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# ACTIVITIES IN A ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONTINUOUS NEURAL NETWORK 

MEHMET NAMIK OĞUZTÖRELI
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This paper is dedicated to the seventieth anniversary of Professor D. Mangeron

## I. INTRODUCTION

A discrete neural model has been investigated from a mathematical, computational and physiological point of view in Refs [1]-[4]. In Ref [5] this model has been extended to a two-dimensional continuous neural network. In Ref [7] we studied the activity propagation in a special two-dimensional continuous neural model. In the present work we deal with the spatial and temporal propagation of nervous activities in a one-dimensional neural network with a special structure.

The neurons of the neural network considered in this paper are supposed to be distributed over the half-axis $R=\{x \mid x>0\}$ and are connected to each other in a specific way which will be explained below.

Let $u=u(t, x)$ be the normalized and smoothed rate of generation of nerve impulses of the neuron $\boldsymbol{P}=\boldsymbol{P}(x) \in \boldsymbol{R}$ at time $t$ as described in Ref [5]. By this normalization we have $0 \leqq u \leqq 1$. Let the rate of influence, the rate of excitation or inhibition, of a cell $\boldsymbol{Q} \equiv \boldsymbol{Q}(x) \in \boldsymbol{R}$ on the activity of the cell $\boldsymbol{P}$ be denoted by $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{P} ; \boldsymbol{Q}) \equiv \boldsymbol{K}(x ; \xi)$. We assume that $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{P} ; \boldsymbol{Q})$ is a Volterra kernel such that

$$
K(x ; \xi)= \begin{cases}\mu K_{0}(x-\xi) & \text { if } \boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q} \in \boldsymbol{R} \text { and } 0 \leqq \xi \leqq x  \tag{I.1}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\mu$ is a real constant and $\boldsymbol{K}_{0}$ is a given sufficiently smooth function defined on $\boldsymbol{R}$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|K_{0}(\xi)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi<\infty \tag{I.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A neuron $\boldsymbol{Q}$ excites the neuron $\boldsymbol{P}$ if $\tilde{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{P} ; \boldsymbol{Q})>0$, inhibits $\boldsymbol{P}$ if $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{P} ; \boldsymbol{Q})<0$, and it is not connected to $\boldsymbol{P}$ if $\tilde{\boldsymbol{K}}(\boldsymbol{P} ; \boldsymbol{Q})=0$.

Let $\alpha=\alpha(x)$ be the rate of self-inhibition of the neuron $P$, and $f=f(t, x)$ be the external input at time $t$ on $\boldsymbol{P}$. We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} \leqq \alpha(x) \leqq \alpha_{1} \forall x \in \boldsymbol{R}, \tag{I.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}$ and $\alpha_{1}$ are certain positive numbers with $\alpha_{0}>1$. Further, let $\lambda, c_{k}^{\prime} s$ and $\gamma_{k}^{\prime} s$ be real numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\gamma_{1}<\gamma_{2}<\ldots<\gamma_{m} \tag{I.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the function

$$
\boldsymbol{H}(t)= \begin{cases}\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} e^{-\gamma_{k} t} & \text { for } t>0  \tag{I.5}\\ 0, & \text { for } t \leqq 0\end{cases}
$$

The function $\boldsymbol{H}(t)$ will be taken as the self-regulation (adaptation) function of the neural network.

Let $I=\{t \mid t>0\}$ and $D=I \times R$. Consider the space $U$ of all functions $u=u(t, x)$ defined on $D$ which are absolutely $\boldsymbol{L}$-integrable in $x \in \boldsymbol{R}$ for fixed $t(0 \leqq t<$ $<\infty$ ), and absolutely continuous. in $t \in I$ for fixed $x \in R$, and are such that $0 \leqq u \leqq 1$ in $D$. Note that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\{g\}(t, x)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \{-g(t, x)\}} \tag{I.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

maps $L^{\infty}(D)$ into itself; it is monotonically increasing with $g$ and $0<S\{g\}<1$ for any bounded element of $L^{\infty}(D)$.

It can be easily demonstrated as in Ref [5], with some minor modifications, that the nervous activities in the neural network under considerations are governed by the nonlinear integro-differential difference equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\alpha(x)\right) u(t, x)=  \tag{I.7}\\
=S\left\{f(t, x)+\lambda \int_{0}^{t} \boldsymbol{H}(t-\tau) u(\tau, x) \mathrm{d} \tau+\mu \int_{0}^{x} \boldsymbol{K}_{0}(x-\xi) u(t-h, \xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in D$, subject to the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\varphi_{0}(x) \quad \text { for } \quad t \in I_{0}, \quad x \in \boldsymbol{R} \tag{I.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{0}(x)$ is the initial firing rate of the neuron $\boldsymbol{P}$ in the initial time-interval $\boldsymbol{I}_{0}=$ $=\{t \mid-h \leqq t \leqq 0\}$, and $h$ is a small time-lag which occurs in the neural interactions. Here the initial firing rate is supposed to be independent of $t$ for the sake of simplicity.

For small $\lambda$ and $\mu \mathrm{Eq}$ (I.7) can be approximated by the following linear inhomogeneous integro-differential difference equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\alpha(x)\right) u(t, x)=  \tag{I.9}\\
=f_{0}(t, x)+f_{1}(t, x) \lambda \int_{0}^{t} H(t-\tau) u(\tau, x) \mathrm{d} \tau+\mu \int_{0}^{x} K_{0}(x-\xi) u(t-h, \xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=S\{f\}, \quad f_{1}=f_{0}-f_{0}^{2} \tag{I.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making a few natural modifications in the proof given in § II of Ref [5] we can demonstrate that Eq (I.7) has a unique solution $u=u(t, x)$ in $U$ which satisfies the initial condition (I.8). The same result is also valid for the initial value problem (I.8) -(I.9).

In the present paper we study the initial value problem (I.8)-(I.9) in the case $m=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}(x)=e^{-\beta x} \tag{I.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is a positive number. Further, to simplify our writing we shall denote $c_{1} y$ by $\lambda$ and $\gamma_{1}$ by $\gamma$, and assume that $f=f(x)$, that is the external force $f$ is stationary . with respect to time so that $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are independent of time $t: f_{0}=f_{0}(x)$, $f_{1}=f_{1}(x)$.

Note that the neurons in the network always excite (inhibit) each other if $\mu>0$ ( $\mu<0$ ), by virtue of Eqs (I.1) and (I.11). The structure of the neural network with the above restrictions is surely not very realistic and oversimplified. Nevertheless it provides some insights on the nervous activities in the idealized conditions.

## II. ASSOCIATED PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL DIFFERENCE EQUATION

Consider the linearized neural network described by Eq (I.9) with $f=f(x)$, $f_{0}=f_{0}(x), f_{1}=f_{1}(x), \boldsymbol{H}(t)=e^{-y t}$ and $K_{0}(x)=e^{-\beta x}$. Put
(II.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} e^{-\gamma(x-\xi)} u(t, \xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& v_{j}(t, x)=\int_{0}^{x} e^{-\gamma(x-\xi)} \varphi_{j}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \quad(j=0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi_{0}(x)$ is the given initial function in (I.8), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}(x)=\mu f_{1}(x) v_{0}(x)-\alpha(x) \varphi_{0}(x)\left(=\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right|_{t \in I_{0}}\right) \tag{II.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v\right|_{t \in I_{0}}=v_{0}(x),\left.\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right|_{t \in I_{0}}=v_{1}(x)\left(\left.v_{j}\right|_{x=0}=0\right) \tag{III.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v\right|_{x=0}=0 . \tag{II.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\beta\right) v(t, x)=u(t, x) \tag{II.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elimination of $u(t, x)$ between Eqs (I.9) and (II.5) yields the integrodifferential difference equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\alpha(x)\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\beta\right) v(t, x)=f_{0}(x)+\mu f_{1}(x) v(t-h, x)+  \tag{II.6}\\
+\lambda f_{1}(x) \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\beta\right) v(\tau, x) \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{gather*}
$$

and the differentiation of the two sides of Eq (II.6) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+\alpha(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\lambda f_{1}(x)\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\beta\right) v(t, x)=  \tag{II.7}\\
=\mu f_{1}(x) \frac{\partial v(t-h, x)}{\partial t}-\lambda \gamma f_{1}(x) \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\beta\right) v(\tau, x) \mathrm{d} \tau .
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we eliminate the terms that contain integrals, obtaining the following linear inhomogeneous third order partial differential difference equation in $y$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{3} v(t, x)}{\partial t^{2} \partial x}+a_{1}(x) \frac{\partial^{2} v(t, x)}{\partial t^{2}}+a_{2}(x) \frac{\partial^{2} v(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x}+  \tag{II.8}\\
+ & a_{3}(x) \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t}+a_{4}(x) \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial x}+a_{5}(x) v(t, x)= \\
= & \gamma f_{0}(x)+\gamma \mu f_{1}(x) v(t-h, x)+\mu f_{1}(x) \frac{\partial v(t-h, x)}{\partial t}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}(x)=\beta, \quad a_{2}(x)=\alpha(x)+\gamma, \quad a_{3}(x)=\beta[\alpha(x)+\gamma],  \tag{II.9}\\
a_{4}(x)=\gamma \alpha(x)-\lambda f_{1}(x), \quad a_{5}(x)=\beta\left[\gamma \alpha(x)-\lambda f_{1}(x)\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

The functions $u$ and $v$ determine each other uniquely by virtue of Eqs (II.1) and (II.5), respectively. In the next section the solution $v(t, x)$ of Eq (II.8) satisfying the conditions (II.3) and (II.4) will be constructed by the methods of integral equations.

## III. ASSOCIATED INTEGRAL EQUATION

To establish the integral equation which is satisfied by the function $v(t, x)$, we integrate successively both sides of Eq (II.8) twice with respect to $t$ between 0 and $t$,
once with respect to $x$ between 0 and $x$. Then, taking into account the conditions (II.3) and (II.4), and putting

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(t, x)=-\left[a_{2}(x)+t a_{4}(x)\right] \\
& B(t, x)=-\beta  \tag{III.1}\\
& C(t, x)=a_{2}^{\prime}(x)-a_{3}(x)+t\left[a_{4}(x)-a_{5}^{\prime}(x)\right] \\
& D(t, x)=(1+\gamma t) f_{1}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

and
(III.2)

$$
\begin{gathered}
g(t, x)=v_{0}(x)+t v_{1}(x)+ \\
+\int_{0}^{x}\left\{\frac{\gamma}{2} t^{2} f_{0}(\xi)+\left[\beta+t\left(a_{3}(\xi)-\mu f_{0}(\xi)\right)\right] v_{0}(\xi)+\left[\beta+a_{2}(\xi)\right] t v_{1}(\xi)\right\} \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{gathered}
$$

we obtain the following integro-difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=g(t, x)+\mu \int_{-h}^{t-h} \int_{0}^{x} D(t-\tau-h, \xi) v(\tau, \xi) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \xi+(T v)(t, x) \tag{III.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$(T v)(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} A(t-\tau, x) v(\tau, x) \mathrm{d} \tau+\int_{0}^{x} B(t, \xi) v(t, \xi) \mathrm{d} \xi+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{x} C(t-\tau, \xi) v(\tau, \xi) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{d} \xi$.

Note that the double integral on the right-hand side of Eq (III.3) involve only the values of the function $v(\tau, \xi)$ for $-h \leqq \tau \leqq t-h$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=\{t \mid(n-1) h \leqq t \leqq n h\}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{III.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}(t, x)=v(t, x) \quad \text { for } t \in I_{n} \tag{III.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have
(III.7)

$$
w_{n}(t, x)=g_{n}(t, x)+\left(T w_{n}\right)(t, x) \quad \text { for } t \in I_{n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{n}(t, x)= & g(t, x)+\mu \sum_{k=1(k-1) h}^{n-2} \int_{0}^{k h} D(t-\tau-h, \xi) w_{k}(\tau, \xi) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \xi+  \tag{III.8}\\
& +\mu \int_{(n-2) h}^{t-h} \int_{0}^{x} D(t-\tau-h, \xi) w_{n-1}(\tau, \xi) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \xi .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, if the functions $w_{0}(t, x), w_{1}(t, x), \ldots, w_{n}(t, x)$ are known, the function $g_{n}(t, x)$ is completely determined on $\boldsymbol{D}_{n}=I_{n} \times \boldsymbol{D}$, and Eq (III.8) is a pure integral equation.

Although the operator $\boldsymbol{T}$ involves different simple and double integral operators, Eq (III.7) can be solved uniquely and the solution $w_{n}(t)$ is smooth on $D_{n}$ sincé the functions $A, B, C, D$ and $g$ are sufficiently smooth by virtue of the hypotheses of $\S \mathrm{I}$. We omit the details here.

Integral equations involving different simple and double integral operators first investigated by M. Picone in his great work on partial differential equations (cf. Ref [6]). We recently dealt with such equations in several occasions (cf. Ref [7] - [9]).

Before closing this section we note that $w_{0}(t, x)=v_{0}(x)$ by virtue of Eq (II.3), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(t, x)=g(t, x)+\left(t+\frac{\gamma}{2} t^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{x} v_{0}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi, \quad(t, x) \in D_{1} \tag{III.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $w_{1}(t, x)$ is well determined on $D_{1}$. Using $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ we can construct $w_{2}$ on $\boldsymbol{D}_{2}$, and so on. This stepwise continuation of the solution in the forward direction of time is well known in the theory of differential difference equations (cf. [10] - [11]).

## IV. A SPECIAL CASE

In this section we shall briefly investigate the specil case $\alpha(x, y) \equiv \alpha, f(t, x) \equiv f$ and $h=0$ where $\alpha$ and $f$ are constants, $\alpha>1$. In this case $f_{0}, f_{1}$ and $a_{k}^{\prime} s(k=$ $=1,2,3,4,5$ ) are also constant, and $\mathrm{Eq}(\mathrm{II} .8)$ is a pure partial differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial t^{2} \partial x}+b_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}}+b_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t \partial x}+b_{3} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+b_{4} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+b_{5} v=\gamma f_{0} \tag{IV.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subjected to the conditions (II.3) and (II.4), where

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{1}=\beta, \quad b_{2}=\alpha+\gamma, \quad b_{3}=\beta(\alpha+\gamma)-\mu f_{1}  \tag{IV.2}\\
b_{4}=\alpha \gamma-\lambda f_{1}, \quad b_{5}=\beta\left(\alpha \gamma-\lambda f_{1}-\mu f_{1}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Although $v(t, x)$ can be constructed by the integral equation method described in § III, we shall try to construct it analytically by means of Laplace transformation.
For this purpose, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V(t ; s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s x} v(t, x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{IV.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{k}(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s x} v_{k}(x) \mathrm{d} x \quad(k=0,1) \tag{IV.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then multiplying both sides of Eqs (IV.1), (II.3) and (II.4) by $e^{-s x}$ and integrating with respect to $x$ over the interval $(0, \infty)$, we find the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s+b_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial t^{2}}+\left(b_{2} s+b_{3}\right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\left(b_{4} s+b_{5}\right) V=\frac{\gamma f_{0}}{s} \tag{IV.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

subjected to the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V\right|_{t=0}=\chi_{0}(s),\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=\chi_{1}(s) \tag{IV.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated characteristic equation is
(IV.7)

$$
\left(s+b_{1}\right) r^{2}+\left(b_{2} s+b_{3}\right) r+\left(b_{4} s+b_{5}\right)=0
$$

and its roots are
(IV.8)

$$
r_{1,2}(s)=\frac{-\left(b_{2} s+b_{3}\right) \mp \sqrt{\Delta(s)}}{2\left(s+b_{1}\right)}
$$

where
(IV.9) $\Delta(s)=\left(b_{2}^{2}-4 b_{4}\right) s^{2}+2\left(b_{2} b_{3}-2 b_{1} b_{4}-b_{5}\right) s+\left(b_{3}^{2}-4 b_{1} b_{5}\right)$.

Hence, if $\Delta(s) \neq 0$ we have $r_{1}(x) \neq r_{2}(s)$ and
(IV.10)

$$
V(t ; s)=\frac{\gamma f_{0}}{s\left(b_{4} s+b_{5}\right)}+C_{1}(s) e^{r_{1}(s) t}+C_{2}(s) e^{r_{2}(s) t}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}(s)=\frac{r_{2}(s) \chi_{0}(s)-\chi_{1}(s)}{r_{2}(s)-r_{1}(s)}-\frac{\gamma f_{0} r_{2}(s)}{s\left(b_{4} s+b_{5}\right)\left(r_{2}(s)-r_{1}(s)\right)}  \tag{IV.11}\\
C_{2}(s)=\frac{\chi_{1}(s)-r_{1}(s) \chi_{0}(s)}{r_{2}(s)-r_{1}(s)}+\frac{\gamma f_{0} r_{1}(s)}{s\left(b_{4} s+b_{5}\right)\left(r_{2}(s)-r_{1}(s)\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Accordingly, we have

$$
\text { 12) } v(t, x)=\frac{\gamma f_{0}}{b_{5}}\left(1-e^{-\theta x}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty}\left\{C_{1}(s) e^{r_{1}(s) t}+C_{2}(s) e^{r_{2}(s) t}\right\} e^{s x} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

where $\Theta=b_{5} / b_{4}$ and $c$ is a suitably chosen positive number.
Further, if $\Delta(s)=0$, then $r_{1}(s)=r_{2}(s)=\frac{b_{2} s+b_{3}}{2\left(s+b_{1}\right)}=r(s)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t ; s)=\frac{f_{0}}{s\left(b_{4} s+b_{5}\right)}+\left[C_{1}(s) t+C_{2}(s)\right] e^{r(s) t} \tag{IV.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}(s)=\chi_{1}(s)+\left[\frac{b_{2}}{2}+\frac{b_{3}-b_{1} b_{2}}{2\left(s+b_{1}\right)}\right] \chi_{0}(s)-\frac{\gamma f_{0}\left(b_{2} s+b_{3}\right)}{2 s\left(s+b_{1}\right)\left(b_{4} s+b_{5}\right)}  \tag{IV.14}\\
C_{2}(s)=\chi_{0}(s)-\frac{\gamma f_{0}}{s\left(b_{4} s+b_{5}\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Accordingly, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=\frac{\gamma f_{0}}{b_{5}}\left(1-e^{-\theta x}\right)+[Y(x) t+Z(x)] R(t, x) \tag{IV.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(IV.16)

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
Y(x)= & v_{1}(x)+\frac{b_{2}}{2} v_{0}(x)+\frac{b_{3}-b_{1} b_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-b_{1}(x-\xi)} v_{0}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi- \\
& -\frac{\gamma f_{0}}{2}\left[\frac{b_{3}}{b_{1} b_{5}}+\frac{b_{3}-b_{1} b_{2}}{b_{1}\left(b_{1} b_{4}-b_{5}\right)} e^{-b_{1} x}+\frac{b_{3} b_{4}-b_{2} b_{5}}{b_{5}\left(b_{5}-b_{1} b_{4}\right)} e^{-\theta x}\right] \\
Z(x)= & v_{0}(x)-\frac{\gamma f_{0}}{b_{5}}\left(1-e^{-\theta x}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and
(IV.17) $R(t, x)=e^{-\left(b_{2} / 2\right) t}\left\{1+\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\left[e^{-b_{1} x}\right.}{\mathrm{d} x^{2}}\left[\frac{2 x}{\left(b_{3}-b_{1} b_{2}\right) t} J_{1}\left(\sqrt{2\left(b_{3}-b_{1} b_{2}\right) t x}\right)\right]\right\}$
where $J_{1}(z)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order 1.
Finally we note that $\Delta(s) \equiv 0$ if and only if
(IV.18) $\quad b_{2}^{2}-4 b_{4}=0, \quad b_{2} b_{3}-2 b_{1} b_{4}-b_{5}=0, \quad b_{3}^{2}-4 b_{1} b_{5}=0$.

The first and second equations in (IV.18) yield respectively the relationships

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-\frac{(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}}{4 f_{1}}, \quad \mu=\frac{\beta(\alpha+\gamma)^{2}}{4 \alpha f_{1}} \tag{IV.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the third equation yields the relationships

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\alpha[-2+\sqrt{4 \alpha-21}], \quad \alpha>\frac{25}{4} \tag{IV.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\gamma>0$. Note that $0<f_{1} \leqq \frac{1}{4}$. Hence if the structural parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, f_{1}, \lambda$ and $\mu$ satisfy the conditions (IV.19) and (IV.20), then the activities in the neural model described by Eqs (II.5) and (IV.1) show oscillations according to the formulas (II.5) and (IV.15) -(IV.17).

Further, since $0 \leqq u(t, x) \leqq 1$ always in $D$, the functions $v_{0}(x)$ and $v_{1}(x)$ are bounded on $\boldsymbol{R}$. We can easily verify that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} v(t, x)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t}=0 & \text { for fixed } x \in R  \tag{IV.21}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} v(t, x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t}=0 & \text { for fixed } t \in I
\end{array}\right.
$$

whenever the conditions (IV.19)-(IV.20) are satisfied. Hence, under these conditions, we have the limits

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} u(t, x)=0 & \text { for fixed } x \in R  \tag{IV.22}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} u(t, x)=0 & \text { for fixed } t \in I
\end{array}\right.
$$

by virtue of Eq (II.5). The physiological significance of these limits are obvious.
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