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# A NOTE ON PERIODIC SOLUTION OF SECOND ORDER NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

B. MEHRI<br>Arya-Mehr University of Technology<br>Tehran, Iran<br>(Received January 10, 1977)

We consider the second order non-linear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a continuous real-valued function with domain $[-T, T] \times R^{2}, T>0$.
Further, we shall assume that all solutions of initial value problems for (1) extend to $[-T, T]$.

Under the above assumptions we establish the following theorem
Theorem 1. Assume

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(-t,-x, x^{\prime}\right)=-f\left(t, x x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{i}\\
f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

(ii)
is locally Lipschitzian with respect to $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, i.e. for each compact subset $\Omega$ of $R^{2}$, there exists positive constants $K$ and $L$ (depending on $\Omega$ ) such that

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\left|f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)-f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)\right| & \leqq K|x-y|+L\left|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right|  \tag{2}\\
-T & t \leqq T .
\end{array}
$$

Then, there exists $\omega_{0}, 0<\omega_{0} \leqq T$, such that for every, $0<\omega \leqq \omega_{0}$ Equation (1) has a unique solution $x(t)$ satisfying the periodic boundary conditions
(3)

$$
x\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}\right)=x\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right), \quad x^{\prime}\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)
$$

Proof. First we consider Equation (1) with the following boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=x\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M>0$, and $N>0$ be given. Let $Q=\operatorname{Max}\left\{\left|f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|:-T \leqq t \leqq T\right.$, $\left.|x| \leqq M,\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leqq N\right\}$ and let $G(t, s)$ be the Green's function

$$
G(t, s)=\frac{2}{\omega} \begin{cases}t\left(\frac{\omega}{2}-s\right), & 0 \leqq t \leqq s \leqq \frac{\omega}{2}  \tag{5}\\ s\left(\frac{\omega}{2}-t\right), & 0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq \frac{\omega}{2}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
G_{l}(t, s)=\frac{2}{\omega} \begin{cases}\frac{\omega}{2}-s, & 0 \leqq t \leqq s \leqq \frac{\omega}{2} \\ -s, & 0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq \frac{\omega}{2}\end{cases}
$$

Let $B=\left\{\Phi \in C^{\prime}\left[0, \frac{\omega}{2}\right]:|\Phi(t)| \leqq M,\left|\Phi^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq N\right\}$, and define the operator $S$ on $B$ by
(6)

$$
(S \Phi)(t)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\omega}{2}} G(t, s) f\left(s, \Phi(s), \Phi^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

$$
\left(S \Phi^{\prime}\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\omega}{2}} G_{t}(t, s) f\left(s, \Phi(s), \Phi^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(\Im \Phi)(t)| \leqq \frac{\omega^{2}}{32} Q \leqq M,\left|\left(ડ \Phi^{\prime}\right)(t)\right| \leqq \frac{\omega}{8} Q \leqq N . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $S$ maps $B$ continuously into itself provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega<M \quad . \quad \inf \quad\left\{\sqrt{\frac{32}{Q} M}, 8 \frac{N}{Q}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K$ and $L$ be the Lipschitz constants for $f$ corresponding to the compact set $\Omega \subset R^{2}$

$$
\Omega=\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}\right):|x| \leqq M,\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leqq N\right\}
$$

If for $\Phi \in B$, we let $\|\Phi\|=\operatorname{Max}\left\{|\Phi(t)|,\left|\Phi^{\prime}(t)\right|: t \in\left[0, \frac{\omega}{2}\right]\right\}$, one may easily
show that $S$ is a contraction with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ on $B$ provided that $\omega$ is chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega^{2}}{32}(K+L)<1, \quad \frac{\omega}{8}(K+L)<1 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $\omega$ satisfies both (8) and (9), then (1), (4) has a unique solution $x(t)$ with

$$
|x(t)| \leqq M, \quad\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq N
$$

Now, since $-f\left(-t,-x, x^{\prime}\right)=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$, so by (1) if $z(t)=-x(-t)$ then $z(t)$ is also a solution of (2), and since by (4) $z(0)=-x(0)=x(0), z^{\prime}(t)=x^{\prime}(-t)$, and $z^{\prime}(0)=z^{\prime}(0)$, it follows from the uniqueness that $x(t)=-x(-t)$ for $-\frac{\omega}{2} \leqq t \leqq$ $\leqq \frac{\omega}{2}$. Therefore

$$
x\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}\right)=-x\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)=0=x\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
x^{\prime}\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)
$$

which proves Theorem 1.
Corollary 1. With the assumptions of Theorem 1 assume $f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ to be periodic of period $\omega$, i.e.

$$
f\left(t+\omega, x, x^{\prime}\right)=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then Equation (1) possesses a unique periodic solution of period $\omega$.
Proof. Define $x(t)$ as before on the interval $\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}, 0\right)$ by the equality $x(-t)=$ $=-x(t)$ and continuous over the whole interval $(-\infty,+\infty)$ as a periodic function with period $\omega$. Then by (i) and (4) it is easy to show that $x(t)$ is a periodic solution of Equation (1); see for example M. A. Krasnosel'skij (cf. [4], pp. 313-314).

Let us now consider a few applications of Theorem 1.
$\left(A_{1}\right)$ We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+g(x)=p(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p(t)$ be continuous and $g(x)$ locally Lipschitzian in $x$. Further, assume

$$
-g(-x)=g(x),-p(-t)=p(t)
$$

for all $x$ and $t$. Then there exists an $\omega_{0}>0$, such that if $p(t)$ is periodic of period $\omega, \cup<\omega \leqq \omega_{0}$, Equation (10) has a unique periodic solution of period $\omega$.

## Example 1. We consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}+x^{3}=\sin 2 t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f(t, x)=x^{3}-\sin ^{2} t$, and $M>0$ be given. Then $Q=\operatorname{Max}\{|f(t, x)|: 0<t \leqq \pi$, $|x| \leqq M\}=M^{3}+1$ and the Lipschitz constant L corresponding to the compact set $\Omega=\{x:|x| \leqq M\}$ is equal to $3 M^{2}$. Therefore from inequalities (8) and (9) we obtain

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{32}\left(M^{3}+1\right) \leqq M \quad \text { and } \quad 3 \frac{\pi^{2}}{32} M^{2}<1
$$

Now, the above inequalities are satisfied for many values of $M$, for example $M=\frac{1}{2}$.
Therefore Equation (11) possesses a unique periodic solution $x(t)$ of period $\pi$ such that $|x(t)| \leqq M$.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right) \mathrm{We}$ consider Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+f(x) x^{\prime n}+a x=p(t), a \in R, n \geqq 0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p(t)$ be continuous and $f(x)$ locally Lipschitzian in $x$. Furthermore, assume

$$
-f(-x)=f(x), \quad-p(-t)=p(t)
$$

for all $x$ and $t$. Then there exists an $\omega_{0}>0$, such that if $p(t)$ is $\omega$-periodic, $0<\omega \leqq$ $\leqq \omega_{0}$, Equation (12) has a unique periodic solution of period $\omega$.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ We consider the forced Liénard's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+f\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime}+g\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=p(t) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p(t)$ be continuous and $f, g$ locally Lipschitzian in $x$ and $x^{\prime}$. Furthermore assume

$$
-f\left(-x, x^{\prime}\right)=\jmath\left(x, x^{\prime}\right),-g\left(-x, x^{\prime}\right)=g\left(x, x^{\prime}\right),-p(-t)=p(t)
$$

for all $x$ and $t$. Then there exists an $\omega_{0}>0$, such that if $p(t)$ is periodic of period $\omega$, $0<\omega \leqq \omega_{0}$, Equation (13) has a unique periodic solution of period $\omega$.
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