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## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF CERTAIN MONOUNARY ALGEBRAS

(Part II)

JAN CHVALINA, Brno

(Received December 2, 1977)

This is a continuation of the paper [5] where definitions of used notions and other necessary details can be found.

## 3. REDUCED MONOUNARYc-ALGEBRAS

We shall introduce first a certain modification of the construction described in [11] p. 228 (Def. 2.7) which we use for the definition of a reduced monounary c -algebra.

Let $(A, f)$ be a connected monounary algebra such that $R(A, f)=1$, and $(B, g)$ a connected monounary algebra with $A \cap B=\emptyset$. Let $c \in B_{g}^{0}$. Then $(A, f) \oplus_{c}(B, g)$ denotes a monounary algebra ( $C, h$ ) defined in this way: $C=B \cup\left(A-A_{f}^{\infty}\right)$ and for every $x \in C$ it holds

$$
h(x)= \begin{cases}f(x) & \text { for } x \in A-\left(A_{f}^{\infty_{2}} \cup f^{-1}\left(A_{f}^{\infty_{2}}\right)\right) \\ c & \text { for } x \in f^{-1}\left(A_{f}^{\infty_{2}}\right)-A_{f}^{\infty_{2}} \\ g(x) & \text { for } x \in B\end{cases}
$$

3.1. Definition: A connected monounary algebra $(A, f)$ is said to be reduced if it has exactly one of the following forms:
i) $f^{2}=f$ (i.e. $(A, f)$ is idempotent),
(ii) Either $A=A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ or $A=A_{f}^{\infty_{1}} \cup A_{f}^{0}$, where $\left(A_{f}^{\infty_{1}} \leqq_{f}\right)$ is a chain of the type $\omega_{0}^{*} \oplus \omega_{0}$ and $A_{f}^{0} \neq \emptyset$.
(iii) $(A, f)=\left(A_{1}, f_{1}\right) \oplus_{c}\left(A_{2}, f_{2}\right)$, where $f_{1}$ is a constant mapping and $\left(A_{2}, \leqq f_{f_{2}}\right)$ is a chain of the type $\omega_{0}$ with the first element $c$.

The below stated first characterization of a reduced c-algebra (Theorem 3.6) is given by the use of the endomorphism semigroup. We shall prove three lemmas
first. We say that a transformation semigroup $S(A) \subseteq T(A)$ acts transitively on the set $A$ if for every pair of elements $a, b \in A$ there exists $f \in S(A)$ such that $f(a)=b$. An ideal $I$ of a semigroup $S$ is said to be half-prime if $\operatorname{rad} I=I$. For $f \in T(A)$ we put $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\langle f\rangle-\left\{\operatorname{id}_{A}\right\}$ and $S(f)=\langle f\rangle .\langle\operatorname{Id} C(f)\rangle$. Let $S$ be a subsemigroup of $T(A)$. In accordance with [6] we denote it by $S^{1}$ if $S$ is a monoid (i.e. if it contains an identity), and in the opposite case $S$ means $S \cup\left\{\operatorname{id}_{A}\right\}$. Thus $\langle f\rangle^{1}=\langle f\rangle^{1}=$ $=\langle f\rangle$. A principal ideal of $S$ generated by $f \in S$ is denoted by $I_{s}(f)$, if it is danger of confusion. Evidently, for a principal ideal there holds $I_{s}(f)=S^{1} \cdot f . S^{1}$ (see [6] p. 21).
3.2. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a monounary c-algebra, $A \neq A_{f}^{\infty 2}$. Then $A=A_{f}^{\infty}{ }^{\infty}$ iff the monoid $C(f)$ acts transitively on the set $A$.

Proof. Let $A=A_{f}^{\infty x_{1}}, a, b \in A$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ it holds $S_{f}\left(f^{n}(a)\right)=S_{f}\left(f^{n}(b)\right)=$ $=\infty_{1}$ thus by Proposition 1.4 [5] there exists an endomorphism $g$ of the algebra $(A, f)$ such that $g(a)=b$, i.e. the monoid $C(f)$ acts transitively on the set $A$.

Assume the last condition is satisfied. Since for each endomorphism $g$ of $(A, f)$ and $x \in A_{f}^{\infty_{2}}$ there holds $g(x) \in A_{f}^{\infty_{2}},\left(A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}=\emptyset\right)$, we have $R(A, f)=0$. Further, by Lemma 2.8 [13] $x \in A, g \in C(f)$ implies $S_{f}(x) \leqq S_{f}(g(x))$, thus $A_{f}^{0}=\emptyset$, hence $S_{f}(x)=\infty_{1}$ for each $x \in A$, i.e. $A=A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$.

It is easy to see that Lemma 3.2 is contained in Theorem 1 [18], part (a), but the proof is based on some other considerations.
3.3. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a $c$-algebra with $R(A, f) \leqq 1$ and such that $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is an ideal of $C(f)$. Then $x, y \in A, \delta(x, y)=0$ is followed by $f(x)=f(y)$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary, there exists a pair of elements $x, y \in A$ with $\delta(x, y)=0$ and $f(x)=f(y)$. If $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}} \neq \emptyset$, then we denote by $a$ such an element of $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ that $\delta(a, x)=0$ and by $b$ an element of the set $\{x, y\}$ with $f(a)=f(b)$. Since $S_{f}\left(f^{n}(a)\right)=\infty_{1} \geqq S_{f}\left(f^{n}(b)\right)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$, by Proposition 1.4. [5], there exists an endomorphism $g$ of the algebra $(A, f)$ with the property $g(b)=a$. Then $f . g(b)=f^{k}(b)$ for any $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$, thus $f . g \notin\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$, which contradicts the inclusion $\langle f\rangle^{\prime} \cdot \boldsymbol{C}(f) \subseteq\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$.

Let $A_{f}^{\alpha_{1}}=\emptyset$. Denote by $a, b$ elements of $A$ with properties $f(a) \neq f(b), f^{2}(a)=$ $=f^{2}(b)$ and $\delta(a, b)=0$. It is evident that such a pair exists. By the definition of a degree (1.16. [11]) there exist elements $x_{0}, x_{1} \in(a]_{f}$ with $S_{f}\left(x_{i}\right)=i$ for $i=0,1$ and $f\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{1}$. Since $f^{k}\left(x_{0}\right)<_{f} f^{k}(b)$ whenever $k \geqq 2$, it holds $S_{f}\left(f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leqq$ $\leqq S_{f}\left(f^{n}(b)\right)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$. By Proposition 1.4 [5] there exists a mapping $h \in \boldsymbol{C}(f$ with the property $h\left(x_{0}\right)=b$. Then $f . h\left(x_{0}\right)=f(b) \neq f^{k}\left(x_{0}\right)$ for any $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ thus $f . h \notin\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ which contradicts the supposition that $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is an ideal of $\boldsymbol{C}(f)$ again. Consequently, $\delta(x, y)=0$ is followed by $f(x)=f(y)$, q.e.d.

Notice that the converse of the above assertion is not true. The implication converse to that stated above (in Lemma 3.3) is true only under some additional conditions, e.g. $R(A, f)=1$ or $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}=\emptyset$.
3.4. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra with card $A \geqq 2$ and $R(A, f) \leqq 1$. The following conditions are equivalent: $1^{\circ}(A, f)$ is either an idempotent c-algebra or $(A, f)=\left(A_{1}, f_{1}\right) \oplus_{c}\left(A_{2}, f_{2}\right)$, where $\left(A_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ is an idempotent $c$-algebra and $\left(A_{2}, \leqq f_{2}\right)$ is a chain of the type $\omega_{0} .2^{\circ}\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is a half-prime ideal in $C(f)$ and $f^{2} \neq f$ implies card $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\aleph_{0}$.

Proof. Assume condition $1^{\circ}$ is satisfied. If $g \in C(f)$ then for arbitrary $a \in A$ either $g(a)=f^{n}(a)$ with a suitable $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ or $\delta(a, b)=\delta(g(a), b)$ for each $b \in A$. Thus for every positive integer $n$ we have $f^{n} \cdot g=g \cdot f^{n} \in\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$, hence $\langle f\rangle^{\prime} . C(f)=$ $=C(f) .\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$, i.e. $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is a proper ideal of the monoid $C(f)$ and at the same time $\operatorname{rad}_{C(f)}\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\left\{g \in C(f): g^{n} \in\langle f\rangle^{\prime}\right.$ for some integer $\left.n\right\}=\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$, i.e. $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is a half-prime ideal of $C(f)$. If $f$ is not idempotent then in our case $f^{k}=f^{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ and we have card $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\aleph_{0}$. Therefore condition $2^{\circ}$ is satisfied.

Suppose assertion $2^{\circ}$ holds. Since $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is an ideal of $C(f)$ it holds by Lemma 3.3 that $x, y \in A, \delta(x, y)=0$ is followed by $f(x)=f(y)$. Admit that simultaneously $A_{f}^{\infty 1} \neq \emptyset, A_{f}^{\infty 2}=\emptyset$. The constant mapping $h$ of $A$ onto the cyclic element of $(A, f)$ belongs to $C(f)$ and for every pair of positive integers $n, m$ it holds $g^{n} \cdot f^{m}=$ $=g \notin\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$. This is a contradiction, thus either $A_{f}^{\infty{ }_{1}}=\emptyset$ or $A_{f}^{\infty}=\emptyset$. Admit that $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}=\emptyset$. Let $a, b \in A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ be a pair of elements with $f(b)=a$. Since $A_{f}^{\infty_{2}}=\emptyset$, thus $S_{f}(x) \in$ Ord for each $x \in[b)_{f}$, by Proposition 1.4 [5] there exists $g \in C(f)$ with $\boldsymbol{g}(a)=b$. Then $f . g \notin\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $A_{f}^{\infty}=\emptyset$. Now, admit that there exists an element $q \in A_{f}^{0}$ with $S_{f}(f(a)) \geqq 2$. With respect to Lemma 3.3 and the assumption we have $R(A, f)=1$ iff $f^{2}=f$. Hence $f^{2} \neq f$ is followed by $S_{f}(x) \in$ Ord for each $x \in A$. Let $b \in A_{f}^{0} \cap(f(a)]_{f}$ be an element with $S_{f}(f(b))=1$ and $f(b)=f(a)$. Such an element $b$ exists with respect to the definition of a degree $S_{f}$ and $S_{f}(f(a)) \geqq 2$. Then $S_{f}\left(f^{n}(b)\right) \leqq S_{f}\left(f^{n}(a)\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ and again by Proposition 1.4 [5] there exists $h \in C(f)$ with $h(b)=a$ and $h(x) \in[x)_{f}$ for each $x \neq b$. Then $h \notin\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ but for an integer $k$ such that $f^{k}(b)=f(a)$ it holds $h^{2}=f^{k}$ thus $h \in \operatorname{rad}_{C(f)}\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ which contradicts the assumption. Consequently the algebra ( $A, f$ ) has one of the forms described in $1^{\circ}$.

Remark. If $(A, f)$ is a c-algebra such that $x, y \in A, \delta(x, y)=0$ is followed by $f(x)=f(y)$ then the monogenuous semigroup $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is a proper ideal of the semigroup $S(f)$. Indeed, $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is a subsemigroup of $S(f)$ and $g \in\langle\operatorname{Id} C(f)\rangle, k \in \mathbf{N}$ implies $f^{k} \cdot g=g \cdot f^{k}=f^{k}$. Then it holds $\langle f\rangle^{\prime} .\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle=\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle \cdot\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=$ $=\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ and we have $\langle f\rangle^{\prime} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}(f)=\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{S}(f) \cdot\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$.
3.5. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a $c$-algebra with $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}=\emptyset, g \in C(f)$. For every element $x \in A$ it holds $\delta(x, g(x)) \leqq 0$.

Proof. If $R(A, f)>0$ then $x \in A_{f}^{\infty_{2}}$ implies $g(x) \in A_{f}^{\infty_{2}}$ by Lemma 2.8 [13]. Then $\delta(x, g(x))=0$ for every $x \in A_{f}^{\infty_{2}}$. If we admit that there exists an element $a \in A-A_{f}^{\infty_{2}}$ with $0<\delta(a, g(a))=\operatorname{deg}(g(a))-\operatorname{deg}(a)$ (see [5] § 1), we get that
for the integer $n=\operatorname{deg}(a)$ there holds $g\left(f^{n}(a)\right) \notin A_{f}^{\infty_{2}}$ while $f^{n}(a) \in A_{f}^{x_{2}}$. Thus $\delta(x, g(x)) \leqq 0$ for each $x \in A$ in this case. Let $R(A, f)=0$. Admit there exists $a \in A$ with $\delta(a, g(a))>0$. If $g(a)<_{f} a$ then for some $n$ there holds $f^{n}(g(a))=a$ and by Lemma 1.19 (a) [11], $S_{f}(a) \geqq S_{f}(g(a))+n>S_{f}(g(a))$ but with respect to Lemma 2.8 [13] it is $S_{f}(a) \leqq S_{f}(g(a))$, which is a contradiction. If $g(a) \|_{f} a$ then we denote by $n_{0}, m_{0}$ the least integers having the property $f^{n_{0}}(a)=f^{m o}(g(a))$ and we put $b=f^{n_{0}}(a)$. Clearly, $n_{0}<m_{0}$. Then we have $g(b)=g\left(f^{n_{0}}(a)\right)=f^{n_{0}}(g(a))<_{f}$ $<_{f} f^{n_{0}}(g(a))=b$ and we get a contradiction in the same way as above. Hence $x \in A, g \in C(f)$ is followed by $\delta(x, g(x)) \leqq 0$.
3.6. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra with $R(A, f) \leqq 1$. Then $A=A_{f}^{\infty 1} \cup A_{f}^{0}$, where $\left(A_{f}^{\infty}, \leqq_{f}\right)$ is a chain and $A_{f}^{0} \neq \emptyset$ iff $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is an infinite proper ideal of $S(f)$, the monoid $\langle\mathrm{Id} C(f)\rangle$ is non-trivial and to each $g \in\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ there exists $h \in C(f)$ with g. $h \in \operatorname{Id} C(f)$.

Proof. Let $A=A_{f}^{x_{1}} \cup A_{f}^{0},\left(A_{f}^{x_{1}}, \leqq{ }_{f}\right)$ be a chain and $A_{f}^{0} \neq \emptyset$. Every element $a \in A_{f}^{\infty}$ is a fixed point of each $g \in \boldsymbol{C}(f)$, thus $\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}(f)$ and further $\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle .\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\langle f\rangle^{\prime} .\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle=\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ consequently $\langle f\rangle^{\prime} . \boldsymbol{S}(f)=\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=$ $=\boldsymbol{S}(f) .\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$. Since $A_{f}^{0} \neq \emptyset$, there exists $g \in\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle$ which is different from id ${ }_{A}$. (E.g. $g(x)=x$ for $x \in A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}, g(x)=y \in A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ for $x \in A_{f}^{0}$ and for $y$ such that $\delta(x, y)=$ $=0$ ). Let $g \in\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ be arbitrary, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $g=f^{n}$. Consider an arbitrary element $a \in A$ and put $a_{1}=a$ if $a \in A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ and if $a \notin A_{f}^{\infty}$ then denote by $a_{1}$ an element of $A_{f}^{\infty \infty_{1}}$ satisfying the condition $\delta\left(a, a_{1}\right)=0$. Further, denote by $b$ an element of $A_{f}^{\infty}{ }_{1}$ with $f^{n}(b)=a_{1}$. Since $S_{f}\left(f^{k}(b)\right)=\infty_{1}$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$, by Proposition 1.4 [5] that there exists an endomorphism $h$ of $(A, f)$ with $h(a)=b$. Then $g(h(a))=g(b)=$ $=f^{n}(a)=a_{1}$. With respect to the construction obtained in Definition 9 [13], for each $x \in A$ there holds $\delta(x, g . h(x))=0$. Since $g . h \in C(f)$ and $g(h(x)) \in A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ we have $g . h \in \operatorname{Id} C(f)$.

Now, we shall prove the converse implication. Suppose first $R(A, f)=1$, $A_{f}^{\infty}=\left\{z_{f}\right\}$. Admit $A_{f}^{\infty, 1} \neq \emptyset$. Then $f . h \in \operatorname{Id} C(f)$ iff $h$ is a constant transformation with the value $z_{f}$, thus $h \neq f^{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ which contradicts the condition $\langle f\rangle^{\prime} . \boldsymbol{S}(f)=\langle f\rangle^{\prime} .\langle f\rangle .\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle \subseteq\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$. Thus $A_{f}^{\infty}=\emptyset$. Suppose the set $\left\{n \in \mathbf{N}: n=\operatorname{deg}(x), x \in A_{f}^{0}\right\}$ is unbounded. Then by Lemma 3.5 we have $f . h \in$ $\in \operatorname{Id} C(f)$ iff $h(x)=z_{f}$ for each $x \in A$, a contradiction again. Assume on the contrary there exists $a \in A_{f}^{0}$ with the property $\operatorname{deg}(x) \leqq \operatorname{deg}(a)$ for every $x \in A_{f}^{0}$. Putting $n=\operatorname{deg}(a)$ we get $f^{n+k}=f^{n}$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$, hence the semigroup $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is finite. This contradicts the supposition, hence $R(A, f)=0$. Admit $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}=\emptyset$. Then clearly for each $g \in \operatorname{Id} C(f)$ and every $x \in A$ there holds $\delta(x, g(x))=0$. Thus according to Lemma 3.5 we get $f$. $h \notin \mathrm{Id} C(f)$ for every $h \in C(f)$, hence $A_{f}^{\infty 1} \neq \emptyset$. Assume there exists an element $a \in A$ such that for a suitable $b \in A_{f}^{\infty 1_{1}}$ with $\delta(a, b)=0$ the equality $f^{k}(a)=f^{k}(b)$ implies $k \geqq 2$. Denote by $g$ an endomorphism of $(A, f)$ satisfying the condition $g(a)=b$. Since $\langle f\rangle^{\prime} . S(f) \subseteq\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ there exists a positive integer $n$
with the property $f . g(a)=f^{n}(a)$. But $f . g(a)=f(b) \neq f^{k}(a)$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$. This contradiction shows that $\left(A_{f}^{\times 1}, \leqq f\right)$ is a chain of the type $\omega_{0}^{*} \oplus \omega_{0}$ and $A=$ $=A_{f}^{\infty_{1}} \cup A_{f}^{0}$. Since Id $\boldsymbol{C}(f)$ is non-trivial, the set $A_{f}^{0}$ is non-empty.
3.7. Theorem. Let $(A, f)$ be a monounary c-algebra having at least two elements and such that $R(A, f) \leqq 1$. Put $\boldsymbol{S}(f)=\langle f\rangle$. $\langle\operatorname{Id} C(f)\rangle$. The algebra $(A, f)$ is reduced iff exactly one of the following conditions is satisfied:
$1^{\circ}$ The monoid $C(f)$ acts transitively on the set $A$.
$2^{\circ}\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is an infinite proper ideal of $S(f)$ and either it is a half-prime ideal of $C(f)$, where $f^{2} \neq f$ implies card $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\aleph_{0}$, or the monoid $\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle$ is non-trivial and to each $g \in\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ there exists $h \in \boldsymbol{C}(f)$ with $g . h \in \operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)$.

Proof follows from Lemmas 3.2, 3.4 and 3.6.
Notice that if $(A, f)$ is a reduced c-algebra with $A_{f}^{0} \neq \emptyset$, i.e. the so called ordinal part is non-void, then the semigroup $\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$ is a principal ideal generated by $f$ in the monoid $S(f)$. Indeed, by Lemma 3.6 and the above remark we have $\langle f\rangle^{\prime} .\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle=\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle .\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$. Then $I_{\boldsymbol{S}(f)}(f)=\boldsymbol{S}^{1}(f) . f . \boldsymbol{S}^{1}(f)=$ $=\boldsymbol{S}(f) . f . \boldsymbol{S}(f)=\langle f\rangle .\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle . f .\langle f\rangle .\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle=\langle\boldsymbol{f}\rangle .\langle\operatorname{Id} \boldsymbol{C}(f)\rangle .\langle f\rangle^{\prime} \times$ $\times\langle\operatorname{Id} C(f)\rangle=\langle f\rangle .\langle f\rangle^{\prime}=\langle f\rangle^{\prime}$.

The following theorem contains a characterization of a reduced c-algebra expressed in terms of groupoid using the binary operation $\nabla_{f}$.
3.8. Theorem. Let $(A, f)$ be a monounary c-algebra such that $R(A, f) \leqq 1$, card $A \geqq 2$. The algebra $(A, f)$ is reduced iff exactly one of the following conditions is satisfied:
$1^{\circ}\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is an ideal-simple groupoid without idempotents.
$2^{\circ}\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is a commutative groupoid containing the least proper ideal I such that $\left(A \mid I, \nabla_{I}\right)$ is a $B D$-groupoid and if $I=I(a), a \in A$ then $A=I \cup \sqrt{a}$ and Id $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right) \neq \emptyset$ is followed by $\operatorname{Id}\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)=I$.

Proof. Let $(A, f)$ be a reduced c-algebra, card $A \geqq 2$. Suppose first that $(A, f)$ has the form (i) from Def. 3.1, $A_{f}^{\infty 2}=\left\{z_{f}\right\}$. Since $x \nabla_{f} z_{f}=f(x)=z_{f}=z_{f} \nabla_{f} x$ for every element $x \in A$, the singleton $\left\{z_{f}\right\}$ is the least proper ideal of the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ and the factor-groupoid $\left(A /\left\{z_{f}\right\}, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$. Putting $I=\left\{z_{f}\right\}$, we get by Lemma 1.3. [5] that $\left(A / I, \nabla_{I}\right)$ is a BD-groupoid. Since $x \in$ $\in A-I$ implies $x \nabla_{f} x=f(x)=z_{f}$ it holds $A=\sqrt{z_{f}}=I \cup \sqrt{z_{f}}$. The commutativity of the operation $\nabla_{f}$ is evident in this case. Thus $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ satisfies the condition $2^{\circ}$.

Suppose that the algebra ( $A, f$ ) satisfies condition (ii) from Definition 3.1. If $A=A_{f}^{\infty}$ then for every element $x \in A$ it holds $x \nabla_{f} x=f(x)=x$. Admit that $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ contains a proper ideal $I$. For arbitrary $a \in A-I$ there exists $b \in A, b \neq a$ with $f(b)=a$. Since $x \in I$ implies $f(x)=x \nabla_{f} x \in I$, i.e. $I$ is a subalgebra of $(A, f)$, and since $(A, f)$ is connected, there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ with $f^{k}(b) \in I$. From the definition
of an ideal it follows $a=f(b)=b \nabla_{f} f^{k}(b) \in I$, which is a contradiction. Thus the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is ideal-simple.

Assume $A=A_{f}^{\infty_{1}} \cup A_{f}^{0}$, where ( $A_{f}^{\infty 1}, \leqq f$ ) is a chain (of the type $\omega_{0}^{*} \oplus \omega_{0}$ ) and $A_{f}^{0} \neq \emptyset$. Since $a \in A, b \in A, \delta(a, b)=0$ is followed by the alternative $f(a)=f(b)$ or $a=b$, the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is commutative. For each element $x \in A$ there is $f(x) \in A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ thus $a \nabla_{f} x \in A_{f}^{\infty}$ for every pair of elements $a \in A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}, x \in A$ hence $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ is an ideal of $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$. Admit that there exists an ideal $I$ of $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ with $I \underset{\ddagger}{\subsetneq} A_{f}^{\infty}$. Let $a \in A_{f}^{\infty 1}-I$. If it were $f^{n}(a) \notin I$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ then there would exist a natural number $k$ and an element $b_{k} \in I$ such that $f^{k}\left(b_{k}\right)=a$. Let $k$ be the least integer with this property. Then $b_{k} \in I, f\left(b_{k}\right) \notin I$ and thus $b_{k} \nabla_{f} a \notin I$, which is a contradiction.

Assume there is an integer $m_{0} \geqq 1$ with $f^{m o}(a) \in I$. Let $b \in A, f(b)=a$. Then $b \nabla_{f} f^{m_{0}}(a)=f(b)=a \notin I$, which is a contradiction again. Therefore $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ is the least ideal of the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$. Clearly, $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ contains more than only one generator. Denote by $\left(A \mid A_{f}^{\infty 1}, \nabla\right)$ the corresponding factorgroupoid of the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$. Then for a suitable idempotent c-algebra $(B, g)$ we have $\left(A / A_{f}^{\infty}, \nabla\right) \cong$ $\cong\left(B, \nabla_{g}\right)$ thus $\left(A / A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}, \nabla\right)$ is a BD-groupoid by Lemma 1.3 [5].

Suppose that $(A, f)$ satisfies condition (iii) in Definition 3.1. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $A_{1} \neq \emptyset$. It is easy to see that $A_{2}$ is a principle ideal of $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ generated by the element $c$. Since $A_{2}-\{c\}$ is not an ideal of $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ (if $a \in A-A_{2}, b \in A_{2}$ then $a \nabla_{f} b=f(a)=c$ ) and $A_{2}-X$, where $X \subset A_{2}, c \notin X$, is not any carrier set of a subgroupoid we have that $A_{2}$ is the least ideal of $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$. Further $\left(A / A_{2}, \nabla\right) \cong\left(A_{1}, \nabla_{f_{1}}\right)$, where $\left(A_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ is a c-algebra from (iii) def. 3.1, thus by Lemma $1.3[5]\left(A \mid A_{2}, \nabla\right)$ is a BD-groupoid. Let $b \in A-A_{2}=A_{f}^{0}$. Then $b \nabla_{f} b=f(b)=c$, i.e. $A=I \cup \sqrt{c}$ where $I=A_{2}=I(c)$ - the principal ideal generated by the element $c$. Therefore the condition $2^{\circ}$ is satisfied again. If $\operatorname{Id}\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right) \neq \emptyset$ then $\operatorname{Id}\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)=\left\{z_{f}\right\}$, where $z_{f}$ is the only cyclic element of the c-algebra $(A, f)$. Since $(A, f)$ is reduced, it holds $f^{2}=f$, hence $I=\left\{z_{f}\right\}$.

Now suppose that $(A, f)$ is a c-algebra such that $R(A, f) \leqq 1$, card $A \geqq 2$ and $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is an ideal-simple groupoid without idempotents (i.e. $1^{\circ}$ holds). Then clearly $R(A, f)=0$. Admit $A_{f}^{0}=\emptyset$. Let $a \in A_{f}^{0}$. Put $B=A-\{a\}$. If $x \in A, y \in B$ are arbitrary elements then $x \nabla_{f} y \in B, y \nabla_{f} x \in B$ for $f(A) \subseteq B$, thus $B$ is a proper ideal of $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ which contradicts the assumption. Hence $A=A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$.

Suppose the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ satisfies condition $2^{\circ}$ where $I$ is a principal ideal generated by $a \in A$. If $R(A, f)=1$ then denoting by $z_{f}$ the cyclic element of $(A, f)$ and with respect to the minimality of $I$, we get $I=\left\{z_{f}\right\}$ and for each $x \in A$ it holds $f(x)=z_{f} \nabla_{f} x=z_{f}$, thus $f^{2}=f$. Hence condition (i) from Definition 3.1 is satisfied.

Let $R(A, f)=0$. Then Id $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)=\emptyset$.
Suppose $A_{f}^{\infty}=\emptyset$. From the commutativity of the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ it follows that for each $x \in A$ the set $f^{-1}(x)-A_{f}^{0}$ contains at most one element. Indeed, $x, y \in f^{-1}(a)-A_{f}^{0}, x \neq y$ implies the existence of a pair of different elements $x_{1} \in$
$\in f^{-1}(x), \quad y_{1} \in f^{-1}(y)$ such that $x_{1} \nabla_{f} y_{1}=f\left(y_{1}\right)=y \neq x=f\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1} \nabla_{f} x_{1}$. Then for each element $x \in A$ by the definition of $S_{f}$ it holds $S_{f}(x)<\omega_{0}$, thus with respect to the connectedness of $(A, f)$ there is $a \in A$ with $\emptyset \neq f^{-1}(a) \subseteq A_{f}^{0}$. Consider the set $I=\left\{f^{k}(a): k=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$. Since $f(x) \in I$ for every $x \in A, I$ is an ideal of the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$. It can be easily shown, similarly as in the first part of this proof, that $I$ is the least ideal of $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ and the factor-groupoid $\left(A / I, \nabla_{I}\right)$ is a BD-groupoid. The ideal $I$ is a principal ideal generated by the element $a$, thus for each $x \in A$ with $x \neq f^{n}(a), n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ from $A=I \cup \sqrt{a}$ it follows $f(x)=x \nabla_{f} x=a$. Therefore the algebra $(A, f)$ is of the form (iii) from Definition 3.1.

Let $A \neq A_{f}^{\infty_{1}} \neq \emptyset$. Admit $I=I(a)$, where $a \in A$. If $b \in A$ is an element with the property $\delta(a, b)>0$ then for each $x \in I$ it holds $\delta(x, b)>0$ because $I(a)=$ $=\left\{f^{k}(a): k=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$, thus $x \nabla_{f} b=f(b) \neq f^{n}(a)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$, i.e. $x \nabla_{f} b \notin I$, which is a contradiction. Consequently the ideal $I$ is not principal. Admit there exists an element $x \in A_{f}^{0}$ with $f(x) \notin A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$. Then there exists $y \in A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ with $\delta(x, y)=0$, $f(x) \neq f(y)$ consequently $x \nabla_{f} y=f(y) \neq f(x)=y \nabla_{f} x$, which contradicts the commutativity. Hence $f\left(A_{f}^{0}\right) \subset A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$. It follows also from the commutativity of the operation $\nabla_{f}$ that if $x, y \in A_{f}^{\infty}, \delta(x, y)=0$, then $x=y$. Thus $A=A_{f}^{\infty} \cup A_{f}^{0}$, where $\left(A_{f}^{\infty}, \leqq_{f}\right)$ is a chain, i.e. the algebra $(A, f)$ is reduced. The proof is complete.

We shall formulate another characterization (similar to Theorem 2.5 [5]) of a reduced c-algebra using notion of a weak radical in a groupoid (defined in § 1 [5]). The following theorem is a certain modification of the preceding one.
3.9. Theorem. Let $(A, f)$ be a monounary algebra minimal $c$-algebras of that are singletons and card $A \geqq 2$. Then $(A, f)$ is a reduced $c$-algebra iff the grupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is either left ideal-simple without idempotents or it contains a proper minimal ideal I such that
a) $\operatorname{rad}_{\mathrm{w}} I=A$,
b) each element of $I$ which is not the only generator of I possesses the unique square root in $\left(I, \nabla_{f}\right)$,
c) if $I$ is a principal ideal generated by $a \in A$ then $x \in I, x \neq a$ is followed by $\sqrt{x} \subset I$ in $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose $(A, f)$ is a monounary algebra such that the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is left ideal-simple and does not contain idempotents. Since for every two components $\left(A_{1}, f_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}, f_{2}\right)$ of a monounary algebra $(A, f)$ and for $a \in A_{1}, b \in A_{2}$ there holds $a \nabla_{f} b=f(b), b \nabla_{f} a=f(a)$ (by the assumption $R\left(A_{i}, f_{i}\right) \leqq 1, i=1,2$ ), the algebra $(A, f)$ is connected. Hence condition $1^{\circ}$ in Theorem 3.8 is satisfied. Suppose that $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ contains a minimal proper left ideal $I$ with $\operatorname{rad}_{w} I=A$ and $I$ is not principal. Since each component of $(A, f)$ is a left ideal of $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ and the set $\left[a^{n}\right]$ is contained in the component containing $a$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we get again that $(A, f)$ is connected. It holds $f(a)=a \nabla_{f}\left[a^{n-1}\right] \in\left[a^{n}\right]$ for every integer $n \geqq 2$. Then $\left[a^{n}\right] \subset I$ for some
$n \geqq 2$ is followed by $f(a) \in I$, consequently $A-I=A_{f}^{0}$ with respect to the minimality of the ideal $I$. Since each $x \in I$ has the property card $(\sqrt{x} \cap I)=1$, by Theorem 2.5 [5] (I, $\left.f_{I}\right)$ is a nested subalgebra of $(A, f)$; it is a two-way infinite chain. Then $A=A_{f}^{0} \cup A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$, where $A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}=I$, thus $(A, f)$ is a reduced c-algebra. If moreover $I=I(a)$ then evidently $\left(I, f_{I}\right)$ is a one-way infinite chain and $A-I=\sqrt{a}$. Then $(A, f)$ is of the form (iii) from Def. 3.1 thus $(A, f)$ is reduced, too. From $I \neq$ $\neq \operatorname{Id}\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right) \neq 0$ it follows $R(A, f)=1$ and for the cyclic element $z_{f}$ of $(A, f)$ it holds card $\sqrt{z_{f}}=2$, which is a contradiction. Condition $2^{\circ}$ from Theorem 3.8 is satisfied, therefore $(A, f)$ is a reduced c-algebra.

Now suppose that $(A, f)$ is a reduced c-algebra. If $A=A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$ then the groupoid $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is ideal-simple by Theorem 3.8 and since $x, y \in A, x \leqq{ }_{f} y$ implies $x \nabla_{f} y=$ $=y \nabla_{f} x$ we get easily that $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is left ideal-simple. Further $\operatorname{Id}\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)=\emptyset$. Assume $A \neq A_{f}^{\infty_{1}}$. Then condition $2^{\circ}$ from Theorem 3.8 is satisfied. Let $I$ be a proper ideal considered in $2^{\circ}$ Theorem 3.8. Suppose $I$ is not principal and $a \in$ $\in A-I$. Since $x \in I, x \leqq{ }_{f} y$ is followed by $y \in I$, there exists $b \in I$ such that $a<{ }_{f} b$. Then $\delta(a, b)<0, a \nabla_{f} a=f(a)=a \nabla_{f} b \in I$ and $\left[a^{n}\right] \subset I$ for each integer $n \geqq 2$. Then $a \in \operatorname{rad}_{\mathrm{w}} I$, i.e. $\operatorname{rad}_{\mathrm{w}} I=A$. Let $a \in I$. Since $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$ is commutative, we have that $x, y \in A, \delta(x, y)=0$ implies $f(x)=f(y)$. From the minimality of $I$ it follows that $\left(I, f_{I}\right)$ is a nested c -algebra (it is a two-way infinite chain). According to Theorem 2.5 [5] with respect to the fact that Id $\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right) \neq \emptyset$ implies $I=\operatorname{Id}\left(A, \nabla_{f}\right)$, we get that each element of $I$ possesses the unique square root in $\left(I, \nabla_{f}\right)$. Let $I=$ $=I(a), a \in A$. Similarly as above we get that $\operatorname{rad}_{\mathrm{w}} I=A$ and $x \in I$ implies card $(\sqrt{x} \cap I)=1$. Moreover, from the equality $A=I \cup \sqrt{a}$ it follows that $x \in I$, $x \neq a$ implies $\sqrt{x} \subset I$, q.e.d.

The author is indebted to Dr. Oldřich Kopeček, CSc., for his valuable remarks to the present paper.
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