x_1, y_2 is an edge of F_0 , and t is incident both with x_1 and with y_2 in G. We denote by F' the graph which we obtain from F_0 in such a way that for every $w \in V - V_1$, we insert precisely |B(w)| new vertices of degree 2 into the edge x_w, y_w of F_0 . Clearly, F' is homeomorphic with F. We denote by F" the graph which we obtain from F' in such a way that for every $w \in V_1$, we insert precisely |B(w)| new vertices of degree 2 into the edge of F' which is incident with $Q_1(w)$. Clearly, F" is homeomorphic with F. It is not difficult to see that F" is isomorphic to a spanning subgraph of L(G). Hence the theorem.

<u>Corollary</u> (J. Sedláček [4]). Let \mathcal{G} be a nontrivial connected graph. If \mathcal{G} contains a hamiltonian path, then L(\mathcal{G}) also contains a hamiltonian path. If \mathcal{G} contains a hamiltonian cycle, then L(\mathcal{G}) also contains a hamiltonian

- 569 -

cycle.

References

[1]	M. BEHZAD, G. CHARTRAND: Introduction to the Theory of Graphs, Allyn and Bacon,Boston(Mass.),1971.
[2]	P. HALL: On representation of subsets, J.London Math. Soc.10(1935),26-30.
[3]	F. HARARY: Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading (Mass.), 1969.
[4]	J. SEDLÁČEK: Some properties of interchange graphs, Theory of Graphs and Its Applications (M. Fied- ler, ed.), Praha 1962,145-150.

Filosofická fakulta

Karlova universita

Krasnoarmějců 2,11638 Praha 1

Československo

(Oblatum 12.3.1974)