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# ON OSCILLATORY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF THIRD ORDER 

N. PARHI AND SESHADEV PADHI

Abstract. Sufficient conditions are obtained in terms of coefficient functions such that a linear homogeneous third order differential equation is strongly oscillatory.

## 1. Introduction.

In this paper we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a(t) y^{\prime \prime}+b(t) y^{\prime}+c(t) y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b \in C^{1}((0, \infty), R), c \in C((0, \infty), R)$. The adjoint of (1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(z^{\prime}-a(t) z\right)^{\prime}+b(t) z\right)^{\prime}-c(t) z=0 . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a(t), b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are constants $a, b$ and $c(c \neq 0)$, respectively, then (1) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a y^{\prime \prime}+b y^{\prime}+c y=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that Eq. (2) always admits a non-oscillatory solution. In the literature, we usually come across following two types of definitions for oscillation of a solution of $(1)\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$ :

Definition 1. A nontrivial solution $y(t)$ of $(1)\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$ is said to be oscillatory on $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right), T_{y}>0$, if it has arbitrarily large zeros in $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right)$, that is, there exists a sequence $\left\langle t_{n}\right\rangle \subset\left[T_{y}, \infty\right)$ such that $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $y\left(t_{n}\right)=0$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$

[^0]Definition 2. A nontrivial solution $y(t)$ of $(1)\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$ is said to be oscillatory on $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right)$, if it has infinite number of zeros in $\left[T_{y}, \infty\right)$.

These two definitions are equivalent for (1) $\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$. However, if we consider (1) $\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$ on $(0, d)$, where $d<\infty$, then Definition 1 has no meaning. A nontrivial solution $y(t)$ of $(1)\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$ is said to be nonoscillatory if it is not oscillatory. If Eq. (1) $\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$ has a nontrivial oscillatory solution, then it is said to be oscillatory; otherwise, Eq. (1) $\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$ is said to be nonoscillatory.

In [2], Greguš has obtained sufficient conditions on $q$ such that every solution of

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+q(t) y^{\prime}+q^{\prime}(t) y=0, \quad t \in(-\infty, \infty)
$$

has infinitely many zeros in $(-\infty, \infty)$.
Let $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}^{*}$ denote the solution spaces of (1) and (1*), respectively. Thus each of them is a three dimensional vector space over the field of real numbers. Let $\mathscr{S}_{1}\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}^{*}\right\}$ denote a nontrivial subspace of $\mathscr{S}\left\{\mathscr{S}^{*}\right\}$. Then $\mathscr{S}_{1}\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}^{*}\right\}$ is said to be nonoscillatory if every nonzero member of $\mathscr{S}_{1}\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}^{*}\right\}$ is nonoscillatory, $\mathscr{S}_{1}\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}^{*}\right\}$ is said to be weakly oscillatory if it contains a nontrivial oscillatory and a nonoscillatory solution. $\mathscr{S}_{1}\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}^{*}\right\}$ is said to be strongly oscillatory if every nonzero member of $\mathscr{S}_{1}\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}^{*}\right\}$ oscillates and $\mathscr{S}_{1}\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}^{*}\right\}$ is said to be oscillatory if $\mathscr{S}_{1}\left\{\mathscr{S}_{1}^{*}\right\}$ is either weakly oscillatory or strongly oscillatory. It may be noted that weakly oscillatory definition applies only to subspaces of dimension greater than or equal to two. If $\mathscr{S}\left\{\mathscr{S}^{*}\right\}$ is nonoscillatory, weakly oscillatory or strongly oscillatory, then Eq. (1) $\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$ is said to be nonoscillatory, weakly oscillatory or strongly oscillatory, respectively. In [1], Dolan has established following results:

Theorem A. If Eq. (1) $\left\{\left(1^{*}\right)\right\}$ is weakly oscillatory, then Eq. (1*) $\{(1)\}$ is oscillatory.

Theorem B. If $\mathscr{S}\left\{\mathscr{S}^{*}\right\}$ contains a nonoscillatory two-dimensional subspace, then $\mathscr{S}^{*}(\mathscr{S})$ is either nonoscillatory or strongly oscillatory.

Following two questions were raised by Dolan [1]:
(i) Does there exist an example of a linear third order differential equation with the property that every two dimensional subspace of the solution space is weakly oscillatory?
(ii) Does there exist an example of a linear third order differential equation such that the solution space $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}^{*}$ are strongly oscillatory?
In [4], Neuman has provided answers to above two questions. He has shown that there does not exist a linear third order differential equation of the form (1) with the property that every two-dimensional subspace of its solution space is weakly oscillatory. Further, he has constructed an example of a strongly oscillatory Eq. (1) whose adjoint ( $1^{*}$ ) is also strongly oscillatory.

In this paper we have obtained easily verifiable sufficient conditions in terms of coefficient functions $a, b$ and $c$ so that Eq. (1) is strongly oscillatory.
2. Equation (1) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) y^{\prime}+p(t) y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r(t)=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} a(s) d s\right), q(t)=b(t) r(t)$ and $p(t)=c(t) r(t)$. We assume that
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \quad a(t) \leq 0, \quad b(t) \geq 0, \quad c(t)<0, \quad t>0$, and

$$
\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Second order linear homogeneous equation }  \tag{3}\\
\left(r(t) z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) z=0 \\
\text { is nonoscillatory. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark. Clearly, $p(t)<0, q(t) \geq 0, r(t)>0, r^{\prime} \leq 0$ and hence

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{r(t)}=\infty
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and Leighton's oscillation criteria [5, p. 70] we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} q(t) d t<\infty
$$

We have the following result due to Keener [3] for our work.
Theorem 1 [3, p. 62]. If $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds, then every solution of

$$
\left(r(t) z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) z=f(t)
$$

is nonoscillatory, where $f$ is a real-valued continuous function on $(0, \infty)$ such that $f(t) \geq 0, t>0$.
Lemma 2. Suppose that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ hold. If $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1), then $y(t) y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for large $t$.
Proof. We may assume, without any loss of generality, that $y(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}>$ 0 . Since $y^{\prime}(t)$ is a solution of

$$
\left(r(t) z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) z=-p(t) y(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

then from Theorem 1 it follows that $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ or $<0$ for $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. If possible, let $y^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. As $\left(r(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$, then $y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ or $<0$ for $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. However, $y^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$ implies that $y(t)<0$ for large $t$, a contradiction. Thus $y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. This implies, due to (1), that $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for large $t$. Hence $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for large $t$, contradicting our assumption that $y^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Thus $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$.
This completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 3. Let $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ hold. If

$$
\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \begin{cases}\text { (i) } & -\infty<\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) \leq 0 ; \\ \text { (ii) } \frac{1}{3} a^{2}(t)-b(t)+a^{\prime}(t)>0 \text { and } \\ \text { (iii) } & \int_{\sigma}^{\infty}\left[\frac{2 a^{3}(t)}{27}-\frac{a(t) b(t)}{3}+\frac{a(t) a^{\prime}(t)}{3}+c(t)-\right. \\ & \left.-\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{a^{2}(t)}{3}-b(t)+a^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right] d t=\infty, \quad \sigma>0\end{cases}
$$

then Eq. (1) is strongly oscillatory.
Proof. If possible, let (1) admit a nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$. Then $y(t) y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{0}>0$ by Lemma 2. Clearly, $z(t)=y^{\prime}(t) / y(t), t \geq t_{0}$, is a positive solution of the second order Riccati equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+3 u u^{\prime}+a(t) u^{\prime}=-\left[z^{3}(t)+a(t) z^{2}(t)+b(t) z(t)+c(t)\right] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4) from $t_{0}$ to $t\left(t>t_{0}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{\prime}(t)= & z^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2} z^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+a\left(t_{0}\right) z\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{3}{2} z^{2}(t)-a(t) z(t)  \tag{5}\\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[z^{3}(s)+a(s) z^{2}(s)+\left(b(s)-a^{\prime}(s)\right) z(s)+c(s)\right] d s
\end{align*}
$$

If

$$
H(z(t))=z^{3}(t)+a(t) z^{2}(t)+\left(b(t)-a^{\prime}(t)\right) z(t)+c(t),
$$

then $H(z(t))$ attains its minimum value for $z(t)>0$ at

$$
z(t)=\frac{1}{3}\left[-a(t)+\left(a^{2}(t)-3 b(t)+3 a^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]
$$

and the minimum value is given by

$$
\frac{2}{27} a^{3}(t)-\frac{1}{3} a(t) b(t)+\frac{1}{3} a(t) a^{\prime}(t)+c(t)-\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{a^{2}(t)}{3}-b(t)+a^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Further, if

$$
F(z(t))=\frac{3}{2} z^{2}(t)+a(t) z(t)
$$

then $F(z(t))$ attains its minimum value for $z(t)>0$ at $z(t)=-a(t) / 3$ and the minimum value is given by $-a^{2}(t) / 6$. Hence (5) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{\prime}(t) \leq z^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{2} z^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+a\left(t_{0}\right) z\left(t_{0}\right)+\frac{a^{2}(t)}{6} \\
- & \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{2 a^{3}(s)}{27}-\frac{a(s) b(s)}{3}+\frac{a(s) a^{\prime}(s)}{3}+c(s)-\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{a^{2}(s)}{3}-b(s)+a^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ it follows that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{\prime}(t)=-\infty$. Thus $z(t)<0$ for large $t$, a contradiction. The proof of the theorem is complete.
Remark. Theorem 3 fails to hold for Euler's equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{a_{0}}{t} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{b_{0}}{t^{2}} y^{\prime}+\frac{c_{0}}{t^{3}} y=0
$$

where $a_{0}<0, b_{0}>0, c_{0}<0$, because $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ (iii) is not satisfied.
Following result due to Potter [5, Theorem 2.36] is needed.
Theorem 4. Suppose that $r$ and $q \in C^{1}((0, \infty), R)$ such that $r$ is positive and $q$ is nonnegative in $(0, \infty)$ and

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{r(t)}=\infty
$$

If $L=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t)\left\{[r(t) q(t)]^{\frac{-1}{2}}\right\}^{\prime}$ exists and $L>2$, then (3) is nonoscillatory.
Remark. Theorem 3 does not hold for (2), the third order equation with constant coefficients, with $a<0, b>0, c<0$ because

$$
L=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}^{a t}\left\{\left[\mathrm{e}^{2 a t} b\right]^{\frac{-1}{2}}\right\}^{\prime}>2
$$

if and only if $a^{2}>4 b$. Further,

$$
\frac{2 a^{3}}{27}-\frac{a b}{3}-\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{3}-b\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}>0
$$

if and only if $a^{2}<4 b$. Thus $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ (iii) and $L>2$ do not hold simultaneously, where $L$ is defined in Theorem 4.

The following example illustrates Theorem 3.
Example. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}-y^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{1}{4.0000004}+\frac{1}{t}\right) y^{\prime}-\frac{k}{t^{2}} y=0, \quad t \geq 12 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k>0$ is a constant. In this case $L=2.0000001>2$. Then $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds by Theorem 4. The calculation shows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{2 a^{3}(t)}{27}-\frac{a(t) b(t)}{3}+\frac{a(t) a^{\prime}(t)}{3}+c(t)-\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{a^{2}(t)}{3}-b(t)+a^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
=0.00000005+\frac{1}{3 t}+\frac{0.1666664}{t}+\cdots-\frac{k}{t^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\frac{a^{2}(t)}{3}-b(t)+a^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1.0000004}{12.000001}-\frac{1}{t}>0
$$

for $t \geq 12$. Hence $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ is satisfied. From Theorem 3 it follows that (6) is strongly oscillatory.
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