Lev Bukovský Iterated ultrapower and Prikry's forcing

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 18 (1977), No. 1, 77--85

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105751

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

18,1 (1977)

ITERATED ULTRAPOWER AND PRIKRY'S FORCING

Lev BUKOVSKÍ, Košice x)

<u>Abstract</u>: It is shown that the factorization of the Boolean ultrapower ^B \vee by a suitable ultrafilt er $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is isomorphic to the Gaifman's direct limit of the iterated ultrapowers \mathcal{N}_n , $n \in \omega_0$, where B is the Boolean algebra of the Prikry's forcing. Moreover, the corresponding extension $\vee^{(B)}/\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is isomorphic to the intersection \mathcal{N}_n .

Key words: Iterated ultrapower, generic extension, forcing, measurable cardinal.

AMS: 02K05, 02K35 Ref. Ž.: 2.641.3

In the note [1] I have shown that the intersection $\mathcal{N} = \prod_{n \in \omega_0} \mathcal{N}_n$ of n-th ultrapowers \mathcal{N}_n of the universe \vee is a generic extension of the Gaifman's direct limit \mathcal{N}_{ω_0} of \mathcal{N}_n , $n \in \omega_0$ (with corresponding elementary embeddings). Moreover, this generic extension possesses properties similar to those of the extension constructed by K. Prikry [4]. P. Dehornoy [2] has proved that actually \mathcal{N} is the generic extension of \mathcal{N}_{ω_0} by Prikry's forcing (constructed inside the model \mathcal{N}_{ω_0} .). In this note I will prove the same result

x) The result of this note has been presented on the Logic Colloquium, Clermont-Ferrand 1975.

by a method different from that of P. Dehornoy and obtain some additional information. Namely, I will prove the following theorem:

Let κ be a measurable cardinal, \mathcal{U} being a normal measure on κ . Let B denote the complete Boolean algebra constructed from the Prikry's forcing. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$ be the ultra-filter on B constructed from \mathcal{U} by (3). Then

i) the ultrapower ${}^{\rm E} \lor / \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is isomorphic to the model class $\mathscr{N}_{\omega_{2}}$,

ii) the factorization $\bigvee^{(B)}/\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of the Boolean-valued model $\bigvee^{(B)}$ is isomorphic to the intersection $\underset{m \in \omega_{o}}{\bigwedge} \mathscr{N}_{n}$ and

iii) $\mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}_{\omega_o} [a]$, where the set a is a generic subset of the Prikry's forcing.

Terminology and notations are those of [1] and [3]. Howwever we remind some of them here.

$$|\varphi(\mathbf{f}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{f}_n)|_{C} \in C$$

 $f_1, \ldots, f_n \epsilon^{C} \vee$, in the obvious way, e.g.

 $|f_1 \in f_2|_C = \bigvee \{ x \in C; (\exists u) (\exists v) (x \le u \le x \le v \le f_1(u) \in f_2(v)) \}.$ If \mathcal{V} is an ultrafilter on C, we obtain the Boolean ultrapower $^C \lor / \mathcal{V}$ defining the membershiprelation $\in_{\mathcal{V}}$ as

- 78 -

follows:

$$f \in_{\mathcal{V}} g \equiv |f \in g|_{C} \in \mathcal{V}$$
.

The famous Los-theorem says that

(1)
$$^{C} \vee / \mathcal{V} \models \mathcal{G}(f_{1}, \dots, f_{n}) \equiv | \mathcal{G}(f_{1}, \dots, f_{n}) |_{C} \in \mathcal{V}.$$

The Boolean-valued model $\bigvee^{(C)}$ and the Boolean value $\| \varphi(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \|_C \in C$ are defined e.g. in [3]. If the ultrafilter \mathcal{V} is \mathfrak{S} -additive, then one can define the interpretation $i_{\mathcal{V}}$ of $\bigvee^{(C)}$ as in [3], p. 58, by induction

 $i_{\eta r}(f) = \{i_{\eta r}(g); \|g \in f\|_{C} \in \mathcal{V}\}.$

Let $\mathbf{x} \in V$. We set

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{x}) = \{1\}, \hat{x}(1) = x.$$

Then $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \in {}^{\mathbb{C}} V$. The function $\check{\mathbf{x}} \in V^{(\mathbb{C})}$ is defined in [3], p. 53.

If \mathcal{V} is \mathfrak{S} -additive, then ${}^{\mathbb{C}}\vee/\mathcal{V}$ is well-founded and there exists an isomorphism $\psi_{\mathcal{V}}$ of ${}^{\mathbb{C}}\vee/\mathcal{V}$ onto a transitive class. One can easily define an embedding \times of ${}^{\mathbb{C}}\vee$ into $\sqrt{\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle}$ such that

for any $x \in V$. It is easy to see that for any $f \epsilon^C V$, the following holds true:

(2)
$$i_{v}(X(f)) = \psi_{v}(f).$$

Let κ be a measurable cardinal, \mathcal{U} being a normal measure on κ . \mathcal{U}_n denotes the ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{U}$ on κ ⁿ. The set P of Prikry's conditions is defined as follows

- 79 -

(n = 0 is allowed1):

$$p \in P \equiv p = \langle \langle \alpha_{q}, ..., \alpha_{m} \rangle, X \rangle; \alpha_{q} < ... < \alpha_{m} < inf X, X \in \mathcal{U}$$
,
 $p' \leq p'' \equiv m' \geq m'', \alpha'_{q} = \alpha''_{q}, ..., \alpha'_{m''} = \alpha''_{m''}, X' \subseteq X'', \alpha' \in X''$
for n''< $i \neq n'$.
Let B denote the complete Boolean algebra containing P, \leq
as a dense subset. We define $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \leq B$ by the condition
(3) $A \in \overline{\mathcal{U}} \equiv (\exists X \leq \kappa)(X \in \mathcal{U} \otimes \langle \emptyset, X \rangle \leq A).$

K. Prikry [5] has proved that $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is a κ -complete ultrafilter on B.

Let $\kappa^{(n)}$ denote the set $\{\langle \xi_1, ..., \xi_m \rangle; \xi_1 < ... < \xi_m \in \kappa \}$. Evidently $\kappa^{(n)} \in \mathcal{U}_m$. For $\langle \xi_1, ..., \xi_m \rangle \in \kappa^{(m)}$, we set

$$P_{\xi_1,...,\xi_m} = \langle \langle \xi_1,...,\xi_m \rangle; \kappa - \langle \xi_m + 1 \rangle \rangle$$

...

The set $\{p_{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_m}; \langle \xi_1,\dots,\xi_m \rangle \in \kappa^{(m)}\}$ is a partition of the Boolean algebra B. By a simple computation one can prove for each $X \subseteq \kappa^{(n)}$ that

(4)
$$\bigvee_{\langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \rangle \in X} \quad \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \overline{\mathcal{U}} \equiv X \in \mathcal{U}_m.$$

The set

$$B_{n} = \{ \bigvee_{\{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_m\} \in X} P_{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_m} ; X \subseteq \kappa^m \}$$

is a complete subalgebra of the Boolean algebra B. Evidently $B_n \subseteq B_{n+1}$. Moreover, B_n is atomic with the set $\{p_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m}; \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \rangle \in \kappa^{(m)}\}$ of atoms. Since $\kappa^{(n)} \in \mathcal{U}_n$, the mapping \mathcal{G}_n defined for $f \in \mathcal{B}_m \vee$ as

$$\varphi_{m}(f)(\langle \xi_{1},...,\xi_{m}\rangle) = f(P \xi_{n},...,\xi_{m})$$

_ 80 -

induces an isomorphism - denoted by the same letter \mathcal{P}_n - of ${}^{\mathcal{B}_n} \sqrt{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}$ onto ${}^{\mathcal{K}^n} \sqrt{\mathcal{U}_n}$.

The inclusion $B_n \subseteq B_n$, $n \le m$ induces the natural embedding

$$B_{n} \vee / \overline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \vee / \overline{u}$$

Let $j_{n,m}$ denote the natural embedding of \bigvee / \mathcal{U}_m into $\underset{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{U}_m}}{\overset{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}{\bigvee} / \mathcal{U}_m}$. The transitive class \mathcal{N}_n is equal to $\psi_{\mathcal{U}_m} \stackrel{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}}}{\bigvee} / \mathcal{U}_m$) and $\overset{\mathcal{N}_{n,m}}{\underset{n,m}{\text{ is the corresponding embedd-ing of } \mathcal{N}_n \text{ into } \mathcal{N}_m}$. Since $B_n \subseteq B$, we can write

$$\bar{u} \in V/\bar{u} \in V/\bar{u}$$
.

We show that in fact

$$(5) \qquad {}^{\mathsf{B}}\vee/\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega_0} {}^{\mathsf{B}_n}\vee/\overline{\mathcal{U}} .$$

Let $f \in {}^{B} \vee$. We can assume that $\mathcal{D}(f) \subseteq P$, i.e. that f is defined on the elements of P. Let

$$P_m = \{ \langle \langle \xi_1, ..., \xi_m \rangle, X \rangle \in P ; m = m \}$$

Then $\mathscr{D}(\mathbf{f}) = \bigcup_{n} (\mathscr{D}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathbf{P}_{n})$ and also

$$1 = \bigvee \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}) = \bigvee_{n} \bigvee (\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathbf{P}_{n}).$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is \mathfrak{S} -additive, there exists a natural number n such that

$$\bigvee (\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathbf{P}_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$$

If $p,q \in P_n \cap \mathcal{D}(f)$, $p \neq q$ then $p \land q = 0$. Thus, if $p = \langle \langle \xi_1, ..., \xi_m \rangle, X \rangle$, $q = \langle \langle \eta_1, ..., \eta_m \rangle, Y \rangle$, then

- 81 -

 $\langle \xi_1, ..., \xi_m \rangle \neq \langle \eta_1, ..., \eta_m \rangle$ (since otherwise $\langle \langle \xi_1, ..., \xi_m \rangle, X \cap Y \rangle \leq p \land q$). We define $\overline{\mathbf{f}} \in {}^{B_m} \vee$ as follows:

$$\overline{f}(p_{\xi_1,...,\xi_m}) = f(\langle \langle \xi_1,...,\xi_m \rangle, X \rangle) \text{ if}$$

$$\langle \langle \xi_1,...,\xi_m \rangle, X \rangle \in \mathcal{D}(f) \cap P_m \quad \text{for some } X,$$

$$= 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Evidently $\overline{f} \in {}^{\beta_m} \vee$ and

$$|f = \overline{f}|_{B} \geq \bigvee (P_{n} \cap \mathcal{D}(f)) \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$$
.

From the definition of the direct limit of the system $\kappa^{n}_{V/\mathcal{U}_{m}, j_{m,m}}$ and from (5) we obtain a natural isomorphism $\varsigma_{\omega_{o}}$ from $V/\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ onto $\lim_{m} \kappa^{n}_{V}/\mathcal{U}_{m}$. If $\psi_{\omega_{o}}$ is the isomorphism of $\lim_{m} \kappa^{n}_{V}/\mathcal{U}_{m}$ onto the transitive class $\mathcal{N}_{\omega_{o}}$, then $\varsigma_{\omega_{o}} \circ \psi_{\omega_{o}}$ is an isomorphism from $V/\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ onto $\mathcal{N}_{\omega_{o}}$.

Since the interpretation $i_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}$ of the model $\sqrt{\frac{(B)}{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}}$ maps the submodel $\frac{B}{\sqrt{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}}$ (more precisely, the submodel $\times (\frac{B}{\sqrt{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}})/\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ onto a transitive class, one can easily see that

$$(6) \qquad \qquad \times \circ i_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}} = \mathfrak{S}_{\omega_0} \circ \mathfrak{V}_{\omega_0} ,$$

i.e., for $f \in {}^{\mathbf{B}} \vee$ we have

$$i_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}(X^{(f)}) = \psi_{\omega_0}(\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_0}(f)) \in \mathcal{X}_{\omega_0}$$

Let h $\epsilon \vee (B)$ be such that

$$\| h(\check{\mathbf{n}}) = \check{\mathbf{f}} \| = \bigvee_{\mathbf{f}_1 < \cdots < \mathbf{f}_{m-1} < \mathbf{f}} h_{\mathbf{f}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{f}_{m-1}, \mathbf{f}} \cdot \cdot$$

- 82 -

By an easy computation we obtain

(7)
$$i_{\overline{n}}(h) = \{\langle m, \kappa_m \rangle; m \in \omega_0 \}$$

where $\kappa_m = \psi_{\mathcal{U}_m}(\hat{\kappa})$ is the measurable cardinal in \mathcal{N}_n . By K. Prikry [4], the generic extension $\sqrt{(B)}/\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ of

^B \vee /\overline{u} (more precisely, of $\times ({}^{B} \vee)/\overline{u}$) is such that $\vee^{(B)}/\overline{u} = {}^{B} \vee /\overline{u}$ [h]. Thus, by (6) and (7) we obtain

(8)
$$i_{\overline{u}}(\sqrt{u}) = \mathcal{N}_{\omega_{0}}[\{\langle n, \kappa_{m} \rangle; n \in \omega_{0}\}].$$

In [1], we have proved, denoting $\{\langle m, \kappa_m \rangle; m \in \omega_p \}$ by a, that

$$\mathcal{N}_m \supseteq \mathcal{N}_{\omega_n}[\alpha]$$

for every $n \in \omega_o$. Now, we shall show that also

(9)
$$\bigcap_{m} \mathcal{N}_{m} = \mathcal{N}_{coo} [a]$$

Using the theorem of R. Balcar and P. Vopěnka, [3], p. 38, it suffices to show that each $x \in \bigcap_{m} \mathcal{N}_{m}$, $x \subseteq On$ is an element of the class \mathcal{N}_{ω} [a].

We set

$$x_n = \{\xi; \nu_n, \omega_o(\xi) \in X\}$$

Then

Let
$$f_n \in {}^{B_n} \vee \mathbb{V}$$
 be such that $\psi_{\mathcal{U}_m}(\varphi_m(f_m)) = x_m$.
One can easily construct functions $g_n \in {}^{B_m} \vee$, $n \in \omega_o$ in such a way that $f_1 = g_1$ and

 $\mathcal{V}_{m,\epsilon}$ $(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \subseteq \mathbf{x}_{\bullet}$

- 83 -

$$|\hat{\xi} \in q_{n+1}|_{B_{n+1}} = |\hat{\xi} \in q_m|_{q_m} \vee |\hat{\xi} \in f_{m+1}|_{B_{n+1}}$$

By simple computation we have

$$\psi_m(\varphi_m(g_n)) = \mathbf{x}_n.$$

Now, we define $f \in \bigvee^{(B)}$ as follows:

$$f(\hat{\xi}) = \bigvee_{n} |\hat{\xi} \in Q_{m}|_{B_{m}} \in B$$

Then

$$i_{\overline{u}}(f) = x,$$

thus, by (8),

x e No [a].

Let us remark that the model $\bigvee^{(B)}/\overline{u}$ is well-founded, but \overline{u} is not generic ultrafilter. In fact, the existence of such a non-trivial well-founded (Boolean) model implies the existence of a measurable cardinal.

References

- BUKOVSKÍ L.: Changing cofinality of a measurable cardinal (an alternative proof), Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 14(1973), 689-697.
- [2] DEHORNOY P.: Solution of a Conjecture of Bukovsky, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér.A, 281(1975), 821-824.
- [3] JECH T.: Lectures in Set Theory with Particular Emphasis on the Method of Forcing, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer 1971.
- [4] PRIKRY K.: Changing measurable into accessible cardinals, Dissertationes Math., Warszawa 1970.
- [5] : On 6 complete prime ideals in Boolean algebras, Colloq. Math. 22(1971), 209-214.

- 84 -

Universita P.J. Šafárika katedra matematiky Komenského 14, 04154 Košice Československo

(Oblatum 15.11.1976)