Mihai Turinici Function Lipschitzian mappings on convex metric spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 22 (1981), No. 2, 289--303

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106075

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

22.2 (1981)

## FUNCTION LIPSCHITZIAN MAPPINGS ON CONVEX METRIC SPACES Mihai TURINICI

<u>Abstract</u>: A lipschitzianness test for closed mappings acting on a (metrically) convex metric space, together with an application to contractive semidynamical systems is given.

Key words: Sequential maximality principle, closed mapping, metrically convex metric space, lipschitzianness test, contractive semidynamical system.

Classification: Primary 54F05, 54Cl0 Secondary 54H20

\_\_\_\_\_

0. <u>Introduction</u>. An important problem concerning a wide class of mappings acting on certain subsets of a metric space is that of finding sufficient conditions in order that a "local" Lipschitz property (in a sense precised by an appropriate context) should imply a "global" one on that subset. A first lot of results in this direction begins with the 1977 Kirk-Ray's lipschitzianness test [22] proved - in a normed framework - by a "local" method, involving the transfinite induction principle; later, in his 1978 paper, F.H. Clarke [13] initiated a second lot of results of this kind giving in a metric framework - a differential lipschitzianness test based on Caristi's fixed point theorem. The main results of the present note belong to the first category of lipschitzianness tests quoted above: more exactly, our main aim is to state and prove a "local" function lipschitzianness test for a class of closed mappings acting on a convex subset of a metrically convex metric space and taking values in a metrizable uniform space (extending in this way Kirk-Ray's result from a "functional" as well as a "metri able" point of view) the basic instrument in proving such an extension being a "sequential" maximality principle comparable with the classical Ekeland-Brøndsted's ones [17],[9]. As an application, a function lipschitzianness test for a class of contractive semidynamical systems is presented extending in this way a similar Crandall-Pazy's result [14] obtained by a direct method.

1. <u>A "sequential" maximality principle</u>. Concerning maximal elements in an abstract ordered set, a fundamental result obtained in this direction in the last few years is, undoubtedly, the so-called Brezis-Browder's ordering principle [8] (see also I. Ekeland [18]). However, in case of a "sequential" type additional structure, the above result seems to be - technically speaking - somehow difficult to be directly applicable, at least in its original form. It is the first objective of this section to formulate a "sequential" variant of Brezis-Browder's ordering principle; as a second objective, a "sequential" maximality principle will be stated and proved, extending in this way to metrizable uniform spaces the classical Ekeland-Brøndsted's contributions quoted in the Introduction, að well as those of J. Caristi [12] and W.A. Kirk [21].

- 290 -

Let X be a given (nonempty) set and let  $\leq$  be an ordering on X (that is, a reflexive antisymmetric and transitive relation on X). A sequence  $(\mathbf{x}_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$  in X is said to be increasing iff  $\mathbf{x}_i \leq \mathbf{x}_j$  whenever  $i \leq j, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and bounded above iff  $\mathbf{x}_n \leq \mathbf{y}$ , all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for some  $\mathbf{y} \in X$ ; also, a function  $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be termed decreasing iff  $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}$  implies  $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{y})$ , and bounded below iff  $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mathbf{b}$ , all  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ , for some  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Our main intention is now to establish the following "sequential" ordering principle on the abstract ordered set  $(\mathbf{X}, \leq)$ .

<u>Theorem 1</u>. Under the above conventions, suppose the ordering  $\leq$  on X and the denumerable family  $(f_i; i \in N)$  of functions from X into R are such that

(i) any increasing sequence in X is bounded above,

(ii)  $f_i$  is monotone decreasing and bounded below for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then, for every  $x \in X$  there is an element  $z \in X$  with  $x \leq z$ and, moreover, for any  $y \in X$  with  $z \leq y$  we have  $f_i(z) = f_i(y)$ , all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $x \in X$  be given. By the classical Brezis-Browder's ordering principle, there is an element  $x_1 \ge x$  such that  $y \in X$  and  $x_1$  y imply  $f_1(x_1) = f_1(y)$ ; furthermore, given  $x_1 \in X$ there is, by the same ordering principle, an element  $x_2 \ge x_1$ with the property  $y \in X$  and  $x_2 \le y$  imply  $f_2(x_2) = f_2(y)$ , and so on. By induction, we get an increasing sequence  $(x_n; n \in N)$  in X satisfying

(1)  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y \in X$  and  $x_n \leq y$  imply  $f_n(x_n) = f_n(y)$ .

By (i), an element  $z \in X$  may be found with  $x_n \leq z$ , all  $n \in N$ . We claim z is our desired element. Indeed, by the choice of our sequence, we evidently have  $x \leq z$ . Now, suppose  $y \in X$  is -291 - such that  $z \leq y$  then, clearly,  $x_n \leq y$  all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and this gives, by (1),  $f_n(x_n) = f_n(z) = f_n(y)$ , all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , completing the proof. Q.E.D.

Let X be an abstract (nonempty) set and let  $D = (d_i; i \in$  $\in$  N) be a denumerable and sufficient family of semimetrics on X (that is,  $d_i(x,y) = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  imply x = y). In this case, by a well-known construction, (X,D) appears as a metrizable uniform space. A sequence  $(x_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$  in X is said to D - converge to  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$  (and we write  $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}} \mathbf{x}$ ) iff it d; converges to x for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a D - Cauchy sequence iff it is a d; - Cauchy sequence for any  $i \in N$ . Also, a function  $f:X \rightarrow R$  is called d<sub>i</sub> - lower semicontinuous iff it is lower semicontinuous as a function from  $(X,d_i)$  into R (here  $i \in N$ is an arbitrary fixed element). Finally, X' being another abstract (nonempty) set and  $D' = (d'_i; i \in N)$  a denumerable and sufficient family of semimetrics on X', a mapping  $T:X \longrightarrow X'$ will be termed closed iff for any sequence  $(x_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$  in X and any couple  $x \in X$ ,  $x' \in X'$  with  $x_n \xrightarrow{D} x$  and  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{D} x'$  as  $n \rightarrow T$  $\rightarrow \infty$  we have Tx = x'. Suppose in what follows (X,D) and (X',D') defined as above are complete metrizable uniform spaces (that is, every D (D') - Cauchy sequence in X (X') is a D (D') - convergent one) and let T be a closed mapping from X into X'. In such a situation, as an important application of Theorem 1, the following "sequential" maximality principle may be formulated.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Suppose the denumerable families  $(\varphi_{i}; i \in N)$ and  $(\psi_{i}; i \in N)$  of functions from X into R are such that

(iii)  $\varphi_i$  and  $\psi_i$  are  $d_i$  - lower semicontinuous and bounded below, for any ie N.

- 292 -

Then, for any  $x \in X$  there is an element  $z \in X$  such that the following conclusions hold

(a)  $d_i(x,z) \leq \varphi_i(x) - \varphi_i(z), d'_i(Tx,Tz) \leq \psi_i(x) - \psi_i(z),$ all ieN

(b) for every  $y \in X$ ,  $y \neq z$ , either  $d_i(z,y) > \varphi_i(z)$  -

-  $\varphi_i(y)$  or  $d'_i(Tz,Ty) > \psi_i(z) - \psi_i(y)$  for some element  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

<u>Proof</u>. Let us define an ordering  $\leq$  on X by (2)  $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}$  iff  $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \leq \varphi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x},\mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}) \leq \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) - \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{y})$ , all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Firstly,  $\mathcal{G}_i$  and  $\psi_i$  are decreasing and, by hypothesis, bounded below, for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , proving (ii) holds. Secondly, let  $(\mathbf{x}_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$  be an increasing sequence in X, that is,

(3) 
$$d_i(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) \leq \mathcal{G}_i(\mathbf{x}_n) - \mathcal{G}_i(\mathbf{x}_m), d_i(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_m) \leq \mathcal{V}_i(\mathbf{x}_n) - \mathcal{V}_i(\mathbf{x}_m), \text{ all } i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ all } n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq m.$$

It immediately follows  $(\varphi_i(\mathbf{x}_n); n \in \mathbb{N})$  and  $(\psi_i(\mathbf{x}_n); n \in \mathbb{N})$ are decreasing sequences in R hence (by the second part of (iii)) Cauchy sequences in R, for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  so that, by (3),  $(\mathbf{x}_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$  and  $(\mathbf{Tx}_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$  are  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}')$  - Cauchy sequences in X (X'). By completeness hypothesis,  $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}} \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{Tx}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}'} \mathbf{x}'$  as  $n \to \infty$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{x}' \in X'$  and this gives (by closedness hypothesis)  $\mathbf{Tx} = \mathbf{x}'$  that is,  $\mathbf{Tx}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}'} \mathbf{Tx}$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ . In such a case, taking the limit as  $\mathbf{n} \to \infty$  in (3) and remembering that first part of (iii) we get the evaluations

$$d_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}_{n},\mathbf{x}) \leq \varphi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) - \varphi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}), d'(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_{n},\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}) \leq \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) - \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}),$$
  
all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ 

that is,  $x_n \leq x$ , all  $n \in N$ , proving (i) holds, too. Consequently, Theorem 1 applies and this completes the proof. Q.E.D.

As an immediate application of Theorem 2. the following

fixed point result on this class of metrizable uniform structures may be given.

<u>Theorem 3</u>. Under the same conditions of Theorem 2, suppose the mapping U:X  $\rightarrow$  X is such that

(4) 
$$d_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}) \neq \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi_i(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}), d_i(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}) \leq \psi_i(\mathbf{x}) - \psi_i(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}), \text{ all } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

then, for any  $x \in X$  there is an element  $z \in X$  such that conclusions (a) + (b) of Theorem 2 hold and, in addition, z = Uz (z is a fixed point of U).

<u>Proof</u>. Let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary fixed and let  $z \in X$  be the element indicated by Theorem 2. By (4),  $z \neq Uz$  so that (taking into account (b)), we necessarily have z = Uz and this ends the proof. Q.E.D.

As a particular case of our considerations, suppose the denumerable and sufficient families D and D' reduce to a single element (respectively, a single metric on X and X') then, Theorem 2 reduces to the author's result [26] while Theorem 3 to the Downing-Kirk's result [16] (see also D. Downing [15]). Moreover, in case X = X', T = I (the identity) and  $\varphi = \psi$ , from the corresponding variants of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 we get Ekeland-Brøndsted's results quoted above (see also [18], [10] as well as J.P. Aubin and J. Siegel [2], E. Bishop and R.R. Phelps [6], M. Turinici [24], J.D. Weston [27]) and respectively, Caristi-Kirk-Browder's ones [12],[21],[11] (see also S.A. Husain and V.M. Sehgal [19], S. Kasahara [20], J. Siegel [23], M. Turinici [25], C.S. Wong [28]). A number of extensions to (non-metrizable) uniform spaces of the above theorems will be given in a forthcoming paper.

- 294 -

2. The main results. Let (X,d) be a given metric space. For every  $x,y \in X$ , let [x,y] denote the metric segment between x and y (the subset of all  $z \in X$  with d(x,z) + d(z,y) = d(x,y)) and put also  $]x,y] = [x,y] \setminus \{x\}, ]x,y[ = [x,y] \setminus \{x,y\}$ . Evidently, every segment is a nonempty bounded and closed subset of X; moreover, for every arbitrary fixed  $x,y \in X$  and every  $z \in$  $\in [x,y]$  we have the inclusion  $[x,z] \subset [x,y], [z,y] \subset [x,y]$  (see, e.g., W.A. Kirk [21] for more details). A (nonempty) subset Y of X is said to be (metrically) convex iff for every  $x,y \in Y$ , the segment [x,y] is contained in Y. Also, the ambient metric space (X,d) will be termed (metrically) convex in Menger's sense [7, ch. I] iff for any distinct  $x,y \in X$ , ]x,y[ is not empty.

In what follows, (X,d) is a complete (metrically) convex metric space, Y a (nonempty) convex subset of X, (X',D') a (sequentially) complete metrizable uniform space defined as in the preceding section and  $F = (f_i; i \in N)$  a denumerable family of functions from  $R_+$  into itself. A mapping  $T:Y \rightarrow X'$  is said to be directionally closed iff for any couple  $x, y \in Y$ , the restriction T/[x,y] is a closed mapping from ([x,y],d) into (X',D'). In the same context, T will be termed directionally F - lipschitzian iff for any distinct  $x, y \in Y$  there is an element  $u \in ]x,y]$  satisfying  $d'_i(Tx,Tu) \neq f_i(d(x,u))$ , all  $i \in N$ , and globally F - lipschitzian iff  $d'_i(Tx,Ty) \neq f_i(d(x,y))$ , all  $i \in N$ , all  $x, y \in Y$ . Finally, a function  $f:R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  is said to be super-additive iff  $f(t+s) \geq f(t)+f(s)$  for all  $t, s \in R_+$ .

From the above definitions it trivially follows that every globally F - lipschitzian mapping is also directionally F - lipschitzian but the converse is not in general true so

- 295 -

that, it is justified to look for an answer to the following question: under what (supplementary) conditions does a directionally F - lipschitzian mapping become a globally F lipschitzian one? In this direction, the main result of the present note is

<u>Theorem 4</u>. Let (X,d), (X',D') and Y be as before and suppose the mapping  $T:Y \longrightarrow X'$  and the family  $F = (f_i; i \in N)$ of functions from  $R_i$  into itself are such that

(iv) T is directionally F - lipschitzian

(v) T is directionally closed

(vi)  $f_i$  is super-additive and lower semicontinuous, for every  $i \in N$ .

Then, necessarily, T is globally F - lipschitzian (on Y).

<u>Proof</u>. Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$  be arbitrary. Define a denumerable family of functions  $\varphi: \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  and  $\psi_{\mathbf{i}}: \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  (i  $\in \mathbf{N}$ ) by the convention

(5)  $\varphi(u) = d(u,y), \ \psi_i(u) = f_i(d(u,y)), \ (i \in N), u \in X$ . Firstly, by the second part of (vi),  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $\psi_i$ lower semicontinuous for any  $i \in N$  and the same conclusion is valid for the restrictions  $\varphi/[x,y]$  and  $\psi_i/[x,y]$  ( $i \in N$ ). Secondly, by (v), the restriction T/[x,y] (denoted also by T in what follows) is a closed mapping from [x,y] into X'. This shows that Theorem 2 applies (with (X,D) replaced by ([x,y],d)) so that, for  $x \in [x,y]$  there is an element  $z \in [x,y]$  satisfying conclusions

(a)  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \neq \varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{z})$  and  $d'_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{z}) \neq \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) - \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{z})$ , all  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ (b) for every  $\mathbf{u} \in [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{z}$ , either  $d(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}) > \varphi(\mathbf{z}) - \varphi(\mathbf{u})$  or  $d'_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}) > \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{z}) - \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{u})$ , for some  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ . - 296 - 206 - 200 Suppose  $z \neq y$ . For every  $u \in ]z, y] \subset [x, y]$ , the first relation of the conclusion (b)

 $d(z,u) > \varphi(z) - \varphi(u) = d(z,y) - d(u,y) = d(z,u)$ is impossible, so we must have (taking also into account the first part of (vi))

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}'_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{z},\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}) &> \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{z}) - \psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y})) - \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y})) &\geq \\ \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y})) = \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{u})) \text{ for some } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ which is also impossible, because of (iv). Consequently,  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}$  and then, by the conclusion (a)',

 $d'_i(Tx,Ty) \leq \psi_i(x) - \psi_i(y) = f_i(d(x,y))$ , all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and since  $x,y \in Y$  were arbitrary, our proof is complete. Q.E.D.

Concerning condition (vi) of the main result, it should be noted that an important example of functions from  $R_{+}$  into itself satisfying that condition is offered by the choice (6)  $f(t) = k t^{r}, t \in R_{+}$ 

 $k \ge 0$  and  $r \ge 1$  being arbitrary fixed elements. In the same time, concerning condition (v), it is almost evident that it is automatically fulfilled by any mapping T from Y into X' closed in Altman's sense [1] (that is, for any sequence  $(x_n; n \in \varepsilon N)$  in Y and any couple  $x \in X$ ,  $x' \in X'$  with  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$  and  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{D'} x'$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $x \in Y$  and Tx = x'). Finally, let (X,d), (X',D') and Y be as before and let  $K = (k_i; i \in N)$  be a denumerable family of positive numbers. By convention, a mapping  $T:Y \rightarrow X'$  will be termed directionally (globally) K - lipschitzian iff it is directionally (globally) F - lipschitzian, F being the denumerable family  $(f_i; i \in N)$  of functions from  $R_+$  into itself defined by: for any  $i \in N$ ,  $f_i$  is that expressed by (6) with r = 1 and  $k = k_i$ . In such a case, as a direct consequence of the main result, the following (ordi-

- 297 -

nary) lipschitzianness test on (metrically) convex metric spaces may be formulated.

<u>Theorem 5</u>. Under the same general conventions, suppose the mapping  $T:Y \longrightarrow X'$  is directionally K - lipschitzian in th above sense and closed in Altman's sense. Then, necessarily, T is globally K - lipschitzian (on Y).

It should be noted that in case D' reduces to a single element (a single metric d' on X') the above theorem reduces in fact to Kirk-Ray's result quoted in the Introduction (see also the author's paper [24] as well as S.A. Husain and V.M. Sehgal [19]).

3. <u>Applications to semidynamical systems</u>. Let (X,D) be a given complete metrizable uniform space and let  $\Omega = (\omega_i;$  $i \in N)$  be a denumerable family of real numbers. By an  $\Omega$ -contractive semidynamical system on X we mean a mapping  $(t,x) \mapsto$  $\mapsto S(t,x) = S(t)x$  from  $R_{\mu > X}$  into X satisfying

(vii) S(0)x = x for all  $x \in X$ 

(viii) S(t+s)x = S(t)S(s)x, all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , all  $x \in X$ 

(ix)  $d_i(S(t)x,S(t)y \neq (\exp(\omega_i t)) d_i(x,y)$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $x, y \in Y$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(Of course, the notion of contractive semidynamical system may be compared with that of semidynamical system in Bajaj's sense [3] (see also N.P. Ehatia and G.P. Szegö [5, ch. I]) or, equivalently, with that of contractive semigroup in Brezis-Browder's sense [8]). An important problem concerning this class of semidynamical systems is that regarding (function) Lipschitz properties with respect to the temporal variable. In order to give an efficient answer in this direction, suppose the considered  $\Omega$ -contractive semidynamical system S on X satisfies the following closedness property at every point  $x \in X$ 

(x) for any sequence  $(t_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and any couple t  $\in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $y \in X$  with  $t_n \longrightarrow t$  and  $S(t_n)x \xrightarrow{D} y$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$  we have S(t)x = y

and let the denumerable family of functions from  $R_{+}$  into itself F =  $(f_{i}; i \in N)$  be such that condition (vi) of the preceding section holds. Denote by X(S,F) the subset of all  $x \in X$ satisfying

(xi) for any 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
 there is a number  $0 < \sigma' < \varepsilon$  such  
that  $d_i(x, S(\sigma')x) \neq f_i(\sigma')$ , all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ 

and, for the sake of simplicity denote also

(7)  $\beta_i(t) = \max(\exp(\omega_i t), 1), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, i \in \mathbb{N}$ 

In such a case, let  $x \in X(S,F)$  and a > 0 be arbitrary fixed. Given two positive numbers  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $0 \leq s < t \leq a$ , there is, by (xi), a positive  $\sigma' < t$ -s such that  $d_i(x,S(\sigma')x) \leq f_i(\sigma')$ , all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  so (denoting  $r = s + \sigma'$ ), we get by (viii) + (ix) and the notation (7), the evaluation

 $d_{i}(S(s)x,S(r)x) \neq (\exp(\omega_{i}s))d_{i}(x,S(\sigma')x) \neq \beta_{i}(s)f_{i}(\sigma') \neq \beta_{i}(s)f_{i}(\sigma') = \beta_{i}(s)f_{i}(\sigma'), \text{ all } i \in \mathbb{N}$ 

proving the mapping  $t \leftarrow S(t)x$  is directionally G - lipschitzian on the interval [O,a], the denumerable family of functions from  $R_{+}$  into itself G =  $(g_{i}; i \in N)$  being defined by the convention  $g_{i} = \beta_{i}(a)f_{i}$ , all  $i \in N$ . Consequently, the main result applies (with  $X = R_{+}, Y = [O,a]$  and X' = the ambient metrizable uniform space of the considered semidynamical system) so that we proved

<u>Theorem 6</u>. Under the conventions stated above, for any  $x \in X(S,F)$  and any a > 0, the mapping  $t \vdash S(t)x$  is necessarily globally G - lipschitzian on [0,a] that is,

 $d_i(S(t)\mathbf{x},S(s)\mathbf{x}) \neq \beta_i(a)f_i(t-s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq a, i \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

An important particularization of the above theorem corresponds to the case when D reduces to a single metric d on X (and, correspondingly,  $\Omega$  reduces to a single real number  $\omega$ ). In such a case, let us denote

(8)  $L(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{t \to 0_{+}} \inf (1/t) d(\mathbf{x}, S(t)\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in X$ and let the function  $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$  be defined by (9)  $h(t) = (1/\omega)(\exp(\omega t) - 1), t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \omega \neq 0$ = t,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \omega = 0$ 

Now, X(S) denoting the subset of all points  $x \in X$  with L(x) < +  $\infty$ , it is a simple matter to verify condition (x) will be satisfied by any mapping f = Mh, M > L(x) being arbitrary fixed, in which case, as an important consequence of Theorem 6 we have

<u>Theorem 7</u>. Under the particular cases expressed above, for any  $\mathbf{x} \in X(S)$  and any  $\mathbf{a} > 0$ , the mapping  $\mathbf{t} \vdash S(\mathbf{t})\mathbf{x}$  is necessarily globally  $\beta(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{h}$  - lipschitzian on [0,a] that is,

 $d(S(t)\mathbf{x}, S(s)\mathbf{x} \leq \beta(a)L(\mathbf{x}) h(t-s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq a.$ 

It should be noted that the above result proved - in case of a Banach space - by M.G. Crandall and A. Pazy [14] (see also V. Barbu [4, ch. III]) has a number of important applications to nonlinear contraction semigroups theory; we refer especially to the above quoted Barbu's work for more details and concrete discussions.

## References

- M. ALTMAN: Contractor directions, directional contractors and directional contractions for solving equations, Pacific J. Math. 62(1976), 1-18.
- [2] J.P. AUBIN and J. SIEGEL: Fixed points and stationary points of dissipative multivalued maps, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78(1980), 391-398.
- [3] P.N. BAJAJ: Some aspects of semi-dynamical systems theory, Ph.D. Thesis, Case Western Reserve Univ. 1968.
- [4] V. BARBU: Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces, Ed. Acad, Bucuresti, Noordhoff, 1976.
- [5] N.P. BHATIA and G.P. SZEGÖ: Stability theory of dynamical systems, Springer Verlag, 1970.
- [6] E. BISHOP and R.R. PHELPS: The support functionals of a convex set, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. VII (Convexity) pp. 27-36, Amer. Math. Soc., 1963.
- [7] L.S. BLUMENTHAL: Theory and application of distance geometry, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1953.
- [8] H. BREZIS and F.E. BROWDER: A general principle on ordered sets in nonlinear functional analysis, Adv. in Math. 21(1976), 355-364.
- [9] A. BRØNSTED: On a lemma of Bishop and Phelps, Pacific J. Math. 55(1974), 335-341.
- [10] A. BRØNSTED: Common fixed points and partial orders, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77(1979), 365-368.
- [11] F.E. BROWDER! On a theorem of Caristi and Kirk, Fixed Point Theory and its Applications, pp. 23-27, Academic Press, 1976.
- [12] J. CARISTI: Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 215(1976), 241-251.
- [13] F.H. CLARKE: Pointwise contraction criteria for the existence of fixed points, Canad. Math. Bull. 21

(1978), 7-11.

- [14] M.G. CRANDALL and A. PAZY: Semi-groups of nonlinear contractions and dissipative sets, J. Funct. Analysis 3(1969), 376-418.
- [15] D. DOWNING: Nonlinear mapping theory and the continuetion of solutions of equations under homotopy, J. Nonlinear Analysis, TMA 4(1980), 27-30.
- [16] D. DOWNING and W.A. KIRK: A generalization of Caristi's theorem with application to nonlinear mapping theory, Pacific J. Math. 69(1977), 339-346.
- [17] I. EKELAND: On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47(1974), 324-353.
- [18] I. EKELAND: Nonconvex minimization problems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 1(1979), 443-474.
- [19] S.A. HUSAIN and V.M. SEHGAL: A remark on a fixed point theorem of Caristi, Math. Japonica 25(1980), 27-30.
- [20] S. KASAHARA: On fixed points in partially ordered sets and Kirk-Caristi theorem, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 3(1975), 229-232.
- [21] W.A. KIRK: Caristi's fixed point theorem and metric convexity, Colloq. Math. 36(1976), 81-86.
- [22] W.A. KIRK and W.O. RAY: A remark on directional contractions, Proc, Amer. Math. Soc. 66(1977), 279-283.
- [23] J. SIEGEL: A new proof of Caristi's fixed point theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 66(1977), 54-56.
- [24] M. TURINICI: Maximal elements in partially ordered topological spaces and applications, An. St. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi 24(1978), 259-264.
- [25] M. TURINICI: Maximal elements in ordered topological spaces, Bull. Greek Math. Soc., to appear.
- [26] M. TURINICI: Drop theorems and lipschitzianness tests via maximality procedures, Acta Math. Acad.

Sci. Hung., to appear.

[27] J.D. WESTON: A characterization of metric completeness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 64(1977), 186-188.

[28] C.S. WONG: On a fixed point theorem of contractive type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 57(1976), 283-284.

.

Seminarul Matematic "Al. Myller"

6600 Iași

Romania

(Oblatum 28.4. 1980)