Mária Jakubíková The nonexistence of free complete vector lattices

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 99 (1974), No. 2, 142--146

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117836

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

.

THE NONEXISTENCE OF FREE COMPLETE VECTOR LATTICES

MÁRIA JAKUBÍKOVÁ, KOŠICE

(Received November 6, 1972)

Free vector lattices were investigated in [1], [3], [9], [11] (cf. also [2], Chap. XV, § 5). Since the class of all vector lattices is an equational one, for each cardinal m there exists a free vector lattice X_m with a set A of free generators such that card A == m. HALES [4] proved that there does not exist a free complete Boolean algebra with an infinite set of free complete generators (this solved the problem proposed by RIEGER [8]). Using the result of Hales we show that there does not exist a free complete vector lattice with an infinite set of free complete generators. An analogous result concerning complete *l*-groups was proved in [5]. Further, we examine the existence of free (α , ∞)-distributive vector lattices where α is an infinite regular cardinal.

For the terminology, cf. [2], Chap. XV. Lattice unions and intersections are denoted by \lor and \land , respectively. Set unions, set intersections and the inclusion are denoted by \cup , \cap and \subset , respectively. A sublattice L_1 of a lattice L is said to be a closed sublattice of L, if, whenever $\{x_i\}$ ($i \in I$) is a subset of L_1 such that $\forall x_i$ exists in L, then $\forall x_i \in L_1$, and if the dual condition also holds. A mapping φ of a lattice L into a lattice L' is said to be a complete homomorphism if it fulfils the following condition (c_1) and also the condition (c_2) that is dual to (c_1): If $\{x_i\} \subset L$ and if $\forall x_i$ exists in L, then

$$\bigvee \varphi(x_i)$$
 exists in L' and $\varphi(\bigvee x_i) = \bigvee \varphi(x_i)$.

Let us recall the definition of a vector lattice (cf. [2]).

A real linear space L with elements f, g, ..., is called a vector lattice if L is lattice ordered in such a manner that the partial ordering is compatible with the algebraic structure of L, i.e.,

(i) $f \leq g$ implies $f + h \leq g + h$ for every $f, g, h \in L$,

(ii) $f \ge 0$ implies $\alpha f \ge 0$ for every $f \in L$ and every real number $\alpha \ge 0$.

Thus $(L; +, \wedge, \vee)$ is an Abelian lattice ordered group; hence $(L; \wedge, \vee)$ is a distributive lattice and

$$f + (g \lor h) = (f + g) \lor (f + h),$$

$$f + (g \land h) = (f + g) \land (f + h)$$

is valid for every $f, g, h \in L$.

Let A be a subset of a complete Boolean algebra B. We say that A completely generates B if $B_1 = B$ for each closed subalgebra B_1 of B with $A \subset B_1$. The set A is said to be a set of free generators of B, if it satisfies the following conditions: (a) A completely generates B; (b) if B' is a complete Boolean algebra and if f is a mapping of the set A into B' such that the set f(A) completely generates B', then there exists a complete homomorphism ψ of B onto B' such that $\psi(a) = f(a)$ for each $a \in A$. (Cf. [4].)

Now we introduce analogous notions for complete vector lattices. For any vector lattice X the corresponding lattice will be denoted by \overline{X} . A vector sublattice X_1 of a vector lattice X is said to be a closed vector sublattice of X, if \overline{X}_1 is a closed sublattice of \overline{X} . Let A be a subset of a complete vector lattice X. We say that A completely generates X if $X_1 = X$ for each closed vector sublattice X_1 of X with $A \subset X_1$. A homomorphism φ of a complete vector lattice X into a complete vector lattice X' is called a complete homomorphism if φ is a complete vector lattice X. Then A is said to be a set of free complete generators of X if it fulfils the following conditions: (a) A completely generates X, and (b) for each complete vector lattice X' and each mapping $f: A \to X'$ such that f(A) completely generates X' there is a complete homomorphism ψ of X onto X' such that $\psi(a) = f(a)$ for each $a \in A$. If A is a set of free complete vector lattice X and card $A = \gamma$, then X is called a free complete vector lattice X and card $A = \gamma$, then X is called a free complete vector lattice on γ free complete generators.

Let X be a complete vector lattice, $0 < e \in X$. The element e is called a weak unit of X if $e \land x > 0$ for each $0 < x \in X$. The element e is a strong unit of X if for each $0 < x \in X$ there is a positive integer n(x) such that $x \leq n(x) e$. Each strong unit of X is a weak unit of X. Let e be a weak unit of X and let B(e) be the set of all elements $e_i \in X$ such that $e_i \geq 0$ and $e_i \land (e - e_i) = 0$. The set B(e) is said to be a basis of X.

We need the following results:

Theorem A. (Cf. [6], p. 92.) Let e be a weak unit of a complete vector lattice X. Then the basis B(e) is a closed sublattice of \overline{X} and B(e) is a Boolean algebra.

Theorem B. (Cf. [6], p. 131, Thm. 1.53.) Let B be a complete Boolean algebra. Then there is a complete vector lattice X and a weak unit e of X such that the basis B(e) is isomorphic to B. **Theorem C.** (Cf. [4], § 4, Thm. 3.) Let m be an infinite cardinal. There exists a complete Boolean algebra B_m and a subset $A \subset B_m$ such that A completely generates B_m , card $A = \aleph_0$ and card $B_m = m$.

Theorem 1. Let α be an infinite cardinal. There does not exist a free complete vector lattice on α free complete generators.

Proof. Suppose that a set A_0 is the set of free complete generators of a complete lattice X_0 , card $A_0 = \alpha$. Let m be a cardinal, $m > card X_0$. Let $B = B_m$ be a Boolean algebra fulfilling the assertion of Thm. C. Further let X be a complete vector lattice satisfying the assertion of Thm. B. Since the Boolean algebras B_m and B(e) are isomorphic we may put $B(e) = B_m$. Choose a_0 , $a_1 \in A_0$ and $A_1 \subset A_0 \setminus \{a_0, a_1\}$, card $A_1 = \aleph_0$. Let $f_1 : A_1 \to A$ be a bijection and let f be a mapping of the set A_0 into X such that $f(a_0) = 0, f(a_1) = e, f(a) = f_1(a)$ for each $a \in A_1$ and f(a) = 0 for each $a \in A_0 \setminus (A_1 \cup \{a_0, a_1\})$. Let Y be the intersection of all closed vector sublattices Y_i of X with $f(A_0) \subset Y_i$. Then Y is a closed vector sublattice of X, hence Y is a complete lattice and Y is completely generated by the set $f(A_0)$.

According to the definition of a free complete vector lattice, there is a complete homomorphism ψ of X_0 onto Y such that $\psi(a) = f(a)$ for each $a \in A_0$. Since e is a weak unit of X, e is a weak unit of Y. By Thm. A, B(e) = B is a closed sublattice of X and hence the set $B \cap Y = B_0$ is a closed sublattice of Y. Thus, since $0, e \in B_0$, the set B_0 is a complete lattice. Obviously B_0 is distributive. Let $b_0 \in B_0$. Then $b_0 \in B(e)$, hence $b_0 \wedge (e - b_0) = 0$. This implies $e - b_0 \in B(e)$ and so $e - b_0 \in B_0$. Further we have $b_0 \vee (e - b_0) = b_0 + (e - b_0) = e$, hence $e - b_0$ is the complement of b_0 in the Boolean algebra B. This implies that B_0 is a closed subalgebra of B. Since $A \subset B_0$ we obtain (because B is completely generated by A) that $B_0 = B$. Therefore $m = \operatorname{card} B \leq \operatorname{card} Y = \operatorname{card} \psi(X_0)$. This implies $\operatorname{card} X_0 \geq m$, which is a contradiction.

Let α , β be cardinals. Let us consider the following condition on a lattice L(cf. [4]): (d₁) L satisfies the identity

$$\bigwedge_{s\in S}\bigvee_{t\in T} x_{s,t} = \bigvee_{\varphi\in T^S}\bigwedge_{s\in S} x_{s,\varphi(s)}$$

whenever card $S \leq \alpha$, card $T \leq \beta$ and all joins and meets do exist in L.

If L satisfies (d_1) and the condition dual to (d_1) then L is called (α, β) -distributive. If L is (α, β) -distributive for each cardinal β , then it is said to be (α, ∞) -distributive. It is easy to verify that a vector lattice is (α, β) -distributive if it fulfils the condition (d_1) .

A complete (α, ∞) -distributive Boolean algebra *B* is said to be a free complete (α, ∞) -distributive Boolean algebra on γ free complete generators if there is a subset $A \subset B$ with card $A = \gamma$ such that *A* is a set of free complete generators of *B* and every mapping *f* of *A* onto a subset *A'* of a complete (α, ∞) -distributive Boolean algebra *B'* which completely generates *B'* can be extended to a complete homomorphism of *B* onto *B'*.

Replacing "Boolean algebra" by "vector lattice" everywhere in the above definition, we obtain the definition of a free complete (α, ∞) -distributive vector lattice on γ complete generators.

Theorem C'. (Cf. [4], p. 62.) Let γ be an infinite regular cardinal. Let m be a cardinal, $\mathfrak{m} \geq \gamma$. There exists a complete (γ, ∞) -distributive Boolean algebra $B^0_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and a subset $A \subset B^0_{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that A completely generates $B^0_{\mathfrak{m}}$, card $A = \gamma$, and card $B^0_{\mathfrak{m}} =$ $= \mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem D. (Cf. [7].) Let B be a Boolean algebra and let M be the Stone space of B. Then the lattice C(M) fo all real continuous functions on M is (α, β) -distributive if and only if B is (α, β) -distributive.

Theorem E. (Cf. [10], Thm. v. 3.1.) Let e be a strong unit of a complete vector lattice Y. Let M be the Stone space of the Boolean algebra B(e) = B. Then Y is isomorphic with the vector lattice B(M) consisting of all bounded continuous functions on M.

A subset P of a vector lattice Q is said to be convex if $p_1, p_2 \in P, q \in Q, p_1 \leq q \leq p_2$ implies $q \in P$.

Lemma. Let P be a vector sublattice of a vector lattice Q. Assume that P is a convex subset of Q and that for each $0 < q \in Q$ there exists $0 with <math>p \land q > 0$. Then P is (α, β) -distributive.

Proof. If $\{f_i\}$ is a subset of P and if $f \in P$ is the least upper bound of $\{f_i\}$ in P, then f is also the least upper bound of the set $\{f_i\}$ in Q (since P is convex in Q). A similar assertion holds for greatest lower bounds of subsets of P. Thus if P is not (α, β) -distributive, then Q fails to be (α, β) -distributive. Assume that Q is not (α, β) distributive. Then there exists a system $\{x_{s,t}\} \subset Q$ with card $S \leq \alpha$, card $T \leq \beta$ such that all joins and meets standing in (d_1) do exist in Q and

$$v = \bigwedge_{s \in S} \bigvee_{t \in T} x_{s,t} > \bigvee_{\varphi \in T^S} \bigwedge_{s \in S} x_{s,\varphi(s)} = u$$
.

There exists $0 < f_1 \in P$ with $f_1 \land (v - u) > 0$. Denote

$$(x_{s,t} \wedge v) \vee u = \bar{x}_{s,t},$$

$$(\bar{x}_{s,t} - u) \wedge f_1 = y_{s,t}.$$

Then we have

$$0 < f_1 \land (v - u) = \bigwedge_{s \in S} \bigvee_{t \in T} y_{s,t} \neq \bigvee_{\varphi \in T^S} \bigwedge_{s \in S} y_{s,\varphi(s)} = 0;$$

hence P is not (α, β) -distributive.

145

Theorem 2. Let γ be an infinite regular cardinal. Then there does not exist a free complete (γ, ∞) -distributive vector lattice on γ complete generators.

Proof. Suppose that X_0 is a complete (γ, ∞) -distributive vector lattice with a set A_0 of free complete generators, card $A_0 = \gamma$. Let m be a cardinal, $m > \text{card } X_0$. Let $B_m^0 = B$ be as in Thm. C'. Now we use a similar method as in the proof of Thm. 1. Let X be as in Thm. B. We may put B = B(e). Choose two distinct elements $a_0, a_1 \in e A_0$ and denote $A_1 = A_0 \setminus \{a_0, a_1\}$. Then there exists a mapping f_1 of A_1 onto A and let f be a mapping of A_0 into X such that $f(a_0) = 0, f(a_1) = e$ and $f(a) = f_1(a)$ for each $a \in A_0$.

Let Y be the closed vector sublattice of X generated by the set $A \cup \{0, e\}$. Then Y is a complete vector lattice that is completely generated by the set $A \cup \{e\}$ and e is a weak unit of Y. Let Y_0 be the set of all $y \in Y$ satisfying $-n(y) e \leq y \leq n(y) e$ for a positive integer n(y). The set Y_0 is a complete vector lattice and it is a convex vector sublattice of Y; the element e is a strong unit of Y_0 .

Let M be the Stone space of the Boolean algebra B. According to Thm. D, C(M) is (γ, ∞) -distributive and hence by the Lemma the vector lattice B(M) is (γ, ∞) -distributive. From Thm. E it follows that Y_0 is isomorphic with B(M) and therefore Y_0 is (γ, ∞) -distributive. Since e is a weak unit of Y and since e belongs to Y_0 , according to the Lemma we obtain that Y is (γ, ∞) -distributive. Thus there is a complete homomorphism ψ of X_0 onto Y. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Thm. 1 we get that $B(e) \subset Y$. Therefore $m \leq \text{card } Y \leq \text{card } X_0$, which is a contradiction.

References

- [1] K. A. Baker: Free vector lattices, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968), 58-66.
- [2] G. Birkhoff: Lattice theory, Third edition, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, Vol. 25 (1967).
- [3] P. Conrad: Free abelian l-groups and vector lattices, Math. Ann. 190 (1971), 306-312.
- [4] A. W. Hales: On the non-existence of free complete Boolean algebras, Fundam. Math. 54 (1964), 45-66.
- [5] M. Jakubiková: Über die B-Potenz einer verbandsgeordneten Gruppe, Matem. časop. 23 (1973), 231-239.
- [6] Л. В. Канторович, Б. З. Вулих, А. Г. Пинскер: Функциональный анализ в полуупорядоченных пространствах, Москав 1950.
- [7] R. S. Pierce: Distributivity in Boolean algebras, Pacif. J. Math. 7 (1957), 983-992.
- [8] L. Rieger: On free \aleph_{ξ} -complete Boolean algebras, Fundam. Math. 38 (1951), 35-52.
- [9] D. Topping: Some homological pathology in vector lattices, Canad. J. Math 17 (1965), 411-428.
- [10] Б. З. Вулих: Введение в теорию полуупорядоченных пространств, Москва 1961.
- [11] E. Weinberg: Free lattice ordered abelian groups, Math. Ann. 151 (1963), 187-199.

Author's address: 040 01 Košice, Švermova 5 (Strojní fakulta VŠT).