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# INFINITESIMAL AFFINE DEFORMATIONS OF SUBMANIFOLDS OFARIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD* 

S. T. HINEVA<br>(Received November 17, 1981)

0. Let $M^{m}$ be an m-dimensional submanifold of an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$.

In the present paper we study the infinitesimal affine deformations of submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold.

In Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 we answer the following question: when an infinitesimal affine deformation of a submanifold $M^{m}$ is infinitesimal isometric or infinitesimal volume preserving.

In Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, conditions have been found in which a hypersurface $M^{m}$ does not allow non-trivial infinitesimal affine deformations.

All manifolds, tensors and maps are assumed to be $C^{\infty}$.
All manifolds are assumed connected.

1. Let $M^{n}$ be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold covered by a system of coordinate neighbourhoods $\left\{U, x^{h}\right\}$. Let means $g_{i j}, \Gamma_{i j}^{k}, \nabla_{i}, R_{i j k}^{h}$ and $R_{i j}$, the metric tensor, the Christoffel symbols formed with $g_{i j}$, the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to $\Gamma_{k j}^{i}$, the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of $M^{n}$ respectively. The indices $i, j, k, \ldots$ assume the values $1,2, \ldots, n$.

Let $M^{m}$ be an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold, covered by a system of coordinate neighbourhoods $\left\{V, u^{\alpha}\right\}$ and let by $g_{\alpha \beta}, \Gamma_{\delta \beta}^{\alpha}, \nabla_{\alpha}, R_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{\delta}$ and $R_{\alpha \beta}$ the corresponding quantities of $M^{m}$ be denoted. The indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \ldots$ run over the range $1,2, \ldots, m$.

We suppose that the manifold $M^{m}$ is isometrically immersed in $M^{n}$ by the immersion $r: M^{m} \rightarrow M^{n}$ and we identify $r\left(M^{m}\right)$ with $M^{m}$.

We represent the immersion $r$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{h}=x^{h}\left(u^{a}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and denote
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\alpha}^{i}=\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial u^{\alpha}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

$B_{\alpha}^{i}$ are $m$ linearly independent vectors of $M^{n}$ tangent to $M^{m}$.
Since the immersion is isometric, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha \beta}=g_{i j} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $N_{\lambda}^{h}(\lambda=m+1, m+2, \ldots, n) n-m$ mutually orthogonal unit normals to $M^{m}$, and by $D: I \times M^{m} \rightarrow M^{n}, I=(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \varepsilon>0$ an arbitrary deformation of $M^{m}$. Then the field $z^{h}$ of the deformation $D$ can be represented as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{h}=\zeta^{a} B_{\alpha}^{h}+\zeta^{\lambda} N_{\lambda}^{h} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta^{2}(\alpha=1,2, \ldots, m)$ and $\zeta^{\lambda}(\lambda=m+1, \ldots, n)$ are tangential and normal components of the field of deformation $z^{h}$, respectively.

We call a deformation $D$ of the submanifold $M^{m}$ trivial, when the field of the deformation $z^{h}$ is identically equal to zero.

If the deformation vector $z^{h}$ is tangent to the submanifold, we say that the deformation is tangential (i.e. $\zeta^{\lambda}=0$ ).

If the deformation vector $z^{h}$ is normal to the submanifold, we say that the deformation is normal (i.e. $\zeta^{\alpha}=0$ ).

A deformation $D$ of $M^{m}$ is then and only then [2]
a) infinitesimal isometric, when the components $\zeta^{a}$ and $\zeta^{2}$ of the field of deformation $z^{h}$ satisfy the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}-2 h_{\alpha \beta \alpha} \zeta^{\lambda}=0, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{\alpha \beta}^{\lambda}$ are the second fundamental tensors of $M^{m}$ with respect to the normals $N_{\lambda}^{h}$; $h_{\alpha \lambda}^{\beta}=g^{\beta \delta} h_{\alpha \delta \lambda} ; h_{\lambda}=h_{\alpha \lambda}^{\alpha}=g^{\alpha \beta} h_{\alpha \beta \lambda}$.
b) infinitesimal affine, when $\xi^{x}$ and $\xi^{\lambda}$ satisfy the system of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}+R_{\varepsilon \gamma \beta \alpha} \zeta^{\varepsilon}=\nabla_{\gamma}\left(h_{\beta \alpha \alpha} \zeta^{\lambda}\right)+\nabla_{\beta}\left(h_{\alpha \gamma \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}\right)-\nabla_{a}\left(h_{\beta \gamma \gamma} \zeta^{\lambda}\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) infinitesimal volume preserving, if $\zeta^{\alpha}$ and $\zeta^{\lambda}$ satisfy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{a} \zeta^{\alpha}=h_{\lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Theorem 1. If an infinitesimal affine deformation of a submanifold $M^{m}$ of $a \cdot$ Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ is infinitesimal isometric at least at one point of $M^{m}$, then this affine deformation is isometric on the whole $M^{m}$.

Proof: From equation (1.6) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla_{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta}+R_{\varepsilon \gamma \alpha \beta} \zeta^{z}=\nabla_{\gamma}\left(h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}\right)+\nabla_{\alpha}\left(h_{\gamma \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}\right)-\nabla_{\beta}\left(h_{\alpha \gamma \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in view of $-R_{e \gamma \beta \alpha}=R_{e \gamma \alpha \beta}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\gamma}\left(\nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}+\dot{\nabla}_{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta}-2 h_{\alpha \beta \alpha} \zeta^{\lambda}\right)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\alpha \beta}=\nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}+\nabla_{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta}-2 h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\gamma} T_{\alpha \beta}=0, \quad T_{\alpha \beta}=T_{\beta \alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad T^{\alpha \beta}=T_{\varepsilon \delta} \grave{g}^{\varepsilon x} g^{\delta \beta} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply (1.6) by $T^{\alpha \beta}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\alpha \beta} \nabla_{\gamma}\left(\nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}-h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}\right)=0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\alpha \beta}\left(\nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}-h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}\right)=C_{1} \tag{2.6.}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a global constant, since $M^{m}$ is connected.
Since $T^{\alpha \beta}=T^{\beta \alpha}$, we can write (2.6) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\alpha \beta} T_{\alpha \beta}=2 C_{1} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of the proof follows easily from the assumptions.
From this theorem we obtain some corollaries.
Corollary 1. If $\zeta^{h}=\zeta^{\alpha} B_{\alpha}^{h}+\zeta^{\lambda} N_{\lambda}^{h}$ is a deformation vector field of an infinitesimal affine deformation, then the tensor $T_{\alpha \beta}$ has a constant length.

Corollary 2. If $z^{h}=\zeta^{\alpha} B_{\alpha}^{h}+\zeta^{\lambda} N_{\lambda}^{h}$ is a deformation vector field of an infinitesimal affine deformation, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}\right)\left(\nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta}+\nabla^{\beta} \zeta^{\alpha}\right) \geqq 4 h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta}-2 h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} h_{\mu}^{\alpha \beta} \zeta^{\lambda} \zeta^{\mu} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality is valid only if the deformation is infinitesimally isometric.
Theorem 2. If $z^{h}=\zeta^{\alpha} B_{\alpha}^{h}+\zeta^{\lambda} N_{\lambda}^{h}$ is a deformation vector of an infinitesimal affine, deformation of $a$ non-totally geodesic compact orientable submanifold $M^{m}$ of an orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{n}} h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} h_{m}^{\alpha \beta} \zeta^{\lambda} \zeta^{m} \mathrm{~d} V \geqq \int_{M^{n}} h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \mathrm{d} V . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality is fulfilled only if the deformation is infinitesimally isometric.
Proof: By Green's theorem and equality (2.4) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{M^{m}} \nabla^{\beta}\left(T_{\alpha \beta} \dot{\zeta}^{\alpha}\right) \mathrm{d} V=\int_{M^{m}} T_{\alpha \beta} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \mathrm{d} V . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this equality in view of (2.6) and (2.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{m}} \frac{1}{2} T_{\alpha \beta} T^{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{d} V=\int_{M^{m}}\left\{2 h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} h_{m}^{\alpha \beta} \zeta^{\lambda} \zeta^{m}-2 h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta}\right\} \mathrm{d} V \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.11) it follows that

$$
\int_{M^{m}} 2 h_{\alpha \beta} 1 h_{m}^{a \beta} \zeta^{\lambda} \zeta^{m} \mathrm{~d} V \geqq \int_{M^{n}} 2 h_{a \beta} \zeta^{2} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \mathrm{d} V .
$$

The theorem is proved.
If we take into consideration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 2) } \frac{1}{2} T_{a \beta} T^{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{a} \zeta_{\beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{a}\right)\left(\nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta}+\nabla_{\zeta}^{\beta, \alpha}\right)-4 h_{\alpha \beta} \lambda^{2} \nabla^{\alpha \zeta^{\beta}}+2 h_{a \beta \alpha} h_{\mu}^{\alpha \beta} \zeta^{\lambda} \zeta^{\mu}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (2.11) we have
Corollary 1. If $z^{h}$ is deformation vector of an infinitesimal affine deformation of a compact orientable submanifold $M^{m}$ of an orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{n}} h_{a \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \mathrm{d} V \geqq 0 . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3. An infinitesimal affine deformation of a minimal compact orientable submanifold $M^{m}$ of an orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ is necessarily infinitesimal volume preserving.

Proof: If a submanifold is minimal, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a \lambda}^{\alpha}=h_{\lambda}=0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (1.6) we can get the following equalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}+R_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^{\beta}=2 \nabla^{\beta}\left(h_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^{\lambda}\right)-\nabla_{\alpha}\left(h_{\lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}\right), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\nabla_{a} \zeta^{2}=h_{\alpha} \zeta^{2}+C,
$$

where $C$ is a global constant, since $M^{m}$ is connected.
From (2.14) and (2.16) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{a} \zeta^{\alpha}=C . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the submanifold $M^{m}$ is compact and orientable, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{m}} \nabla_{a} \zeta^{a} \mathrm{~d} V=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.17) and (2.18) we obtain that $C \equiv 0$.
Theorem 4. Let $M^{m}$ be a non-minimal compact orientable hypersurface of an orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$. If the submanifold $M^{m}$ satisfies the conditions
a) the second fundamental tensor $h_{\alpha \beta}$ is parallel, i.e.

$$
\nabla_{\gamma} h_{\alpha \beta}=0,
$$

b) the quadratic form with the components $R_{\alpha \beta}$ of the Ricci tensor as coefficients is negatively definite, then $M^{m}$ does not allow non-trivial infinitesimal affine deforma-
tion for which the divergence of the tangential component of the deformation vector is equal to zero and the deformation vector is tangent to $M^{m}$ at least at one point of $M^{m}$.

Proof: Let us suppose that $M^{m}$ allows non-trivial infinitesimal affine deformations. Then $\xi^{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $\psi$ do not vanish at the same time and satisfy the equation (1.6).

The equation (1.6) in view of condition $\nabla_{\gamma} h_{\alpha \beta}=0$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}+R_{\varepsilon \gamma \beta \alpha} \zeta^{\varepsilon}=h_{\beta \alpha} \nabla_{\gamma} \psi+h_{\gamma \alpha} \nabla_{\beta} \psi-h_{\beta \gamma} \nabla_{\alpha} \psi \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.19) we can get the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}+R_{s a} \zeta^{\alpha}=2 h_{\alpha}^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \psi-h \nabla_{\alpha} \psi  \tag{2.20}\\
\nabla_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha}=h \psi+C, \tag{2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C$ is a global constant.
Since the divergence of the vector $\zeta^{*}$ is equal to zero we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha}=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.21) by virtue of (2.22) and $\nabla_{\gamma} h_{\alpha \beta}=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \nabla_{\alpha} \psi=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hypersurface $M^{m}$ is not minimal, i.e. $h \neq 0$. Then from (2.23) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=C \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant.
The equality (2.19) in view of (2.24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}+R_{e \gamma \beta \alpha} \zeta^{\varepsilon}=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $\zeta^{\alpha}$ is an affine Killing vector. Since $M^{m}$ is compact and orientable, $\zeta^{\alpha}$ is also a Killing vector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}=0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a compact orientable submanifold $M^{m}$ the following integral formula is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{m}}\left\{R_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta}+\nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha}-\left(\nabla_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right\} \mathrm{d} V=0, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector $\zeta^{\alpha}$ in $M^{m}$ [3].
From (2.26), (2.22) and (2.27) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{m}} R_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \mathrm{d} V=\int_{M^{m}} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \nabla_{a} \zeta_{\beta} \mathrm{d} V \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equality, considering condition b) of the theorem, is fulfilled only if $\zeta^{a}$ is identically equal to zero. The theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. If a hypersurface $M^{m}$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem, then $M^{m}$ does not allow non-trivial infinitesimal affine deformations for which the tangential component of the deformation vector is a harmonic vector and the deformation vector is tangent to $M^{m}$ at least at one point.

Corollary 2. A compact orientable hypersurface $M^{m}$ of an orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ does not allow non-trivial tangential infinitesimal affine deformation if the Ricci form $R_{\alpha \beta}$ is negatively definite.

Theorem 5. Let $M^{m}$ be a non-minimal compact orientable hypersurface of an orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ with negative (or equal to zero) constant scalar curvature. If $M^{m}$ has a parallel second fundamental tensor $\left(\nabla_{\gamma} h_{\alpha \beta}=0\right)$, and the quadratic form with coefficients $h_{\gamma} h_{\alpha \beta}^{\lambda}-h_{\beta \lambda}^{e} h_{\alpha e}^{\lambda}$, is negatively definite, then $M^{m}$ does not allow non-trivial infinitesimal affine deformation for which the divergence of the tangential component of the deformation vector is equal to zero and the deformation vector is tangent to $M^{m}$ at least at one point.

Proof: The Gauss equation of a submanifold of $M^{n}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a \beta \gamma \delta}=R_{i j k h} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j} B_{\gamma}^{k} B_{\delta}^{h}+h_{a \delta \lambda} h_{\beta \gamma}^{\lambda}-h_{\beta \delta \lambda} h_{a \gamma}^{\lambda} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curvature tensor of a manifold $M^{n}$ with constant scalar curvature $K$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k h}=\frac{K}{n(n-1)}\left(g_{i h} g_{j k}-g_{i k} g_{j h}\right) . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.29), (2.30) and $g_{\alpha \beta}=g_{i j} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\beta \gamma}=\frac{m(m-1)}{n(n-1)} K g_{\beta \gamma}+h_{\lambda} h_{\beta \gamma}^{\lambda}-h_{\beta \lambda}^{\alpha} h_{\alpha \gamma}^{\lambda} . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 4.
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