Michael David Rice Atoms in the family of coreflective subcategories of uniform spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 27 (1986), No. 2, 209--220

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106444

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

27.2 (1986)

ATOMS IN THE FAMILY OF COREFLECTIVE SUBCATEGORIES OF UNIFORM SPACES Michael D. RICE

Abstract: The present work complements and extends the work of Tashjian and Vilímovský by identifying two classes $\{P(m):m \geq \kappa_0\}$ and $\{T(m):m \geq \kappa_1\}$ which, in conjunction with the unit interval [0,1], are fundamental for the study of coreflective subcategories of uniform spaces. Using these classes, the following results are established:

The class of zero-dimensionally proximally fine spaces is the largest coreflective subcategory of uniform spaces which contains

only trivial proximally discrete spaces. The class of Baire-Fine spaces is the largest coreflective subcategory of uniform spaces disjoint from the class $\{[0,1]\} \cup$ ∪{P(m):m ≥ .μ, }.

Every non-uniformly discrete space inductively generates one

of the spaces [0,1], P(m), or T(m). Each one of the spaces [0,1], P(m), or T(m) inductively generates a coreflective subcategory which is an atom: the only proper coreflective subcategory is the class of uniformly discrete spaces.

Key words: Uniform space, coreflective subcategory, proximally discrete, proximally fine, Baire-Fine, atom.

Classification: 18B30, 54E15

Section one: definitions and notation. [0,1] (respectively R) will denote the unit interval (the real line) with the usual metric uniformity.

For each pair of uniform spaces X and Y, the collection of uniformly continuous mappings $X \longrightarrow Y$ will be denoted by U(X,Y). If Y = R with the usual uniformity, then U(X,Y) is denoted by U(X).

Unif will denote the category of separated uniform spaces and

uniformly continuous mappings. X is <u>uniformly discrete</u> if {{x}:x & X} is a uniform cover. The class of uniformly discrete spaces will be denoted by Unif Discrete. X is <u>proximally discrete</u> if every finite cover is uniform. The class of proximally discrete spaces will be denoted by Prox Discrete.

Assume $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Unif}$. Then coref (\mathcal{A}) will denote the coreflective hull of the class \mathcal{A} , that is, coref (\mathcal{A}) consists of all uniform spaces which are quotients of sums of members from \mathcal{A} . It is well known that Y is a member of coref (\mathcal{A}) if and only if the following condition is satisfied: for any uniform space Z, f:Y \longrightarrow Z is uniformly continuous if $f \circ h: A \longrightarrow Z$ is uniformly continuous for every uniformly continuous mapping $h: A \longrightarrow X$, where A is a member of \mathcal{A} . Sometimes the phrase "X generates Y" will be used instead of writing Y \in coref (X).

Assume that Y and Y' are uniform structures on the same set such that the identity mapping $Y' \longrightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous. Then Y - Y'denotes the class of uniform spaces X such that U(X,Y') == U(X,Y'). One can verify that Y - Y' is a coreflective subcategory of Unif.

Coz (X) denotes the family of sets of the form $\{x:f(x)\neq 0\}$, where f belongs to U(X). Baire (X) denotes the smallest \mathfrak{S} -field containing Coz (X). The members of Baire (X) are called Baire sets. A family \mathscr{G} of Baire sets is <u>completely additive</u> if the union of each subfamily is a Baire set. For uniform spaces X and Y, a mapping X \longrightarrow Y is <u>measurable</u> if the pre-image of every Baire set is a Baire set. X is <u>finitely measurable</u> if every finite Baire cover is a uniform cover. The class of finitely measurable spaces will be denoted by Fin-Meas. X is <u>Baire-Fine</u> if every measurable mapping to another uniform space is uniformly continuous. It is well known that X is Baire-Fine if and only if every completely additive

- 210 -

Baire partition is a uniform cover. The class of Baire-Fine spaces will be denoted by Baire-Fine.

Given a uniform space Y, pY denotes the uniform structure on Y with the basis consisting of all finite uniform covers of Y. A uniform space X is <u>proximally fine</u> if U(X,pY) = U(X,Y) for every space Y. We say that X is <u>zero-dimensionally proximally fine</u> if the preceding statement is valid for every uniform space Y with a basis of uniform partitions. It is clear that this property is weaker than being proximally fine; for example, every uniform space with a connected topology is zero- dimensionally proximally fine.

For each infinite cardinal m, P(m) denotes the uniform struc- 'ture on the set [0,m) consisting of all partitions of power < m and D(m) denotes the uniformly discrete structure on the set [0,m).

Section two: Largest coreflective subcategories

<u>Theorem 1</u>: ([TV]) Fin-Meas is the largest coreflective subcategory of Unif which does not contain [0,1].

Theorem 1 may be restated in the following form: X generates [0,1] if and only if X is not finitely measurable.

<u>Theorem 2</u>: For each $m \ge \varkappa_0$, P(m)-D(m) is the largest coreflective subcategory of Unif which does not contain P(m).

Proof: Clearly, P(m) is not a member of P(m)-D(m). Assume that X is a uniform space such that $U(X,P(m)) \neq U(X,D(m))$. We will show that X generates P(m); then it will follow that P(m)& coref (X) implies $X \in P(m)-D(m)$.

Assume that $f:P(m) \longrightarrow Y$ is not uniformly continuous. By definition of P(m), there exists a uniformly discrete subset $D = \frac{1}{y_j} : j \in J$ of f[P(m)] such that |J| = m. For each $j \in J$, choose

- 211 -

 x_j such that $f(x_j) = y_j$ and define $S = \{x_j: j \in J\}$. Since |S| = m, S is uniformly equivalent to P(m), so by assumption there exists a uniformly continuous mapping $g: X \longrightarrow S$ such that $g: X \longrightarrow D(S)$ is not uniformly continuous, where D(S) denotes the uniformly discrete space on the set S. Since $f |S:D(S) \longrightarrow D$ is a uniform equivalence, $f \circ g: X \longrightarrow Y$ is not uniformly continuous. It follows that X generates P(m).

<u>Corollary 1</u>: Let X be a uniform space. The following statements are equivalent for each m $\ge \#_{n}$:

(i) X generates P(m).

(ii) There exists a non-uniform partition $V_k: k \in K$ of X, |K| = m, satisfying the following condition:

(#) If K = U { K_t:te T}, |T| < m, then {B_t:te T} is a uniform cover, where B_t = U { V_k:ke K_t}.

Proof: We will first show $\neg (i) \rightarrow \neg (ii)$. Assume $P(m) \notin \mathbf{C}$ foref (X). Then by Theorem 2, X is a member of P(m)-D(m). Suppose that $\{V_k: 0 \le k < m\}$ is a partition of X of power m which satisfies (#). Define f:X $\rightarrow P(m)$ by f(x) = k if $x \in V_k$. Condition (*) implies that f is uniformly continuous, so f:X $\rightarrow D(m)$ is also uniformly continuous. Therefore, $\{f^{-1}(k): 0 \le k < m\} = \{V_k\}$ is a uniform cover of X, so we have established $\neg (ii)$.

(i) \longrightarrow (ii). The identity mapping i:P(m) \longrightarrow D(m) is not uniformly continuous, so by (i) there exists a uniformly continuous mapping f:X \longrightarrow P(m) such that f:X \longrightarrow D(m) is not uniformly continuous. Then $ff^{-1}(k):0 \le k < m$ is a non-uniform partition of X which satisfies (#), so (ii) holds.

<u>Remark</u>: If X is a non-uniformly discrete proximally discrete space on the natural numbers N, it follows from Corollary 1 that X generates $P(x_{2})$. However, $P(x_{2})$ need not be a quotient

- 212 -

of X. Let ${\cal F}$ be a free ultrafilter on N and let ${u_{{\cal F}}}$ be the uniformity on N having the following basis of partitions:

 $\mathcal{U}(F) = \{i \times i : x \notin F\} \cup \{F\}, F \notin \mathcal{F}, F \notin \mathcal{F}\}.$ Since \mathcal{F} is a free ultrafilter, $u_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}$. Since \mathcal{F} is a free ultrafilter, $u_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{N}$ is proximally discrete, but not uniformly discrete. Assume that $Q: u_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{N} \to P(\mathcal{F}_0)$ is an onto mapping and define $\mathcal{G} = \{G \subset N: Q^{-1}(G) \notin \mathcal{F}\}$. Then \mathcal{G} is an ultrafilter on N and $Q: u_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{N} \to u_{\mathcal{G}}$ N is uniformly continuous, but the identity mapping $i: P(\mathcal{F}_0) \to u_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathbb{N}$ is not uniformly continuous since $u_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}$ is not precompact. Hence Q is not a quotient mapping.

<u>Definition</u>: $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap \{ P(m) - D(m) : m \ge \mathcal{F}_{O} \}$.

<u>Theorem 3</u>: (i) \mathcal{F} is the largest coreflective subcategory of Unif disjoint from the class $P(m):m \ge \kappa_0^2$.

(ii) ${\mathscr F}$ is the class of zero-dimensionally proximally fine spaces.

Proof: (i) By definition, \mathcal{F} is disjoint from the class $\{P(m):m \geq \mathcal{K}_0\}$. Assume $\{P(m):m \geq \mathcal{K}_0\} \cap \text{coref}(X) = \emptyset$ for some uniform space X. Then by Theorem 2, for each m, $P(m) \notin \text{coref}(X)$ implies that X is a member of P(m)-D(m), so X is a member of \mathcal{F} .

(ii) Assume that X is zero-dimensionally proximally fine. If f:X \rightarrow P(m) is uniformly continuous, then f:X \rightarrow pD(m) is also uniformly continuous, so f:X \rightarrow D(m) is uniformly continuous. Therefore, X is a member of $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap \{ P(m) - D(m) : m \geq 4 \end{bmatrix}_n$.

Conversely, suppose X is a member of \mathscr{F} and $f:X \longrightarrow pY$ is uniformly continuous, where Y has a basis of uniform partitions. If $f:X \longrightarrow Y$ is not uniformly continuous, choose a uniform partition $\{V_k: 0 \le k < m\}$ of Y of minimal cardinality m such that $\{f^{-1}(V_k)\}$ is not a uniform cover of X. Define $h:Y \longrightarrow P(m)$ by h(y) = k if $y \in V_k$. Then $h \circ f: X \longrightarrow P(m)$ is uniformly continuous, so by assump-

tion $h \circ f: X \longrightarrow D(m)$ is also uniformly continuous. It follows that $f(h \circ f)^{-1}(k): 0 \leq k < m$ = $ff^{-1}(V_k)$ is a uniform cover of X, which is a contradiction . Therefore, $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous, so X is zero-dimensionally proximally fine.

<u>Corollary 2</u>: \mathscr{F} is the largest coreflective subcategory of Unif such that $\mathscr{F} \cap$ Prox Discrete = Unif Discrete.

Proof: It is easy to verify that $\mathscr{F} \cap$ Prox Discrete = Unif Discrete. Suppose that Prox Discrete \cap coref (X) = Unif Discrete for some uniform space X. Then $P(m) \notin$ coref (X) for every $m \ge \mathscr{K}_{_{\mathrm{U}}}$, so by Theorem 3(i), X is a member of \mathscr{F} , which establishes the result.

<u>Corollary 3</u>: Baire-Fine is the largest coreflective subcategory of Univ disjoint from the class $\{[0,1]\} \cup \{P(m):m \geq \pi_0\}$.

Proof: A uniform space X is Baire-Fine if and only if it is measurable and proximally fine ($[Ha]_2$, 5.2). Since every precompact measurable space is finite, [0,1] is not Baire-Fine. Since every proximally fine proximally discrete space is uniformly discrete, no P(m) is proximally fine. Hence Baire-Fine is disjoint from the given class. Now suppose that $\{10,11\} \cup \{P(m):m \geq \mathcal{F}_0\} \cap$ \cap coref (X) = Ø for some uniform space X. Since [0,1] is not a member of coref (X), it follows from Theorem 1 that X is finitely measurable and since no P(m) is a member of coref (X), it follows from Theorem 3 that X is a member of \mathcal{F} . Let $\{B_k: k \in D\}$ be a completely additive Baire partition of X and define $f: X \longrightarrow D$ by f(x) == k if $x \notin B_k$, where D is a uniformly discrete space. Since X is finitely measurable and B_k is a completely additive Baire family, $f: X \longrightarrow pD$ is uniformly continuous. Since X is a member of \mathcal{F} , Theorem 3(ii) implies that $f: X \longrightarrow D$ is uniformly continuous.

- 214 -

Therefore, $\{B_k\} = \{f^{-1}(k): k \in D\}$ is a uniform cover of X. Hence X is Baire-Fine and Corollary 3 is established.

<u>Corollary 4</u>: The following statements are equivalent for a uniform space X:

(i) {[0,1], P(\mathcal{F}_{0})} \cap coref (X) = Ø.

(ii) Every precompact member of coref (X) is finite.

(iii) Every countable Baire cover of X is uniform.

(X is separably-measurable in the sense of $[Ha]_1$.)

Proof: Clearly, (ii) \rightarrow (i). The implication (iii) \rightarrow (ii) follows from the facts that (a) the separably measurable spaces are a coreflective subcategory of Unif and (b) the precompact measurable spaces are finite. The implication (i) \rightarrow (iii) is established by an argument analogous to the one presented in the second part of the proof of Corollary 3.

<u>Corollary 5</u>: The separably-measurable spaces are the largest coreflective subcategory of Unif disjoint from $\{[0,1],P(x_n)\}$.

Proof: Corollary 5 follows at once from Corollary 4.

Section three: Atoms

Lemma: Assume X is Baire-Fine and every disjoint family of Baire sets is completely additive. Then X is uniformly discrete.

Proof: Let $\{S_k: 0 \le k < m\}$ be a family of Baire sets of power m. Inductively, define $T_0 = S_0$, $T_1 = S_1 - T_0$,..., $T_j = S_j - U\{T_k: 0 \le k < j\}$ for j < m. Assume that T_j is a Baire set for every j < n, where n < m. Since $\{T_j: j < m\}$ is a disjoint family, by assumption $U\{T_j: j < m\}$ is a Baire set, so $T_n = S_n - U\{T_j: j < n\}$ is allowed by a Baire set. Therefore, T_j is a Baire set for every j < m, so by

- 215 -

assumption, $U\{T_j: j < m\} = U\{S_j: j < m\}$ is a Baire set. It follows that Baire(X) is closed under the formation of arbitrary unions and intersections. Since the members of Baire(X) separate distinct pairs of points, every singleton subset is a Baire set, so $\mathcal{U} = \{\{x\}\}$:x $\in X\}$ is a completely additive Baire partition. Since X is Baire-Fine, \mathcal{U} is a uniform cover and X is uniformly discrete.

For each regular cardinal m $\ge \mathcal{K}_1$, T(m) denotes the uniform structure on the set [0,m] with the basis of uniform covers of the form

 $\{\{x\}: x < \infty\} \cup \{[\infty, m]\}, \alpha < m.$

One can verify that every T(m) is a Baire-Fine space and that

 $Baire(T(m)) = \{A: (m \notin A \text{ and } |A| < m) \text{ or } (m \in A \text{ and } |A^{C}| < m)\}.$

For the remainder of the paper, we will assume that the cardinal m satisfies the preceding restriction when referring to T(m).

<u>Theorem 4</u>: Every non-uniformly discrete Baire-Fine space X generates T(m), for some $m > \mathcal{K}_1$.

Proof: Assume that X is a non-uniformly discrete Baire-Fine space.Define m = inf $i | \mathcal{G} |$: \mathcal{G} is a disjoint Baire family and U $\mathcal{G} \notin$ Baire(X)}. By the preceding lemma, m is a well-defined uncountable cardinal and one can verify that m is regular. We claim that X generates T(m).

Assume that $f:T(m) \longrightarrow Y$ is not uniformly continuous. Since T(m) is Baire-Fine, f is not measurable, so there exists $B \notin Bai$ re(Y) such that $A = f^{-1}(B) \notin Baire(T(m))$. Without loss of generality, assume that $m \notin A$ and |A| = m. Choose a disjoint Baire family $\{B_k: k \notin K\}$ such that |K| = m and $U\{B_k: k \notin K\} \notin Baire(X)$. Let $e: K \twoheadrightarrow A$ be a bijection and define $h: X \longrightarrow T(m)$ by

- 216 -

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} e(k) & x \in B_k \\ m & x \notin U\{B_1: k \in K\} \end{cases}$$

We claim that h is measurable, and therefore uniformly continuous, since X is Baire-Fine. Suppose $H \in Baire(T(m))$ and $m \notin H$. Since |H| < m, $h^{-1}(H) = U \{ B_k : e(k) \in H \}$ is the disjoint union of fewer than m Baire sets; hence $h^{-1}(H) \in Baire(X)$, so h is measurable. On the other hand, $(f \circ h)^{-1}(B) = h^{-1}(A) = U \{ B_k : k \in K \} \notin Baire(X)$, so f \circ h is not measurable, and hence is not uniformly continuous. Therefore, X generates T(m).

<u>Remark</u>: The proofs of the Lemma and Theorem 4 show that a Baire-Fine space X generates T(m) if Baire(X) is n-additive for every n < m, but not m-additive.

<u>Corollary 6</u>: Every non-uniformly discrete space X generates one of the following spaces:

(i) [0,1]
(ii) P(m), for some m ≥ 𝔅₀.
(iii) T(m), for some m ≥ 𝔅₁.

Proof: Assume that X is a non-uniformly discrete space and define $\mathscr{G} = \{ [0,1] \} \cup \{ P(m) : m \geq \varkappa_0 \}$. If $\mathscr{G} \cap \operatorname{coref} (X) \neq \emptyset$, then either (i) or (ii) holds. If $\mathscr{G} \cap \operatorname{coref} (X) = \emptyset$, then by Corollary 3, X is Baire-Fine, so by Theorem 4, X generates T(m), for some $m \geq \varkappa_1$.

<u>Definition</u>: A coreflective subcategory $\mathscr C$ of Unif is an <u>atom</u> if the only proper coreflective subcategory of $\mathscr C$ is Unif Discrete.

<u>Corollary 7</u>: Unif has exactly the following coreflective atoms:

(i) cl = coref([0,1])

(ii) $\mathcal{P}(m) = coref(P(m)), m \ge \mathcal{K}_n$

(iii) $\mathcal{J}'(m) = \operatorname{coref}(T(m)), m \geq \mathfrak{H}_1.$

Proof: Corollary 6 shows that the subcategories listed above are the only possible coreflective atoms. We will now show that each subcategory is an atom.

(i) Suppose that [0,1] generates the non-uniformly discrete space X. If X is finitely measurable, it has a P-space topology, so every uniformly continuous mapping $[0,1] \longrightarrow X$ is constant. Therefore, X is uniformly discrete. Hence X is not finitely measurable, so by Theorem 1, X generates [0,1].

(ii) Suppose P(m) generates the non-uniformly discrete space X. Since Prox Discrete is a coreflective subcategory, X cannot generate any member of the class $\{0,1\} \cup \{T(m):m \ge M_1\}$; hence by Corollary 6, X generates some P(n). Therefore, P(n) \in coref (P(m)), which implies that m = n. (If n < m, P(n) \in coref (P(m)) implies that P(n) admits n and hence is uniformly discrete. If n > m, the range of every uniformly continuous mapping P(m) \longrightarrow P(n) is uniformly discrete, which also implies that P(n) is uniformly discrete.) Hence X generates P(m).

(iii) Suppose T(m) generates the non-uniformly discrete space X. Then X is Baire-Fine, so Theorem 4 implies that X generates T(n), for some $n \succeq \mathfrak{K}_1$. Therefore, $T(n) \in \operatorname{coref}(T(m))$, which implies that m = n. (If n < m, $T(n) \in \operatorname{coref}(T(m))$ implies that T(n) admits n and hence is uniformly discrete. If n > m, the range of every uniformly continuous $T(m) \dashrightarrow T(n)$ is uniformly discrete, which also implies that T(n) is uniformly discrete. If n < m generates T(m).

Remark: One can also establish that the intersection of any

two distinct coreflective atoms is exactly the class of uniformly discrete spaces.

<u>Section four: Topological results</u>. Let Tychonov denote the category of completely regular Hausdorff spaces and continuous mappings. In this setting, T(m) will refer to the topological space with the induced uniform topology: for each $\ll \prec m$, the singleton set $\exists \alpha$ is open, and the set V is a neighborhood of m if |T(m) - V| < m. (The uniform space T(m) is the fine space associated with this topology.)

<u>Corollary 8</u>: Let X be a non-discrete Tychonov space. Then X generates [0,1] if and only if X is <u>not</u> a P-space.

Proof: Consider X as a fine uniform space. Then X is finitely measurable if and only if X is topologically a P-space, so Corollary 8 follows from Theorem 1.

<u>Corollary 9</u>: Every non-discrete P-space X generates T(m), for some $m \ge F_1$.

Proof: Consider X as a fine uniform space. Since X has a Pspace topology, X is Baire-Fine, so by Theorem 4, in Unif X generates some T(m). Since X and T(m) are fine spaces, it follows that X generates T(m) in Tychonov.

Using the analogous definition of a coreflective atom in Tychonov, we can also establish the following result.

<u>Corollary 10</u>: Tychonov has exactly the following coreflective atoms:

(i) coref (10,11)
(ii) coref (T(m)). m > *

- 219 -

Proof: Suppose that [0,1] generates the non-discrete space \dot{X} . Then X is not a P-space, so by Corollary 8, X generates [0,1].

(ii) Suppose that T(m) generates the non-discrete space X. Then in Unif, T(m) generates the fine uniform space X. Since T(m)is a P-space, X is also a P-space, so it is Baire-Fine. Hence by Theorem 4, X generates some T(n). Therefore, T(m) generates T(n). The argument used in the proof of part (iii) of Corollary 7 shows that m = n, so X generates T(m).

References

		Keierences
٠	[Fr] ₁	Z. FROLÍK: Measurable uniform spaces, Pacific J. Math. 55 (1974), 93-105.
	[Fr] ₂	: Interplay of measurable and uniform spaces, Proc. 2nd International Conf. in Topology, Bud∽ va, Yugoslavia, 1972 (Beograd 1973), 98–101.
	[Ha] ₁	A.W. HAGER: Measurable uniform spaces, Fund. Math. LXXVIII 1(1972), 51-73.
	[Ha] ₂	: Uniformities induced by Cozero and Baire sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 63,1(1977), 153-159.
	[R]	M.D. RICE: Metric-fine uniform spaces, J. London Math. Soc. (2)11(1975), 53-64.
	[R] ₂	: Uniformities in the descriptive theory of sets I: Basic operators, Amer. J. Math. 99,2(1977), 227-237.
	[R] ₃	: Uniformities in the descriptive theory of sets II: Measurable functions, Amer.J. Math. 100,4 (1978), 717–725.
	[TV]	G. TASHJIAN and J. VILÍMOVSKÝ: Coreflections not preser- ving the interval and Baire partitions of unifor spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77(1979), 257-263

Department of Computer and Information Sciences, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, U.S.A.

٨

(Oblatum 15.3. 1985, revisum 15.1. 1986)