Pavel Pyrih An example related to strongly pointwise self-homeomorphic dendrites

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 35 (1999), No. 2, 151--154

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107692

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO) Tomus 35 (1999), 151 – 154

AN EXAMPLE RELATED TO STRONGLY POINTWISE SELF-HOMEOMORPHIC DENDRITES

PAVEL Pyrih

ABSTRACT. Such spaces in which a homeomorphic image of the whole space can be found in every open set are called *self-homeomorphic*. W.J. Charatonik and A. Dilks posed a problem related to strongly pointwise self-homeomorphic dendrites. We solve this problem negatively in Example 2.1.

1. Introduction.

W.J. Charatonik and A. Dilks introduced four types of self-homeomorphic spaces (see [1], p.217).

Definition 1.1. A topological space X is called *self-homeomorphic* if for any open set $U \subseteq X$ there is a set $V \subseteq U$ such that V is homeomorphic to X.

Definition 1.2. A topological space X is called *strongly self-homeomorphic* if for any open set $U \subseteq X$ there is a set $V \subseteq U$ with nonempty interior such that V is homeomorphic to X.

Definition 1.3. A topological space X is called *pointwise self-homeomorphic at* a point $x \in X$ if for any neighborhood U of x there is a set V such that $x \in V \subseteq U$ and V is homeomorphic to X. The space X is called *pointwise self-homeomorphic* if it is pointwise self-homeomorphic at each of its points.

Definition 1.4. A topological space X is called *strongly pointwise self-homeo*morphic at a point $x \in X$ if for any neighborhood U of x there is a neighborhood V of x such that $x \in V \subseteq U$ and V is homeomorphic to X. The space X is called strongly pointwise self-homeomorphic if it is strongly pointwise self-homeomorphic at each of its points.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 54F15, 54C25, 54F50.

Key words and phrases: continuum, dendrite, self-homeomorphic.

Research supported by the grant No. GAUK 303-10/6196 of Charles University. Received April 1, 1998.

Moreover they formulated two conditions (see [1], p.235 conditions 6.15.3 and 6.15.4):

- 1* for any point p, any neighbourhood U of p, there is an embedding $h: X \to U$ with h(p) = p and $p \in int h(X)$;
- 2^{*} for any point p, any neighborhood U of p, there is a neighborhood V of p with $V \subseteq U$ and an embedding $h: X \to U$ satisfying $h|_V = id_V$.

W.J. Charatonik and A. Dilks posed in [1], p.237 in Problem 6.25 the following problem.

Problem 1.5. Does every strongly pointwise self-homeomorphic continuum (dendrite) satisfy 1^* or 2^* ?

We give the negative answer to this problem in Example 2.1.

By a *continuum* we mean a compact, connected metric space. By a *dendrite* we mean a locally connected continuum containing no simple closed curves. For a dendrite X, the *order of a point* $x \in X$ is the number of components of $X \setminus \{x\}$. It is denoted $\operatorname{ord}(x)$. If there are infinitely many components of $X \setminus \{x\}$ we say $\operatorname{ord}(x) = \omega$, where $\omega > n$ for every natural number n. Points of order one are called *endpoints*, and points of order three or more are called *ramification* points.

2. Counterexample.

The presented dendrite is from [1], pp. 232-233, Example 6.11.

Example 2.1. There is a strongly pointwise self-homeomorphic dendrite where the conditions 1^* and 2^* do not hold.

Proof. Such a dendrite is pictured in Figure 1. The dendrite X has points of order four on vertical arcs and points of order three on some horizontal arcs.

We say that an arc A in a topological space Y is of type k if all non endpoints of A are of order 2 or k in Y and points of A of order k in Y are dense in A.

(i) One can check that X it is a strongly pointwise self-homeomorphic dendrite (see [1], p.232).

(ii) We show that the condition 1^* (and hence 2^* as well) does not hold.

(Proof. We denote G the longest horizontal arc in X and R the longest vertical arc in X. We denote s the common point of the ground G and main root R. Any arc in X disjoint with the ground G is called an *underground arc*.

We choose p some point of order 3 in X belonging to some (horizontal) underground arc G_p touching the main root.

We choose some neighborhood U of p disjoint with the main root R and show that there is no embedding $h: X \to U$ with h(p) = p and $p \in int h(X)$.

Let h is such, we conclude a contradiction. We see that the points p and s are joined in X via the arc $A_4 \subset R$ and $A_3 \subset G_p$, where A_4 is of type 4 in X and A_3



Figure 1 (Example 2.1).

is of type 3 in X. Their common point q is of order 4 in X and it is an endpoint of just two maximal arcs of type 4 in X and just two maximal arcs of type 3 in X.

The point h(q) must have the same property in h(X). But such a point cannot be joined with p = h(p) in h(X) with an arc of type 3 in $h(X) \subset U$. Clearly. If $h(A_3) \subset G_p$ we cannot reach any point of the desired type. We can reach in Usuch a point only when we go downstairs the roots of X and cut out some branches in X to obtain the type 3 of the arc originally being of type 4. But we just enter the desired point having cut one of his 'legs' from type 4 to type 3. So we see that the point with the desired properties cannot be reached. This is a contradiction. \heartsuit) The proof is complete. \square **Remark 2.2.** In fact the strongly piecewise self-homeomorphic dendrite X in Example 2.1 does not satisfy even this weaker form of 1^* and 2^* :

 3^* for any point p, any neighborhood U of p, there is an embedding $h: X \to U$ with h(p) = p.

References

 Charatonik, W. J., Dilks, A., On self-homeomorphic spaces, Topology and its Applications 55 (1994), 215 – 238.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, CZ-186 75 PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC *E-mail*: PYRIH@KARLIN.MFF.CUNI.CZ