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# HYPERGRAPHS AND INTERVALS, II 

Ladislav Nebeský, Praha

(Received September 9, 1983)
0. Similarly as in [2], by a hypergraph we mean an ordered pair $(V, \mathscr{E})$ with the property that $V$ is a finite nonempty set and $\mathscr{E}$ is a set of nonempty subsets of $V$. Let $H=(V, \mathscr{E})$ be a hypergraph. Consider arbitrary $A, B \subseteq V$; if at least one of the sets $A \cap B, A-B$ and $B-A$ is empty, we shall write $A \sim B$; otherwise, we shall write $A \sim B$. We shall say that a set $F \subseteq V$ is free in $H$ if $F \sim E$ for every $E \subseteq \mathscr{E}$. We denote by $\Sigma(H)$ the set of all $A \subseteq V$ with the property that at least one of the following conditions (i)-(iv) is fulfilled:
(i) $A=V$,
(ii) $|A|=1$,
(iii) $A \in \mathscr{E}$,
(iv) there exist $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime} \in \Sigma(H)$ such that $A^{\prime} \approx A^{\prime \prime}$ and $A \in\left\{A^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime} \cup A^{\prime \prime}\right.$, $\left.A^{\prime}-A^{\prime \prime}\right\}$.
Denote $n=|V|$. By an arrangement on $V$ we mean a sequence $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ of $n$ distinct elements of $V$. Consider an arbitrary arrangement $\alpha=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ on $V$; a set $A \subseteq V$ is referred to as an interval set in $\alpha$ if there exist integers $j$ and $m$ such that $1 \leqq j \leqq m \leqq n$ and $A=\left\{v_{k} ; j \leqq k \leqq m\right\}$; we denote by Int $(\alpha)$ the set of all interval sets in $\alpha$. We shall say that an arrangement $\alpha$ on $V$ is a projectoidic arrangement on $H$ if $\mathscr{E} \subseteq \operatorname{Int}(\alpha)$; note that the property "to be projectoidic" has a connexion with the property "to be projective" in the sense of mathematical linguistics (see for example [1]). We denote by $\Pi(H)$ the set of all projectoidic arrangements on $H$. We shall say that $H$ is a projectoid if $\Pi(H) \neq \emptyset$.

The following lemma has been proved in [2]:

Lemma 0. Let $H$ be a projectoid, let $\alpha$ be a projectoidic arrangement on $H$, and let $A$ be an interval set in $\alpha$. If $A \notin \Sigma(H)$, then there exists an interval set $F$ in $\alpha$ with the properties that $F \sim A$ and $F$ is free in $H$.

In [2] the following theorem has been derived from Lemma 0 :

Theorem 0. If $H$ is a projectoid, then

$$
\Sigma(H)=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Pi(H)} \operatorname{Int}(\alpha)
$$

In the present note we shall derive two more theorems from Lemma 0 .

1. If $H=(V, \mathscr{E})$ is a hypergraph, then we shall write $V(H)=V$ and $\mathscr{E}(H)=\mathscr{E}$. If $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are hypergraphs, then we denote by $H_{1} \cup H_{2}$ the hypergraph $\left(V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup\right.$ $\left.\cup V\left(H_{2}\right), \mathscr{E}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup \mathscr{E}\left(H_{2}\right)\right)$. We shall say that a hypergraph $H$ is a classification if the following two conditions hold:
(a) if $E \in \mathscr{E}(H)$, then $1<|E|<|V(H)|$, and
(b) if $E^{\prime}, E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{E}(H)$, then $E^{\prime} \sim E^{\prime \prime}$.

It is clear that every classification is a projectoid.
Let $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ be projectoids with $V(H)=V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. It has been proved in [2] that $\Pi(H)=\Pi\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $\Sigma(H)=\Sigma\left(H^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 1. Let $H$ be a projectoid. Then there exist classifications $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $V\left(H_{1}\right)=V(H)=V\left(H_{2}\right), \mathscr{E}\left(H_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{E}\left(H_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, and $\Sigma(H)=\Sigma\left(H_{1} \cup H_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Denote $n=|V(H)|$ and $s=|\Sigma(H)|$. According to (i) and (ii), $s \geqq n+1$. The case when $s \leqq 3$ is obvious. Let $s \geqq 4$. Assume that for every projectoid $H^{\prime}$ with $\left|\Sigma\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right|<s$, the statement of the theorem has been proved. We distinguish two cases:

Case 1. Assume that there exists no free set $F$ of $H$ with the property that $1<$ $<|F|<n$. Consider a projectoidic arrangment $\alpha=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ of $H$. It follows from Lemma 0 that every interval set in $\alpha$ belongs to $\Sigma(H)$. This means that $\Sigma(H)=$ $=$ Int $(\alpha)$. Since $s \geqq 4, n \geqq 3$. We denote by $n^{\#}$ or $n^{b}$ the maximum integer $m$ such that $m \leqq n$ and $m$ is even or odd, respectively. We define $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ as follows: $V\left(H_{1}\right)=V(H)=V\left(H_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{E}\left(H_{1}\right)=\left\{\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{v_{n}^{\#-1}, v_{n} \#\right\}\right\} \quad \text { and } \\
& \mathscr{E}\left(H_{2}\right)=\left\{\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{v_{n^{b}-1}, v_{n}^{b}\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are classifications, $\mathscr{E}\left(H_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{E}\left(H_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ and $\Sigma(H)=$ $=\operatorname{Int}(\alpha)=\Sigma\left(H_{1} \cup H_{2}\right)$.

Case 2. Assume that there exists a free set $F$ of $H$ with the property that $1<$ $<|F|<n$. The case when $s=n+1$ is obvious. Let $s \geqq n+2$. Then there exists $A \in \Sigma(H)$ such that $A$ is a free set of $H$ and $1<|A|<n$.

Subcase 2.1. Assume that there exists no subset $E_{0}$ of $A$ such that $1<\left|E_{0}\right|<$ $<|A|$ and $E_{0} \in \mathscr{E}(H)$. Consider an arbitrary $u \in A$. Without loss of generality we shall assume that $\{u\} \notin \mathscr{E}(H)$. We denote by $H^{*}$ the hypergraph with $V\left(H^{*}\right)=V(H)-\{u\}$
and $\mathscr{E}\left(H^{*}\right)=\{E-\{u\} ; E \in \mathscr{E}(H)\}$. Denote $A^{*}=A-\{u\}$. Clearly, $H^{*}$ is a projectoid, $A^{*}$ is a free set in $H^{*}$ and $A^{*} \in \Sigma\left(H^{*}\right)$. According to (ii), $\{u\} \in \Sigma(H)$. Hence, $\left|\Sigma\left(H^{*}\right)\right|<s$. According to the induction hypothesis there exist classifications $H_{1}^{*}$ and $H_{2}^{*}$ such that $V\left(H_{1}^{*}\right)=V\left(H^{*}\right)=V\left(H_{2}^{*}\right), \mathscr{E}\left(H_{1}^{*}\right) \cap \mathscr{E}\left(H_{2}^{*}\right)=\emptyset$ and $\Sigma\left(H^{*}\right)=$ $=\Sigma\left(H_{1}^{*} \cup H_{2}^{*}\right)$. For $i=1,2$ we denote by $H_{i}$ the hypergraph with $V\left(H_{i}\right)=V(H)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{E}\left(H_{i}\right)=\left\{E^{\prime} ; E^{\prime} \in \mathscr{E}\left(H_{i}^{*}\right) \text { and } A^{*} \cap E^{\prime}=\emptyset\right\} \cup \\
\cup\left\{E^{\prime \prime} \cup\{u\} ; E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{E}\left(H_{i}^{*}\right) \text { and } A^{*} \subseteq E^{\prime \prime}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is clear that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ have the desired properties.
Subcase 2.2. Assume that there exists a subset $E_{0}$ of $A$ such that $1<\left|E_{0}\right|<|A|$ and $E_{0} \in \mathscr{E}(H)$. We denote by $H^{1}$ and $H^{2}$ the hypergraphs with $V\left(H^{1}\right)=V(H)$, $V\left(H^{2}\right)=A$,

$$
\mathscr{E}\left(H^{1}\right)=\{A\} \cup\left\{E^{\prime} \in \mathscr{E}(H) ; E^{\prime}-A \neq \emptyset\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{E}\left(H^{2}\right)=\left\{E^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{E}(H) ; E^{\prime \prime} \subseteq A\right\} .
$$

It is obvious that $H^{1}$ and $H^{2}$ are projectoids, $\Sigma(H)=\Sigma\left(H^{1}\right) \cup \Sigma\left(H^{2}\right),\left|\Sigma\left(H^{1}\right)\right|<s$ and $\left|\Sigma\left(H^{2}\right)\right|<s$. This means that there exist classifications $H_{1}^{1}, H_{2}^{1}, H_{1}^{2}$ and $H_{2}^{2}$ such that for $i=1,2, \quad V\left(H_{1}^{i}\right)=V\left(H^{i}\right)=V\left(H_{2}^{i}\right), \mathscr{E}\left(H_{1}^{i}\right) \cap \mathscr{E}\left(H_{2}^{i}\right)=\emptyset \quad$ and $\quad \Sigma\left(H^{i}\right)=$ $=\Sigma\left(H_{1}^{i} \cup H_{2}^{i}\right)$. According to (a), $A \notin \mathscr{E}\left(H_{1}^{2}\right) \cup \mathscr{E}\left(H_{2}^{2}\right)$. For $j=1,2$ we denote $H_{j}=H_{j}^{1} \cup H_{j}^{2}$. It is easy to see that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ have the desired properties. Thus, the theorem is proved.
2. Let $H$ be a hypergraph. We shall say that an arrangement on $V(H)$ is an antiprojectoidic arrangement on $H$ if for no $E \in \mathscr{E}(H)$ such that $1<|E|<|V(H)|, E$ is an interval set in $\alpha$.

Theorem 2. Let $H$ be a projectoid, and let $H^{*}$ be a classification such that $V(H)=$ $=V\left(H^{*}\right)$ and $\Sigma(H) \cap \mathscr{E}\left(H^{*}\right)=\emptyset$. Then there exists a projectoidic arrangement on $H$ which is an antiprojectoidic arrangement on $H^{*}$.

Proof. Denote $n=|V(H)|$. For every $\alpha_{0} \in \Pi(H)$, we denote by $d\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ the number of $E \in \mathscr{E}\left(H^{*}\right)$ with the property that $E \in \operatorname{Int}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$. Consider a projectoidic arrangement $\alpha$ on $H$ such that for every $\alpha^{\prime} \in \Pi(H), d\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \geqq d(\alpha)$. We wish to prove that $d(\alpha)=0$. On the contrary, we shall assume that $d(\alpha) \geqq 1$. Then there exists $E \in \mathscr{E}\left(H^{*}\right)$ such that $E \in \operatorname{Int}(\alpha)$. Since $E \notin \Sigma(H)$, it follows from Lemma 0 that there exists an interval set $F$ in $\alpha$ with the properties that $F \sim E$ and $F$ is free in $H$. Obviously, there exist distinct $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V(H)$ such that $\alpha=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$. Since $F \approx E$, without loss of generality we may assume that there exist integers $h, i, j$ and $k$ such that $1 \leqq h<$ $<i<j<k \leqq n, E=\left\{v_{h}, \ldots, v_{j}\right\}$ and $F=\left\{v_{i}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$. We denote by $\beta$ the arrangement

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{k}, \ldots, v_{i}, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \text { if } k<n \text { or } \\
\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{k}, \ldots, v_{i}\right) \text { if } k=n .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $F$ is a free set of $H, \beta$ is a projectoidic arrangement on $H$. Obviously, $E \notin$ $\notin \operatorname{Int}(\beta)$. Since $d(\beta) \geqq d(\alpha)$, there exists $E^{\prime} \in \mathscr{E}\left(H^{*}\right)$ such that $E^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Int}(\beta)-\operatorname{Int}(\alpha)$. This implies that either (a) $v_{i-1}, v_{k} \in E^{\prime}$, or (b) $n>k$ and $v_{i}, v_{k+1} \in E^{\prime}$. Since $H^{*}$ is a classification, $E \subseteq E^{\prime}$. Since $E^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Int}(\beta), F \subseteq E^{\prime}$. Hence, $E^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Int}(\alpha)$, which is a contradiction. This means that $d(\alpha)=0$, and the proof is complete.
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