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#### Abstract

In this paper a singular third order eigenvalue problem is studied. The motivation was given by the paper [2] of Á. Elbert, T. Kusano and M. Naito for linear second order nonoscillatory differential equation.
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1. The aim of this paper is to study the following eigenvalue problem
(a)

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 A(t) y^{\prime}+\left[A^{\prime}(t)+\lambda b(t)\right] y=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(a, \lambda)=y(b, \lambda)=y(c, \lambda)=0, a \leq b<c<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the boundary condition at infinity

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t, \lambda)=o\left(t\left[k_{1} u_{1}(t) u_{2}(t)+k_{2} u_{2}^{2}(t)\right]\right) \text { for } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the requirement that

$$
y(t, \lambda) \neq 0
$$

in a certain neighborhood of infinity $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$, where $c \leq t_{0}<\infty$, and $u_{1}, u_{2}$ form a fundamental set of solutions of the second order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} A(t) u=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $u_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=1, u_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, u_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, u_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=1, k_{1}, k_{2}$ are certain positive constants.

The basic suppositions on $A$ and $b$ in this paper are such that $A^{\prime}, b$ are continuous on $[a, \infty), b(t)>0$ for $(a, \infty)$ and the differential equation $(a)$ is strongly nonoscilatory for each real positive $\lambda$.
2. In this section we introduce certain auxiliary statements on the linear third order differential equation, given in monograph [1].

Consider equation ( $a$ ) and the third order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 A(t) y^{\prime}+\left[A^{\prime}(t)+b(t)\right] y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1 (2, Theorem 2.1). Let $A(t)<0, b(t)>0$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$ and let $|A(t)| \geq \int_{a}^{t} b(\tau) d \tau$ for $t \geq a$. Then the differential equation $\left(a_{1}\right)$ is disconjugate in the interval $[a, \infty)$.

Lemma 2. Let the suppositions of Lemma 1 be fulfilled and let $\int_{a}^{\infty} b(\tau) d \tau<\infty$. Then to each $\bar{\lambda} \in[1, \infty)$ there exists $t_{0}>a$ such that $|A(t)|>\bar{\lambda} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(\tau) d \tau$ holds for $t \geq t_{0}$ and the differential equation ( $a$ ) is disconjugate for $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}$ on the interval $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

The proof follows immediately from Lemma 1.
Lemma 3 (2, Theorem 2.14). Let $A(t)<0, b(t)>0$ and $A^{\prime}(t)+b(t)>0$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$. If, moreover

$$
\int_{T}^{\infty}\left[A^{\prime}(t)+b(t)-\frac{4}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{-A^{3}(t)}\right] d t=+\infty
$$

$a<T<\infty$, then the differential equation $\left(a_{1}\right)$ is oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$.
Lemma 4. Let $A(t)<0, b(t)>0$ and $|A(t)|<K,\left|A^{\prime}(t)\right|<K, b(t)>K, K>0$, for $t \in[a, \infty)$. Then there exists $\tilde{\lambda}>0$ such that the differential equation ( $a$ ) is oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$ for all $\lambda \geq \tilde{\lambda}$.

The proof of this lemma follows immediately from Lemma 3.
Consider, moreover, the second order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} A(t) y=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $A(t)<0$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be independent solutions of (3) and let $u_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=1, u_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, u_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, u_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=1$, $a<t_{0}<\infty$. Then there is $u_{1}(t)>0, u_{2}(t)>0$ for $t>t_{0}$ and $u_{1}(t) \rightarrow \infty, u_{2}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof follows from equation (3).

Lemma 6. Let $A(t)<0, b(t)>0$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$ and let $\lambda>0$. Let $y$ be a solution of $(a)$ and let for $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}$ be $y\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)=0, y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right) \neq 0, y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right) \neq 0$ and let $y(t, \bar{\lambda}) \neq 0$ for $t>t_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t, \bar{\lambda})= & u_{2}(t)\left[\frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)}{2} u_{2}(t)+y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right) u_{1}(t)\right]-  \tag{4}\\
& \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(\tau)\left|\begin{array}{cc}
u_{1}(t) & u_{2}(t) \\
u_{1}(\tau) & u_{2}(\tau)
\end{array}\right|^{2} y(\tau, \bar{\lambda}) d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{1}, u_{2}$ form a fundamental set of solutions of (3) with the properties as in the formulation of Lemma 5 .

The proof of Lemma 6 is given in [2], Chap. I, $\S 3$ at the beginning of section 3 by method of variation of constants for

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 A(t) y^{\prime}+A^{\prime}(t)=-\bar{\lambda} b(t) y
$$

Remark 1. If in (4) $y(t, \bar{\lambda})>0[y(t, \bar{\lambda})<0]$ for $t>t_{0}$, then $y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)>0\left[y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)\right.$ $<0]$ and $u_{2}(t)>0, u(t)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right) u_{1}(t)+\frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)}{2} u_{2}(t)>0\left[u(t)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right) u_{1}(t)+\right.$ $\left.\frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)}{2} u_{2}(t)<0\right]$ for $t>t_{0}$.

Corollary 1. Let the supposition of Lemma 6 be fulfilled. Then there exist constants $k_{1}>0, k_{2}>0$ such that $|y(t, \bar{\lambda})| \leq u_{2}(t)\left[k_{1} u_{1}(t)+k_{2} u_{2}(t)\right]$ for $t>t_{0}$ where $k_{1}=\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)\right|, \quad k_{2}=\frac{\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)\right|}{2}$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t, \bar{\lambda})=o\left(t u_{2}(t)\left[k_{1} u_{1}(t)+k_{2} u_{2}(t)\right]\right) \text { for } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adaptation of oscillation theorem [2, Theorem B, or Theorem 4.5 in the same section] to (a) in our case yields the following lemma.

Lemma 7. Suppose that $|A(t)| \leq K,\left|A^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq K, K>0$ and $b(t) \geq k>0$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$. Let $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$ and let $y(t, \lambda)$ be a nontrivial solution of $(a)$ with $y(a, \lambda)=0$. Then for any fixed $b>a$, the number of zeros of $y$ on $[a, b]$ increases to infinity as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and the distance between any consecutive zeros of $y$ converges to zero.

The continuous dependence of zeros of solutions of ( $a$ ) upon the parameter $\lambda$ is given in following lemma.

Lemma 8 (2, Lemma 4.2). Let $y$ be a nontrivial solution of $(a)$ on $[a, \infty)$ such that $y(a, \lambda)=0$. Then, the zeros of $y$ on $(a, \infty)$ (if they exist) are continuous functions of the parameter $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$.

With the help of results given in the preceding lemmas and Corollary 1 one can prove the following theorem regarding the singular eigenvalue problem (a), (1), (2).

Theorem 1. Let $A(t)<0, b(t)>0$ and $|A(t)|<K,\left|A^{\prime}(t)\right|<K, K>0$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$. Let, further, $\int_{a}^{\infty} b(t) d t<\infty$ and $|A(t)| \geq \int_{a}^{t} b(\tau) d \tau$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$ and let $a \leq b<c<\infty$ be arbitrary, but fixed. Then there exists a natural number $\nu$, a sequence of the values of the parameter $\lambda,\left\{\lambda_{\nu+p}\right\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ (eigenvalues) such that $\lambda_{\nu+p}<\lambda_{\nu+p+1}, p=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\nu+p}=\infty$ and a corresponding sequence of functions $\left\{y_{\nu+p}\right\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ (eigenfunctions) such that $y_{\nu+p}=y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu+p}\right)$ is a solution of (a) for $\lambda=\lambda_{\nu+p}$, has a finite number of zeros on $(a, \infty)$ with the last zero at $t_{0}^{\nu+p}$, fulfills the boundary conditions (1), (2) and has exactly $\nu+p$ zeros in $(b, c)$.
Proof. Let $a<b<c<\infty$. Let $y=y(t, \lambda), \lambda>0$ be a nontrivial solution of $(a)$ such that $y(a, \lambda)=y(b, \lambda)=0$ for all $\lambda>0$. Construct, now, on $[a, \infty)$ differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 A(t) Y^{\prime}+\left[A^{\prime}(t)+\lambda B(t)\right] Y=0 \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b(t) \text { for } t \in[a, c] \\
b(c) \text { for } t \geq c
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $Y=Y(t, \lambda)$ be a solution of $(\mathrm{A})$ on $[a, \infty)$ such that $Y(a, \lambda)=Y(b, \lambda)=0$ and $Y(t, \lambda)=y(t, \lambda)$ for $t \in[a, c]$ and $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$.
By Lemma 4, there exists $\bar{\lambda}$ such that the differential equation (A) is oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$ for all $\lambda>\bar{\lambda}$. Let $Y\left(t, \lambda^{*}\right), \lambda^{*} \geq \bar{\lambda}$ have exactly $\nu$ zeros in $(b, c)$. Let $t_{\nu}(\lambda)$ be the $\nu$-th zero of $Y(t, \lambda)$. Then there is $t_{\nu}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)<c \leq t_{\nu+1}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)$. By Lemma 7 there exists $\overline{\lambda^{*}}$ such that $t_{\nu+1}\left(\overline{\lambda^{*}}\right)<c$ and by Lemma 8 (continuous dependence of zeros) there exists $\lambda_{\nu}, \lambda^{*} \leq \lambda_{\nu}<\bar{\lambda}^{*}$ such that $t_{\nu+1}\left(\lambda_{\nu}\right)=c$ and $Y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu}\right)$ has exactly $\nu$ zeros in $(b, c)$. But, we know that $Y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu}\right)=y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu}\right)$ on [a, c]. By Lemma 2 to $\lambda_{\nu}$ there exists $t_{0}^{\nu} \geq c$ such that $y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu}\right)$ has finite numbers of zeros to the right of $c$. Let $t_{0}^{\nu}$ be its last zero on $[c, \infty)$. Then by Corollary 1 the inequality (2) holds.

Continuing in the same manner we can find a sequence of values

$$
\lambda_{\nu}, \lambda_{\nu+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\nu+p}, \ldots
$$

and the corresponding sequence of functions $\left\{y_{\nu+p}\right\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ (eigenfunctions) with the prescribed properties and the theorem is proved.

Remark 2. If we take in consideration the fact, that equation $(a)$ is for $\lambda=1$ disconjugate on $[a, \infty)$, the oscillation Lemma 7 and Lemma 8 (continuous dependence of zeros on $\lambda$ ) then it is possible to prove Theorem 1 for $\nu=0$.
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