Claudio H. Morales Zeros for strongly accretive set-valued mappings

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 27 (1986), No. 3, 455--469

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106468

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 27,3 (1986)

## ZEROS FOR STRONGLY ACCRETIVE SET-VALUED MAPPINGS Claudio MORALES

Abstract: Let D be an open subset of a Banach space X, and let B(X) denote the family of all nonempty, bounded and closed subsets of X. Suppose T:D  $\longrightarrow B(X)$  is a continuous (with respect to the Hausdorff metric) and strongly accretive mapping. It is shown that if for some  $z \in D:t(x - z) \notin T(x)$  for x in the boundary of D and t < 0, it is sufficient to guarantee that T has a zero in D. Several implications of this result are considered, particularly on a localized version of it.

Key words and phrases: Strongly accretive mappings, locally 'c-strongly accretive mappings, zeros.

Classification: 47H10

\_\_\_\_\_

Let X be a Banach space, D a nonempty subset of X, and let B(X) denote the family of all nonempty, bounded and closed subsets of  $\dot{X}$  supplied with the Hausdorff metric H (defined below). A mapping T:D  $\gamma \gamma(X)$  is said to be <u>strongly accretive</u> if for some k < 1 and for each x, y  $\in$  D, u  $\in$  T(x), v  $\in$  T(y):

(1)  $(\Lambda - k) \| x - y \| \leq |(\Lambda - 1)(x - y) + u - y ||$ 

for all A > k; while T is said to be <u>accretive</u> if (1) holds for k=1. This latter class was introduced independently in 1967 by F.E.Browder [2] and by T.Kato [6] and their firm connection with the existence theory for nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces is well-known (see, for example, 31, 4:,16) or [11]). The theory of accretive operators has been closely related with the existence of fixed points for nonexpansive mappings, which is clearly

- 455 -

reflected by the fact that T is accretive if and only if the mapping I - T is <u>pseudo-contractive</u>, a class of mapping which, in the single-value case, includes all nonexpansive mappings.

In a recent paper [12], the author showed the existence of a unique fixed point for strongly pseudo-contractive mappings (a much wider class than contractions) under a condition weaker than the Leray-Schauder type, introduced by Kirk-Morales [8]. Particularly it can be derived the following result from Theorem 1 of [12].

<u>Theorem M</u>. Let X be a Banach space, D an open subset of X, and T a continuous strongly accretive mapping from  $\overline{D}$  into X satisfying for some  $z \in D$ :

 $T(x) \neq t(x - z)$  for  $x \in \partial D$  and t < 0.

Then T has a unique zero in  $\overline{D}.$ 

Theorem M has been used (see [9]) to obtain a number of results concerning the existence of zeros for continuous and accretive single-valued mappings. In view of this, it appears to be important to investigate whether or not the above result holds for set-valued mappings. In fact, we are able to answer this question positively in Theorem 1. Our approach relies on ideas already developed in [14] for single-valued mappings, combined with a recent theorem of Kirk [7] (see below). In the interest of attaining a certain degree of generality, we study a localized version of Theorem 1 via refining arguments of Kirk and the author in [9] and [13]. We also obtain some consequences of the main result which improve the recent theorems of Downing [5]. Finally we obtain a domain invariance theorem for the class of mappings so-called cstrongly accretive.

- 456 -

<u>Theorem K</u> (Kirk,[7]). Let X be a Banach space and D an open subset of X..Suppose T:D  $\rightarrow$  B(X) is continuous (relative to the Hausdorff metric) and strongly accretive. Then T(D) is open in X.

Throughout this paper we use  $\overline{D}$  and  $\partial D$  to denote, respectively, the closure and the boundary of D, and for  $u, v \in X$  we use seg[u, v] to denote the segment  $\{tu + (1 - t)v: t \in [0, 1]\}$ . Also, for a subset A of X, we use |A| to denote  $inf \{\|x\|:x \in A\}$ . Finally, for a Banach space X, the mapping  $J:X \longrightarrow 2^{X^*}$  denotes the usual normalized duality mapping:

$$J(x) = \{j \in X^*: \|j\| = \|x\|, \langle x, j \rangle = \|x\|^2\}.$$

- Following Assad and Kirk [1] we define the Hausdorff metric H as follows: if r > 0 and E  $\epsilon$  B(X), let

$$V_{r}(E) = \{x \in X: dist(x, E) < r\}.$$

Then for  $A, B \in B(X)$  we define

We shall also make use of the following lemma, which is noted in [1].

Lemma 1. If A,B  $\epsilon$  B(X) and x  $\epsilon$  A, then for each positive number  $\infty$  there exists y  $\epsilon$  B such that

 $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \leq \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) + \boldsymbol{\infty}.$ 

In what follows we shall frequently appeal to the following facts.

Lemma 2. Let D be a subset of a Banach space X with  $0 \in D$ , and let T:D  $\longrightarrow B(X)$  be a strongly accretive mapping. Then:

(i) the set  $E = \{x \in D: tx \in T(x) \text{ for some } t < 0\}$  is bounded.

- 457 -

(ii) If  $\{x_n - u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in X for  $u_n \in T(x_n)$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow t$  with  $t_n \in [0,1]$ , and  $z_n = (1 - t_n)x_n + t_nu_n \rightarrow y$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

<u>Proof</u>. (i) Let  $tx \in T(x)$  for some t < 0. Select  $u \in T(x)$  such that tx = u and thus (1) implies

for all  $v \in T(0)$ . Since t < 0, it follows that  $\|x\| \leq |T(0)|/(1 - k).$ 

(ii) Let  $u_n \in T(x_n).$  Then by choosing  $\lambda = t_n^{-1}$  in (1) we obtain

$$(t_n^{-1} - k) \|x_n - x_m\| \neq \|(t_n^{-1} - 1)(x_n - x_m) + u_n - u_m\|$$

yielding

$$(1 - t_{n}k) \|x_{n} - x_{m}\| \leq \|(1 - t_{n})(x_{n} - x_{m}) + t_{n}(u_{n} - u_{m})\|$$
$$\leq \|z_{n} - z_{m}\| + |t_{n} - t_{m}| \|x_{m} - u_{m}\|.$$

Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 3. Let C be a closed subset of a Banach space X and let T:C  $\longrightarrow$  B(X) be continuous. Suppose  $h_t(x) = (1 - t)x + tT(x)$ for t  $\in (0,1]$  and  $z_n \in h_{t_n}(x_n)$  where  $z_n \longrightarrow z$ ,  $t_n \longrightarrow t_0 > 0$  and  $x_n \longrightarrow x_0$ . Then  $z \in h_{t_n}(x_0)$ .

**Proof**. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists N  $\in$  N such that

(2) 
$$H(T(x_n), T(x)) < \varepsilon/2t_n$$
 for all  $n \ge N$ 

Since  $z_n \in h_{t_n}(x_n)$ , we may choose  $u_n \in T(x_n)$  so that  $z_n = (1 - t_n)x_n + t_n u_n$ . Moreover, by Lemma 1, we may select  $v_n \in T(x_0)$  satisfying

(3) 
$$u_n - v_n = H(T(x_n), T(x_n)) + \varepsilon/2t_n$$

Let  $w_n = (1 - t_n)x_0 + t_n v_n$  for each n, then

 $\begin{aligned} \|z_n - w_n\| &= \|(1 - t_n)x_n + t_n u_n - \zeta(1 - t_o)x_o + t_o v_n] \\ &\leq |1 - t_n| \|x_n - x_o\| + |t_o - t_n| \|x_o - u_n\| + t_o \|u_n - v_n\|. \end{aligned}$ 

By making use of (2) and (3), we get

(4) 
$$\|z_n - w_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2} - t_n \|x_n - x_0\| + |t_0 - t_n| \|x_0 - u_n\| + \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \ge N$ . By letting  $n \longrightarrow \infty$  in (4) and observing that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded, we conclude

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup \|w_n - z\| \le \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary and  $w_n \in h_t(x_0)$  for all n, the sequence  $\{w_n\}$  converges to z, hence  $z \in h_t(x_0)$ .

We begin with a special case of our main result.

<u>Proposition 1</u>. Let X be a Banach space, D an open subset of X, and let  $T:\overline{D} \longrightarrow B(X)$  be a continuous and strongly accretive mapping. Suppose that T maps bounded sets into bounded sets and satisfies for some  $z \in D$ :

(5)  $t(x - z) \notin T(x)$  for  $x \in \partial D$  and t < 0.

Then  $0 \in T(\overline{D})$ .

<u>Proof</u>. By translating T and D, we may take z = 0 in (5). Since the set E (defined in Lemma 2) is bounded, there is no loss of generality in assuming D is bounded.

Let  $h_t:\overline{D} \longrightarrow B(X)$  be defined by  $h_t(x) = (1 - t)x + tT(x)$  for each  $t \in [0,1]$ , and let

 $M = \{t \in [0,1] : 0 \in h_+(x) \text{ for some } x \in D\}.$ 

We first observe that  $M \neq \emptyset$  (since  $0 \in M$ ). Now we shall show that sup M = 1. To see this, let  $\{t_n\}$  be a sequence of M with  $t_n \longrightarrow t$ as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ . Then, for each n, there exists  $x_n \in D$  so that  $0 \in h_{t_n}(x_n)$ . This means, we may select  $u_n \in T(x_n)$  for which

- 459 -

 $(1 - t_n)x_n + t_nu_n = 0$ , implying that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence (by Lemma 2 ii). Hence  $x_n \rightarrow x \in \overline{D}$  and thus by Lemma 3 we conclude that  $0 \in (1 - t)x + tT(x)$  and by (5)  $x \in D$ . Therefore M is closed in [0,1].

Assume now that M is not open. Then there exists  $t \in M$  and a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in [0,1] for which  $t_n \notin M$  and  $t_n \rightarrow t$ . Let  $0 \in h_t(x)$  for some  $x \in D$  and let  $u \in T(x)$  such that (1 - t)x + tu = 0. Suppose B is an open ball centered at x contained in D. If we define  $y_n = (1 - t_n)x + t_n u$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then

$$y_n \in h_{t_n}(x) \subset h_{t_n}(B)$$

while  $0 \neq h_t_n(B)$ , which implies the existence of  $u_n \in seg [0, y_n] \cap \cap \partial h_{t_n}(B)$ . Since  $h_{t_n}$  is strongly accretive for  $t_n > 0$ , it follows that  $h_{t_n}(B)$  is open (by Theorem K), while by (1)  $h_{t_n}(\overline{B})$  is closed. Hence we conclude that  $\partial h_{t_n}(B) \in h_{t_n}(\partial B)$ , yielding to the exission of a point  $x_n \in \partial B$  so that  $u_n \in h_{t_n}(x_n)$ . Since  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u_n \in seg [0, y_n]$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  and thus Lemma 2(ii) implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence which must converge, say to  $\overline{x} \in \partial B$ . Therefore by Lemma 3  $0 \in h_t(\overline{x})$  which, since  $x \neq \overline{x}$ , contradicts the expansiveness of  $h_t$  on B, completing the proof.

Since T is strongly accretive on a set iff I - T is strongly pseudo-contractive, the following result is a direct consequence of Proposition 1.

<u>Corollary 1</u>. Let X be a Banach space and K a closed ball in X. Let T:K  $\longrightarrow$  B(K) be a continuous and strongly pseudo-contractive mapping. Then there exists  $x_n \in K$  such that  $x_n \in T(x_n)$ .

We now state the main result of this paper.

- 460 -

<u>Theorem 1</u>. Let X be a Banach space, and D an open subset of X. Suppose  $T:\overline{D} \longrightarrow B(X)$  is a continuous and strongly accretive mapping which satisfies for some  $z \in D$ :

(6) 
$$t(x - z) \notin T(x)$$
 for  $x \in \Theta D$  and  $t < 0$ .

Then there exists  $x \in \overline{D}$  with  $0 \in T(x)$ .

<u>Proof</u>. As before, we may assume D is bounded and z = 0 in (6). Since the mapping U = I - T is continuous at 0, we may choose a closed ball K centered at 0 and  $t \in (0,1)$  such that K C D and

Since tU is also strongly pseudo-contractive, Corollary 1 implies the existence of  $x \in K$  such that  $x \in tU(x)$ , i.e.,  $0 \in (1 - t)x + tT(x)$ .

Let  $h_t:\overline{D} \longrightarrow B(X)$  be defined by  $h_t(x) = (1 - t)x + tT(x)$  for each  $t \in (0,1]$ , and let

 $M = \{t \in (0, 1]: 0 \in h_+(x) \text{ for some } x \in D\}.$ 

Observe that  $h_t$  is strongly accretive and M is a nonempty set with sup M > 0 (by the above argument). To complete the proof it suffices to show, successively, that sup M = 1 and 1  $\leq$  M.

Suppose  $t_0 = \sup M < 1$ . Let  $\{t_n\}$  be a sequence of M with  $t_n \rightarrow t_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and let  $x_n \in D$  be such that  $0 \in (1 - t_n)x_n + t_n T(x_n)$ . Choose  $u_n \in T(x_n)$  so that  $(1 - t_n)x_n + t_n u_n = 0$ . Since D is bounded and  $\{t_n\}$  is bounded away from zero, the sequence  $\{x_n - u_n\}$  is bounded. Thus by Lemma 2(ii)  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, implying  $x_n \rightarrow x_0 \in \overline{D}$ . It follows that, by Lemma 3,  $0 \in (1 - t_0)x_0 + t_0T(x_0)$  and by (6)  $x_0 \in D$ , proving  $t_0 \in M$ .

Since by assumption  $t_0 < 1$ , we select a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in the open interval  $(t_0, 1)$  such that  $t_n \longrightarrow t_0^+$ . Since  $t_n \notin M$  for each n, the argument given in Proposition 1 leads to the same type of

- 461 -

contradiction. Therefore  $t_n = 1 \in M$ .

The single-valued version of Theorem 1 can be easily derived from Theorem 1 of the author [13] in more general setting. Actually, if T is a single-valued mapping from  $\overline{D}$  into X, Theorem 1 remains valid for the much wider class of locally strongly pseudo-contractive mappings.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let X be a Banach space and D a bounded open subset of X. Suppose T: $\overline{D} \longrightarrow B(X)$  is a continuous and accretive mapping satisfying for some  $z \in D$ :

(7)  $t(x - z) \notin T(x)$  for  $x \in \partial D$  and t < 0.

Then  $\inf \{|T(x)| : x \in \overline{D}\} = 0$ .

<u>Proof</u>. Let  $T_n: \overline{D} \to B(X)$  be defined by  $T_n(x) = (1/n)(x - z) + T(x)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $T_n$  is a continuous strongly accretive mapping which also satisfies (7). Then, by Theorem 1, there exists  $x_n \in \overline{D}$  so that  $0 \in T_n(x_n)$  for each  $n \cdot Since \{x_n\}$  is bounded it follows that  $|T(x_n)| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , concluding that  $\inf \{|T(x)| : x \in \overline{D}\} = 0$ .

We should note that in [5], Downing has shown Theorem 2 under the additional assumptions that T is lipschitzian and it takes values in P(X), i.e., if  $x \in X$  and  $A \in P(X)$ , there exists a point  $a \in A$  with  $||x - a|| = \inf \{||x - y||: y \in A\}$ .

Next, we extend a theorem of Kirk and Schöneberg [10] to a set-valued mapping, and we also improve Theorem 2.1 of [5], which is also an extension of the aforementioned theorem of [10].

<u>Theorem 3</u>. Let D be a bounded open subset of a Banach space X, and let  $T:\overline{D} \longrightarrow B(X)$  be continuous and accretive. Suppose there exists  $z \in D$  such that

- 462 -

(8) |T(z)| < |T(x)| for all  $x \in \partial D$ .

Then inf  $\{|T(x)|: x \in \overline{D}\} = 0$ . If in addition,  $\overline{D}$  has the fixed point property with respect to (single-valued) nonexpansive self-mappings, then  $0 \in T(\overline{D})$ .

<u>Proof</u>. We first show that (8) implies condition (7):  $t(x - z) \neq T(x)$  for  $x \in \partial D$  and t < 0. Suppose u = t(x - z) for some  $u \in T(x)$ ,  $x \in \partial D$  and t < 0. Then by choosing  $\lambda = 1 - t$  and k = 1 in (1) we have

 $-t \|x - z\| \leq \|-t(x - z) + u - v\| = \|v\|$ 

for each  $v \in T(z)$ . Since  $|T(x)| \le -t ||x - z||$  and  $-t ||x - z|| \le |T(z)|$ , we conclude that  $|T(x)| \le |T(z)|$  which contradicts (8). Therefore, Theorem 2 implies inf  $\{|T(x)|:x \in \overline{D}\} = 0$ . From this latter fact one may assume the existence of  $z \in D$  such that

 $|T(z)| < \inf \{|T(x)| : x \in \partial D\}.$ 

By Theorem 2.4 of [7], there exists a (single-valued) nonexpansive mapping  $f:\overline{D} \longrightarrow D$  whose fixed points are zeros of T. Hence the added assumption on  $\overline{D}$  completes the proof.

The following theorem is a localization of Theorem 1. To prove this result, we invoke some lemmas whose proofs are patterned after Kirk-Morales [9] and Morales [13].

<u>Theorem 4</u>. Let X be a Banach space, and D an open subset of X. Suppose  $T:\overline{D} \longrightarrow B(X)$  is a continuous and locally strongly accretive mapping on D which satisfies for some  $z \in D$ :

(9)  $t(x-z) \notin T(x)$  for  $x \in \partial D$  and t < 0.

Then there exists  $x \in \overline{D}$  with  $0 \in T(x)$ .

To prove this theorem we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. Let X be a Banach space and D an open subset of X.

- 463 -

Suppose  $T:\overline{D} \longrightarrow 2^X$  is a continuous mapping which is locally strongly accretive on D. Suppose also that  $t_0 x_0 \in T(x_0)$  for some  $x_0 \in D$ and  $t_0 < 0$ , and suppose for  $\sigma'_0 > 0$ ,  $B(x_0; \sigma'_0) \subset D$ . Then:

(a) If t<0 satisfies

(10) 
$$|t-t_n| \leq \sigma_n (1-k)/||x_n||$$
,

there is a unique point  $x_{+} \in B(x_{0}; \sigma_{0})$  such that  $tx_{+} \in T(x_{+})$ .

(b) The point  $x_+$  in (a) satisfies

$$\|x_t - x_0\| \le \|x_t\| |t - t_0|/(1 - t_0 - k).$$

<u>Proof</u>. Since T is locally strongly accretive on D, there exists a closed ball B =  $B(x_0; \sigma'_0)$  where T is globally strongly accretive. Suppose t<0 satisfies (10). We shall show that the mapping T-tI satisfies (9) on  $\partial B$  (with z =  $x_0$ ). To see this, suppose there exist s<0 and x e  $\partial B$  such that

$$s(x - x_0) \in T(x) - tx$$

Choose  $u_0 \in T(x_0)$  and  $u \in T(x)$  so that  $u_0 = t_0 x_0$  and  $s(x - x_0) = u_0 + tx$ . Then by setting  $\lambda = 1 - t - s$  in (1) we have

$$(1 - t - s - k) \|x - x_0\| \le \| - (s + t)(x - x_0) + u - u_0\|$$
  
=  $\| - (s + t)(x - x_0) + s(x - x_0) + tx - t_0 x_0 \|$   
=  $\| x_0(t - t_0) \|$ 

from which (using (10)) and the fact that  $||x - x_0|| = \sigma_0$ 

$$(1 - t - s - k) ||x - x_0|| \le (1 - k) ||x - x_0||.$$

This implies s > 0, which is a contradiction. Therefore, by Theorem 1, T - tI has a unique zero  $x_{+}$  in B, i.e.,  $tx_{+} \in T(x_{+})$ .

To prove (b), select  $\lambda = 1 - t_0$ . The strong accretiveness of T implies

$$(1 - t_0 - k) ||x_t - x_0|| \neq || - t_0 (x_t - x_0) + t x_t - t_0 x_0 ||$$

yielding

$$\|x_t - x_0\| \le \|x_t\|$$
 |t - t\_0|/(1 - t\_0 - k).

Lemma 5. Let X be a Banach space, D an open subset of X and  $T:\overline{D} \longrightarrow 2^X$  a continuous mapping which is locally strongly accretive on D. For A c D, set  $E_A = \{t < 0: tx \in T(x) \text{ for some } x \in A\}$  and let  $E = \{x \in D: tx \in T(x) \text{ for some } t < 0\}$ . Then

(i) the set E is either empty or the union of nontrivial components, each of which is a continuous image of a subinterval of  $(-\infty, 0]$ .

In addition, if F is any component of E, then

(ii) if  $t_0 < 0$  and  $t_0 \in E_F$ , then the set  $G = \{x \in F : tx \in T(x) \}$ for some  $t \in E_F \cap [t_0, 0]$  is bounded; and

(iii) if  $t_n x_n \in T(x_n)$  with  $t_n \rightarrow t \neq 0$  ( $t_n \neq 0$ ) and  $\{x_n\} \in F_{+}$ , then  $x_n$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. (i) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.

(ii) Suppose  $x_0 \in F$  with  $t_0 x_0 \in T(x_0)$ , and choose  $\epsilon > 0$  such that T is globally strongly accretive on the closed ball  $B(x_0; \epsilon) < c$  D. Let  $tx_t \in T(x_t)$ , where  $x_t \in B(x_0; \epsilon) \cap F$  and  $t_0 < t < 0$ . Then by selecting  $\lambda = 1 - t$  in (1) we have

$$(1 - t - k) \|x_{t} - x_{0}\| \leq \|-t(x_{t} - x_{0}) + tx_{t} - t_{0}x_{0}\|$$
$$= (t - t_{0}) \|x_{0}\|,$$

which implies

 $(1 - t - k) \|x_t\| \neq (1 - t - k)(\|x_t - x_0\| + \|x_0\|)$  $\leq (1 - t - k)((t - t_0)/(1 - t - k) + 1) \|x_0\| = (1 - t_0 - k) \|x_0\|.$ Therefore  $\|x_t\| \neq \|x_0\|(1 - t_0 - k)/(1 - k)$  for all  $x_t \in G$ .

(iii) Suppose  $t_m < t_n$ . Then by Lemma 4 the segment  $[t_{\dot{m}}, t_n]$  can be covered by a finite number of overlapping subintervals

- 465 -

 $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^r$  which have the property that for each i and t,  $s \in I_i$ , the correspondent  $x_t, x_s \in F$  satisfy

(11) 
$$\|x_t - x_s\| \leq M |t - s|/(1 - k),$$

where M = sup  $\{\|x_t\|:x_t \in F, t_0 \le t < 0\}$  with  $t_0 = \inf \{t_n\}$ .

We may now select  $s_i \in I_i \cap I_{i+1}$  such that  $t_m = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_{r+1} = t_n$ . Then by (11),

$$\|x_{j} - x_{j+1}\| \le M |s_{j} - s_{j+1}| / (1 - k), i = 0, 1, ..., r,$$

and thus

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{m} - \mathbf{x}_{n}\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \|\mathbf{x}_{s_{i}} - \mathbf{x}_{s_{i+1}}\| \leq M \sum_{i=0}^{n} |s_{i} - s_{i+1}|/(1 - k) =$$
$$= M |t_{m} - t_{n}|/(1 - k).$$

Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

<u>Proof of Theorem 4</u>. Without loss of generality, we may assume z = 0 in (9). As it was shown before (see the proof of Theorem 1), there exists  $s \in (0,1)$  and a ball B centered at 0 such that the mapping (1 - t)I + tT has a zero in B for each  $t \in (0,s)$ . Therefore, if we define the set E as in Lemma 5, there exists a component  $F_0$  of E for which  $0 < \overline{F}_0$ .

Let  $h_t: \overline{D} \longrightarrow B(X)$  be defined by  $h_t(x) = (1 - t)x + tT(x)$  for each t  $\in (0, 1]$ , and let

$$M = \{t \in (0, 1]: 0 \in h_+(x) \text{ for some } x \in F_0\}.$$

We first note that M is a nonempty set (by the argument mentioned above) having sup M > 0. We shall show successively that sup M = 1 and  $l \in M$ .

Suppose  $t_0 = \sup M < 1$ . Let  $\{t_n\}$  be a sequence of M with  $t_n \rightarrow t_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and let  $x_n \in F_0$  be such that  $0 \in h_{t_n}(x_n)$ . Then by Lemma 5(iii), the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy and since  $F_0$  is

- 466 -

a closed set in E,  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x_0 \in F_0$ . It follows, from Lemma 3, that  $0 \in h_{t_0}(x_0)$ , proving  $t_0 \in M$ .

Since by assumption  $t_0 < 1$ , we may choose a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in the open interval  $(t_0, 1)$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow t_0^+$ . Since  $x_0 \in D$  (by (9)) and  $t_n \notin M$  for each n, we may carry out the proof of Proposition 1, concluding that  $t_0 = 1 \in M$ . This means there exists  $x \in \overline{D}$  for which  $0 \in T(x)$ .

Our next theorem involves an apparently wider class of strongly accretive mappings. Let  $c: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a continuous function having c(t) > 0 for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , and let D be a subset of a Banach space X. A mapping  $T: D \rightarrow 2^X$  is said to be <u>locally</u> c-<u>strongly accretive</u> if for each point  $z \in D$  there is a neighborhood N such that for each x, y  $\in$  N there exists  $j \in J(x - y)$  satisfying  $(12) \qquad \langle u-v, j \rangle \ge c(\max \{ \|x\|, \|y\|\} ) \|x - y\|^2$ 

for  $u \in T(x)$  and  $v \in T(y)$ .

<u>Theorem 5</u>. Let X be a Banach space, D an open subset of X and T:D  $\longrightarrow$  B(X) a continuous locally c-strongly accretive mapping. Then T(D) is open.

<u>Proof</u>. Let  $y_0 \in T(D)$ . Then there exists  $x_0 \in D$  such that  $y_0 \in G T(x_0)$ . Since T is locally c-strongly accretive, we may choose an open ball B centered at  $x_0$  for which (12) holds for all  $x, y \in B$ . Then the assumptions on c imply

 $\gamma = \inf \{c(\|u\|): u \in B\} > 0.$ 

Now if  $u \in T(x)$  and  $v \in T(y)$  for  $x, y \in B$ , then

$$\langle u-v, j \rangle \geq \gamma \|x - y\|^2$$

for some  $j \in J(x - y)$ . This means T is strongly accretive on B, and thus Theorem K implies T(B) is an open subset of X, completing

- 467 -

the proof.

We remark that Theorem 5 extends Theorem 4.1 of Ray and Walker [15] and Theorem 2 of Torrejón [16]. Acutally they show the single-valued version of Theorem 5 under a more restrictive assumptions on the function c (defined above). We should also mention that our proof for single-valued mappings can be obtained via using Theorem 3 of Deimling [4].

Our final theorem is a combination of Theorem 4 with the following coercive condition imposed on the operator T:

(13)  $T^{-1}(K)$  is bounded whenever  $\overline{K}$  is compact.

<u>Theorem 6</u>. Let X be a Banach space and let  $T:X \rightarrow B(X)$  be continuous and c-strongly accretive, satisfying condition (13). Then T(X) = X.

<u>Proof</u>. Since by Theorem 5 T(X) is open, it remains to show that T(X) is closed. To see this, let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in T(X)such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$ . We choose  $x_n \in X$  such that  $u_n \in T(x_n)$  for each n. By (12) there exists  $j \in J(x_n - x_m)$  such that

$$\langle u_n - u_m, j \rangle \ge c(\max \{ \|x_n\|, \|x_m\|\}) \|x_n - x_m\|^2.$$

Since (13) implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, there is a number  $\gamma > 0$  (as in the proof of Theorem 5) for which

$$\langle u_n - u_m, j \rangle \ge \gamma \|x_n - x_m\|^2$$

for all n,m  $\leftarrow$  N. Hence the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence which must converge to some  $x \leftarrow X$ . Since T is continuous, Lemma 3 (with t = 1) implies that  $u \in T(x)$ .

The author wishes to thank Professor D.J. Downing for providing him with a copy of [5].

- 468 -

## References

- [1] N.A. ASSAD and W.A. KIRK: Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type, Pacific J. of Math. 43 (1972), 553-562.
- [2] F.E. BROWDER: Nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 875-882.
- [3] F.E. BROWDER: Nonlinear Operators and Nonlinear Equations of Evolution in Banach spaces, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. vol. 18, part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1976.
- [4] K. DEIMLING: Zeros of accretive operators, Manuscripta Math. 13(1974), 365-374.
- [5] D.J. DOWNING: Zeros of set-valued mappings, preprint.
- [6] T. KATO: Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan 19(1967), 508-520.
- [7] W.A. KIRK: Local expansions and accretive mappings, preprint.
- [8] W.A. KIRK and C. MORALES: Condensing mappings and the Leray-Schauder boundary conditions, J. Nonlinear Anal., T.M.A., 3(1979), 533-538.
- [9] W.A. KIRK and C. MORALES: Fixed point theorems for local strong pseudocontractions, Nonlinear Analysis: TMA, 4 (1980), 363-368.
- [10] W.A. KIRK and R. SCHÖNEBERG: Some results on pseudo-contractive mappings, Pacific J. Math. 71(1977), 89-100.
- [11] R.H. MARTIN Jr.: Differential equations on a closed subset of a Banach space, Trans. Amer. Math . Soc. 179(1973), 399-414.
- [12] C. MORALES: Pseudo-contractive mappings and the Leray-Schauder boundary condition, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20(1979), 745-756.
- [13] C. MORALES: On the fixed-point theory for local k-pseudocontractions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81(1981), 71-74.
- [14] C. MORALES: Zeros for accretive operators satisfying certain boundary conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear).
- [15] W.O. RAY and A. WALKER: Mapping theorems for Gateâux differentiable and accretive operators, Nonlinear Analysis:TMA 6(1982), 423-433.
- [16] R. TORREJÓN: A note on locally expansive and locally accretive operators, Canad. Math. Bull., to appear.

Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, Alabama 35899, U.S.A.

(Oblatum 28.10. 1985)

- 469 -