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## bVERY FINTTE Lattice CAN BE EMBEDDED IN the Lattice of all EQUIVALENGES OVER A FINITE SET (Preliminary communication) Pavel PUDLÂK, Jị̛i TƯMA, Prahe

[^0]Here we present a sketch of proof of the theorem in the title. It was first conjectured by Whitman in [2].

Throughout the paper all structures are finite.
Let $L, K$ be two lattices. A mapping $\varphi: L \rightarrow K$ is calIed join-homomorphism, if $\varphi(x \vee y)=\varphi(x) \vee \varphi(y)$ and meethomomorphism, if $\varphi(x \wedge y)=\varphi(x) \wedge \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

A lattice $L$ is called embeddable, if there exists an embedding (that is an injective join and meet-homomorphism)
$\varphi: L \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{q}(A)$ of $L$ in the lattice of all equivalence over $a$ set A.

The least element of $L$ is denoted by $O_{I}$.
Let $L$ be a lattice, $u, \nabla \in L$. If $u \in v$, then we define $a$
set $L_{u, v}=\{x \in L, \nabla \leqslant x$ or $u \neq x\}$ and a mapping $\sigma_{u, v}: L \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow L_{u, v}$
$\sigma_{u, v}(x)=x \vee v$ if $u \leqslant x$,
$\sigma_{u, v}(x)=x$ if $u \neq x$.

## Lemma_1:

a) $L_{u, v}$ with the ordering induced by $L$ is a lattice
b) $\sigma_{u, v}$ is a surjective join-homomorphism
c) every join-homomorphism $\varphi: L \rightarrow K$ such that $\varphi(u)=\varphi(v)$, can be decomposed in the $\sigma_{u, v}: L \rightarrow L_{u, \nabla}$ and a join-homomorphism $\psi: L_{u, \nabla} \rightarrow K$.

The following theorem uses the fact that for every lattice $I$ there exist a Boolean lattice $B$ and a surjective joinhomomorphism $\sigma: B \longrightarrow$.

Theorem 1: Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a class of lattices closed under isomorphisms and

1) every Boolean lattice belongs to $\mathscr{L}$
2) $L_{u, v} \in \mathscr{L}$ whenever $L \in \mathscr{L}, u, \nabla \in L$ and $u<\nabla$ Then $\mathscr{L}$ is the class of all lattices.

It is known that every Boolean lattice is embeddable. By Theorem 1 it remains only to investigate the operation $L \longmapsto L_{u, v}$ in the class of embeddable lattices. To this end the following lemma is a useful tool.

Lemma 2: Let $L, K$ be lattices, $u, v \in L, u<v$ and $\varphi$ : $: L \longrightarrow K$ a mapping with properties
i) $\quad \varphi: L \rightarrow K$ is a join-homomorphism
2) the restriction of $\varphi$ to $L_{u, v}, \varphi_{u, v}: L_{u, \nabla} \rightarrow K$ is an injective meet-homomorphism
3) $\varphi(u)=\varphi(v)$

Then $\varphi_{u, \nabla}: L_{u, \nabla} \rightarrow K$ is a lattice embedding.
To find a mapping $\varphi: L \rightarrow E_{q}(A)$ with the properties

1.     - 3. several types of constructions are used.
1. Group construction. Let $B$ be the set of all permutations of $A$. We define a mapping $\varphi: \mathbf{E}_{q}(A) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_{q}(B)$ by $(\pi, \rho) \in \varphi(x)$ iff $\left(\pi \rho^{-1}(a), a\right) \in x$ for all $a \in A$. Then $\varphi$ is a lattice embedding. This construction was known already to Birkhoff [1].
2. Regraph construction. By a regraph valued by we mean a triple $\mathbb{G}=(G, h, \sigma)$, where $G$ is a non-empty set, $R$ is a symmetric antireflexive relation and $\sigma: R \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is a mapping. For a given mapping $\varphi: L \longrightarrow E_{q}(A)$ we define a new mapping $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{q}(A \times G)$ called $\mathbb{G}$-power of $\varphi$ as follows: $\psi(x)$ is the least equivalence containing the relations $S=\{[(\sigma(g, h), g),(\sigma(h, g), h)] ;(g, h) \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $S_{x}=\{[(a, g),(h, g)] ; g \in G$ and $(a, b) \in \varphi(x)\}$
If $\varphi$ is a join-homomorphism, then any its $G$-power is a join-homomorphis, too. Under certain conditions on the couple $\mathbb{G}, \varphi$ we can prove that the $\mathbb{G}$-power of an injective meethomomorphism $\wp$ is also an injective meet-homomorphism.
3. Perfect regraph construction. A regraph $\mathbb{G}=(G, h, \sigma)$ valued by $A$ is called symmetric, if the valuation $d: R \rightarrow N$ is symmetric, i.e. $\sigma(g, h)=\sigma(h, g)$ for every $(g, h) \in R$. In a symmetric regraph ( $G, h, \sigma$ ) an R-chain $g=g_{0}, \ldots, g_{k}=h$ is called $\sigma$-shortest path, if $\left\{\sigma\left(g_{0}, g_{1}\right), \sigma\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(g_{k-1}, g_{k}\right)\right\} \subseteq$ £\{ $\left.\sigma\left(h_{0}, h_{1}\right), \ldots, o\left(h_{k-1}, h_{k}\right)\right\}$ for every $R$-chain $g=h_{0}, h_{I}, \ldots$ $\ldots, h_{n}=h_{\text {. }}$

A regraph $(G, h, \sigma)$ is called perfect, if it is symmetric and for every pair of distinct elements $g, h \in G$ there exists an $\sigma$-shortest path $g=g_{0}, \ldots, g_{k}=h$. If $\mathbb{G}=(G, h, \sigma)$ is a perfect regraph, then the $\mathbb{G}$-power of every embedding $\varphi$ : $: L \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}(A)$ is an embedding, too.

Example. Cyclic twowalued regraph consists of a cycle of an even length $\geq 4$ and a symmetric two-valued valuation $\sigma$, which assigns different values to any two incident edges. (He consider ( $g, h$ ) $\in R$ and $(h, g) \in R$ being a single unoriented edge.) Cyclic two-valuea regraphs are perfect.
4. Product of regraphs. If $G_{i}=\left(G_{i}, h_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ are regraphs valued by $A_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, then $\mathbb{G}=(G, h, \sigma)$ is $a$ product of $\mathbb{G}_{i}$ 's if

1) $G=G_{1} \times G_{2} \times \ldots \times G_{n}$
2) $\left[\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right),\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)\right] \in R$ iff there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots$ $\ldots, k\}$ such that $\left(g_{j}, h_{j}\right) \in R_{j}$ and $g_{i}=h_{i}$ for all i中j. 3) In this case $\sigma\left[\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right),\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)\right]=\sigma_{j}\left(g_{j}, h_{j}\right)$ The fact that product of perfect regraphs is perfect, is easy but of great ileportance.

Using constructions just listed we can construct new embeddings of a given embeddable lattice, satisfying some special conditions.

Lemma 3: If $L$ is an embeddable lattice, $u \in L, u \neq O_{L}$, then there exist an embedding $\varphi: L \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{A})$ and a set $\mathrm{V} \subseteq A$ with properties:

1) for every $a \in A$ there are two different $x, y \in V$ such that $(x, a) \in \varphi(u)$ and $(y, a) \in \varphi(u)$.
2) For every two different $x, y \in V$, if $(x, y) \in \varphi(v)$ then
v $\geq$ u.
To find an embedding with the property l., the group construction can be used only. In the case of 2. a product of cyclic two-valued regraphs and a non trivial combinatorial lemma are needed.

The proof is finished by

Theorem 2: If $L$ is embediable, then $s o$ is $L_{u, v}$ for $u_{;}$ $\nabla \in L, u<\nabla$. In the case $u=O_{L} \quad L_{u, v}$ is trivially embeddable being a sublattice of an embeddable lattice. So we can assume $\mathbf{0}_{L} \neq \mathrm{u}$. Now we take an embedding $\varphi: L \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{A})$ given by Lemma 3. Further, we construct a new embedding $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{q}(K \times G)$ - the $\mathbb{G}$-power of $\varphi$, wher $\mathbb{G}=(G, h, \sigma)$ is a product of cyclic two valued regraphs. Then the valuation $\sigma$ is silightly changed to $\sigma^{*}$ so that $\boldsymbol{F}^{*}: I \rightarrow E_{q}(A \times G)$ - the $(G, R, \sigma *)$ fower of $\varphi$ - identifies $u$ and $\nabla$. In this step the old assar tion about the existence of an Euler cycle in an unoriented graph is used. Finally, we prove that the restriction $\boldsymbol{\psi}^{*}{ }_{u, \nabla^{*}}$ $: H_{u, v} \rightarrow E_{q}(\mathbb{A} \times G)$ of $\psi^{*}$ is an injective meet-homomorphism. Here we need the theory of non-perfect regraphs. Since the mapping $\psi^{*}$ is a join-homomorphism being a regraph-power of join-homomorphism $\varphi$, it satisfies all assumptions of Lemma 2 and so $\Psi^{*} u_{,}$, is an embedding of $L_{u, \nabla}$ in $E_{q}(A \times G)$.

The result was obtained at the end of 1976. The complete proof was aubmitted for publication to Algebra Universalis.
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[^0]:    Abstract: The theorem given in the title answers in the affirmative a question raised in Ph. M. Whitman [2]. The proof of the theorem is based on graph-theoretical and combinatorial techniques.
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