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## ON CERIAIN CONGRUBNGE LATTIGES OF FINITE UAARI ALGEBRAS PRLFY Peter Pal, Budapest


#### Abstract

This note contains the proof of the following statement: A finite unary algebra having not small congruence lattice of height two has no proper subalgebra. The methods used in the proof are entirely elementary.
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There is a famous pioblem in Birkhoff's book [1, Problem 50, p. 110] about the representability of complete lattices as congruence lattices of algebras. This problem was solved by G. Gratzer and E.T. Schmidt [4], namely any algebraic lattice is isomorphic to a congruence lattice. However both the original proof and the new one (Pudlak and Tima [5]) yield an infinite algebra with the given congruence lattice, even for finite lattices. So it is natural to ask, which finite lattices are isomorphic to congruence lattices of finite algebras (see [3, Problem 13, p. 116 ]). The largest class of finite lattices for which this problem is solved positively is the class of finitely fermentable lattices [5].

Using two-dimensional vector spaces over finite fields we can represent the lattices $H_{n}$ of height (length) two
with $n$ atoms, whenever $n-1$ is a prime power. By a rosult of R.W. Quackenbush [6] for other $n^{\prime}=H_{n}$ cannot be represented as Con ( $\mathcal{A}$ ) of a finite algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generating a congruence permutable variety. P. Goralcik [2] pointed out that $M_{7}$ - the amallest among such lattices - might prove crucial to the problem.

Investigating congruence lattices we may restrict ourselves to unary algebras. In the present paper we deal with finite unary algebras with congruence lattices isomorphic to $K_{n}$.

Proposition. Let $\Omega$ be a finite unary algebra, and suppose that the height of Con ( $\mathcal{A}$ ) is 2. Then either the number of nontrivial congruences is less than 4 , or $\mathcal{A}$ has no proper subalgebra.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is unary, the join and meet of subalgebras are the set-theoretic ones. So Sub ( $\mathcal{A}$ ) is distributive.

If $\beta \subset A$ and $\theta \in C o n(\beta)$, then define $\theta^{*}$ in the following way: $x \equiv y(\theta *$ iff either $x, y \in \beta$ and $x \equiv y(\theta)$, or $x=y$. Now $\theta^{*}$ is a congruence on $\mathcal{A}$, because $\mathcal{A}$ is unary. If $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{A}_{1} \supset \ldots \supset \mathcal{A}_{n}$ is a chain of subalgebras, then
$\iota>L_{\Omega_{1}}^{*}>\ldots>L_{\Omega_{n}}^{*}$ is a chain of congruences on $\mathcal{A}$. So from the condition on the height of Con ( $\Omega$ ) we obtain that any chain $\operatorname{in} \operatorname{Sub}(\mathcal{A})$ has the form $\mathcal{A} \leadsto \varphi \supset \sigma^{\circ} \supset \emptyset$ (some members may be missing), where $\mathcal{f}$ is a simple, $\sigma$ is a one-element subalgebra.

From the previous two remarks it follows that Sub ( $\Lambda$ ) is one of the listed below lattices.


We must show that in cases (2) - (11) either the number of nontrivial congruences on $\mathcal{A}$ is less than 4 , or the height of Con ( $\mathcal{C}$ ) cannot be 2. Let M denote the monoid of all poly nomials of $\mathcal{A}$.

Case (2). $\mathscr{S}$ is the only proper subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal { S }}$ is simple. Let $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{A} \backslash \boldsymbol{\mathcal { S }}$ and for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{A}$ let $N(x)=$ $=\{f \in M \mid f(x) \in \mathscr{S}\}$, and define the equivalence $\nu$ as follows: $\mathrm{x} \equiv \mathrm{y}(\nu)$ iff $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{y})$. It is easy to see that $\nu \mathrm{v}$ $\in \operatorname{Con}(\mathcal{R}), \iota_{\mathcal{A}}>\nu \geqq c_{y}^{*} \quad$. Thus $\nu=c_{\mathcal{S}}^{*}$, that is for any pair of elements $u, \nabla \in \mathscr{S}$, there exists an $f_{6} M$ such that either $f(u) \in \mathbb{F}$ and $f(v) \in \mathbb{T}$ or reversely. Choose an $g \in M$ in such a way that $|\operatorname{Im} g \cap \mathcal{J}|$ be the smallest possible positive number. ( $\mathcal{A}$ is finitel) If $|\operatorname{Im} g \cap J|>1$, then we should apply the previous observation for two elements of $\operatorname{Im} g \cap \mathcal{T}$ to obtain an $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{M}$ satisfying $I \in I \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Ig} \cap$ n $\operatorname{I}|<| \operatorname{Im} g \cap \operatorname{JP}$. Thus the only possibility is that
$\mid$ In $g \cap \mathcal{J} \mid=1$. Let $x$ be such an element for which $g(x) \in$ c $\mathcal{J}$. Since $g(x)$ generates $\mathcal{A}$, there is an $h \in M$ auch that $h g(x)=x$. Denote hg by $m$. We know that $m(\Omega) \subseteq \mathscr{S} u$ $u\{x\}$ and $m(x)=x$.

Let $\theta$ be an arbitrary nontrivial congruence on $\mathcal{A}$ differing from $l_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{*}$. Since $\theta \wedge{l_{y}^{*}}_{\boldsymbol{*}}=\omega$, each class of $\theta$ contains at most one element of $\mathcal{S}$. On the other hand $88 \theta \vee L_{y}^{*}=\iota$, each class of $\theta$ must contain an element of $\mathcal{\rho}$. Therefore such a congruence can be described by a function say $r: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ which maps an element of $\mathcal{A}$ to the uniquely determined element of $\mathscr{P}$ belonging to the same congruence class of $\theta$.

Let $r_{j}(1 \leq j \leq k)$ be the functions corresponding to the congruences $\theta_{j}$. Suppose that $k \geqq 3$. Since $\theta_{1} \vee \theta_{2}=6$, for any two elements $y, z \in \mathscr{S}$ there exist elements $\sigma_{0}=y$, $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{p}=z$ and $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}$ such that $s_{i} \in \mathscr{S}(0 \leqslant i \leqslant p)$, $t_{i} \in \mathcal{T}(1 \leqq i \leqq p)$ and $\left\{s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right\}=\left\{r_{1}\left(t_{i}\right), r_{2}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\}$ for all $1 \leqq i \leqslant p$. Applying $m$ we get that either $\left\{m\left(s_{i-1}\right), m\left(s_{i}\right)\right\}=$ $=\left\{m\left(t_{i}\right)\right\}$ (in the case when $m\left(t_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{Y}$ ) or $\left\{m\left(s_{i-1}\right), m\left(s_{i}\right)\right\}=$ $=\left\{r_{1}(x), r_{2}(x)\right\}$ (when $m\left(t_{i}\right)=x$ ). Take $y=r_{1}(x)$ and $z=$ $=r_{3}(x)$. Then from this considerations it follows that $m(z) \in$ $\in\left\{r_{1}(x), r_{2}(x)\right\}$, which contradicts to the conditions $\theta_{3} \wedge$ $\wedge \theta_{1}=\theta_{3} \wedge \theta_{2}=\omega$. Therefore in the case (2) the number of nontrivial congruences does not exceed 3 .

Case (3). $\{\varnothing\}$ is the only proper subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Let for $x \in \mathcal{A}, N(x)=\{f \in M \mid f(x)=0\}$, and define $\nu$ by $x=y(\nu)$ iff $N(x)=N(y)$. Obviously $\nu \in C$ ( $(\Omega)$, $[\sigma] \nu=\{\sigma\}$, and if for a $\theta \in \operatorname{con}(\mathcal{A})[\sigma] \theta=\{\sigma\}$,
then $\theta \leqslant \nu$. On the other hand if $[\sigma] \theta\{\{\theta\}$, then $\theta=\iota$. So $\nu$ is the unique nontrivial congruence on $\Omega$.

Case (4). The proper subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ are $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\{\sigma\}, \mathcal{S}$ is simple, $\sigma \in \mathscr{Y}$. Suppose that $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \iota_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{*}$ are difforont nontrivial congruences. If $x=\sigma\left(\theta_{i}\right)$, then $[\sigma] \theta_{i} Z[x]$, so, since $\theta_{i} \wedge \omega_{y}^{*}=\omega, x=\sigma$. This implice $[\infty]\left(\theta_{1} \vee \theta_{2}\right)=\{\infty\}$ contradicting to $\theta_{1} \vee \theta_{2}=$ $=6$. Thus in case (4) the number of nontrivial congruences is at most 2.

Case (5). $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}$ are the only proper oubalgebres of $\Omega$, they are simple and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { S }}_{1} \cap \boldsymbol{\mathscr { f }}_{2}=\varnothing$. In thie case $\omega<\iota_{y_{1}}^{*}<l_{y_{1}}^{*} \vee L_{y_{2}}^{*}<l$ so the height of Con ( $\Omega$ ) is at least 3 , contrary to our hypothesis.

Case (6). In this case $\Omega=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\}$ so $\Omega$ is simp1e, which is not the case.

Case (7). $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{S} \cup\{o\}, \mathcal{S}$ is simple and has no proper aubalgebra. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Con}(\mathcal{I})$ consists of $\iota, l_{y}^{\star}, \omega$.

Case (8). The only proper subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ are $\left.f \sigma_{1}\right\}$, $\left\{\sigma_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\}=\mathscr{S}$. Suppose that $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathcal{})$ is different from $\iota, L_{y}^{*}, \omega$. Since $\theta \vee \iota_{y}^{*}=\iota$, one of the $\sigma_{i}^{\prime} s$ is congruent to an element of $\mathcal{A} \backslash \operatorname{by} \theta$, and therefore $0=6$, because elements outside of $\mathscr{S}$ generate $\mathcal{A}$, and the $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ 's are fixed points. So in the case (8) the only nontrivial congruence is $u_{y}^{*}$.

Case (9). $\mathscr{Y}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{2}$ are aimple subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$, $\{\sigma\}=\mathscr{S}_{1} \cap \mathscr{S}_{2}, \Omega=\mathscr{S}_{1} \cup \mathscr{Y}_{2}$, and there is no other subal-
gebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}(\Omega)$ be different from $L$, $L_{g_{1}}^{*}, L_{\varphi_{2}}^{k}, \omega$, then from the relations $\theta \wedge \iota_{g_{j}}^{*}=\omega$ $\theta^{1} \vee L_{\rho_{j}}^{\alpha}=L(j=1,2)$ it follows that $\theta$ is a matching between $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}$. Since $\theta$ is a congruence it yields an isomorphism between $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S}_{2}$. If they are not isomorphic, then such $\theta$ cannot exist, so then the number of nontrivial congruences is 2 . So suppose that $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{2}$ are isomorphic. The number of isomorphisms between $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ is the same as the number of automorphisms of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{1}$. For unary algebras the orbits of the automorphism group form a congruence. Now in our case $\sigma$ is the only fixed point in $\mathscr{S}_{1}$, so $\{\sigma\}$ is an orbit of Aut $\left(\mathscr{S}_{1}\right)$, therefore - by the simplicity of $\mathscr{S}_{1}$, Aut $\left(\mathscr{S}_{1}\right)=\left\{\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{\varphi}_{1}}\right\}$. So the number of nontrivial congruences is at most 3 in the case (9).

Case (10). The proper subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ are $\mathscr{\rho},\left\{\sigma_{1}\right\}$, $\left\{\sigma_{2}\right\},\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\}, \sigma_{1} \in \mathscr{S}, \sigma_{2} \notin \mathscr{S}, \boldsymbol{S}$ is simple $\mathcal{A}=\mathscr{Y} \cup\left\{\sigma_{2}\right\}$. One can easily check that in this case the only possible congruences are $\downarrow, \iota_{\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\}}^{*}, \iota_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{*}, \omega$.

Case (11). In this case $\mathcal{R}$ is a three element set with identical operation, so Con ( $\Omega$ ) coincides with the partition lattice of a three element set which contains 3 nontrivial partitions.

Thus the proof is complete.

> References
[1] G. BIRKHOFF: Lattice theory, Amer. Math. Soc. publications, 2nd edition, 1948.
[21 P. GORALCLK: Problem, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 17,

Contributions to Universal Algebra, MorthHolland, 1977, p. 604.
[3] G. GRÏTZER: Universal algebra, van Nostrand, 1968.
[4] G. GRÄTRER - E.T. SCHMDT: Characterizations of congruence lattices of abstract algebras, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 24(1963), 34-59.
[5] P. PUDLAK - J. Tỉna: Yeast graphs and fermentation of algebraic lattices, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 14, Lattice Theory, North-Holland, 1976, 301341.
[6] R.W. QUACEENBUSH: A note on a problem of Goraltik, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 17, Contributions to Universal Algebra, North-Holland, 1977, 363-364.

Eరtross Loránd University
Budapest
Hungary
(Oblatum 18.7. 1977)

