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# THE NATURAL TRANSFORMATIONS $T T^{(r)} \rightarrow T T^{(r)}$ 

## WŁODZIMIERZ M. MIKULSKI


#### Abstract

For natural numbers $r \geq 2$ and $n$ a complete classification of natural transformations $A: T T^{(r)} \rightarrow T T^{(r)}$ over n-manifolds is given, where $T^{(r)}$ is the linear $r$-tangent bundle functor.


0. In [1], Gancarzewicz and Kolář obtained a classification of all natural affinors on the extended linear $r$-tagent bundle functor $E^{(r)} M=\left(J^{r}(M, \mathbf{R})\right)^{*}$ over $n$-manifolds. From the mentioned classification one can easily deduce that any natural affinor $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ on the linear $r$-tangent bundle functor $T^{(r)} M=\left(J^{r}(M, \mathbf{R})_{0}\right)^{*}$ over $n$-manifolds is a linear combination (with real coefficients) of the identity affinor $i d_{T T^{(r)} M}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ and the affinor being the composition $T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M \times_{M} T M \subset T^{(r)} M \times_{M} T^{(r)} M \tilde{=} V T^{(r)} M \subset$ $T T^{(r)} M$, where the arrow is the system $\left(\pi^{T}, T \pi\right), \pi^{T}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ is the tangent bundle projection, $\pi: T^{(r)} M \rightarrow M$ is the bundle projection and the inclusion $i: T M \subset T^{(r)} M$ is given by the dualization of the jet projection $J^{r}(M, \mathbf{R})_{0} \rightarrow J^{1}(M, \mathbf{R})_{0}$.

Clearly, any natural affinor $A$ on $T^{(r)} M$ is a natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M$ $\rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ such that $A$ is a tensor field of type $(1,1)$ on $T^{(r)} M$.

If $r=1$, the natural transformations $T T M \rightarrow T T M$ are in bijection with the Weil algebra homomorphisms $T T \mathbf{R} \rightarrow T T \mathbf{R}$, see [2].

The purpose of this note is to give a complete classification of natural transformations $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{r)} M$ over $n$-manifolds in the case where $r \geq 2$.

In Item 1, we prove that any natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ over $n$-manifold is a linear combination of $\pi^{T}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ and $i \circ T \pi$ : $T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T M \subset T^{(r)} M$.

In Item 2, as a corollary of the result of Item 1, we prove that if $r \geq 2$, then any natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T M$ over $n$-manifolds is proportional to $T \pi: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T M$.

If $\underline{A}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ is a natural transformation, then a natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ is called to be over $\underline{A}$ iff $\pi^{T} \circ A=\underline{A}$. In Item 3, we

[^0]define two natural transformations (of vertical type) $\underline{A}^{\pi^{T}}:=\left(\underline{A}, \pi^{T}\right): T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow$ $T^{(r)} M \times_{M} T^{(r)} M=V T^{(r)} M \subset T T^{(r)} M$ and $\underline{\underline{A}}^{i \circ T \pi}:=(\underline{A}, i \circ T \pi): T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow$ $T^{(r)} M \times_{M} T^{(r)} M=V T^{(r)} M \subset T T^{(r)} M$ over $\underline{A}$. Then, as a corollary of the result of Item 1, we prove that any natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow V T^{(r)} M \subset$ $T T^{(r)} M$ over $\underline{A}$ is a linear combination of $\underline{A}^{\pi^{T}}$ and $\underline{A}^{i \circ T \pi}$.

In Item 4 , if $r \geq 2$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$, we construct a natural transformation $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ : $T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ over $\underline{A}=\lambda \pi^{T}+\mu(i \circ T \pi)$ of not vertical type.

In Item 5, applying the result of Items 2 and 3, we prove that if $r \geq 2$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$, then any natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ over $\underline{A}=$ $\lambda \pi^{T}+\mu(i \circ T \pi)$ is a linear combination of $\underline{A}^{\pi^{T}}, \underline{A}^{i \circ T \pi}$ and $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$.

Throughout this note the usual coordinates on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ are denoted by $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ and $\partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}, i=1, \ldots, n$.

All manifolds and maps are assumed to be of class $C^{\infty}$.

1. The tangent bundle projection $\pi^{T}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ is a simple example of a natural transformation $T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ over $n$-manifolds. Another example is $i \circ T \pi: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T M \subset T^{(r)} M$, where $\pi: T^{(r)} M \rightarrow M$ is the bundle projection and the inclusion $i: T M \tilde{=} T^{(1)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ is the dual map of the jet projection $J^{r}(M, \mathbf{R})_{0} \rightarrow J^{1}(M, \mathbf{R})_{0}$.

Proposition 1. Any natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ over nmanifolds is a linear combination (with real coefficients) of $\pi^{T}$ and $i \circ T \pi$.

Proof. Any natural transformation $A$ as in the proposition is uniquely determined by the $\left\langle A(u), j_{0}^{r} \gamma\right\rangle \in \mathbf{R}$ for any $\gamma: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with $\gamma(0)=0$ and any $u \in\left(T T^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)_{0} \tilde{=} \mathbf{R}^{n} \times\left(V T^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)_{0} \tilde{=} \mathbf{R}^{n} \times T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n} \times T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}$, where $\tilde{=}$ denotes the standard trivialization and the canonical identification. By the rank theorem $j_{0}^{r} x^{1}$ has dense orbit in $J_{0}^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}, \mathbf{R}\right)_{0}$. Then , by the naturality, $A$ is uniquely determined by the $\left\langle A(u), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle$ for any $u \in\left(T T^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)_{0} \tilde{=} \mathbf{R}^{n} \times T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n} \times T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

Any element from $T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is a linear combination of the $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ for all $\alpha \in$ $(\mathbf{N} \cup\{0\})^{n}$ with $1 \leq|\alpha| \leq r$, where the $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ form the basis of $T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ dual to the basis $j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha} \in J_{0}^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}, \mathbf{R}\right)_{0}$. By the naturality of $A$ with respect to the homotheties $a_{t}=\left(t^{1} x^{1}, \ldots, t^{n} x^{n}\right), t=\left(t^{1}, \ldots, t^{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}_{+}^{n}$, we have $\left\langle A\left(T T^{(r)}\left(a_{t}\right)(u)\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle=$ $t^{1}\left\langle A(u), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle$ for any $t=\left(t^{1}, \ldots, t^{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}_{+}^{n}$. For any $t \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and any $\alpha \in(\mathbf{N} \cup$ $\{0\})^{n}$ we have $T^{(r)}\left(a_{t}\right)\left(\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}\right)=t^{\alpha}\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$. Then by the homogeneous function theorem, see [2], we deduce easily that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A(u), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle=\lambda u_{1}^{1}+\mu u_{2, e_{1}}+\nu u_{3, e_{1}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some real numbers $\lambda, \mu, \nu$, where $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in\left(T\left(T^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)_{0} \tilde{=} \mathbf{R}^{n} \times$ $T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n} \times T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}, u_{1}=\left(u_{1}^{1}, \ldots, u_{1}^{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, u_{2, \alpha}$ is the coefficient (with respect to the basis) of $u_{2} \in T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ corresponding to $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$ and $u_{3, \alpha}$ is the coefficient of $u_{3} \in T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}$ corresponding to $\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{\alpha}\right)^{*}, e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0) \in(\mathbf{N} \cup\{0\})^{n}$.

Replacing $A$ by $A-\lambda i \circ T \pi-\mu \pi^{T}$ we can assume that $\lambda=\mu=0$. Then (in particular)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A\left(\partial_{1}^{C} \mid \omega\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle A\left(e_{1}, \omega, 0\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle=0 \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\omega \in T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}$, where ( $)^{C}$ is the complete lifting of vector fields to $T^{(r)}$.
It remains to show that $\nu=0$, i.e. that $\left\langle A\left(0,0,\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right)^{*}\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle=0$.
For showing this, we prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle A\left(\left(\partial_{1}+\left(x^{1}\right)^{r} \partial_{1}\right)_{\mid \omega}^{C}\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle A\left(\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{r} \partial_{1}\right)_{\mid \omega}^{C}\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle A\left(0, \omega,\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right)^{*}\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle A\left(0,0,\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right)^{*}\right), j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega=\left(j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{1}\right)^{r}\right)^{*}$.
The second and the fourth equalities are clear as in the formula $\left(^{*}\right) \lambda=\mu=0$.
We can prove the first equality as follows. Vector fields $\partial_{1}+\left(x^{1}\right)^{r} \partial_{1}$ and $\partial_{1}$ have the same $(r-1)$-jets at 0 . Then, by the result of Zajtz [3], there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $j_{0}^{r} \varphi=i d$ and $\varphi_{*} \partial_{1}=\partial_{1}+\left(x^{1}\right)^{r} \partial_{1}$ near 0 . Clearly, $\varphi$ preserves $j_{0}^{r} x^{1}$ because of the jet argument. Then, using the naturality of $A$ with respect to $\varphi$, from $\left(^{(* *)}\right.$ it follows the first equality for any $\omega \in T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

It remains to prove the third equality. Let $\varphi_{t}$ be the flow of $\left(x^{1}\right)^{r} \partial_{1}$. For any $\beta \in(\mathbf{N} \cup\{0\})^{n}$ with $1 \leq|\beta| \leq r$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{r} \partial_{1}\right)_{\mid \omega}^{C},\right. & \left.j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} T^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{t}\right)(\omega), j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\frac{d}{d t} \right\rvert\, t=0 \\
& \left.\left\langle T^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{t}\right)(\omega), j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}\right\rangle=\frac{d}{d t} \right\rvert\, t=0
\end{aligned}\left\langle\omega, j_{0}^{r}\left(x^{\beta} \circ \varphi_{t}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

Because of the definition of $\omega$, the last term is equal to 1 if $j_{0}^{r} x^{\beta}=j_{0}^{r} x^{1}$ and it is equal to 0 in the other cases. Then $\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{r} \partial_{1}\right)_{\mid \omega}^{C}=\left(j_{0}^{r} x^{1}\right)^{*}$ under the isomorphism $V_{\omega}\left(T^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \tilde{=} T_{0}^{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{n}$. It implies the third equality.
2. The tangent map $T \pi: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T M$ of the bundle projection $\pi: T^{(r)} M \rightarrow$ $M$ is a natural transformation over $n$-manifolds.
Proposition 2. If $r \geq 2$, then any natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T M$ over $n$-manifolds is proportional (by a real number) to $T \pi$.
Proof. Applying the inclusion $i: T M \subset T^{(r)} M$, we have $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T M \subset$ $T^{(r)} M$. Then, by Proposition 1, $A=\lambda \pi^{T}+\mu(i \circ T \pi)$. Since $r \geq 2$, A is not surjective. Then $\lambda=0$.
3. Let $\underline{A}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ be a natural transformation over $n$-manifolds.

We say that a natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ over $n$-manifolds is over $\underline{A}$ if $\pi^{T} \circ A=\underline{A}$.

If $B: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ is another natural transformation over $n$-manifolds, we define a natural transformation

$$
\underline{A}^{B}:=(\underline{A}, B): T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M \times_{M} T^{(r)} M \tilde{=} V T^{(r)} M \subset T T^{(r)} M
$$

Clearly, $\underline{A}^{B}$ is over $\underline{A}$. We call $\underline{A}^{B}$ the $B$-vertical lift of $\underline{A}$.
In particular, considering the natural transformations $\pi^{T}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ and $i \circ T \pi: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$, we produce natural transformations $\underline{A}^{\pi^{T}}: T T^{(r)} M$ $\rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ and $\underline{A}^{i \circ T \pi}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ over $\underline{A}$.

The above natural transformations $\underline{A}^{B}$ are of vertical type, i.e. they have values in $V T^{(r)} M$.

If $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow V T^{(r)} M \tilde{=} T^{(r)} M \times_{M} T^{(r)} M$ is a natural transformation of vertical type over $\underline{A}$, then $A=(\underline{A}, B)$ for natural transformation $B=p r_{2} \circ A$ : $T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$, i.e. $A=\underline{A}^{B}$ for some $B$.

Then applying Proposition 1 we obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 3. Let $\underline{A}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ be a natural transformation over $n$ manifolds. Any natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow V T^{(r)} M$ over n-manifolds of vertical type over $\underline{A}$ is a linear combination (with real coefficients) of $\underline{A}^{\pi^{T}}$ and $\underline{A}^{i \circ T \pi}$.

In the next item it will be presented an example of a natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ over $n$-manifolds over $\underline{A}$ which is not of vertical type.
4. Assume $r \geq 2$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$. If $\underline{A}=\lambda \pi^{T}+\mu(i \circ T \pi): T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$, then we define a natural transformation $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ over $n$ manifolds over $\underline{A}$ as follows.

Let $u_{o} \in T_{\omega_{o}} T^{(r)} M, \omega_{o} \in T_{x_{o}}^{(r)} M, x_{o} \in M$. There exists a vector field $X$ and an element $\eta \in T_{x_{o}}^{(r)} M$ such that $u_{o}=X_{\mid \omega_{o}}^{C}+\left(\omega_{o}, \eta\right)$ under $V T^{(r)} M \tilde{=} T^{(r)} M \times_{M}$ $T^{(r)} M$, where ( $)^{C}$ is the complete lifting of vector fields to $T^{(r)} M$. We put

$$
A^{(\lambda, \mu)}\left(u_{o}\right):=X_{\mid \lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right)}^{C}+\left(\lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right), \lambda \eta-\mu \sigma^{X}\right)
$$

where $i: T M \rightarrow T^{(r)} M$ is the inclusion and $\sigma^{X} \in T_{x_{o}}^{(r)} M$ is given by $\left\langle\sigma^{X}, j_{x_{o}}^{r} \gamma\right\rangle$ $:=X(X \gamma)\left(x_{o}\right)$ for any $\gamma: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with $\gamma\left(x_{o}\right)=0$. ( $\sigma^{X}$ is defined as $r \geq 2$.)

The definition of $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is correct. For proving this, we consider another $\tilde{X}=$ $X+X^{\prime}$ with $X_{\mid x_{o}}^{\prime}=0$ and $\tilde{\eta} \in T_{x_{o}}^{(r)} M$ such that $u_{o}=\tilde{X}_{\mid \omega_{o}}^{C}+\left(\omega_{o}, \tilde{\eta}\right)$. Then $\left(X^{\prime}\right)_{\mid \omega_{o}}^{C}=\left(\omega_{o}, \eta-\tilde{\eta}\right)$. We have to show that $X_{\mid \lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right)}^{C}+\left(\lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right), \lambda \eta-\right.$ $\left.\mu \sigma^{X}\right)=\tilde{X}_{\mid \lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\left.\mid x_{o}\right)}\right)}^{C}+\left(\lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right), \lambda \tilde{\eta}-\mu \sigma^{\tilde{X}}\right)$.

It is sufficient to show that

$$
\left(X^{\prime}\right)_{\mid \lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right)}^{C}=\left(\lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right), \lambda(\eta-\tilde{\eta})-\mu\left(\sigma^{X}-\sigma^{\tilde{X}}\right)\right)
$$

Let $\varphi_{t}$ be the flow of $X^{\prime}$. Denote $\left(X^{\prime}\right)_{\mid \lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right)}^{C}=\left(\lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right), \theta\right)$, $\theta \in T_{x_{o}}^{(r)} M$. Then for any $\gamma: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with $\gamma\left(x_{o}\right)=0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\theta, j_{x_{o}}^{r} \gamma\right. & =\left\langle\frac{d}{d t}{ }_{\mid 0} T_{x_{o}}^{(r)} \varphi_{t}\left(\lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right)\right), j_{x_{o}}^{r} \gamma\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\lambda \omega_{o}+\mu i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right), j_{x_{o}}^{r}\left(\frac{d}{d t}_{\mid 0} \gamma \circ \varphi_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\lambda\left\langle\omega_{o}, j_{x_{o}}^{r}\left(X^{\prime} \gamma\right)\right\rangle+\mu\left\langle i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right), j_{x_{o}}^{r}\left(X^{\prime} \gamma\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left(X^{\prime}\right)_{\mid \omega_{o}}^{C}=\left(\omega_{o}, \eta-\tilde{\eta}\right)$ we have

$$
\left\langle\eta-\tilde{\eta}, j_{x_{o}}^{r} \gamma\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{d}{d t} T_{0} T_{x_{o}}^{(r)} \varphi_{t}\left(\omega_{o}\right), j_{x_{o}}^{r} \gamma\right\rangle=\left\langle\omega_{o}, j_{x_{o}}^{r}\left(X^{\prime} \gamma\right)\right\rangle
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\sigma^{X}-\sigma^{\tilde{X}}, j_{x_{o}}^{r} \gamma\right\rangle & =X(X \gamma)\left(x_{o}\right)-\tilde{X}(\tilde{X} \gamma)\left(x_{o}\right)=-X\left(X^{\prime} \gamma\right)\left(x_{o}\right) \\
& =-\left\langle X_{\mid x_{o}}, j_{x_{o}}^{1}\left(X^{\prime} \gamma\right)\right\rangle=-\left\langle i\left(X_{\mid x_{o}}\right), j_{x_{o}}^{r}\left(X^{\prime} \gamma\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo the isomorphism $T M=T^{(1)} M$.
Then $\theta=\lambda(\eta-\tilde{\eta})-\mu\left(\sigma^{X}-\sigma^{\tilde{X}}\right)$. That is why $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is well-defined.
5. We end the paper by the following proposition.

Proposition 4. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$. Put $\underline{A}:=\lambda \pi^{T}+\mu(i \circ T \pi): T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T^{(r)}$ M. If $r \geq 2$, then any natural transformation $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ over n-manifolds over $\underline{A}$ is a linear combination (with real coefficients) of $\underline{A}^{\pi^{T}}, \underline{A}^{i \circ T \pi}$ and $A^{(\lambda, \mu)}$.
Proof. Let $A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ be a natural transformation over $n$-manifolds over $\underline{A}$. The composition $T \pi \circ A: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T M$ is a natural transformation. By Proposition 2, there exists the real number $\rho$ such that $T \pi \circ A=\rho T \pi$. Clearly, $T \pi \circ A^{(\lambda, \mu)}=T \pi$. Then $A-\rho A^{(\lambda, \mu)}: T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ is of vertical type. Then Proposition 3 ends the proof.

Remark. Clearly, any natural transformation $T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ is over $\underline{A}=$ $\pi^{T} \circ A$. Then Proposition 4 together with Proposition 1 gives a complete description of all natural transformations $T T^{(r)} M \rightarrow T T^{(r)} M$ over $n$-manifolds in the case where $r \geq 2$.
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