Stefka Trifonova Hineva Infinitesimal affine deformations of submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 18 (1982), No. 4, 177--182

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107141

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ARCH. MATH. 4, SCRIPTA FAC. SCI. NAT. UJEP BRUNENSIS XVIII: 177—182, 1982

INFINITESIMAL AFFINE DEFORMATIONS OF SUBMANIFOLDS OF A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD*

S. T. HINEVA

(Received November 17, 1981)

0. Let M^m be an m-dimensional submanifold of an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M^n .

In the present paper we study the infinitesimal affine deformations of submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold.

In Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 we answer the following question: when an infinitesimal affine deformation of a submanifold M^m is infinitesimal isometric or infinitesimal volume preserving.

In Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, conditions have been found in which a hypersurface M^m does not allow non-trivial infinitesimal affine deformations.

All manifolds, tensors and maps are assumed to be C^{∞} .

All manifolds are assumed connected.

1. Let M^n be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold covered by a system of coordinate neighbourhoods $\{U, x^h\}$. Let means g_{ij} , Γ_{ij}^k , ∇_i , R_{ijk}^h and R_{ij} , the metric tensor, the Christoffel symbols formed with g_{ij} , the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to Γ_{kj}^i , the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of M^n respectively. The indices i, j, k, ... assume the values 1, 2, ..., n.

Let M^m be an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold, covered by a system of coordinate neighbourhoods $\{V, u^{\alpha}\}$ and let by $g_{\alpha\beta}$, $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\delta\beta}$, ∇_{α} , $R^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $R_{\alpha\beta}$ the corresponding quantities of M^m be denoted. The indices α , β , γ , δ , ... run over the range 1, 2, ..., m.

We suppose that the manifold M^m is isometrically immersed in M^n by the immersion $r: M^m \to M^n$ and we identify $r(M^m)$ with M^m .

We represent the immersion r by

$$(1.1) x^h = x^h(u^a)$$

^{* (}Delivered at the Joint Czech-Polish-G.D.R. Conference on Differential Geometry and its Applications, September 1980, Nové Město na Moravě, Czechoslovakia.)

and denote

$$B^i_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^{\alpha}},$$

 B^i_{α} are *m* linearly independent vectors of M^n tangent to M^m .

Since the immersion is isometric, we have

(1.3)
$$g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{ij}B^i_{\alpha}B^j_{\beta}.$$

We denote by N_{λ}^{h} ($\lambda = m + 1, m + 2, ..., n$) n - m mutually orthogonal unit normals to M^{m} , and by $D: I \times M^{m} \to M^{n}$, $I = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \varepsilon > 0$ an arbitrary deformation of M^{m} . Then the field z^{h} of the deformation D can be represented as:

(1.4)
$$z^{h} = \zeta^{a} B^{h}_{a} + \zeta^{\lambda} N^{h}_{\lambda},$$

where $\zeta^{\alpha}(\alpha = 1, 2, ..., m)$ and $\zeta^{\lambda}(\lambda = m + 1, ..., n)$ are tangential and normal components of the field of deformation z^{h} , respectively.

We call a deformation D of the submanifold M^m trivial, when the field of the deformation z^h is identically equal to zero.

If the deformation vector z^h is tangent to the submanifold, we say that the deformation is tangential (i.e. $\zeta^{\lambda} = 0$).

If the deformation vector z^{k} is normal to the submanifold, we say that the deformation is normal (i.e. $\zeta^{\alpha} = 0$).

A deformation D of M^m is then and only then [2]

a) infinitesimal isometric, when the components ζ^{a} and ζ^{λ} of the field of deformation z^{h} satisfy the following system of equations:

(1.5)
$$\nabla_{\alpha}\zeta_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta}\zeta_{\alpha} - 2h_{\alpha\beta\lambda}\zeta^{\lambda} = 0,$$

where $h_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda}$ are the second fundamental tensors of M^m with respect to the normals N_{λ}^h ; $h_{\alpha\lambda}^{\beta} = g^{\beta\delta}h_{\alpha\delta\lambda}$; $h_{\lambda} = h_{\alpha\lambda}^{\alpha} = g^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta\lambda}$.

b) infinitesimal affine, when ξ^{α} and ξ^{λ} satisfy the system of equations:

(1.6)
$$\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha} + R_{\epsilon \gamma \beta \alpha} \zeta^{\epsilon} = \nabla_{\gamma} (h_{\beta \alpha \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}) + \nabla_{\beta} (h_{\alpha \gamma \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}) - \nabla_{\alpha} (h_{\beta \gamma \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}).$$

c) infinitesimal volume preserving, if ζ^{α} and ζ^{λ} satisfy:

(1.7)
$$\nabla_{\alpha}\zeta^{\alpha} = h_{\lambda}\zeta^{\lambda}.$$

2. Theorem 1. If an infinitesimal affine deformation of a submanifold M^m of a Riemannian manifold M^n is infinitesimal isometric at least at one point of M^m , then this affine deformation is isometric on the whole M^m .

Proof: From equation (1.6) and

(2.1)
$$\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla_{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta} + R_{\epsilon \gamma \alpha \beta} \zeta^{\epsilon} = \nabla_{\gamma} (h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}) + \nabla_{\alpha} (h_{\gamma \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}) - \nabla_{\beta} (h_{\alpha \gamma \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda})$$

in view of $-R_{eyga} = R_{eygg}$ we obtain

(2.2)
$$\nabla_{\gamma}(\nabla_{\beta}\zeta_{\alpha} + \nabla_{\alpha}\zeta_{\beta} - 2h_{\alpha\beta\lambda}\zeta^{\lambda}) = 0.$$

If we denote

(2.3)
$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_{\beta}\zeta_{\alpha} + \nabla_{\alpha}\zeta_{\beta} - 2h_{\alpha\beta\lambda}\zeta^{\lambda},$$

then

(2.4)
$$\nabla_{\gamma}T_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad T_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\beta\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad T^{\alpha\beta} = T_{\epsilon\delta}g^{\epsilon\alpha}g^{\delta\beta}.$$

We multiply (1.6) by $T^{\alpha\beta}$

(2.5)
$$T^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\gamma} (\nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha} - h_{\alpha\beta\lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}) = 0.$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we have

(2.6.)
$$T^{\alpha\beta}(\nabla_{\beta}\zeta_{\alpha} - h_{\alpha\beta\lambda}\zeta^{\lambda}) = C_{1},$$

where C_1 is a global constant, since M^m is connected. Since $T^{\alpha\beta} = T^{\beta\alpha}$, we can write (2.6) in the form

$$(2.7) T^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta} = 2C_1.$$

The rest of the proof follows easily from the assumptions.

From this theorem we obtain some corollaries.

Corollary 1. If $\zeta^h = \zeta^{\alpha} B^h_{\alpha} + \zeta^{\lambda} N^h_{\lambda}$ is a deformation vector field of an infinitesimal **a**ffine deformation, then the tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ has a constant length.

Corollary 2. If $z^h = \zeta^{\alpha} B^h_{\alpha} + \zeta^{\lambda} N^h_{\lambda}$ is a deformation vector field of an infinitesimal affine deformation, then

(2.8)
$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha} \right) \left(\nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} + \nabla^{\beta} \zeta^{\alpha} \right) \geq 4 h_{\alpha\beta\lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} - 2 h_{\alpha\beta\lambda} h_{\mu}^{\alpha\beta} \zeta^{\lambda} \zeta^{\mu} \cdot$$

The equality is valid only if the deformation is infinitesimally isometric.

Theorem 2. If $z^h = \zeta^{\alpha} B^h_{\alpha} + \zeta^{\lambda} N^h_{\lambda}$ is a deformation vector of an infinitesimal affine, deformation of a non-totally geodesic compact orientable submanifold M^m of an orientable Riemannian manifold M^n , then

(2.9)
$$\int_{M^n} h_{\alpha\beta\lambda} h_m^{\alpha\beta} \zeta^{\lambda} \zeta^m \,\mathrm{d}V \ge \int_{M^n} h_{\alpha\beta\lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \,\mathrm{d}V.$$

The equality is fulfilled only if the deformation is infinitesimally isometric.

Proof: By Green's theorem and equality (2.4) it follows

(2.10)
$$0 = \int_{M^m} \nabla^{\beta} (T_{\alpha\beta}\zeta^{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}V = \int_{M^m} T_{\alpha\beta} \, \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \, \mathrm{d}V.$$

From this equality in view of (2.6) and (2.7) we have

(2.11)
$$\int_{M^m} \frac{1}{2} T_{\alpha\beta} T^{\alpha\beta} \, \mathrm{d}V = \int_{M^m} \{2h_{\alpha\beta\lambda}h_m^{\alpha\beta}\zeta^\lambda\zeta^m - 2h_{\alpha\beta\lambda}\zeta^\lambda\nabla^\alpha\zeta^\beta\} \, \mathrm{d}V.$$

179

From (2.11) it follows that

$$\int_{M^m} 2h_{\alpha\beta\lambda} h_m^{\alpha\beta} \zeta^{\lambda} \zeta^m \mathrm{d} V \ge \int_{M^n} 2h_{\alpha\beta\lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \mathrm{d} V.$$

The theorem is proved.

If we take into consideration

$$(2.12) \ \frac{1}{2} T_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{\alpha}\zeta_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta}\zeta_{\alpha} \right) \left(\nabla^{\alpha}\zeta^{\beta} + \nabla^{\beta}\zeta^{\alpha} \right) - 4h_{\alpha\beta\lambda}\zeta^{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha}\zeta^{\beta} + 2h_{\alpha\beta\lambda}h_{\mu}^{\alpha\beta}\zeta^{\lambda}\zeta^{\mu},$$

then from (2.11) we have

Corollary 1. If z^h is deformation vector of an infinitesimal affine deformation of a compact orientable submanifold M^m of an orientable Riemannian manifold M^n , then

(2.13)
$$\int_{M^n} h_{\alpha\beta\lambda} \zeta^{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \, \mathrm{d} V \ge 0.$$

Theorem 3. An infinitesimal affine deformation of a minimal compact orientable submanifold M^m of an orientable Riemannian manifold M^n is necessarily infinitesimal volume preserving.

Proof: If a submanifold is minimal, then

$$h^{\alpha}_{\alpha\lambda} = h_{\lambda} = 0.$$

From equation (1.6) we can get the following equalities:

(2.15)
$$\nabla^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha} + R_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^{\beta} = 2 \nabla^{\beta} (h_{\alpha \beta \lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}) - \nabla_{\alpha} (h_{\lambda} \zeta^{\lambda}),$$

(2.16)
$$\nabla_{\alpha}\zeta^{\alpha} = h_{\lambda}\zeta^{\lambda} + C,$$

where C is a global constant, since M^m is connected. From (2.14) and (2.16) it follows

$$\nabla_{\alpha}\zeta^{\alpha} = C.$$

Since the submanifold M^m is compact and orientable, then

(2.18)
$$\int_{M^m} \nabla_a \zeta^a \, \mathrm{d} V = 0.$$

From (2.17) and (2.18) we obtain that $C \equiv 0$.

Theorem 4. Let M^m be a non-minimal compact orientable hypersurface of an orientable Riemannian manifold M^n . If the submanifold M^m satisfies the conditions a) the second fundamental tensor $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is parallel, i.e.

$$\nabla_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\beta}=0,$$

b) the quadratic form with the components $R_{\alpha\beta}$ of the Ricci tensor as coefficients is negatively definite, then M^m does not allow non-trivial infinitesimal affine deformation for which the divergence of the tangential component of the deformation vector is equal to zero and the deformation vector is tangent to M^m at least at one point of M^m .

Proof: Let us suppose that M^m allows non-trivial infinitesimal affine deformations. Then ξ^{α} and ψ do not vanish at the same time and satisfy the equation (1.6).

The equation (1.6) in view of condition $\nabla_{\gamma} h_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ becomes

(2.19)
$$\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha} + R_{\epsilon \gamma \beta \alpha} \zeta^{\epsilon} = h_{\beta \alpha} \nabla_{\gamma} \psi + h_{\gamma \alpha} \nabla_{\beta} \psi - h_{\beta \gamma} \nabla_{\alpha} \psi.$$

From (2.19) we can get the following equations:

(2.20)
$$\nabla^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha} + R_{s\alpha} \zeta^{\epsilon} = 2h_{\alpha}^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \psi - h \nabla_{\alpha} \psi,$$

(2.21)
$$\nabla_{\alpha}\zeta^{\alpha} = h\psi + C,$$

where C is a global constant.

Since the divergence of the vector ζ^{α} is equal to zero we have

$$\nabla_{\alpha}\zeta^{\alpha}=0.$$

From (2.21) by virtue of (2.22) and $\nabla_{y} h_{ab} = 0$ we obtain

$$h \nabla_{\alpha} \psi = 0.$$

The hypersurface M^m is not minimal, i.e. $h \neq 0$. Then from (2.23) it follows that

$$(2.24) \qquad \qquad \psi = \overline{C},$$

where C is a constant.

The equality (2.19) in view of (2.24) becomes

(2.25)
$$\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha} + R_{\epsilon \gamma \beta \alpha} \zeta^{\epsilon} = 0,$$

which shows that ζ^{α} is an affine Killing vector. Since M^{m} is compact and orientable, ζ^{α} is also a Killing vector:

(2.26)
$$\nabla_{\alpha}\zeta_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta}\zeta_{\alpha} = 0.$$

For a compact orientable submanifold M^m the following integral formula is valid

(2.27)
$$\int_{M^{m}} \{ R_{\alpha\beta} \zeta^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} + \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \zeta_{\alpha} - (\nabla_{\alpha} \zeta^{\alpha})^{2} \} dV = 0,$$

for any vector ζ^{α} in M^{m} [3].

From (2.26), (2.22) and (2.27) we have

(2.28)
$$\int_{\mathcal{M}^m} R_{\alpha\beta} \zeta^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \, \mathrm{d}V = \int_{\mathcal{M}^m} \nabla^{\alpha} \zeta^{\beta} \, \nabla_{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta} \, \mathrm{d}V.$$

This equality, considering condition b) of the theorem, is fulfilled only if ζ^{α} is identically equal to zero. The theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. If a hypersurface M^m satisfies the conditions of the theorem, then M^m does not allow non-trivial infinitesimal affine deformations for which the tangential component of the deformation vector is a harmonic vector and the deformation vector is tangent to M^m at least at one point.

Corollary 2. A compact orientable hypersurface M^m of an orientable Riemannian manifold M^n does not allow non-trivial tangential infinitesimal affine deformation if the Ricci form R_{ab} is negatively definite.

Theorem 5. Let M^m be a non-minimal compact orientable hypersurface of an orientable Riemannian manifold M^n with negative (or equal to zero) constant scalar curvature. If M^m has a parallel second fundamental tensor $(\nabla_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\beta} = 0)$, and the quadratic form with coefficients $h_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} - h_{\beta\lambda}^{e}h_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\lambda}$, is negatively definite, then M^m does not allow non-trivial infinitesimal affine deformation for which the divergence of the tangential component of the deformation vector is equal to zero and the deformation vector is tangent to M^m at least at one point.

Proof: The Gauss equation of a submanifold of M^n is:

$$(2.29) R_{a\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{ijkh}B^i_{\alpha}B^j_{\beta}B^k_{\gamma}B^h_{\delta} + h_{a\delta\lambda}h^{\lambda}_{\beta\gamma} - h_{\beta\delta\lambda}h^{\lambda}_{a\gamma}.$$

The curvature tensor of a manifold M^n with constant scalar curvature K is:

(2.30)
$$R_{ijkh} = \frac{K}{n(n-1)} (g_{ih}g_{jk} - g_{ik}g_{jh}).$$

From (2.29), (2.30) and $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{ij}B^i_{\alpha}B^j_{\beta}$ we obtain

(2.31)
$$R_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{m(m-1)}{n(n-1)} K g_{\beta\gamma} + h_{\lambda} h_{\beta\gamma}^{\lambda} - h_{\beta\lambda}^{\alpha} h_{\alpha\gamma}^{\lambda}.$$

Further the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

- S. I. Tachibana: On the Isometric Deformation Vector "of the Hypersurface in Riemannian manifolds, Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomiz Univ., 27, 1, 1976.
- [2] K. Yano: Infinitesimal variations of submanifolds, Kodai Math. Journal, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 30-44, March 1978.
- [3] K. Yano: Differential geometry on Complex and Almost Complex Spaces, 1965.
- [4] S. T. Hineva: On the infinitesimal deformations of submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold (to appear).

S. T. Hineva Sofia 1000, Stamboliski 14 Bulgaria