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## PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR PROBLEMS WITH STRONG RESONANCE AT INFINITY *) <br> A. CAPOZZI, A. SALVATORE

```
Abstract: In this paper we are looking for periodic soIutions of the equations \(-\ddot{x}=\nabla U(x, t)\). We suppose that the problem is asymptotically linear and that 0 belongs to the spectrum of linearized operator at infinity. We obtain multiplicity results. The proof of the theorem is based on a recent abstract theorem, that has been proved for a functionel that satisfies a weaker condition than Palais-Smale condition.
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O. Introduction. The aim of this paper is to look jor solutions $x(t) \in C^{2}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$ of the equations
$(0.1)\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\ddot{x}=\nabla U(x, t) \\ x(0)=x(\mathbb{T}) \\ \dot{x}(0)=x(T)\end{array}\right.$
where $T>0$ is a given period, $U(x, t) \in C^{2}\left(R^{n} \times R, R\right), U(x, t)=$ $=U(x, t+T) \quad V x \in R^{n} \quad \forall t \in R$.

The problem (0.1) has been studied by many authors under different assumptions on the function $U$. We refer to Benci [2] and Thews [5] for a rather complete bibliography. If the pro-
*) This research was supported by the G.N.A.F.A. of C.N.R.
blem is subquadratic (cf. [2]) multiplicity results for problem (0.1) have been obtained in the non resonant case (i.e. if 0 does not belong to the spectrum of linearized operator at infinity). It is well known that the solutions of ( 0.1 ) are the critical points of the functional of the action in a auitable function space. In the non resonant case this functional satisfies the well known Palais-Smale condition. The interest of the resonant case lies in the fact that the Palais-Smale condition is not always satispied. Recently some techniques have been developed for studying non linear problems, having a variational structure, with a "strong resonance" at infinity (cf. [1]). Our purpose is to use these techniques for solving the problem (0.1).

We denote by $U_{x x}(x, t)$ the Hessian matrix of $U(x, t)$ with respect to the space $\nabla$ ariables and we assume that there exists
$\lim U_{x x}(x, t)=M(t)$ as $|x| \longrightarrow \infty \forall t \in[0, T]$
where $M(t)$ is an [nxn] symmetric matrix with elements continuous in $[0, T]$.

If we set

$$
\nabla U(x, t)=M(t) x-\nabla V(x, t),
$$

the problem (0.1) becomes

$$
\text { (0.2) } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\ddot{x}=M(t) x-\nabla V(x, t) \\
x(0)=x(T) \\
\dot{x}(0)=\dot{x}(T)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We denote by $\mathscr{L}$ the self-adjoint realization in $L^{2}\left((0, T), \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of the operator $x \rightarrow-\ddot{x}-M(t) x$ with periodic conditions. We assume that
$\left(I_{1}\right) \quad \nabla \nabla(0, t)=0 \quad \forall t \in R, \quad 0 \in \delta(\mathscr{L})$
$\left(I_{2}\right) \quad \nabla(x, t) \longrightarrow 0$ as $|x| \longrightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $t \in R$ $(\nabla \nabla(x, t), x) \longrightarrow 0$ as $|x| \longrightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $t \in R$.

We observe that if ( $I_{1}$ ), ( $I_{2}$ ) hold, the problem ( 0.2 ) has a "strong resonance" at infinity.

We denote by $\mu(t)$ the smallest eigenvalue of $\nabla_{x x}(0, t)$.
and we also assume that
$\left(I_{3}\right) \quad \mu=\inf _{[0, T]} \mu(t)>0$,
( $I_{4}$ ) there exists $\lambda_{h} \in \sigma(\mathscr{L}) \quad \lambda_{h}<0$ s.t. $\lambda_{h}+\mu>0$,
$\left(I_{5}\right) \quad V(x, t)=V(-x, t) \quad \forall x \in R^{n}, \quad \forall t \in R$.
We consider the operator $x \rightarrow-\ddot{x}-\nabla U(x, t)$ linearized at infinity and at origin and we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{\infty} x=-\ddot{x}-M(t) x \\
& L_{0} x=-\ddot{x}-M(t) x+V_{x x}(0, t) x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $m_{\infty}$ (resp. $m_{0}$ ) the maximal dimension of subspaces where $L_{\infty}$ (resp. $L_{0}$ ) is negative semidefinite.

The following theorem holds:
Theorem 0.1. - If $\left(I_{1}\right),\left(I_{2}\right),\left(I_{3}\right),\left(I_{4}\right),\left(I_{5}\right)$ hold, then the problem ( 0.2 ) possesses at least $m$ distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions with

$$
m=m_{\infty}-m_{0}
$$

The proof of Theorem (0.1) is based on the abstract theorem (2.4) in [1].

1. Notations and preliminaries. We set $L^{2}=L^{2}\left((0, T), R^{n}\right)$, $H^{1}=H^{1}\left((0, T), R^{n}\right)$ and denote by

$$
(\cdot, \cdot),(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}},(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}
$$

respectively the scalar product on $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{L}^{2}, \mathrm{H}^{1}$.
We set $H=\left\{u \in H^{l} \mid u(0)=u(T)\right\}$ equipped with the scalar product

$$
(u, \nabla)_{H}=(u, v)_{H^{1}} .
$$

If $X$ is a real Banach space, we denote by $X^{\prime}$ its dual and by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the pairing between $X^{\prime}$ and $X$. In the sequel we shal. use the unique symbol $\|\cdot\|$ for the norms in $X$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$. If $R>0$ we set $B_{R}=\{u \in X \mid\|u\| \leq R\}$ and $S_{R}=\{u \in X \mid\|u\|=R\}$.

If $\rho \in C^{l}(X, R)$, we denote by $f^{\prime}(u)$ the Frechet derivative of $f$ at $u \in X$.

We recall the following definition [1],[3], which is a weaker version of the well-known Palais-Smale condition.

Definition 1.1. - We shall say that $\rho \in C^{1}(X, R)$ satisfies the condition (I) in $] c_{1}, c_{2}\left[,\left(-\infty \leqslant c_{1}<c_{2} \leqslant+\infty\right)\right.$, if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (i) every bounded sequence }\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset f^{-1}(] c_{1}, c_{2}[) \text {, for }  \tag{I}\\
\text { which }\left\{f\left(u_{k}\right)\right\} \text { is bounded and } f^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text {, pos- } \\
\text { sesses a convergent subsequence } \\
\text { (ii) } \forall c \in] c_{1}, c_{2}[\exists \sigma, R, \alpha>0 \text { s.t. }[c-\sigma, c+\sigma] c \\
c] c_{1}, c_{2}\left[\text { and } \forall u \in f^{-1}([c-\sigma, c+\sigma]),\|u\| \geq R:\right. \\
\\
:\left\|f^{\prime}(u)\right\|\|u\| \geq \alpha .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We shall need the following abstract theorem for a real functional $f$ on a real Hilbert space $M$ ([1], th. 2.4).

Theorem 1.1. - Suppose that $f \in C^{1}(M, R)$ satisfies the following properties:

```
fl) f satisfies condition (I) in ]0,+\infty[;
f2, there exist two closed subspaces M}\mp@subsup{M}{}{+},\mp@subsup{M}{}{-}\mathrm{ of M, with codim M}\mp@subsup{M}{}{+}
    <+\infty, and two constant cos> co>f(o) such that
    a) f(u)> co }\quad\forallu\inS\rho\cap\cap\mp@subsup{M}{}{+
    b) }\textrm{f}(\textrm{u})<\mp@subsup{c}{\infty}{}\quad\forallu\in\mp@subsup{M}{}{-
f3})f\mathrm{ is even.
    Then, if dim M}\mp@subsup{M}{}{-}\geq\operatorname{codim}\mp@subsup{M}{}{+},f\mathrm{ possesses at least m = dim M}\mp@subsup{M}{}{-
-codim M+ distinct pairs of critical points whose corresponding
critical values belong to [co, cos ].
```

2.     - Proof of the Theorem. Standard arguments in the calculus of variations show that the classical solutions of (0.2) correspond to the critical points of the functional
(2.1) $\quad f(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T}(M(t) u(t), u(t)) d t+$

$$
+\int_{0}^{T} V(u(t), t) d t
$$

defined on $H$. Clearly $f \in C^{2}(H, R)$ and $\forall u \in H$
(2.2) $\left\langle f^{\prime}(u), h\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T}(\dot{u}, \dot{h}) d t-\int_{0}^{T}(M(t) u, h) d t+$ $+\int_{0}^{T}(\nabla V(u, t), h) d t \quad \forall h \in H$
(2.3) $f^{\prime \prime}(u)[h, s]=\int_{0}^{T}(\dot{h}, \dot{s}) d t-\int_{0}^{T}(M(t) h, s) d t+$ $+\int_{0}^{T}\left(V_{x x}(u, t) h, s\right) d t \quad \forall h, s \in H$.

We denote by $\beta\left(t_{t}\right)$ the largest eigenvalue of $M(t)$ and by $I_{n}$ the identity matrix in $R^{n}$, and we set

$$
\beta=\sup _{[0, T]} \beta(t) \quad M_{1}(t)=M(t)+I_{n^{\bullet}}
$$

Let $a(u, v): H \times H \rightarrow R$ be the bilinear form defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(u, v) & =\int_{0}^{T}[(\dot{u}, \dot{v})+(u, v)] d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left(M_{1}(t) u, v\right) d t+ \\
& +\beta \int_{0}^{T}(u, v) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to verify that $a$ is continuous and coercive (i.e. $a(u, u) \geq$ const $\|u\|_{H}^{2}$ ) on $H$. Then by the Lax-Milgram theorem there exists a unique bounded linear operator $S: H \rightarrow H$ with a bounded linear inverse $\mathrm{S}^{-1}$ such that

$$
(S u, v)_{H}=a(u, v) \quad \nabla u, v \in H
$$

We set

$$
D(\varphi)=\left\{u \in H \mid S u \in L^{2}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{\varphi}=s_{1 D( }(\mathscr{y})
$$

$\varphi$ is a linear continuous self-adjoint operator with compact resolvent. Then $\sigma(\mathscr{Y})$ consists of a positively divergent sequence of isolated eigenvalues with finite multiplicities. We denote by $s_{0}<s_{1} \ldots \ldots<s_{j}<\ldots$ the eigenvalues of $\mathscr{S}$ and by $\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1} \ldots \ldots<\lambda_{j}<\ldots$. the eigenvalues of $\mathscr{L}$.

Obviously $\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{S}-\beta 1$, where $1: L^{2} \longrightarrow L^{2}$ is the identity $\operatorname{map}, \lambda_{j}=s_{j}-\beta \quad \forall_{j}$ and by $\left(I_{1}\right)$ it follows that there exists $k$ such that $\beta=s_{k} \in \sigma(\mathscr{L})$.

We denote by $M_{j}$ the sequence of eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j}, \ldots$ If $m \geq 0$ is an integer number we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{-}(\mathrm{m})={ }_{j \in m} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{m})=\text { closure in } H \text { of the linear space spanned } \\
& \text { by }\left\{M_{j}\right\}_{j \geq m} \\
& \text { Clearly. } H^{+}(m) \cap H^{-}(m)=M_{m} \text { and } H=H^{-}(m) \oplus H^{+}(m+1) \text {. For }
\end{aligned}
$$

every $u \in H$, we set

$$
u=u^{+}+u^{-}+u_{0}
$$

where $u^{+} \in H^{+}(k+1), u^{-} \in H^{-}(k-1), u_{0} \in M_{k}$.
Lemme 2.1. - There exist $\eta, \tau, \nu>0$ such that
(i) $\left(S u, u^{+}\right)_{L^{2}}-\beta\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq \eta\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{H}^{2} \quad \forall u \in H$
(ii) $\left(s u, u^{-}\right){ }_{L^{2}}-\beta\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq-\tau\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{H}^{2} \quad \forall u \in H$
(iii) $\beta\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\left(S u, u^{-}\right) L_{L^{2}} \geq \nu\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{H}^{2} \quad \forall u \in H$

Proof. (i) $\quad\left(\mathrm{Su}, \mathrm{u}^{+}\right)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}}-\beta\left\|\mathrm{u}^{+}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{Su}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{u}^{+}\right)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}}-$
$-\beta\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\sum_{j=h+1}^{\infty}\left(s_{j}-\beta\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\sum_{j=\sum_{k+1}^{\infty}}^{\infty} \frac{s_{j}-\beta}{s_{j}} s_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq$ $\geq \eta\left(\mathrm{Su}^{+}, \mathrm{u}^{+}\right)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}} \geq \eta\left\|\mathrm{u}^{+}\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}$
(ii) $\left(S u, u^{-}\right)_{L^{2}}-\beta\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\left(S u^{-}, u^{-}\right)_{L^{2}}-\beta\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=$
$=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(s_{j}-\beta\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq\left(s_{0}-\beta\right) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=-\tau\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq$ $-\tau\left\|_{u}{ }^{-}\right\|_{H}^{2}$
(iii) $\beta\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\left(s u, u^{-}\right)_{L^{2}}=\beta\left\|u_{L^{-}}\right\|^{2}-\left(S u^{-}, u^{-}\right)_{L^{2}}=$ $=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\beta-s_{j}\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\beta-s_{j}}{s_{j}} s_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq \nu\left(s^{-}, u^{-}\right) L_{L^{2}} \geq$ $\geq \nu\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}$.

Lemma 2.2. - If $\left(I_{1}\right),\left(I_{2}\right)$ hold, the runctional $f(u)$ defined by (2.1) satisfies the condition (I).

Proof. The proof is substantially analogous to the proof of Theorem (3.1) in [I]. It is only necessary to use the Lemma 2.1 and an obvious generalization of Lemma 3.2 in [l].

Iamma_2.3. - Suppose that $\left(I_{1}\right),\left(I_{3}\right),\left(I_{4}\right)$ hold, then there exist $\rho>0$ and $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
f(u) \geq f(0)+\gamma \quad \forall u \in H^{+}(h) \cap S_{\rho}
$$

Proof. We have $\forall u \in H$
(2.4) $f(u)=f(0)+\left\langle f^{\prime}(0), u\right\rangle+f^{n}(0)[u, u]+o\left(\|u\|_{H}^{2}\right)$.

By (2.2) and by ( $I_{1}$ ) we have $\forall u \in H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle e^{\prime}(0), u\right\rangle=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.3), $\left(I_{3}\right),\left(I_{4}\right)$ we have $\forall u \in R^{+}(h)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime \prime}(0)[u, u] & =(s u, u)_{L^{2}}-\beta\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(v_{x x}(0, t) u, u\right) d t= \\
& =\left(s u^{+}, u^{+}\right)_{L^{2}}-\beta\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(v_{x x}(0, t) u, u\right) d t \geq \\
& \geq \sum_{j=h}^{\infty} s_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-(\beta-\mu)\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}= \\
& =\sum_{j=h_{h}}^{\infty}\left(s_{j}-\beta+\mu\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

There exist $t>h$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
s_{j}-\beta+\mu>\delta s_{j} \quad \forall_{j}>t
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{j=h}^{\infty}\left(s_{j}-\beta+\mu\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\sum_{j=h}^{t}\left(\frac{s_{j}-\beta+\mu}{s_{j}}\right) s_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+ \\
& + \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(s_{j}-\beta+\mu\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq \text { cons } \sum_{j=h}^{t} s_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+ \\
& +\sum_{j=t+1}^{\infty} \delta s_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L}^{2} \geq \text { cons } \sum_{j=h}^{\infty} s_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L}^{2}{ }^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (2.6) } f^{\prime \prime}(0)[u, u] \geq \text { const }\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \text { Finally, by }(2.4),(2.5),(2.6) \text { we have } \\
& f(u) \geq f(0)+\gamma \text { with } \gamma>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.4. - There exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
f(u)<\sigma^{\sim} \quad \forall u \in H^{-}(k)
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\lambda=\sup _{[0, T]} \nabla(u, t)
$$

then $\forall u \in H^{-}(k)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(u) & \left.=\left(S u^{-}, u^{-}\right)_{L^{2}}-\beta\left\|u_{L^{2}}^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T} v(u, t) d t\right) \leq \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{K}\left(s_{j}-\beta\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\lambda T \leq \lambda T
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we can prove the Theoren (0.1).
Proof of Theorem 0.1. By Lemma 2.2, Lema 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and by ( $I_{5}$ ) we have that the functional $f$, defined by (2.1), satisfies $\left(f_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}\right),\left(f_{3}\right)$ of the Theorem (1.1). Hence, the prom blem ( 0.2 ) possesses at least

$$
m=\operatorname{dim}\left(u_{h} \oplus \ldots \odot u_{k}\right)
$$

distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions.
Obviously

$$
m=m_{\infty}-m_{0}
$$

3.     - A_particmar_case. We denote by $M$ the self-adjoint realization in $L^{2}$ of the operator $x \rightarrow-\ddot{x}$ with periodicity con-
ditions, and we consider the particular case
$M(t)=\alpha_{k} I_{n}, \quad \alpha_{k}=\left(\frac{2 k \pi}{T}\right)^{2}, k=0,1, \ldots \ldots$.
$\alpha_{k} \in \sigma(\mathcal{M})$ and the problem ( 0.2 ) becomes
(3.1) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\ddot{x}-\alpha_{1} x+\nabla V(x, t)=0 \\ x(0)=x(T) \\ \dot{x}(0)=x(T)\end{array}\right.$

If we assume that
$\left(I_{4}\right)^{\prime}$ there exist $\alpha_{h} \leqslant \alpha_{k}$ s.t. $\quad \alpha_{h}-\alpha_{k}+\mu>0$
we have that, if $\left(I_{1}\right),\left(I_{2}\right),\left(I_{3}\right),\left(I_{4}\right)^{\prime},\left(I_{5}\right)$ hold, then the problem (3.1) possesses at least

$$
m=\operatorname{dim} H^{-}(k)-\operatorname{codim} H^{+}(h)
$$

distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions.
If we assume $\alpha_{k}=0$, we obtain the case studied by Thews [5].
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