# Miroslav Bartušek On existence of singular solutions of *n*-th order differential equations

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 36 (2000), No. 5, 395--404

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107752

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

### ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO) Tomus 36 (2000), 395–404, CDDE 2000 issue

## ON EXISTENCE OF SINGULAR SOLUTIONS OF *N*-TH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Miroslav Bartušek\*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University Janáčkovo nám 2a, 66295 Brno, Czech Republic Email: bartusek@math.muni.cz

ABSTRACT. In the paper sufficient conditions are given under which the equation  $y^{(n)} = f(t, y, \ldots, y^{(n-2)})g(y^{(n-1)})$  has a singular solution  $y : [T, \tau) \to \mathbb{R}, \tau < \infty$  fulfilling  $\lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} y^{(i)}(t) = c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-2$  and  $\lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} |y^{(n-1)}(t)| = \infty$ .

AMS Subject Classification. 34C11

KEYWORDS. singular solutions, black hole solutions

Consider the n-th order differential equation

(1) 
$$y^{(n)} = f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-2)})g(y^{(n-1)})$$

where  $n \geq 2, f \in C^{o}(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}), g \in C^{o}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}_{+} = [0, \infty), \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that

(2)  $\alpha f(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) x_1 > 0$  for  $x_1 \neq 0$  and  $g(x) \ge 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Hence, (1) fulfills the sign condition.

A solution y defined on  $[T, \tau) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  is called singular if  $\tau < \infty$  and y cannot be defined for  $t = \tau$ . A singular solution y is called nonoscillatory if  $y \neq 0$  in a left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ , otherwise it is called oscillatory.

The problem of the existence of a nonoscillatory singular solution y of (1) fulfilling

(3) 
$$y^{(i)}(t)y(t) > 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

\* Supported by the grant no. 201/99/0295 of the Czech Republic Grant Agency.

in a left neighbourhood of  $\tau$  is posed and studied in [5,6] (in case  $\alpha = 1$ ) for Emden-Fowler equation

(4) 
$$y^{(n)} = r(t)|y|^{\lambda}\operatorname{sgn} y, \quad r \neq 0,$$

see [1] and [2], too. For Eq. (1) the results are generalized in [7,8]. The existence of oscillatory singular solution is proved only for Eq. (4) in [3]. Note that singular solutions of (4) (with all derivatives) are unbounded, see e.q. [9].

On the other hand singular solutions with different asymptotic behaviour than (3) may exist. Jaroš and Kusano announced that in [4] they studied a special case of (1), the second order equation

$$y'' = r(t)|y|^{\sigma}|y'|^{\lambda}\operatorname{sgn} y, \quad \sigma > 0, r < 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}_+.$$

They proved that the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a singular solution y fulfilling

(5) 
$$\lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} y(t) = c \in [0, \infty), \quad \lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} y'(t) = -\infty$$

is  $\lambda > 2$ ; solutions fulfilling (5) are called black hole solutions.

In our paper we generalize this result for (1).

We will study the existence of a singular solution y fulfilling the conditions:

(6) 
$$\tau \in (0,\infty), \lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} y^{(i)}(t) = c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\ \lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} |y^{(n-1)}(t)| = \infty.$$

This solution is nonoscillatory. Moreover the sign of  $y^{(n-1)}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $c_0$  cannot be arbitrary.

**Lemma 1.** Let y be a solution of (1) fulfilling (6). (a) If  $\lim_{t\to\tau_{-}} y^{(n-1)}(t) = \infty$  then  $\alpha c_0 \ge 0$ . (b) If  $\lim_{t\to\tau_{-}} y^{(n-1)}(t) = -\infty$  then  $\alpha c_0 \le 0$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\alpha = 1$  for simplicity and suppose  $c_0 < 0$ . Then according to (1) and (2)  $y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$  for large t that contradicts  $\lim_{t \to \tau_-} y^{(n-1)}(t) = \infty$ . Hence  $c_0 \geq 0$ . (b) The proof is similar.

Denote by [[x]] the entire part of x.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\tau \in (0,\infty), \lambda > 2, c_0 \neq 0, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$  and  $M \in (0,\infty)$ . Let  $\beta = \alpha \operatorname{sgn} c_0$  and

(7) 
$$g(x) \ge |x|^{\lambda} \quad for \quad \beta x \ge M.$$

Then there exists a singular solution y of (1) fulfilling (6) that is defined in a left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ .

If, moreover,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

(8) 
$$n + \frac{1-\alpha}{2}$$
 is odd,  $(-1)^i c_i c_0 \ge 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n-2$ 

and

(9) 
$$\left| \int_{0}^{\beta \varepsilon} \frac{ds}{g(s)} \right| = \infty$$

then y is defined on  $[0, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* We prove the statement for  $\alpha = 1$  and  $c_0 > 0$  (thus  $\beta = 1$ ). For the other cases the proof is similar.

Let  $N > 2 \max(c_0, |c_1|, \dots, |c_{n-2}|)$ . Consider the auxilliary problem

(10) 
$$\begin{aligned} y^{(n)} &= f\left(t, \chi_0, (y), \chi(y'), \dots, \chi(y^{(n-2)})\right) g(y^{(n-1)}), \\ y^{(i)}(\tau) &= c_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \quad y^{(n-1)}(\tau) = k \end{aligned}$$

where  $k \in \{k_0, k_0 + 1, \dots\}, k_0 \ge [[2M]],$ 

(11)  

$$\chi_0(s) = s \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{c_0}{2} \le s \le N,$$

$$= N \quad \text{for} \quad s > N,$$

$$= c_0/2 \quad \text{for} \quad s < c_0/2,$$

$$\chi(s) = s \quad \text{for} \quad |s| \le N,$$

$$= N \quad \text{for} \quad s > N,$$

$$= -N \quad \text{for} \quad s < -N.$$

Denote by  $y_k$  a solution of (10) and by  $J_1$  the penetration of its definition interval and  $[0, \tau]$ . Note, that (2), (10) and (11) yield

(12) 
$$y_k^{(n)}(t) \ge 0 \quad \text{on} \quad J_1.$$

Put

$$M_{1} = \min\{f(t, x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}) : t \in [0, \tau], \frac{c_{0}}{2} \le x_{1} \le N, \\ |x_{j}| \le N, j = 2, \dots, n-1\} > 0, \\ M_{2} = \max\{f(t, x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}) : t \in [0, \tau], \frac{c_{0}}{2} \le x_{1} \le N, \\ |x_{j}| \le N, j = 2, \dots, n-1\}, \\ M_{3} = [(\lambda - 1)M_{1}]^{-\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}}.$$

Further, let  $J = [T, \tau] \subset J_1$  be such that  $T < \tau$ ,

(13)  

$$\sum_{j=i}^{n-2} \frac{|c_j|}{(j-i)!} (\tau - T)^{j-i} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda - 2} M_3 (\tau - T)^{n-i-1-\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}} \le N, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2,$$
(14)  

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{|c_j|}{j!} (\tau - T)^j + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda - 2} M_3 (\tau - T)^{n-1-\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}} \le \frac{c_0}{2}$$

and

(15) 
$$M_2(\tau - T) < \int_M^{2M} \frac{ds}{g(s)}$$

As (7),  $\lambda > 2$  and  $n \ge 2, J$  exists.

We prove that

(16) 
$$y_k^{(n-1)}(t) \ge M, t \in J.$$

Suppose, contrarily, that  $T_1 \in [T, \tau)$  exists such that  $y_k^{(n-1)}(T_1) = M$ . Then with respect to (10) and (12)  $y_k^{(n-1)}(t) \ge M$  for  $t \in [T_1, \tau]$ . From this and from (10) and (11)

$$y_k^{(n)}(t) \le M_2 g\left(y_k^{(n-1)}(t)\right), t \in [T_1, \tau]$$

and hence, by the integration on  $[T_1, \tau]$ ,

$$\int_{M}^{2M} \frac{ds}{g(s)} \le \int_{M}^{k} \frac{ds}{g(s)} \le M_2(\tau - T_1) \le M_2(\tau - T).$$

The contradiction with (15) proves that  $y^{(n-1)} \neq M$  for  $t \in J$ . From this, from (12) and  $y_k^{(n-1)}(\tau) = k > M$  (16) holds.

Further, (7), (10), (11) and (16) yield

$$y_k^{(n)}(t) \ge M_1 g\left(y^{(n-1)}(t)\right) \ge M_1\left(y^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\lambda}, \ t \in J$$

and by the integration on  $[t, \tau] \subset J$  we have

(17) 
$$(y_k^{(n-1)}(t))^{1-\lambda} - k^{1-\lambda} \ge M_1(\lambda - 1)(\tau - t),$$
$$y_k^{(n-1)}(t) \le M_3(\tau - t)^{-\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}}, \ t \in [T, \tau), \ k \ge k_0$$

Hence, using the Taylor series formula at  $\tau$ , (13), (17) and  $\lambda > 2$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \left| y_k^{(i)}(t) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=i}^{n-2} \frac{|c_j|}{(j-i)!} (\tau - t)^{j-i} + \left| \int_{\tau}^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} y_k^{(n-1)}(s) ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=i}^{n-2} \frac{|c_j|}{(j-i)!} (\tau - T)^{j-i} + \frac{M_3(\tau - t)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} \left| \int_{\tau}^t (\tau - s)^{-\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}} ds \right| \\ &\leq N \qquad, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, t \in [T, \tau), k \geq k_0. \end{split}$$

Similarly, using (14) and (17)

$$y_k(t) \ge c_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{|c_j|}{j!} (\tau - T)^j - \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda - 2} M_3 (\tau - T)^{n-1 - \frac{1}{\lambda - 1}} \ge \frac{c_0}{2},$$
$$t \in [T, \tau), \ k \ge k_0.$$

398

From these estimations and (11) we can see that  $y_k$  is the solution of Eq. (1), too. Moreover, the sequences  $\{y_k^{(i)}\}_{k_0}^{\infty}, i = 0, \ldots, n-1$  are uniformly bounded and equipotentially continuous on every segment of  $[T, \tau)$ . Hence according to Arzel-Ascoli Theorem there exists a subsequence that converges uniformly to a solution y of (1). Evidently, the conditions (6) are fulfilled with  $\lim_{t\to\tau_-} y^{(n-1)}(t) = \infty$ .

Let (8) and (9) be valid. Let the above given solution y be defined on  $(\bar{\tau}, \tau) \subset [0, \tau)$  and cannot be extended to  $t = \bar{\tau}$ . Then

(18) 
$$\limsup_{t \to \bar{\tau}_+} \left| y^{(n-1)}(t) \right| = \infty.$$

First, we prove that

(19) 
$$y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$$
 on  $(\bar{\tau}, \tau)$ .

Thus, suppose that there exists  $\tau_1 \in (\bar{\tau}, \tau)$  such that  $y^{(n-1)}(\tau_1) = 0$  and  $y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$  on  $(\tau_1, \tau)$ . It follows from this and from (6) that  $y^{(j)}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2$  are bounded,  $|y^{(j)}(t)| \leq K, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2, t \in [\tau_1, \tau)$ . Let  $\tau_2 \in (\tau_1, \tau)$  be such that  $y^{(n-1)}(\tau_2) = \varepsilon$ . Then by the integration of (1) and by (9)

$$\infty = \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{ds}{g(s)} = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} f\left(t, y(t), \dots, y^{(n-2)}(t)\right) dt < \infty.$$

Hence, (19) is valid, and (8) and (19) yield y(t) > 0 on  $(\bar{\tau}, \tau)$ . From this and from (1)  $y^{(n)}(t) > 0$  on  $(\bar{\tau}, \tau)$ , that, together with (19), contradicts (18). Thus y is defined at  $t = \bar{\tau}$  and  $\bar{\tau} = 0$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\lambda > 2$  and  $M \in \mathbb{R}_+$  be such that

$$g(x) \ge x^{\lambda}$$
 for  $x \ge M$ .

Then (1) has a singular solution.

Remark 1. For  $\alpha = 1$  the conclusion of Corollary 1 is known, see, e.g., [9, Theorem 11.3].

The following result shows that for the existence of a singular solution with (6)  $\lambda$  cannot be equal to 2.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $M \in (0, \infty)$  be such that  $g(x) \leq x^2$  for  $|x| \geq M$ . Then Eq. (1) has no singular solution y fulfilling (6).

*Proof.* Let y be singular and fulfil (6). Suppose, for simplicity,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\lim_{t\to\tau_{-}} y^{(n-1)}(t) = \infty$ . From this there exists a left neighbourhood  $[\tau_1, \tau)$  of  $\tau$  such that  $|y^{(i)}(t)| \leq M_1 < \infty$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2$  and  $y^{(n-1)}(t) \geq M$  on  $[\tau_1, \tau)$ 

where  $M_1$  is a suitable constant. Hence, using the assumptions of the theorem we have

$$\infty = \ln \frac{y^{(n-1)}(\tau)}{y^{(n-1)}(\tau_1)} = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau} \frac{y^{(n)}(s)}{y^{(n-1)}(s)} ds \le \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau} \left| f\left(s, y(s), \dots, y^{(n-2)}(s)\right) \right| y^{(n-1)}(s) ds$$
$$\le \left( c_{n-2} - y^{(n-2)}(\tau_1) \right) \max |f(s, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})| < \infty$$

where the maximum is taken for  $s \in [\tau_1, \tau], |x_i| \leq M_1, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . The contradiction proves the conclusion.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $c_0 \neq 0, M \in (0, \infty)$  and  $g(x) = |x|^{\lambda}$  for  $|x| \geq M$ . Then (1) has a singular solution y fulfilling (6) if and only if  $\lambda > 2$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorems 1 and 2.

*Remark 2.* Note, that, especially, eq.

$$y^{(n)} = f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-2)})$$

has no singular solutions satisfying (6).

In the next part of the paper the case  $c_0 = 0$  will be investigated.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\beta \in \{-1, 1\}, \sigma > 0, \epsilon > 0, \tau \in (0, \infty), M \in (0, \infty), \alpha \in \{-1, 1\}$ 

(20) 
$$\lambda > \sigma(n-2) + 2,$$

(21) 
$$c_0 = 0, (-1)^i \beta \ c_i \ge 0 \quad for \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2,$$

and

(22) 
$$n + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \quad be \ odd$$

Let (7) hold and a continuous function  $r: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  exist such that

$$\alpha r(t) > 0 \quad on \quad R_+, |f(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})| \ge |r(t)| |x_1|^{\sigma} \quad for \quad t \in [0, \tau], \beta x_1 \in [0, \varepsilon], (-1)^j \beta x_{j+1} \in [(-1)^j \beta c_j, (-1)^j \beta c_j + \varepsilon], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-2.$$

Then there exists a singular solution y of (1) fulfilling (6) that is defined in a left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ . If, moreover, (9) holds, then y is defined on  $[0, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 1$ ; thus n is odd. For the other cases the proof is similar. Put for  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., n - 2\}$ 

(23) 
$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i(s) &= s & \text{for} & (-1)^i c_i \leq (-1)^i s \leq (-1)^i c_i + \varepsilon, \\ &= c_i + (-1)^i \varepsilon & \text{for} & (-1)^i s > (-1)^i c_i + \varepsilon, \\ &= c_i & \text{for} & (-1)^i s < (-1)^i c_i. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the Cauchy problem

(24) 
$$y^{(n)} = f\left(t, \chi_0(y), \chi_1(y'), \dots, \chi_{n-2}(y^{(n-2)})\right) g\left(y^{(n-1)}\right), y^{(i)}(\tau) = c_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \quad y^{(n-1)}(\tau) = k$$

where  $k \in \{k_0, k_0 + 1, \dots\}, k_0 \ge [[2M]].$ 

Denote by  $y_k$  a solution of (24) and  $J_1$  the penetration of its definition interval and  $[0, \tau]$ . Note, that  $\alpha = 1$ , (23), (24) yield

(25) 
$$y_k^{(n)}(t) \ge 0$$
 and  $y_k^{(n-1)}$  is nondecreasing on  $J_1$ .

Put 
$$M_1 = \frac{1}{[(n-1)!]^{\sigma}} \min_{t \in [0,\tau]} r(t) > 0, \ M_2 = \left[\frac{M_1}{\sigma(n-1)+1}(\lambda + \sigma - 1)\right]^{-\frac{1}{\lambda + \sigma - 1}},$$
  
$$\sigma_1 = \frac{\sigma(n-1) + 1}{\lambda + \sigma - 1}, M_3 = \max f(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}),$$

where the maximum is given for  $t \in [0, \tau], 0 \leq x_1 \leq \varepsilon, (-1)^i c_i \leq (-1)^i x_{i+1} \leq (-1)^i c_i + \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n-2$ . Then (20) yields  $\sigma_1 \in (0, 1)$ .

Further, let  $J = [T, \tau] \subset J_1$  be such that  $T < \tau$ ,

$$\sum_{j=i}^{n-2} \frac{|c_j|}{(j-i)!} (\tau - T)^{j-i} + \frac{M_2}{(n-i-2)!(1-\sigma_1)} (\tau - T)^{n-i-\sigma_1-1} \le (-1)^i c_i + \varepsilon$$
(26)  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ 

and

(27) 
$$M_3(\tau - T) < \int_M^{2M} \frac{ds}{g(s)}.$$

Using (27), it can be proved similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, that (16) holds. Hence, using (21) and (22) we have

(28) 
$$(-1)^{i} y_{k}^{(i)}(t) \ge (-1)^{i} c_{i} \ge 0 \text{ on } J, \ i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2.$$

The Taylor series formula at  $t = \tau$ , (16), (21), (25) and n be odd yield

$$y_k(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} c_j \frac{(t-\tau)^j}{j!} + \int_{\tau}^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} y_k^{(n-1)}(s) ds \ge \int_{\tau}^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} y_k^{(n-1)}(s) ds$$
$$\ge \frac{(\tau-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} y_k^{(n-1)}(t), \qquad t \in J,$$

and from (24), (16), (25), (28) and the assumptions of the theorem

$$y_k^{(n)}(t) \ge r(t)(y_k(t))^{\sigma} [y_k^{(n-1)}(t)]^{\lambda} \ge M_1(\tau - t)^{\sigma(n-1)} \left(y_k^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\lambda + \sigma}, t \in J.$$

Hence, by the integration on  $[t, \tau]$  we obtain similarly to the proof of Theorem 1

$$y_k^{(n-1)}(t) \le M_2(\tau-t)^{-\sigma_1}, t \in [T,\tau), k = k_0, k_0 + 1, \dots$$

From this, using the Taylor series formula at  $t = \tau$ , (26), (28) and  $\sigma_1 < 1$  we have

$$0 \leq (-1)^{i} c_{i} \leq (-1)^{i} y_{k}^{(i)}(t) = \sum_{j=i}^{n-2} \frac{|c_{j}|}{(j-i)!} (\tau-t)^{j-i} + (-1)^{i} \int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} y_{k}^{(n-1)}(s) ds$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=i}^{n-2} \frac{|c_{j}|}{(j-i)!} (\tau-t)^{j-i} + \frac{M_{2}(\tau-t)^{n-i-1-\sigma_{1}}}{(n-i-2)!(1-\sigma_{1})} \leq (-1)^{i} c_{i} + \varepsilon$$
$$i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2.$$

Thus, according to (23),  $y_k$  is a solution of Eq. (1), too and the rest of the proof is similar as in Theorem 1.

The following theorem shows that the condition (20) cannot be weaken.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $c_i = 0, i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2, \sigma > 0, n \ge 2, n + \frac{1-\alpha}{2}$  be odd,  $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}$  and let  $r \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+), \alpha r > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Then the equation

(29)  $y^{(n)} = r(t)|y|^{\sigma}|y^{(n-1)}|^{\lambda}\operatorname{sgn} y$ 

has a singular solution y fulfilling (6) if, and only if  $\lambda > \sigma(n-2) + 2$ .

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 3 we must prove the necessity only. Let  $\lambda \leq \sigma(n-2)+2$ , y be singular and fulfilling (6). Suppose, for simplicity, that r > 0,  $\lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} y^{(n-1)}(t) = \infty$  and thus n be odd. In the other cases the proof is similar. Then there exists  $t_0 \in [0, \tau)$  such that

(30)  

$$(-1)^{i}y^{(i)}(t) > 0, \ i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \ y^{(n-1)}(t) \ge 1, \ y^{(n)}(t) \ge 0 \quad \text{on} \quad J = [t_0, \tau).$$

Then using the Taylor series formula on  $[t, \tau]$  and (6) we obtain

(31) 
$$y(t) = \int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} y^{(n-1)}(s) ds \le \frac{(\tau-t)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} |y^{n-2}(t)|, t \in J.$$

Further,

$$|y^{(n-2)}(t)| = \int_{t}^{\tau} y^{(n-1)}(s) ds \ge y^{(n-1)}(t)(\tau-t), t \in J$$

and hence, using (31)

$$y(t)[y^{(n-1)}(t)]^{n-2} \le \frac{[y^{(n-2)}(t)]^{n-1}}{(n-2)!} \le M_1, t \in J$$

where  $M_1$  is a suitable number. From this,(30) and from  $\lambda \leq \sigma(n-2) + 2$ 

$$\begin{split} &\infty = \ln \frac{y^{(n-1)}(\tau)}{y^{(n-1)}(t_0)} = \int_{t_0}^{\tau} \frac{y^{(n)}(s)}{y^{(n-1)}(s)} ds = \int_{t_0}^{\tau} r(s) \ y^{\sigma}(s) [y^{(n-1)}(s)]^{\lambda - 1} ds \le \\ &\leq M_1^{\sigma} \int_{t_0}^{\tau} r(s) [y^{(n-1)}(s)]^{\lambda - 1 - \sigma(n-2)} ds \\ &\leq M_1^{\sigma} \int_{t_0}^{\tau} r(s) y^{(n-1)}(s) ds \le M_1^{\sigma} \max_{0 \le s \le \tau} r(s) \left| y^{(n-2)}(t_0) \right| < \infty. \end{split}$$

The contradiction proves the conclusion.

The following proposition shows that condition (22) in Theorem 3 cannot be weaken.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\beta \in \{-1,1\}$ , (21),  $c_{n-2} = 0$  and  $n + \frac{1-\alpha}{2}$  be even. Then (1) has no singular solution fulfilling (6).

*Proof.* Let for the simplicity  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = -1$ ; for the other cases the proof is similar. Hence, *n* is even. Let *y* be a singular solution of (1) fulfilling (6). Then (1) and (21) yield  $y(t) < 0, y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$ . Thus  $y^{(n)}(t) > 0$  in a left neighbourhood *J* of  $\tau$  that contradicts (1), (2) and  $\alpha = 1$ .

Remark 3. The following conclusion follows from Corollary 2 and Theorem 4. Let n = 2. Then Eq. (29) has a singular solution y, fulfilling (6) if, and only if  $\lambda > 2$ . Hence our results generalize the above mentioned one of Jaroš and Kusano.

**Open problem.** It is possible to look for sufficient and (or) necessary conditions under which there is a singular solution y of (1) satisfying

$$\tau \in (0, \infty), \quad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\}, \\ \lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} y^{(i)}(t) = c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \\ \lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} |y^{(j)}(t)| = \infty, \quad j = k+1, \dots, n-1$$

#### References

- I. V. Astashova, On Asymptotic Behaviour of Solutions of Some Nonlinear Differential Equations, Dokl. Raz. Zas. Sem. Ins. Prikl. Mat. Tbilisi University, 1 (1985), 9-11.
- T. A. Chanturia, On Singular Solutions of Nonlinear Systems of Ordinary Differential Equations, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, In: "15. Diff. Equations" (1975), 107-119.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_, On Existence of Singular and Unbounded Oscillatory Solutions of Differential Equations of Emden-Fowler Type, Dif. Urav. 28 (1992), 1009-1022.
- 4. J. Jaroš, T. Kusano, On Black Hole Solutions of Second Order Differential Equations with a Singularity in the Differential Operator, Funk. Ekv. (to appear).

- I. Kiguradze, Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of a Nonlinear Differential Equation of Embden-Fowler Type, Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 29 (1965), 965–986.
- 6. <u>.</u>, On the Oscilation of Solutions of the Equation  $\frac{d^m u}{dt^m} + a(t)|u|^n \operatorname{sgn} u$ , Mat. Sbornik **65** (1964), 172–187.
- \_\_\_\_\_, Some singular Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations., Tbilisi University Press, Tbilisi, (1975).
- I. Kiguradze, G. Kvinikadze, On Strongly Increasing Solutions of Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations, Ann. Math. Pura ed Appl. 130 (1982), 67–87.
- I. Kiguradze, T. A. Chanturia, Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of Nonautonomous Ordinary Differential Equations, Kluwer Acad. Pub., Dordrecht–Boston–London, (1993).
- D. Mirzov, Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of Systems of Nonlinear Nonautonomous Ordinary Differential Equations, Adygea, Majkop, (1993).