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# CANONICAL 1-FORMS ON HIGHER ORDER ADAPTED FRAME BUNDLES 

Jan Kurek and WŁodzimierz M. Mikulski


#### Abstract

Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a foliated $m+n$-dimensional manifold $M$ with $n$-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{R}$. We describe all canonical ( $\mathcal{F}$ ol ${ }_{m, n}$-invariant) $V$-valued 1-forms $\Theta: T P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})$ $\rightarrow V$ on the $r$-th order adapted frame bundle $P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})$ of $(M, \mathcal{F})$.


All manifolds and maps are assumed to be of class $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$.
A definition of foliations can be found in [2]. Let $\mathcal{F}_{o} l_{m, n}$ be the category of foliated $m+n$-dimensional manifolds with $n$-dimensional foliations and their foliation respecting local diffeomorphisms. Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a $\mathcal{F} o l_{m, n}$-object. Then we have an adapted $r$-th order frame bundle

$$
P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})=\left\{j_{0}^{r} \varphi \mid \varphi:\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right) \rightarrow(M, \mathcal{F}) \text { is a } \mathcal{F}_{o l} l_{m, n} \text {-map }\right\}
$$

over $M$ of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ with the target projection, where $\mathcal{F}^{m, n}=\left\{\{a\} \times \mathbf{R}^{n}\right\}_{a \in \mathbf{R}^{m}}$ is the $n$-dimensional canonical foliation on $\mathbf{R}^{m+n}$. We see that $P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a principal bundle with the standard Lie group $G_{m, n}^{r}=P^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)_{0}$ (with the multiplication given by the composition of jets) acting on the right on $P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})$ by the composition of jets. Every $\mathcal{F}$ ol $l_{m, n}$-map $\psi:\left(M_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{2}\right)$ induces a local fibred diffeomorphism (even a principal bundle local isomorphism) $P^{r} \psi: P^{r}\left(M_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $P^{r}\left(M_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{2}\right)$ given by $P^{r} \psi\left(j_{0}^{r} \varphi\right)=j_{0}^{r}(\psi \circ \varphi)$.

Definition 1. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{R}$. We recall that a $\mathcal{F} o l_{m, n}$-canonical $V$-valued 1-form $\Theta$ on $P^{r}$ is a family of $\mathcal{F}$ ol $l_{m, n}$-invariant $V$-valued 1-forms $\Theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}: T P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow V$ on $P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})$ for any $\mathcal{F}_{o l} l_{m, n}$-object $(M, \mathcal{F})$. The invariance means that the $V$-valued 1-forms $\Theta_{\left(M_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)}$ and $\Theta_{\left(M_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{2}\right)}$ are $P^{r} \Phi$-related $\left(P^{r} \Phi^{*} \Theta_{\left(M_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{2}\right)}=\Theta_{\left(M_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)}\right)$ for any $\mathcal{F}$ ol $l_{m, n}$-map $\Phi:\left(M_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{2}\right)$.

It is rather-known the following $\mathcal{F} o l_{m, n}$-canonical $\mathbf{R}^{m+n}$-valued 1-form on $P^{1}(M, \mathcal{F})$.

Example 1. For every $\mathcal{F}_{o l} l_{m, n}$-object $(M, \mathcal{F})$ we define an $\mathbf{R}^{m+n}$-valued 1-form $\theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}$ on $P^{1}(M, \mathcal{F})$ as follows. Consider the target projection $\beta: P^{1}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow M$

[^0]given by $\beta\left(j_{0}^{r} \varphi\right)=\varphi(0)$, an element $u=j_{0}^{1} \psi \in P^{1}(M, \mathcal{F})$ and a tangent vector $X=j_{0}^{1} c \in T_{u}\left(P^{1}(M, \mathcal{F})\right)$. We define the form $\theta=\theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}$ by
$$
\theta(X)=u^{-1} \circ T \beta(X)=j_{0}^{1}\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \beta \circ c\right) \in T_{0} \mathbf{R}^{m+n}=\mathbf{R}^{m+n}
$$

Let us notice that if $n=0$ then $(M, \mathcal{F})=M$ and $P^{1}(M, \mathcal{F})=P^{1}(M)$ and $\theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}=\theta_{M}$ is the well-known canonical $\mathbf{R}^{m}$-valued 1-form on the frame bundle $P^{1} M$.

To present a general example of $\mathcal{F}_{\text {ol }}^{m, n}$-canonical $V$-valued 1-forms on $P^{r}$ we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a $\mathcal{F}_{o l} l_{m, n}$-object. Then any vector $v \in T_{w} P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F}), w \in$ $\left(P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})\right)_{x}, x \in M$ is of the form $\mathcal{P}^{r} X_{w}$ for some infinitesimal automorphism $X \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{P}^{r} X \in \mathcal{X}\left(P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})\right)$ is the flow lifting of $X$ to $P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Moreover $j_{x}^{r} X$ is uniquely determined.

Remark 1. We inform that a vector field $X$ on $M$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ iff the flow $\{\operatorname{Expt} X\}$ of $X$ is formed by local $\mathcal{F}_{\text {ol }}^{m, n}$-maps $(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow$ $(M, \mathcal{F})$ or (equivalently) $[X, Y]$ is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$ for any $Y$ tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. The space $\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$ of all infinitesimal automorphisms of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a Lie subalgebra in $\mathcal{X}(M)$. Given an infinitesimal automorphism $X \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$, the flow lifting $\mathcal{P}^{r} X$ is a vector field on $P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that if $\left\{\Phi_{t}\right\}$ is the flow of $X$ then $\left\{P^{r}\left(\Phi_{t}\right)\right\}$ is the flow of $\mathcal{P}^{r} X$. (Since $\Phi_{t}$ are $\mathcal{F} o l_{m, n}$-maps we can apply functor $P^{r}$.)
Proof of Lemma 1. We can of course assume that $(M, \mathcal{F})=\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$ and $x=0$. Since $P^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$ is in usual way a principal subbundle of $P^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}\right)$, then by well-known manifold version of the lemma, we find $X \in \mathcal{X}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}\right)$ such that $v=\mathcal{P}^{r} X_{w}$ and $j_{0}^{r} X$ is determined uniquely. An infinitesimal automorphism $Y \in \mathcal{X}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$ gives $\mathcal{P}^{r} Y_{w}$ which is tangent to $P^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$. On the other hand the dimension of $P^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$ and the dimension of the space of $r$-jets $j_{0}^{r} Y$ of $Y \in \mathcal{X}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$ are equal. Then the lemma follows from the dimension argument because flow operators are linear.
Example 2. Let $\lambda: J_{0}^{r-1}\left(T_{\text {Inf }-\operatorname{Aut}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)\right) \rightarrow V$ be an $\mathbf{R}$-linear map, where $J_{0}^{r-1}\left(T_{\text {Inf - Aut }}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)\right)$ is the vector space of all $(r-1)$-jets $j_{0}^{r-1} X$ at $0 \in \mathbf{R}^{m+n}$ of infinitesimal automorphisms $X \in \mathcal{X}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$. Given a $\mathcal{F}_{o l} l_{m, n}$-object $(M, \mathcal{F})$, we define a $V$-valued 1-form $\Theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}^{\lambda}: T P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow V$ on $P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})$ as follows. Let $v \in T_{w} P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F}), w=j_{0}^{r} \varphi \in\left(P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F})\right)_{x}, x \in M$. By Lemma $1, v=\mathcal{P}^{r} X_{w}$ for some infinitesimal automorphism $X \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$, and $j_{x}^{r} X$ is uniquely determined. Then it is determined the $(r-1)$-jet $j_{0}^{r-1}\left(\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)_{*} X\right)$ at 0 of the image $\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)_{*} X$ of $X$ by $\varphi^{-1}$. We put

$$
\Theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}^{\lambda}(v):=\lambda\left(j_{0}^{r-1}\left(\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)_{*} X\right)\right) .
$$

Clearly, $\Theta^{\lambda}=\left\{\Theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}^{\lambda}\right\}$ is a $\mathcal{F}_{o l} l_{m, n}$-canonical $V$-valued 1-form on $P^{r}$.
The main result of the present short note is the following classification theorem.
Theorem 1. Any $\mathcal{F}$ ol $m_{m, n}$-canonical $V$-valued 1 -form on $P^{r}$ is $\Theta^{\lambda}$ for some unique $\mathbf{R}$-linear map $\lambda: J_{0}^{r-1}\left(T_{\text {Inf - Aut }}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)\right) \rightarrow V$.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we use the following fact.
Lemma 2. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$ be infinitesimal automorphisms of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ and $x \in M$ be a point. Suppose that $j_{x}^{r-1} X=j_{x}^{r-1} Y$ and $X_{x}$ is not-tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. Then there exists a (locally defined) $\mathcal{F}_{\text {ol }}^{m, n}$-map $\Phi:(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that $j_{x}^{r}(\Phi)=j_{x}^{r}\left(\mathrm{id}_{M}\right)$ and $\Phi_{*} X=Y$ near $x$.
Proof. A direct modification of the proof of Lemma 42.4 in [1].
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\Theta$ be a $\mathcal{F} o l_{m, n}$-canonical $V$-valued 1-form on $P^{r}$. We must define $\lambda: J_{0}^{r-1}\left(T_{\text {Inf }-\operatorname{Aut}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)\right) \rightarrow V$ by

$$
\lambda(\xi):=\Theta_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)}\left(\mathcal{P}^{r} \tilde{X}_{j_{0}^{r}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}^{m+n}}\right)}\right)
$$

for all $\xi \in J_{0}^{r-1}\left(T_{\operatorname{Inf}-\operatorname{Aut}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)\right)$, where given $\xi$ in question, $\tilde{X}$ is a unique (a unique germ at 0 of) infinitesimal automorphism of $\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$ such that $j_{0}^{r-1} \tilde{X}=\xi$ and the coefficients of $\tilde{X}$ with respect to the basis of the canonical vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \in \mathcal{X}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)(i=1, \ldots, m+n)$ are polynomials of degree $\leq r-1$.

We are going to show that $\Theta=\Theta^{\lambda}$. Because of the $\mathcal{F}$ ol $l_{m, n}$-invariance it remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)}(v)=\Theta_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)}^{\lambda}(v) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v \in T_{j_{0}^{r}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}^{m+n}}\right)} P^{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$.
By the definition of $\lambda$ and $\Theta^{\lambda}$ we have $(*)$ for any $v$ of the form $\mathcal{P}^{r} \tilde{X}_{j_{0}^{r}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}^{m+n}}\right),}$, where $\tilde{X}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of $\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$ such that the coefficients of $\tilde{X}$ with respect to the basis of canonical vector fields on $\mathbf{R}^{m+n}$ are polynomials of degree $\leq r-1$.

Now, let $v$ be arbitrary in question. Then by Lemma $1, v$ is of the form $v=$ $\mathcal{P}^{r} X_{j_{0}^{r}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}^{m+n}}\right)}$ for some infinitesimal automorphism $X$ of $\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$. Clearly (because of a density argument), we can additionally assume that $X_{0}$ is not tangent to $\mathcal{F}^{m, n}$. Let $\tilde{X}$ be an infinitesimal automorphism of $\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$ such that $j_{0}^{r-1} \tilde{X}=j_{0}^{r-1} X$ and the coefficients of $\tilde{X}$ with respect to the basis of constant vector fields on $\mathbf{R}^{m+n}$ are polynomials of degree $\leq r-1$. Let $\tilde{v}=\mathcal{P}^{r} \tilde{X}_{j_{0}^{r}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}^{m+n}}\right)}$. Then (we have observed above) it holds $\Theta_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)}(\tilde{v})=\Theta_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)}^{\lambda}(\tilde{v})$. On the other hand by Lemma 2 , there is a $\mathcal{F}_{o l_{m, n}-\operatorname{map}} \Phi:\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)$ such that $j_{0}^{r} \Phi=j_{0}^{r}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}^{m+n}}\right)$ and $\Phi_{*} \tilde{X}=X$ near 0 . Since $j_{0}^{r} \Phi=\mathrm{id}$, $\Phi$ preserves $j_{0}^{r}\left(\operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}^{m+n}}\right)$. Then since $\Phi_{*} \tilde{X}=X, \Phi$ sends $\tilde{v}$ into $v$. Then because of the invariance of $\Theta$ and $\Theta^{\lambda}$ with respect to $\Phi$, we obtain $\Theta_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)}(v)=\Theta_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)}(\tilde{v})=$ $\Theta_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m+n}\right)}^{\lambda}(\tilde{v})=\Theta_{\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)}^{\lambda}(v)$.

In the case $r=1$, we have $J_{0}^{0}\left(T_{\operatorname{Inf}-\operatorname{Aut}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)\right) \tilde{=} \mathbf{R}^{m+n}$. Then by Theorem 1, the vector space of $\mathcal{F}$ ol $l_{m, n}$-canonical $V$-valued 1 -forms on $P^{1}$ is $(m+$ $n) \operatorname{dim}(V)$-dimensional. Then (because of a dimension argument) we have.
Corollary 1. Any $\mathcal{F}_{\text {ol }}^{m, n}$-canonical $V$-valued 1 -form $\Theta=\left\{\Theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}\right\}$ on $P^{1}$ is of the form

$$
\Theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}=\lambda \circ \theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}: T P^{1}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow V
$$

for some unique linear map $\lambda: \mathbf{R}^{m+n} \rightarrow V$, where $\theta=\left\{\theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}\right\}$ is the canonical $\mathbf{R}^{m+n}$-valued 1-form on $P^{1}$ from Example 1.
Example 3. It is easy to see that

$$
J_{0}^{r-1}\left(T_{\text {Inf }-\operatorname{Aut}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m+n}, \mathcal{F}^{m, n}\right)\right) \tilde{=\mathbf{R}^{m+n} \oplus \mathcal{L} i e\left(G_{m, n}^{r-1}\right) . . . . ~}
$$

Thus by Example 2 for $\lambda=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}^{m+n} \oplus \mathcal{L i e}\left(G_{m, n}^{r-1}\right)}$ we have a $\mathcal{F}$ ol $l_{m, n}$-canonical $\mathbf{R}^{m, n} \oplus$ $\mathcal{L}$ ie $\left(G_{m, n}^{r-1}\right)$-valued 1-form

$$
\theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}^{r}:=\Theta^{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}^{m+m} \oplus \mathcal{L i e}\left(G_{m, n}^{r-1}\right)}}: T P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+n} \oplus \mathcal{L} i e\left(G_{m, n}^{r-1}\right)
$$

on $P^{r}$. For $r=1$, we have $\theta^{1}=\theta$ as in Example 1. In particular, for $n=0$ we obtain the well-known canonical $\mathbf{R}^{m} \oplus \mathcal{L} i e\left(G_{m}^{r-1}\right)$-valued 1-form

$$
\theta_{M}^{r}: P^{r} M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m} \oplus \mathcal{L} i e\left(G_{m}^{r-1}\right)
$$

on the $r$-order frame bundle $P^{r} M$.
By similar arguments as for Corollary 1 we have.
Corollary 2. Any $\mathcal{F}_{\text {ol }}^{m, n}$-canonical $V$-valued 1 -form $\Theta=\left\{\Theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}\right\}$ on $P^{r}$ is of the form

$$
\Theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}=\lambda \circ \theta_{(M, \mathcal{F})}^{r}: T P^{r}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow V
$$

for some unique linear map $\lambda: \mathbf{R}^{m+n} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ ie $\left(G_{m, n}^{r-1}\right) \rightarrow V$, where $\theta^{r}$ is as in Example 3.

In particular (for $n=0$ ), any canonical $V$-valued 1-form $\Theta=\left\{\Theta_{M}\right\}$ on $P^{r} M$ is of the form

$$
\Theta_{M}=\lambda \circ \theta_{M}^{r}: T P^{r} M \rightarrow V
$$

for some unique linear map $\lambda: \mathbf{R}^{m} \oplus \mathcal{L} i e\left(G_{m}^{r-1}\right) \rightarrow V$.
Remark. Recently, we obtained (by a modification of the above paper) a similar result on gauge invariant vector valued 1-forms on higher order principal prolongations of principal bundles. The paper will appear in Lobachevskii Math. J. 2008.
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