Said R. Grace; Bikkar S. Lalli Oscillation theorem for a second order nonlinear ordinary differential equation with damping term

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 27 (1986), No. 3, 449--453

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106467

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 27,3 (1986)

OSCILLATION THEOREM FOR A SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH DAMPING TERM S. R. GRACE and B. S. LALLI

<u>Abstract</u>: A new oscillation criterion for the equation $(a(t)x^{*}(t))^{*} + p(t)x^{*}(t) + c(t)x(t) + q(t)|x(t)|^{\mathscr{T}} \operatorname{sgn} x(t) = 0,$ $0 < \gamma < 1,$ is established. <u>Key words</u>: Differential equation, oscillatory solutions, nonoscillatory, sublinear. Classification: Primary 34C10 Secondary 34C15

Consider the second order nonlinear differential equation (1) $(a(t)x^{*}(t))^{*} + p(t)x^{*}(t) + c(t)x(t) + q(t)|x(t)|^{2} \operatorname{sgn} x(t) = 0,$ $0 < \gamma < 1,$

where a, p, c, q: $[t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R = (-\infty, \infty)$ are continuous and a(t) > 0

We shall restrict our attention to solutions of equation (1) which exist on some ray $[t_0,\infty)$. A solution of equation (1) is called oscillatory if it has no largest zero; otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. An equation is said to be oscillatory if every solution is oscillatory.

Recently Kwong and Wong [3] considered the sublinear ordinary differential equation

(*) $x^{*}(t) + q(t)|x(t)|^{\mathscr{T}} \operatorname{sgn} x(t) = 0, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1,$ and proved the following theorem:

Theorem A. If there exists a positive function ∞ such that

 $\varphi \succeq 0$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$ that satisfies

(**) lim $\int_{D}^{t} \varphi^{\tilde{\sigma}}(s)q(s)ds = \infty$

then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem A extended and unified Belohore¢ Theorem [1].

The purpose of this paper is to proceed further in this direction and to present a new oscillation theorem for Eq. (1) which extends Theorem A of Kwong and Wong.

Our main result is the following theorem:

<u>Theorem 1</u>. Let $c(t) \ge \frac{p^2(t)}{4 \gamma a(t)}$ and \mathcal{P} be a positive twice differentiable function on the interval $[t_0, \infty)$ such that: (2) $p(t)\mathcal{P}(t)\ge 0$, and $(a(t)\mathcal{P}(t))^* \le 0$ for $t\ge t_0$; and

(3)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup\frac{1}{\int_{t_0}^{t}\frac{1}{a(s)}ds}\int_{t_0}^{t}\frac{1}{a(s)}\int_{t_0}^{s}\varphi^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau)q(\tau)d\tau ds = \infty;$$

then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

<u>Proof</u>. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1), say x(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_n$. For $t \ge t_n$, define

(4)
$$w(t) = \left(\frac{x(t)}{\rho(t)}\right)^{\gamma}$$
,

which is again positive. Let $\beta = \frac{1}{\pi} > 1$, then

 $x(t) = o(t)w^{\beta}(t).$

Differentiating (4), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{w(t)} (a(t)(\varphi(t)w^{\beta}(t))^{\bullet})^{\bullet} = \frac{\beta}{\beta^{-1}} (a(t)(\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))^{\bullet})^{\bullet} +$$

+
$$\frac{1}{1-\beta}$$
 (a(t) $\varphi^{*}(t)$)* $w^{\beta-1}(t) + \beta a(t) \varphi(t) w^{\beta-3}(t) w^{*2}(t)$.

From equation (1) and (4) we have

$$\frac{(a(t)x^{*}(t))^{*}}{w(t)} = \frac{(a(t)(\varrho(t)w^{\beta}(t))^{*})^{*}}{w(t)} = -\frac{p(t)x^{*}(t)}{w(t)} - \frac{c(t)x(t)}{w(t)} - \frac{c(t)x(t$$

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{q(t)x^{3}}{w(t)} = -\frac{p(t)}{w(t)} \left[\varphi^{*}(t)w^{\beta}(t) + \beta\varphi^{*}(t)w^{\beta-1}(t)w^{*}(t) \right] - \\ &-\frac{c(t)}{w(t)}\varphi^{*}(t)w^{\beta}(t) - \varphi^{*}(t)q(t) = -\varphi^{*}(t)q(t) - p(t)\varphi^{*}(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) - \\ &-c(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) - \beta p(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-2}(t)w^{*}(t). \end{split}$$
Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} (a(t)(\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))^{*})^{*} + \frac{1}{1-\beta} (a(t)\varphi^{*}(t))^{*}w^{\beta-1}(t) + \\ &+ \beta a(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-3}(t)w^{*2}(t) + p(t)\varphi^{*}(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) + \beta p(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-2}(t)w^{*}(t) + \\ &+ c(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) = -\varphi^{*}(t)q(t). \end{aligned}$$
Using (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} (a(t)(\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))^{*})^{*} + \frac{1}{\beta}a(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-3}(t)w^{*2}(t) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\beta}p(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-2}(t)w^{*}(t) + c(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) = -\varphi^{*}(t)q(t). \end{aligned}$$
Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} (a(t)(\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))^{*})^{*} + c(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) - \frac{\beta p^{2}(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t)}{4a(t)} + \\ &+ \left[(\beta a(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-3}(t))^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}w^{*}(t) + \frac{\beta p(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-2}(t)}{2(\beta a(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-3})^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} \right]^{2} \\ &= \frac{\omega}{2} - \varphi^{*}(t)q(t). \end{aligned}$$
Using the fact that $c(t) \ge \frac{p^{2}(t)}{4w^{2}(t)}, we obtain \end{aligned}$

Using the fact that $c(t) \ge \frac{p^{-}(t)}{4\pi^{a}(t)}$, we obtain (5) $(a(t)(\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))^{*})^{*} \le -\frac{\beta-1}{\beta} \varphi^{\pi}(t)q(t)$. Integrating (5) twice from t_{0} to twe get (6) $(\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t)) < 0 = t = 0$

(6) $(\varsigma(t)w^{\beta-1}(t)) \leq C_1 + C_0 \int_{t_c}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds - \frac{\beta-1}{\beta} \int_{t_c}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_c}^{s} \varsigma^{\gamma}(z)q(z)dz ds,$

where C_0 and C_1 are appropriate integration constants. Obviously $\int_{t_c}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds \text{ exists in } (0,\infty) \cup \{\infty\} \text{ and consequently}$ $\lim_{t \to \infty} (\int_{t_c}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds)^{-1} = L \text{ for some } L \in [0,\infty).$

- 451 -

So we derive

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{\int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} ds} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_0}^s \varphi^{\gamma}(\tau) q(\tau) d\tau ds \leq \\ & \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta^{-1}} \left[C_0 + C_1 L - \lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{1}{\int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} ds} \left(\zeta(t) w^{\beta^{-1}}(t) \right) < \infty \end{split}$$

which contradicts (3). This completes the proof.

In Theorem 1 no assumption is made on $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds$. Therefore, its conclusion holds in both cases where (I) or (II) below is satisfied:

(I) $\int_{t_o}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds = \infty,$ (II) $\int_{t_o}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds < \infty.$

In the second case, i.e. when (II) is satisfied, the condition (3) is clearly equivalent to the following one:

(7) $\lim_{t \to \omega} \sup \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_0}^{s} e^{x}(\tau)q(\tau)d\tau ds = \infty$

<u>Remarks</u>: 1. Our Theorem 1 improves and includes Theorem 1 of Kwong and Wong [3] (take a(t) = 1, c(t) = p(t) = 0). Also, it includes the sufficiency part of Belohorec Theorem in [1], for a(t) = 1, c(t) = p(t) = 0 and c(t) = t.

2. Theorem 1 can be extended to more general nonlinear e- quations of the form

(8) $(a(t)x^{*}(t))^{*} + p(t)x^{*}(t) + c(t)x(t) + f(t,x(t)) = 0,$

where a, p, c are as above, f: [t₀, ∞) × R \rightarrow R is continuous such that xf(t,x) > 0 for x ± 0 , and

$$\frac{f(t,x)}{|x|^{y}} \ge q(t), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

where q: $[t_n, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function.

3. It is clear that the oscillatory behavior of Eq. (1) or

- 452 -

(8) (with a(t) = 1 and $c(t) \ge \frac{p^2(t)}{4\gamma}$) and equation (*) are exactly the same.

For illustration we consider the following example:

Example 1. Consider the differential equation

(9)
$$(t^{\alpha_1}x^*)^* + t^{\alpha_2}x^* + t^{\alpha_3}x + (t^{\Lambda}\sin t)|x|^{\gamma} \operatorname{sgn} x = 0,$$

 $0 < \gamma < 1, t \ge 1,$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ and λ are constants. Let $\rho(t) = t^{\Theta}$, where Θ is any nonnegative constant such that

.

$$\alpha_1 + \Theta - 1 \neq 0, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = 2 \alpha_2, \quad 4 \gamma \geq 1.$$

If

then all solutions of equation (9) are oscillatory. One can easily check that none of the known criteria [1-6] is applicable to Eq. (9).

References

[1]	S. BELOHOREC: Oscillatory solution ferential equations of se Sloven.Akad.Vied 11(1961)	econd order, Mat.Fyz.Časopis
[2]	I.V. KAMENEV: Certain specifical orems, Mat.Zametki 10(19)	ly nonlinear oscillation the- 71),129–134. (In Russian.)
[3]	M.K. KWONG and J.W.W. WONG: On ar lohorec, Siam J.Math.Anal	
[4]	A. WINTNER: A criterion of oscill Math.7(1949), 115-117.	latory stability, Quart.Appl.
[5]	J.S.W. WONG: A second order nonli Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.40(19)	
[6]	J.W.W. WONG: On the generalized E Rev.17(1975), 339-360.	Emden-Fowler equations, Siam
Un'iv	rtment of Mathematical Sciences ersity of Petroleum and rals,Dhahran,Saudi Arabia	Department of Mathematics University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon,Saskatchewan S7N OWO Canada

(Oblatum 2.1. 1986)

- 453 -