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# FINITENESS CONDITIONS ON EDZ - VARIETIES 

Miroslav KOZAK, Praha

Abstract: We shall study conditions for a given EDZvariety to be locally finite and to be generated by a finite algebra. These two properties are algorithmically decidable. An EDZ-Variety of a finite type is generated by a finite algebra iff it is locally finite and finitely axiomatized.
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The study of EDZ-varieties (varieties of universal algebras with equationally definable zeros) provides us with various counterexamples, suitable in many respects. Moreover, EDZ-varieties are worth themselves of a special attention. Their investigation was begun in [1] and [2]. In the present paper we shall be concerned with the finiteness and generability by a finite algebra. We shall preserve the terminology of [1] (with a slight modification regarding the length of a term). Some terminology and notations will be listed now.

The set of variables is denoted by $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. If $\Delta$ is a type (i.e. a set of operation symbols), we denote by $W_{\Delta}$ the algebra of $\Delta$-terms. For every $t \in W_{\Delta}$ let
$\lambda(t), \lambda^{\prime}(t)$ denote the numbers defined as follows: if $t$ is a variable ${ }_{2}$ or a constant, then $\lambda(t) * \lambda^{\prime}(t)=1$; for $t=F\left(t_{1}\right.$, $\ldots, t_{n_{F}}$ ) put $\lambda(t)=1+\lambda\left(t_{1}\right)+\ldots+\lambda\left(t_{n_{F}}\right)$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(t)=\lambda^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+$ $+\ldots+\lambda^{\prime}\left(t_{n_{F}}\right)$. In this paper $\lambda^{\prime}(t)$ is called the length of $t$.

The definition of an irreducible set of $\Delta$-terms, of an EDZ-variety and related concepts, as well as their basic properties, are contained in [1] and repeated in [2].

A variety $K$ of universal algebras is called locally finite if every finitely generated algebra from $K$ is finite. It is well-known (see e.g.[3]) that if a variety is generated by finite algebra, then it is locally finite. The converse is not true (a counterexample could be easily derived from results of this paper).

Let $J$ be an arbitrary non-empty set of $\Delta$-terms. For every positive integer $n$ we define a $\Delta$-algebra $w_{n}^{I}$ as follows: its underlying set is the set $W_{n}-\Phi(J) \cup\{0\}$, where $W_{n}$ is the subalgebra of $W$ generated by $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$; if $F \in \Delta$, $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{F}} \in W_{n}-\Phi(J)$ and $F\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{F}}\right) \& \Phi(J)$, then we put $F_{W_{n}}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{F}}\right)=F\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{F}}\right)$; in other cases we put $F_{W_{n}}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{F}}\right)=0$. It is easy to see that $W_{n}^{J}$ is the $Z_{J^{-}}$ free algebra over $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}_{0}$

Let us define a set $\overline{W_{\Delta}}$ by $t \in \bar{W}_{\Delta}$ iff $t$ contains no constants and whenever $F\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n_{F}}\right)$ is a subterm of $t$, then at most one of the terms $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n_{F}}$ is not a variable; now for every $t \in \overline{W_{\Delta}}$ we define a finite sequence $\sigma(t)$ as follows: if $t$ is a variable, then put $\sigma(t)=\langle t\rangle$; if $t=$ $=F\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{F}}\right)$, where $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{F}}$ are variables, then put
$\sigma(t)=\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{F}}\right\rangle$; if $t=F\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}, u, y_{j+1}, \ldots\right.$ $\ldots, y_{n_{F}}$, where $u$ is not a variable and $\sigma(u)=\left\langle z_{1}, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, z_{m}\right\rangle$, put $\sigma(t)=\left\langle z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{F}}\right\rangle$. It is obvious that if $\sigma(t)=\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\rangle$, then $n=\lambda^{\prime}(t)$.

For every $J \subseteq W_{\Delta}$ we define two subsets $J^{\prime}$ and $J^{\prime \prime}$ of $J$ as follows: $t \in J^{\prime}$ if $t \in J$, $t$ contains no constants and no variable has more than one occurence in $t ; J^{\prime \prime}=J^{\prime} n \bar{W}$.

For every $\Delta$-term $t$ let $o(t)$ denote the positive integer defined in this way: if $t$ is a variable or a constant, then $O(t)=1$; if $t=F\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{F}}\right)$, then $O(t)=\max \left\{o\left(t_{1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\ldots, o\left(t_{n_{F}}\right)\right\}+1$.

Proposition 1. Let $J$ be an irreducible set of $\Delta$-terms. The variety $Z_{J}$ is locally finite iff $W_{1}^{J}$ is finite and for every positive integer $n$ there exists a positive integer $k_{n}$ such that $\left\{t \in W_{n} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq k_{n}\right\} \subseteq \Phi(J)$ and
$\left\{F \in \Delta ; n_{F}=n, F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{F}}\right) \notin \Phi(J)\right\}$ is finite.
Proof is easy.
Proposition 2. Let $J$ be an irreducible set of $\Delta$-terms. The variety $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{J}}$ is generated by a finite algebra iff it is locally finite and there exists a positive integer $m$ such that $\left\{t \in W_{\Delta} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq m\right\} \subseteq \Phi(J)$.

Proof. Iet $Z_{J}$ be generated by a finite algebra. It is easy to see that $Z_{J}$ is locally finite and that $Z_{J}$ is generated by $W_{n}^{J}$ for some positive integer $n$. Since $W_{n}^{J}$ is finite, there exists a positive integer $m$ such that $\left\{t \in \mathbb{W}_{n} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq m\right\} \subseteq$ $\subseteq \Phi(J)$.

Let $t$ be an arbitrary $\Delta$-term of length $\geq m$; it is
enough to prove $t \in \Phi(J)$. There exists a term of length $\geq m$ such that $t \neq v$. If $\varphi$ is an arbitrary homomorphism of $W_{\Delta}$ into $W_{n}^{J}$, then evidently $\varphi(t)=\varphi(v)=0$. Hence the identity $\langle t, v\rangle$ is satisfied in $W_{n}^{J}$; since $W_{n}^{J}$ generate $J$, it is satisfied in $Z_{y}$ and thus $t \in \Phi(J)$.

Conversely, let $Z_{J}$ be locally finite and every term of lengin $\geq \mathrm{m}$ belong to $\Phi(J)$. The algebra $W_{m-1}^{J}$ is finite and it is enough to show that $Z_{J}$ is generated by $W_{m-1}^{J}$. This will be proved if we derive a contradiction from the following assumption: there exist $\Delta$-terms $u$, $v$ such that $u \neq \nabla$, the identity $\langle u, v\rangle$ is satisfied in $\mathbb{W}_{\mathrm{m}-1}^{J}$ and $u \notin \Phi(J)$.

Denote by $\mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathbf{k}}$ the variables contained in $\mathrm{u}_{\text {. Since }}$ $u \notin \Phi(J)$, we have $k<m$. There exists an automorphism $\propto$ of W $\Delta$ such that $\left\{\propto\left(y_{1}\right), \ldots, \propto\left(y_{1}\right)\right\} \subseteq\left\{x_{k}, \ldots, x_{m-1}\right\}$, so that $\alpha(u) \in \mathbb{W}_{m-1}$. Evidently $\propto(u) \neq \propto(v)$ and the identity $\langle\propto(u), \propto(v)\rangle$ is satisfied in $\Pi_{m-1}^{J}$. Let $\varphi$ be the homomorphism of $\mathbb{W}_{\Delta}$ onto $\mathbb{W}_{\text {m-1 }}^{J}$ defined as follows: $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, \ldots$ $\ldots, \varphi\left(x_{m-1}\right)=x_{m-1}, \varphi\left(x_{m}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{m+1}\right)=\ldots=0$. Evidently $\varphi(t)=t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{W}_{\mathrm{m}-1}^{\mathrm{J}}-\{0\}$ and $\varphi(\mathrm{t})=0$ for all other t.

Since $\langle\propto(u), \propto(\nabla)\rangle$ is satisfied in $W_{m-1}^{J}, \varphi(\propto(u))=$ $=\varphi(\alpha(\nabla))$, i.e. $\quad \alpha(u)=\varphi(\alpha(\nabla))$. This implies $\varphi(\alpha(\nabla)) \neq$ $\neq 0$ and thus $\varphi(\propto(v))=\propto(\nabla)$. We get $\propto(u)=\propto(v)$ and consequently $u=\nabla$, a contradiction.

Proposition 3. Let $J$ be an irreducible set of $\Delta$-terms. Then for every integer $n \geq 1$ the following conditions are equivalent:
i) $Z_{J}$ is locally finite and $\left\{t \in W_{\Delta} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq n\right\} \subseteq \Phi(J)$;
ii) $Z_{J,}$ is locally finite and $\left\{t \in \|_{\Delta} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq n\right\} \subseteq \Phi\left(J^{\prime}\right)$; iii) the algebra $W_{1}^{\prime}$ is finite and $\lambda^{\prime}(t)<n$ for all terms $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{I}^{J^{\prime}}-\{0\}$.

Proof. i) $\Rightarrow$ ii). Let $t \in W_{\Delta}$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq n$. Evidently there exists a term $s \in W_{\Delta}^{\prime} \quad$ such that $s \leq t$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(s)=\lambda^{\prime}(t)$; since $\lambda^{\prime}(s) \geq n$, we have $s \in \Phi(J)$ by i) and so $\varphi(w)$ is a subterm of s for some $w \in J$ and some endomorphism $\varphi$ of $W_{\Delta}$. Clearly $w \in J^{\circ}$ and thus $t \in \Phi\left(J^{\circ}\right)$. We have proved $\left\{t \in \mathbb{W}_{\Delta}\right.$; $\left.\lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq n\right\} \subseteq \Phi\left(J^{\prime}\right)$. The rest is easy by Proposition 1 . ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) is obvious.
iii) $\Rightarrow$ i). Let $\varphi$ be the endomorphism of $W_{\Delta}$ defined by $\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{1}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots$.

Let $t \in \mathbb{W}_{\Delta}$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq n$. We have $\varphi(t) \in W_{1}$ and
$\lambda^{\prime}(\varphi(t))=\lambda^{\prime}(t)$. There exist an endomorphism $\psi$ of $w_{\Delta}$ and a term $u \in J^{\prime}$ such that $\psi(u)$ is a subterm of $\varphi(t)$. Put var $u=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\lambda^{\prime}(u)}\right\}$. From the definition of $\varphi$ it is easy to see that there exist subterms $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\lambda^{\prime}(u)}$ of $t$ such that $\varphi\left(t_{i}\right)=\psi\left(y_{i}\right)$ and such that $\psi^{\prime}(u)$ is a subterm of $t$, if $\psi^{\prime}$ is an endomorphism of $w_{\Delta}$ such that $\psi^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)=t_{i}$. Hence $z \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \Phi(J)$.

Similarly if $F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{F}}\right) \notin \Phi(J)$, then $\varphi\left(F\left(x_{1}, \ldots\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\ldots, x_{n_{F}}\right)\right) \in$ will $_{J^{\prime}}$. The local finiteness of $z_{J}$ follows now from Proposition 1.

Corollary. Let $J$ be an irreducible set of $\Delta$-terms and let the variety $Z_{J}$ be locally finite. Then $Z_{J}$ is generated by a finite alger ra iff $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{J}}$, is locally finite.

Proof. Follaw from Propositions 2 and 3.
Proposition 4. Let $J$ be a finite irreducible set of
$\Delta$-terms. Suppose that the variety $Z_{J}$ is non-trivial and locally finite. Then $\Delta$ is finite and $Z_{J}$ is generated by finite algebra.

Proof. If $\Delta$ were infinite, then there would exist a symbol $F \in \Delta \quad\left(n_{F} \neq 0\right)$ such that no term from $J$ contains a subterm of the form $F\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n_{F}}\right)$. Consequently e.g. the algebra $W_{n_{F}}^{J}$ would contain infinitely many terms $t_{1}, \dot{t}_{2}, t_{3}, \ldots$, where $t_{1}=F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{F}}\right), \ldots, t_{n+1}=F\left(t_{n}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, a contradiction.

Put $k=2+\max \left\{n_{F} ; F \in \Delta\right\}$ and for every positive integer $n$ put $S_{n}=\left\{t \in W_{\Delta}^{\prime \prime} ; o(t)=n\right\}$.

Suppose first that for every positive integer $n$ there exists a term $t_{n} \in S_{n}-\Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Put $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and $s=$ $=\max \left\{\lambda^{\prime}(t) ; t \in J\right\}$. Since $Z_{J}$ is locally finite, there exists an $r$ such that $\left.f t \in W_{g} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq r\right\} \subseteq \Phi(J)$.

Let us define a set $T_{s}$ of $\Delta$-terms by $t \in T_{s}$ iff the following two conditions are satisfied:
a) $t \in \boldsymbol{M}_{8} \cap \overline{\bar{W}_{\Delta}}$,
b) if $\sigma(t)=\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right\rangle$ and $y_{i}=y_{j}$ for $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$, then $i \equiv j(\bmod s)$.

Let us prove that if $t \in T_{s}$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq r$, then $t \in$ $\in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$. We have evidently $t \in \Phi(J)$, so that there exist a term $u \in J$ and an endomorphism $\psi$ of $W_{\Delta}$ such that $\psi(u)$ is a subterm of $t$. It is not difficult to prove (using $t \in T_{s}$ ) that $u \in J^{\prime}$. Now $u \in J^{\prime \prime}$ is easy and so $t \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

There exist a number $n \geq r$ and a term $t \in T_{s}$ such that $\sigma(t)=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ for some automorphism $\propto$ of $W_{\Delta}$. Iet us define an endomorphism $\varphi$ of $W_{\Delta}$ in this way:
$\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{j}$, where $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $i \equiv j(\bmod s)$.
Evidently $\varphi(\alpha(t)) \in T_{s}$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(\varphi(\alpha(t)))=n$, so that
$\varphi(\alpha(t)) \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Similarly as in the proof of Proposition 3 (iii) $\Rightarrow$ i)! it can be proved that $\propto(t) \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and consequently $t \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$, a contradiction with the assumption $t \notin \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Denote by $n$ the smallest number such that $S_{n} \subseteq \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$. By Proposition 2 it is enough to show that if $t \in \mathbb{W}_{\Delta}$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq k^{n-1}$, then $t \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq \Phi(J)$.

Evidently $n \geq 2$, since $Z_{J}$ is non-trivial; we shall define sets $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n-1}$ as follows:
we have $t=F_{1}\left(u_{1}^{1}, \ldots, u_{n_{F_{1}}}^{1}\right.$ ). If $n=2$, put $P_{1}=\left\{u_{1}^{1}, \ldots, n_{n_{F_{1}}}^{I}\right\}$. If $n \geq 3$, then there exists a number $j_{1} \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{F_{1}}\right\}$ such that $\lambda^{\prime}\left(u_{j_{1}}^{1}\right) \geq k^{n-2} ;$ put $P_{1}=\left\{u_{1}^{1}, \ldots, u_{j_{1}-1}^{1}, u_{j_{1}+1}^{1}, \ldots, u_{n_{F_{1}}^{1}}^{1}\right\}$. Again we have $u_{j_{1}}^{1}=F_{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}, \ldots, u_{n_{F_{2}}}^{2}\right)$. If $n=3$, put $P_{2}=P_{1} u$ $v\left\{u_{1}^{2}, \ldots, u_{n_{F_{2}}}^{2}\right\}$. If $n \geq 4$, then there exists a number $j_{2} \in$ $\in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{F_{2}}\right\}$ such that $\lambda^{\prime}\left(u_{j_{2}}^{2}\right) \geq k^{n-3}$; put $P_{2}=P_{1} \cup\left\{u_{1}^{2}, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, u_{j_{2}-1}^{2}, u_{j_{2}+1}^{2}, \ldots, u_{n_{F_{2}}}^{2}\right\}$. If we have defined $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots$ $\ldots, P_{n-2}$, put $P_{n-1}=P_{n-2} \cup\left\{u_{1}^{n-1}, \ldots, u_{n_{F_{n-1}}}^{n-1}\right\}$ and let us define terms $t^{(n-1)}, \ldots, t^{(1)}$ in this way:
$t^{(n-1)}=F_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{F_{n-1}}}\right), t^{(n-2)}=F_{n-2}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j_{n-2}-1}\right.$, $\left.t^{(n-1)}, y_{j_{n-2}}, \ldots, y_{n_{r_{n-2}}}{ }^{n}\right)$, where $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{F_{n-2}}}$ are pairwise different variables not occuring in $t(n-1)$, $t^{(1)}=F_{1}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{j_{1}-1}, t^{(2)}, z_{j_{1}}, \ldots, z_{n_{F_{1}}-1}\right)$, where $z_{1}, \ldots$ $\ldots, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{F}_{1}}-1$ are pairwise different variables not occuring in
$t^{(2)}$. Evidently $t^{(1)} \in S_{n}$ and $t \in \Phi\left(\left\{t^{(1)}\right\}\right) \subseteq \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
Proposition 5. Let $J$ be an irreducible set of terms of a. finite type $\Delta$ and let $Z_{J}$ be generated by a finite algebra. Then $J$ is finite.

Proof. Put $k=\max \left\{n_{F} ; F \in \Delta\right\}$ and let $n$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\left\{t \in W_{\Delta} ; \Omega^{\prime}(t) \geq n\right\} \subseteq$ $\subseteq \Phi(J)$. Let us denote by $T$ the set of $\Delta$-terms $t \in W_{n+k} \cap$ $\cap \Phi(J)$ such that $\lambda^{\prime}(t) \leqslant n+k$. Obviously $T$ is finite, so that there exists a finite irreducible subset $S \subseteq T$ such that $\Phi(S)=\Phi(T)$.

Let us prove by induction on $\lambda(t)$ that $t \in \Phi(J)$ implies $t \in \Phi(T)$. If $t \in \Phi(J)$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(t) \leqslant n+k$, then there is an automorphism $\propto$ of $W_{\Delta}$ with $\propto(t) \in W_{n+k}$; we have $\propto(t) \in$ $\in W_{n+k} \cap \Phi(J)$, i.e. $\propto(t) \in T$, so that $t \in \Phi(T)$.

Let $\lambda^{\prime}(t)>n+k$ and $t \in \Phi(J)$. There exist a. symbol $G$ and terms $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{G}}$ such that $G\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{G}}\right)$ is a subterm of $t$ and every $y_{i}$ is either a variable or a constant. Let $z$ be a variable not contained in $t$. If we replace precisely one occurence of $G\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{G}}\right)$ in $t$ by $z$, we obtain a new term s. Evidently $\lambda(s)<\lambda(t)$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(s) \geq \lambda^{\prime}(t)-k+$ $+1>n$, so that $s \in \Phi(J)$. By the induction assumption $s \in$ $\epsilon \Phi(T)$. However $s \leqslant t$, so that $t \in \Phi(T)$, too.

We have proved $\Phi(J) \subseteq \Phi(T)$. Since $\Phi(T) \subseteq \Phi(J)$ is obvious, we get $\Phi(J)=\Phi(T)=\Phi(S)$. Since every two irreducible generating subsets of $\Phi(J)$ have the same cardinality, $J$ has the same cardinality as $S$ and consequently $J$ is finite.

Theorem 1. Let $J$ be an irreducible set of terms of a
finite type $\Delta$. Then the mariety $Z_{J}$ is generated by a finite algebra iff $Z_{\mathcal{L}}$ is locally finite and $J$ is finite.

Proof. Follows from Propositions 4 and 5.
For every positive integer $p$ and for every $J \subseteq W_{\Delta}$ we define $S_{p}=\left\{t \in W_{\Delta} ; O(t)=p\right\}$,

$$
U_{p}=\left\{t \in W_{\Delta} ; o(t)=p, \quad \sigma(t)=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\lambda^{\prime}(t)}\right\rangle,\right.
$$

$$
J_{p}=U_{p} \cap \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Proposition 6. Let $J$ be a finite irreducible set of terms of a finite type $\Delta$ and let the variety $Z_{J}$ be localIy finite. If $k=\max \left\{n_{F} ; F \in \Delta\right\}+2, p=\max \{o(t) ; t \in$ $\left.\in J^{\prime \prime}\right\}, r=\operatorname{card} U_{p}, q=\operatorname{card} J_{p}$, then $\left\{t \in W_{\Delta} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq\right.$ $\left.\geq \mathbf{k}^{p+p-(q+1)}\right\} \subseteq \Phi\left(J^{\circ}\right)$.

Proof. For every $t \in S_{p}$ we shall construct a term $u \in$ $\epsilon \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as follows.

If $t \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$, put $u=t$. If $t \notin \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then for an arbitrary symbol $G \in \Delta$ such that $n_{G} \neq 0$ we define $t_{I}=$ $=G\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n_{G}}\right)$, where $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n_{G}}\right\}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{G}-1}, t\right\}$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{G}-1}$ are arbitrary varia bles.

There exist a symbol $F \in \Delta$ and variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n_{F}}$ such that $F\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n_{F}}\right)$ is a subterm of $t_{1}$. Let us replace this subterm by $x_{1}$ and all other occurences of variables in $t_{1}$ which are not contained in this subterm by $x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots$, so that the new term $t_{1}^{\prime}$ is such that $\sigma\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\lambda^{\prime}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle$. Obviously $t_{1}^{\prime} \in U_{p}$; since $t \notin \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we have $t_{1} \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$ iff $t_{i} \in J_{p}$.

If $t_{1} \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$, put $u=t_{1}$. If $t_{1} \notin \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then for
an arbitrary symbol $H \in \Delta$ such that $n_{H} \neq 0$ we define $t_{\tau}=$ $=H\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n_{H}}\right)$, where $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n_{H}}\right\}=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n_{H}}-t_{1}\right\}$ and $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n_{H}-1}$ are arbitrary variable $s$.

There exists a symbol $E \in \Delta$ such that $E\left(\ldots, F\left(z_{1}, \ldots\right.\right.$ $\ldots, z_{n_{F}}$, ...) is a subterm of $t_{2}$. Let us replace this subterm by $x_{1}$ and all other occurences of variables in $t_{2}$ which are not contained in this subterm by $x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots$, so that the new term $t_{2}^{\prime}$ is such that $\sigma\left(t_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle$.

Again $t_{2}^{\prime} \in U_{p}$ and $t_{2} \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$ iff $t_{2}^{\prime} \in J_{p}$. If $t_{2} \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$, put $u=t_{2}$. If $t_{2} \notin \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we can define analogousiy terms $t_{3}, t_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$.

Put $V=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. We shall show that $t_{i}^{\prime} \neq t_{j}^{\prime}$, if $i \neq j$. In the contrary case let $\langle i, j\rangle$ be pair the first such that $i<j$ and $t_{i}^{\prime}=t_{j}^{\prime}$. We can define terms $u_{j+1}, u_{j+2}, \ldots$ such that for every positive integer m $o\left(u_{j+m}\right)=p+j+m$ and $u_{j+m}^{\prime}=$ $=t_{n}^{\prime}$, where $i \leqslant n<j$ iff $m \equiv n(\bmod j-i)$. If $t_{i+1}=$ $=F\left(y_{1}, \ldots, t_{i}, \ldots, y_{n_{F}-1}\right)$, then we put $u_{j+1}=F\left(y_{1}, \ldots, t_{j}, \ldots\right.$ $\ldots, y_{n_{F}-1}$ ) and if $u_{j+m}$ is already defined, $m \equiv n(\bmod j-i)$ for some $n(i \leqslant n<j)$ and if $t_{n+1}=G\left(z_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, \ldots, z_{n_{G}-1}\right)$, then we put $u_{j+m+1}=G\left(z_{1}, \ldots, u_{j+m}, \ldots, z_{n_{G}-1}\right)$. Thus $u_{j+m} \notin$ $\$ \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for all $m$, a contradiction with Proposition 4.

Therefore card $V \leqslant r-q$ and we put $u=t_{n}$, where $n$ is the smallest integer such that $t_{n} \in \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Hence it is easy to see that $U_{p+r-q}=J_{p+r-q}$ and $S_{p+r-q} \subseteq \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$. By the proof of Proposition $4\left\{t \in \mathbb{W}_{\Delta} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq k^{p+r-(q+1)}\right\} \subseteq$ E $\Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Theorem 2. Let $J$ be a finite irreducible set of terms of a finite type $\Delta$. Let $s=\max \left\{\lambda^{\prime}(t) ; t \in J\right\}, k=$ $=\max \left\{n_{F} ; F \in \Delta\right\}+2, p=\max \left\{o(t) ; t \in J^{\prime 0}\right\}, r=\operatorname{card} U_{p}$, $q=$ card $J_{p}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
I) $Z_{J}$ is locally finite.
2) $Z_{J^{\prime}}$ is locally finite.
3) $Z^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{J}^{\prime \prime}$ is locally finite.
4) The algebra $W_{l}^{J^{\prime \prime}}$ is finite.
5) The algebra $W_{S}^{J}$ is finite.
6) There exists an $n \leqslant k^{p+r-(q+1)}$ such that $\left\{t \in W_{\Delta} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq n\right\} \subseteq \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
7) $Z_{J}$ is generated by a finite algebra.

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow 6) \Longrightarrow 7) \Longrightarrow$ 2). Apply Propositions 6 and 2.
$3) \Longleftrightarrow 4$ ). Follows from Proposition 3 .
3) $\Longrightarrow 2) \Longrightarrow$ 1). Trivial.
I) $\Longrightarrow 3)$. By Proposition 4 there exists an positive integer $m$ such that $S_{m} \subseteq \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Hence $\left\{t \in W_{\Delta} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq k^{m-1}\right\} \subseteq$ $\subseteq \Phi\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and consequently $Z_{J "}$ is locally finite.

1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ 5). Follows from the proof of Proposition 4.

Remark 1. For every finite irreducible sei $J$ of terms of a finite type $\Delta$ we have an algorithm to decide whether the variety $Z_{J}$ is locally finite. By Proposition 6 it suffices to decide whe ther $U_{p+r-q}=J_{p+r-q}$, where $p=\max \{o(t)$; $\left.t \in J^{\prime \prime}\right\}, r=$ card $U_{p}$ and $q=$ card $\bar{U}_{p}$. This process is obvious from the proof of this Proposition.

Remark 2. We know that under the assumptions of Theorem 2 the finiteness of $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{J}}^{\mathrm{J}}$ implies the local finiteness of
$Z_{J}$. If we put $h=\max \{$ card (var $t$ ); $t \in J\}$, then it is not true in general that the finiteness of $W_{h}^{J}$ implies the local finiteness of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{J}}$.

For example, let $\Delta=\{\mathbb{P}\}$, where $F$ is a binary operation symbol and let 0 denote the corresponding operation on $W_{\Delta}$. Let $L$ denote the set of all terms $t \in W_{\Delta}$ of the form $t=\left(x_{i_{1}} \circ x_{i_{2}}\right) \circ\left(x_{i_{3}} \circ x_{i_{4}}\right)$ or $t=\left(x_{i_{1}} \circ x_{i_{2}}\right) \circ x_{i_{3}}$ or $t=x_{i_{1}} \circ\left(x_{i_{2}} \circ x_{i_{3}}\right)$, where $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4} \in\{1,2\}$. Then there exists an irreducible subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $\Phi(J)=\Phi(L)$; we have $h=2$. It is not difficult to prove (by induction on $\left.\lambda^{\prime}(t)\right)$ that $\left\{t \in W_{2} ; \lambda^{\prime}(t) \geq 4\right\} \subseteq \Phi(J)$ and consequently $W_{2}^{T}$ is finite. However by Theorem 2 the variety $Z_{J}$ is not locally finite, since $J^{\circ}=J^{\prime \prime}=\varnothing$.
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