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# THE MINIMUM MODULUS OF A SUBHARMONIC FUNCTION 

G. S. SRIVASTAVA, Roorkee<br>(Received January 26, 1981)

1. Let $u(z)$, where $z=r e^{i \theta}$, be a subharmonic function, defined in the whole complex plane, and harmonic in a heighbourhood of $z=0$. Hayman [2] proved the following result:

Lemma A. Let $u(z)$ be subharmonic in the whole $z$-plane. For every $R>0$, let $K\left(z, R e^{i \theta}\right)$ be the Poisson kernel defined by

$$
K\left(z, \operatorname{Re} e^{i \theta}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(\operatorname{Re} e^{i \theta}+z\right) /\left(\operatorname{Re} e^{i \theta}-z\right)\right]
$$

and let $G_{R}(z, t)$ be the Green's function for the disc $|z|<R$ with pole at $t$, that is,

$$
G_{R}(z, t)=\log \left|\left(R^{2}-z \bar{t}\right) / R(z-t)\right| .
$$

Then there exists a unique non-negative, additive set function ' $\mu(e)$ defined for all Borel sets $e$ in the $z$-plane such that for any $R>0, u(z)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\left(R e^{i \theta}\right) K\left(z, R e^{i \theta}\right) \mathrm{d} \Theta-\int_{|t|<R} G_{R}(z, t) \mathrm{d} \mu\left(e_{t}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|<R$.
Let $M(r)=\max _{|z|=r}[u(z)]$. Heins [4] defined the order $\varrho$ of a subharmonic function $u(z)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log M(r)}{\log r}=\varrho, \quad(0 \leqq \varrho \leqq \infty) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kennedy [5] proved the following representation theorem for subharmonic functions of finite order, which is a generalization of a result of Heins [4]:

Theorem A. Let $u(z)$ be subharmonic in the whole $z$-plane and harmonic in a neighbourhood of $z=0$, and of finite order $\varrho$. Let $\mu$ be the set function defined in Lemma $A$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{*}(t)=\mu(|z|<i) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0$. Further let $q$ be the least nonnegative integer such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-(a+1)} \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)<\infty . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all values of $z$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\operatorname{Re}[P(z)]+\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi|<R} \log |E(z / \xi, q)| \mathrm{d} \mu\left(e_{\xi}\right), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\varrho$ at most and $E(x, q)$ is the Weierstrass $f_{\text {ctor }}$ defined by

$$
E(x, q)=(1-x) \exp \left[x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\ldots+\frac{x^{q}}{q}\right] .
$$

It has been shown that such an integer $q$ exists and $q \leqq \varrho \leqq q+1$.
We define the type and lower type of $u(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\inf } \frac{M(r)}{r^{\ell}}=\frac{T}{\tau}, \quad \text { for } 0<\varrho<\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u(z)$ is said to be of maximal, minimal or normal type according as $T=\infty, T=0$ or $0<T<\infty$.

In this paper, we shall obtain some estimates of the subharmonic function in the plane.
2. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z)=\int_{|\xi|<\infty} \log |E(z / \xi, q)| \mathrm{d} \mu\left(e_{\xi}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ and $q$ are same as defined in Theorem A. It can be easily seen that $I(z)$ is also subharmonic in the whole $z$-plane and $\mu^{*}(t)$ is a nonnegative, non-decreasing function of $t$. We can write (2.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \log |E(z / t, q)| \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now give an estimate of $I(z)$. We refer to the following result of Levin ([6], p. 11):

Lemma B. For all $q>0$ and all complex numbers $z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |E(z, q)| \leqq A_{q} \frac{|z|^{q+1}}{1+|z|} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{q}=3 e(2+\log q)$.
For $q=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |E(z, 0)| \leqq \log (1+|z|) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we prove
Theorem 1. The function $I(z)$ defined by (2.2) satisfies the following inequality in the entire complex plane

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z)<k_{q} r^{q}\left[\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t^{q+1}} \mathrm{~d} t+r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t^{q+2}} \mathrm{~d} t\right], \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|z|=r, k_{q}=3 e(q+1)(2+\log q)$ for $q>0$ and $k_{0}=1$.
Proof. First we consider the case when $q>0$. From (2.3), we have for $|z|=r$,

$$
\log |E(z / t, q)|<\frac{A_{q} r^{q+1}}{t^{q}(t+r)}
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \log |E(z / t, q)| \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)<A_{q} r^{++1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)}{t^{q}(t+r)} .
$$

It is known that $\mu^{*}(r)=0\left(r^{q+1}\right)$. Hence on integrating by parts the right hand side, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(z)<A_{q}(q+1) r^{q+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{*}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{t^{q+1}(t+r)}= \\
=A_{q}(q+1) r^{q+1}\left\{\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{t^{q+1}(t+r)}+\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{*}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{t^{q+1}(t+r)}\right\}< \\
<k_{q} r^{q}\left\{\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{t^{q+1}}+r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{*}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{t^{q+2}}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence (2.5) follows for $q>0$. Similarly, when $q=0$, using (2.4) we get the corresponding inequality for $I(z)$.

We shall now obtain the estimate for the minimum of $u(z)$. We assume, without any loss of generality, that $u(0)=0$. Hayman [3] proved the following result which is an analogue of the well known Bourtex-Carton Lemma for entire functions.

Lemma C. Suppose that $\mu$ is a positive measure defined in the whole complex plane, vanishing outside a compact set and such that the measure ' $n$ ' of the whole plane satisfies the condition $0<n<\infty$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \log |z-\xi| \mathrm{d} \mu\left(e_{\xi}\right) \geqq n(\log \eta) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

outside a set of circles the sum of whose radii is at most $32 \eta$.
The following result was proved by M. Essen et al. [1], but not in the present form. We give the proof for the sake of completeness. We shall be using Lemma $\mathbf{C}$ for this purpose.

Theorem 2. Let $u(z)$ be subharmonic in the whole complex plane. Then for $|z|<R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)>-H(\eta) M(k R) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

outside a set of circles the sum of whose radii is atmost $32 \eta k R$ where $\eta>0, k>1$ and $H(\eta)$ denotes some function not depending on $R$.

Proof: For $1<k_{1}<k$, we have

$$
u(z)=u_{1}(z)+u_{2}(z)
$$

where

$$
u_{2}(z)=\int_{0}^{R / k_{1}} \log \left|1-\frac{z}{t}\right| \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t) .
$$

Now

$$
u_{2}(z)=\int_{0}^{R / k_{1}} \log |z-t| \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)-\int_{0}^{R / k_{1}}(\log t) \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)
$$

Using Lemma $C$ and the fact that $(\log t)$ is an increasing function of $t$, we get

$$
u_{2}(z)>\mu^{*}\left(R / k_{1}\right) \log (\eta R)-\mu^{*}\left(R / k_{1}\right) \log \left(R / k_{1}\right)
$$

outside a set of circles the sum of whose radii is at most $32 \eta R$. Now from (1.1), we get on putting $z=0$,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\left(R e^{i \theta}\right) \mathrm{d} \Theta=u(0)+\int_{|\xi|<R} \frac{\mu\left(e_{\xi}\right)}{\xi} \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\left(R e^{i \theta}\right) \mathrm{d} \Theta=\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us write

$$
N(r)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

Then $N(r) \leqq M(r)$. Since $\mu^{*}(r)$ is non-decreasing, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu^{*}\left(R / k_{1}\right) \log k_{1}=\mu^{*}\left(R / k_{1}\right) \int_{R / k_{1}}^{R} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t} \leqq \\
\leqq \int_{R / k_{1}}^{R} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq N(R) \leqq M(R) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(z)>-M(R) \frac{\log \left(1 / \eta k_{1}\right)}{\log k_{1}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

outside the set of excluded circles. For sufficiently small values of $\eta$, we can choose $k_{2}, 1<k_{1}<k_{2}<k$, such that the circle $|z|=R / k_{2}$ avoids all the excluded circles. Hence (2.9) holds for $|z|=R / k_{2}$. We can choose the argument
of $z / k_{2}=R e^{i \theta} / k_{2}$ so that

$$
u_{1}\left(z / k_{2}\right)=M_{1}\left(R / k_{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
M_{1}(r)=\max _{|z|=r}\left[u_{1}(z)\right] .
$$

Now

$$
M_{1}\left(R / k_{2}\right) \leqq M\left(R / k_{2}\right)-u_{2}\left(R e^{i \theta} / k_{2}\right)<M(R)-\frac{M(R) \log \left(1 / \eta k_{1}\right)}{\log k_{1}}
$$

since $k_{2}>1$. By definition of $u_{1}(z)$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{1}(z)=\operatorname{Re}[P(z)]+\int_{R / k_{1}}^{\infty} \log |E(z / t, q)| \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)+ \\
+\int_{0}^{R / k_{1}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z}{t}+\frac{z^{2}}{2 t^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{z^{q}}{q t^{q}}\right] \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)= \\
=\operatorname{Re}[P(z)]+\operatorname{Re}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{q} \frac{z^{m}}{m} \int_{0}^{R / k_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mu^{*}(t)}{t^{m}}\right]+ \\
+\int_{R / k_{1}}^{\infty} \log |E(z / t, q)| \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $u_{1}(z)$ has no mass inside the circle $|z|=R / k_{1}$ and is therefore harmonic there. Applying the Caratheodory's inequality ([8], pp. 174-175), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(z)>-\frac{2 r}{\left(R / k_{2}\right)-r} M_{1}\left(R / k_{2}\right), \quad|z|=r<R / k_{2}<R / k_{1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.10), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(z)>-\frac{2 r}{\left(R / k_{2}\right)-r} M\left(R / k_{2}\right)-M(R) \frac{\log \left(1 / \eta k_{1}\right)}{\log k_{1}}> \\
>-\frac{2 r}{\left(R / k_{2}\right)-r} M(R)\left[1-\frac{\log \left(\eta k_{1}\right)}{\log k_{1}}\right]+M(R) \frac{\log \left(\eta k_{1}\right)}{\log k_{1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The coefficient of $-M(R)$ does not exceed

$$
\frac{2 k_{2}}{k-k_{2}}+\frac{\left(k+k_{2}\right) \log \left(1 / \eta k_{1}\right)}{\left(k-k_{2}\right) \log k},
$$

which is a suitable value of $H(\eta)$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)>-H(\eta) M(R) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

outside the set of excluded circles, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)>-H(\eta) M(k R) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

outside a set of circles the sum of whose radii is atmost $32 \eta k R$. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.
3. We now define the proximate order for a subharmonic function.

Definition. A real valued function $\varrho(r)$ satisfying the following conditions is said to be a proximate order:
(3.1) $\varrho(r)$ is continuous, piecewise differentiable for $r>r_{0}>0$ except at isolated points where $\varrho^{\prime}(r-0)$ and $\varrho^{\prime}(r+0)$ exist.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r \varrho^{\prime}(r) \log r=0 .  \tag{3.2}\\
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(r)=\varrho, \quad 0<\varrho<\infty
\end{gather*}
$$

Further, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{M(r)}{r^{\ell(r)}}=\sigma_{u}, \quad 0<\sigma_{u}<\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\varrho(r)$ is said to be a proximate order of the function $u(z)$. We call $\sigma_{u}$ to be the type of $u(z)$ with respect to the proximate order $\varrho(r)$. The existence of proximate order for a subharmonic function $u(z)$ has been established in [7].

It is evident that every subharmonic function of finite order is of normal type with respect to its own proximate order. However, we can compare the growth of $M(r)$ with that of $r^{(r)}$ for any proximate order with the help of density function $\Delta$ of the mass distribution $\mu$.

We define the Upper Density of the mass distribution $\mu$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\mu^{*}(r)}{r^{\varrho(r)}}=\Delta \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho(r)$ is any proximate order satisfying the conditions (3.1) to (3.3).
Now for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have for all $r>r_{0}(\varepsilon)$,

$$
M(r)<\left(\sigma_{-u}+\varepsilon\right) r^{\ell(r)} .
$$

From (2.8) we have

$$
\mu^{*}(r) \leqq \int_{r}^{e r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq \int_{0}^{e r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq M(e r)
$$

Hence we have $\mu^{*}(r)<\left(\sigma_{u}+\varepsilon\right)(e r)^{e(e r)}, r>r_{0}(\varepsilon)$. Using inequality (2) of Levin ([6], p. 33), we have for $\eta>0$,

$$
\mu^{*}(r)<\left(\sigma_{u}+\varepsilon\right) e^{\ell} r^{e(r)}(1+\eta), \quad \text { for } r>r_{1}(\varepsilon, \eta)
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\mu^{*}(r)}{r^{e(r)}} \leqq e^{\ell} \sigma_{\mu} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a subharmonic function of non-integral order, we can get a reverse estimate also. From Theorem A and (2.5), we have

$$
M(r)<0\left(r^{q}\right)+k_{r^{\prime}} r^{r}\left[\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t^{q+1}} \mathrm{~d} t+r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t^{q+2}} \mathrm{~d} t\right] .
$$

By (3.5) we have

$$
M(r)<O\left(r^{q}\right)+k_{q} r^{q}(\Delta+8)\left[\int_{r 0}^{r} \frac{t^{e(t)}}{t^{q+1}} \mathrm{~d} t+r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\rho(t)}}{t^{q+2}} \mathrm{~d} t\right] .
$$

Using (1.53) and (1.53') of Levin [6], we have

$$
M(r)<O\left(r^{q}\right)+k_{q} r^{q}(\Delta+\varepsilon)\left[\frac{r^{\varrho(r)-q}}{\varrho-q}+\frac{r^{\varrho(r)-q}}{q+1-\varrho}+O\left(r^{e(r)-q}\right)\right]
$$

since $q<\varrho<q+1$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{u}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{M(r)}{r^{e(r)}} \leqq A \Delta \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ depends on $\varrho$ and $q$ only.
Combining (3.6) and (3.7), we get the following
Theorem 3. Let $u(z)$ be subharmonic in the whole complex plane and of nonintegral order $\varrho$. Let $\varrho(r)$ be a proximate order such that $\lim \varrho(r)=\varrho$. Then $u(z)$ is of_maximal, minimal or normal type with respect to $\varrho(r)$ according as $\Delta$ is infinite, zero or $0<\Delta<\infty$.

The corresponding result for functions of integral orders is not so straight forward. In this case, the knowledge of $\Delta$ alone is not sufficient to determine the growth of $M(r)$ with respect to $\varrho(r)$. We define a new constant $\delta_{u}$ for the subharmonic function $u(z)$ as follows. We represent $u(z)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\operatorname{Re}[P(z)]+\int_{|\xi|<\infty} \log |E(z / \xi, q)| \mathrm{d} \mu\left(e_{\xi}\right), \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \leqq \varrho$ and $P(z)=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} z+\alpha_{2} z^{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{e} z^{\ell}$.
We put

$$
\delta_{u}(r)=\alpha_{\varrho}+\frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mu^{*}(t)}{t^{\ell}}
$$

and

$$
\delta_{u}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\delta_{u}(r)\right|}{L(r)}
$$

where

$$
L(r)=r^{e(r)-e}
$$

and we define

$$
v_{u}=\max \left(\Delta, \delta_{u}\right)
$$

## Now we prove

Theorem 4. Let $u(z)$ be a subharmonic function of integral order $\varrho$ and let $\varrho(r)$ be a proximate order with $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(r)=\varrho$.

Then $u(z)$ is of maximal, minimal or normal type with respect to $\varrho(r)$ according as $v_{u}=\infty, v_{u}=0$ or $0<v_{u}<\infty$.

Proof: We define the subharmonic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{R}(z)=\int_{0}^{R} \log |E(z / t, \varrho-1)| \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)+\int_{R}^{\infty} \log |E(z / t, \varrho)| \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can write

$$
u(z)=\operatorname{Re}[P(z)]+u_{R}(z)+\int_{0}^{R} \operatorname{Re}\left(z^{o} / \varrho t\right) \mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left[P_{e-1}(z)\right]+u_{R}(z)+\operatorname{Re}\left[\delta_{u}(R) z^{e}\right] \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{e^{-1}}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree atmost $\varrho-1$. Let

$$
M_{R}(r)=\max _{|z|=r}\left[u_{R}(z)\right]
$$

and suppose that $\varrho>1$. Then using (2.3) we get

$$
M_{R}(R)<A_{e}\left[R^{e} \int_{0}^{R} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mu^{*}(t)}{(t+R) t^{e}}+R^{e+1} \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)}{(t+R) t^{e}}\right]
$$

As in Theorem 1, integration by parts on the right hand side yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{R}(R)<k_{\ell}\left[R^{(\varrho-1)} \int_{0}^{R} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t^{\varrho}} \mathrm{d} t+R^{\varrho+1} \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu^{*}(t)}{t^{\varrho+2}}\right] . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5) we have for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $r>r_{0}(\varepsilon)$,

$$
\mu^{*}(r)<(\Delta+\varepsilon) r^{e(r)}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{R}(R)< & 0\left(R^{Q-1}\right)+k_{e} R^{g-1} \int_{r_{0}}^{R}(\Delta+\varepsilon) r^{\rho(t)-\varrho} \mathrm{d} t+ \\
& +R^{\rho+1} \int_{R}^{\infty}(\Delta+\varepsilon) t^{\varrho(t)-\varrho-2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using again the relations (1.53) and (1.53') of Levin, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{R}(R)<2 k_{\varrho}(\Delta+\varepsilon) R^{\varrho(R)}+0\left(R^{e(R)}\right)+0\left(R^{(\varrho-1)}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.10) we have

$$
M(R)<0\left(R^{e-1}\right)+2 k_{e}(\Delta+\varepsilon) R^{e(R)}+\left|\delta_{u}(R)\right| R^{e},
$$

or,

$$
\frac{M(R)}{R^{o(R)}}<o(1)+2 k_{e}(\Delta+\varepsilon)+\frac{\left|\delta_{u}(R)\right|}{L(R)}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{u} \leqq\left(1+2 k_{e}\right) v_{u} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the reverse inequality, we have from (3.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \leqq e^{e} \sigma_{u} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\eta=(1 / 64) k, k>1$. Then from Theorem 2, we have for $|z| \leqq r$,

$$
u_{R}(z)>-H(\eta) M_{R}\left(R_{1}\right)
$$

outside a set of circles the sum of whose radii is atmost $r / 2, R_{1}=k r$. We can find a number $r_{1}$ such that $r / 2<r_{1}<r$ and the circle $|z|=r_{1}$ avoids all the excluded circles. Hence from the representation (3.10), we get

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[\delta_{u}(R) z^{\varrho}\right]<M\left(r_{1}\right)+H(\eta) M_{R}\left(R_{1}\right)+0\left(R^{e-1}\right)
$$

on the circumference of the circle $|z|=r_{1}$. We can choose the argument of $z$ so that $z^{\ell}$ and $\delta_{u}(R)$ have arguments that cancel each other. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{u}(R)\right|<\frac{M\left(r_{1}\right)}{r_{1}^{\varrho}}+\frac{H(\eta) M_{R}\left(R_{1}\right)}{r_{1}^{\varrho}}+0\left(R^{-1}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing by $L(R)$ and proceeding to limits, we get

$$
\delta_{u} \leqq c \sigma_{u}
$$

where $c$ is some constant. Combining the above inequality with (3.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{u} \geqq c_{1} v_{u} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4 now follows in view of (3.13) and (3.16).
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