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# LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE $2^{\text {nd }}$ ORDER WHOSE PRINCIPAL SOLUTION HAS UNBOUNDED LOGARITHMIC DERIVATIVE 

MILOŠ RABB, Brno

(Received September 29, 1980)

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}=q(t) x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a nonoscillatory differential equation on an interval $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. If $q(t) \leqq 0$, then $\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x(t)}$ is a nonincreasing function for every solution $x$ of (1). This follows from the fact that

$$
\left(\frac{x^{\prime}}{x}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{x^{\prime \prime}}{x}-\frac{x^{\prime 2}}{x^{2}}=q-\left(\frac{x^{\prime}}{x}\right)^{2} \leqq 0 .
$$

It is also well known that the inequality $q(t) \geqq 0$ implies that (1) is nonoscillatory and $\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{x(t)} \leqq 0$ for the principal solution $x(t)$ of (1) (see e. g. [1] p. 355).

In this paper there will be constructed a nonoscillatory differential equation (1) for which the logarithmic derivative of $x(t)$ is unbounded from above for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem. There exists a continuous function $q(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf q(t) \geqq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the equation (1) is nonoscillatory and its principal solution $x(t)$ has the property
(3)

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{x^{\prime}(t)^{-}}{x(t)}=\infty
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of functions $f_{n}: R \rightarrow R$ with the following properties:
i) $f_{n}(t) \in C^{1}(R)$;
ii) $f_{n}(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \leqq 0$ and $t \geqq n+1$;
iii) $f_{n}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)=n, 0 \leqq f_{n}(t) \leqq n$ on $R$;
iv) $f_{n}^{\prime}(t) \geqq-1$.

If we denote $\alpha_{n}=\int_{0}^{n+1} f_{n}(t) \mathrm{d} t$, then there is evidently
(4)

$$
\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \leqq \alpha_{n} \leqq n^{2},
$$

since

$$
n+\frac{1}{n}-t \leqq f_{n}(t) \leqq n+1-t \quad \text { for } t \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, n+1\right] .
$$
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(5)

$$
q_{1}=2, \quad q_{n+1}=n\left(q_{1}^{2}+\ldots+q_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f_{q n}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.F_{n}(t)=\alpha_{q n} \quad \text { for } t \geqq q_{n}+1 \quad \text { in view of } \mathrm{ii}\right), \\
F_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{q_{n}}\right)=q_{n} \quad \text { in view of iii), }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left.F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq-1 \quad \text { for } t \in R \quad \text { in view of iv }\right)
$$

If we define

$$
\sigma_{0}=0, \quad \sigma_{n}=\sigma_{n-1}+\alpha_{q n}, \quad b_{n}=\sigma_{n}^{2}
$$

then there is

$$
\sigma_{n+1}^{2}-\sigma_{n}^{2}=\left(\sigma_{n+1}+\sigma_{n}\right)\left(\sigma_{n+1}-\sigma_{n}\right) \geqq 2 \alpha_{q n+1} \geqq q_{n+1}^{2}>q_{n}+1,
$$

so that

$$
b_{n}+q_{n}+1<b_{n+1}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Let $x(t)$ be defined by means of the formula

$$
x(t)=\sigma_{n-1}+F_{n}\left(t-b_{n}\right), \quad t \in\left[b_{n}, b_{n+1}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
x(t) \leqq \sigma_{n-1}+\alpha_{q n}=\sigma_{n}=\sqrt{b_{n}} \leqq \sqrt{t} \quad \text { for } b_{n} \leqq t<b_{n+1}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow b_{n+1^{-}}} x(t)=\sigma_{n}=x\left(b_{n+1}\right)
$$

Thus, $x(t)$ is continuous, has a continuous derivative of the second order and $x^{\prime}(t)=$ $=f_{q n}\left(t-b_{n}\right) \geqq 0$ on $\left[b_{n}, b_{n+1}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$, so that $x(t)$ is nondecreasing. Since

$$
x\left(b_{n+1}\right)=\sigma_{n} \geqq \alpha_{q n} \geqq \frac{q_{n}^{2}}{2} \rightarrow \infty
$$

it is $x(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathrm{k}_{n}=b_{n}+\frac{1}{q_{n}}$. Then $x^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{k}_{n}\right)=f_{q n}\left(\frac{1}{q_{n}}\right)=q_{n}$,

$$
x\left(k_{n}\right)=\sigma_{n-1}+F_{n}\left(\frac{1}{q_{n}}\right)<\sigma_{n-1}+\frac{1}{q_{n}} f_{q n}\left(\frac{1}{q_{n}}\right)=1+\sigma_{n-1} .
$$

Consequently

$$
\frac{x^{\prime}\left(k_{n}\right)}{x\left(k_{n}\right)}>\frac{q_{n}}{1+\sigma_{n-1}}
$$

Since in view of (5)

$$
\sigma_{n-1}=\sum_{1}^{n-1} \alpha_{q k} \leqq \sum_{1}^{n-1} q_{k}^{2}=\frac{q_{n}}{n-1}
$$

we have

$$
\frac{q_{n}}{1+\sigma_{n-1}} \geqq \frac{q_{n}}{1+\frac{q_{n}}{n-1}}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{q_{n}}+\frac{1}{n-1}} \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus the solution $x(t)$ satisfies (3). If we denote $q(t)=\frac{x^{\prime \prime}(t)}{x(t)}$, it is with respect to (iv) $q(t) \geqq-\frac{1}{x(t)} \rightarrow 0$ since $x(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. This implies (2). The inequality
$x(t) \leqq \sqrt{ } t$ guaranties the divergence of the integral $\int_{b_{1}} x^{-2}$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ which means that $x(t)$ is a principal solution.

The proof is complete.
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