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# ON CUBES AND DICHOTOMIC TREES 

Ladislav Nebeský, Praha

(Received December 20, 1972)

The notion of the $n$-cube $Q_{n}$ (and other notions not defined here) can be found in Behzad and Chartrand [1] or in Harary [2]. The complete dichotomic tree $D_{n}$ can be defined as follows: if $n=1$, then $D_{n}$ is the complete bigraph $K(1,2)$; if $n \geqq 2$, then $D_{n}$ is the tree obtained from two disjoint copies $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ of $D_{n-1}$ and from a new vertex $v$ in such a way that $v$ is joined by one edge to the only vertex of degree 2 of $T$ and by another edge to the analogous vertex of $T^{\prime}$. Thus $D_{n}$ has $2^{n}$ vertices of degree 1 , one vertex of degree 2 , and $2^{n}-2$ vertices of degree 3 . The vertex of degree 2 of $D_{n}$ will be referred to as its root. Havel and Liebl [3] have proved that if $n \geqq 2$, then $D_{n}$ is a subgraph of $Q_{n+2}$ but $D_{n}$ is not a subgraph of $Q_{n+1}$. Obviously, $D_{1}$ is a subgraph of $Q_{2}$.

If $n \geqq 1$, then we denote by $\widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_{n}$ the tree obtained from two disjoint copies of $D_{n}$ in such a way that their roots are joined by an edge; this edge will be referred to as the axial edge of $\widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_{n}$. Obviously, $\widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_{n}$ has $2^{n+2}-2$ vertices. Havel and Liebl [4] conjectured that $\widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_{n}$ is a subgraph of $Q_{n+2}$, for $n \geqq 1$. In the present paper, this conjecture will be verified.

We introduce the graphs $Q_{n}^{\nabla}$ and $Q_{n}^{\prime}$ which are certain local modifications of $Q_{n}$. Let $n \geqq 2$; by $Q_{n}^{\nabla}$ we denote the graph $Q_{n}+r t-s$, where $r, s$ and $t$ are such vertices of $Q_{n}$ that $r s$ and $s t$ are distinct edges of $Q_{n}$; by $Q_{n}^{\prime}$ we denote the graph $Q_{n}-u-v$, where $u$ and $v$ are such vertices of $Q_{n}$ that $u v$ is an edge of $Q_{n}$. The first two theorems which will be proved in the present paper are:

Theorem 1. $D_{n}$ is a spanning subgraph of $Q_{n+1}^{\nabla}$, for $n \geqq 1$.
Theorem 2. $\widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_{n}$ is a spanning subgraph of $Q_{n+2}^{\prime}$, for $n \geqq 1$.
Both theorems will be easily obtained from the following lemma. An edge of a tree $T$ incident with an end-vertex of $T$ will be referred to as an end-edge. Let $n \geqq 1$. By $\widehat{D}_{n}$ or $\check{D}_{n}$ we denote the tree obtained from $D_{n}$ by inserting two new vertices of
degree 2 into the axial edge or into one end-edge, respectively. The path of $\hat{D}_{n}$ obtained from the axial edge of $\widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_{n}$ is referred to as the axial path of $\hat{D}_{n}$.

Lemma. $\widehat{D}_{n}$ and $\check{D}_{n}$ are spanning subgraphs of $Q_{n+2}$, for $n \geqq 1$.
Proof. Obviously, the graphs $\widehat{D}_{n}, \check{D}_{n}$ and $Q_{n+2}$ have the same number of vertices. Hence it is sufficient to prove that both $\widehat{D}_{n}$ and $\check{D}_{n}$ are subgraphs of $Q_{n+2}$.

Let $m$ be a positive integer. We shall say that a tree $T$ is $m$-valued if each edge of $T$ is assigned a positive integer not exceeding $m$. As follows from the work of Havel and Morávek [5], a tree $T$ is a subgraph of $Q_{m}$ if and only if $T$ can be $m$-valued so that
(1) for each path $P$ of $T$, there exists $k$ such that precisely an odd number of edges belonging to $P$ is assigned $k$.
(Cf. also Hlavička [6].)

(A) We shall prove that $\widehat{D}_{n}$ can be $(n+2)$-valued so that (1) holds and that the edges of the axial path are assigned the integers $1, n+1$, and $n+2$ (in some order). The case $n=1$ is obvious. The case $n=2$ is given in Fig. 1 .


Fig. 2.

Let $n=m \geqq 3$. Assume that for $n=m-2$, the statement is proved. Consider four disjoint copies of $\hat{D}_{n-2}$ which are $n$-valued so that (1) holds and that they can be expressed as in Fig. 2, where $R_{i}$ and $R_{i}^{\prime}$ are $n$-valued copies of $D_{n-2}$. If we identify the root of each of the $n$-valued trees $R_{i}$ and $R_{i}^{\prime}$ with the vertex $r_{i}$ and $r_{i}^{\prime}$, respectively, in Fig. 3, we obtain an $(n+2)$-valued tree $\widehat{D}_{n}$. Obviously, the edges of the axial
path are assigned $1, n+1$, and $n+2$. It is routine to prove that this valuation fulfils (1).
(B) Let $n \geqq 1$; by ${ }^{\circ} D_{n}^{*}$ we denote the tree obtained from $D_{n}$ by inserting two new vertices of degree 2 into one end-edge of $D_{n}$; the vertex of $D_{n}^{*}$ obtained from the root of $D_{n}$ will be referred to as the root of $D_{n}^{*}$. We shall prove that $\check{D}_{n}$ can be $(n+2)$ valued so that (1) holds. The case $n=1$ is obvious. Let $n=m \geqq 2$. Assume that

for $n=m-1$, the statement is proved. Consider disjoint $\widehat{D}_{n-1}$ and $\check{D}_{n-1}$ which are $(n+1)$-valued so that (1) holds and that they can be expressed as in Fig. 4, where $T_{1}, T_{1}^{\prime}$ and $T_{2}$ are $(n+1)$-valued copies of $D_{n-1}$, and $T_{2}^{\prime}$ is an $(n+1)$-valued copy of $D_{n-1}^{*}$. Join the root of $T_{2}$ by an edge assigned $n+2$ to the vertex $t_{2}$ and the root of $T_{2}^{\prime}$ by an edge assigned $n+2$ to the vertex $t_{2}^{\prime}$ (see Fig. 5). Thus we obtain $\breve{D}_{n}$ which is $(n+2)$-valued such that (1) holds. Hence the lemma follows.


Fig. 4.


Fig. 5.

Proof of Theorem 1. The case $n=1$ is obvious. Let $n \geqq 2$ and let $t, u, v$ and $w$ be such vertices of $\hat{D}_{n-1}$ that $t u, u v$ and $v w$ are the edges of the axial path. Then $D_{n}=\hat{D}_{n-1}+u w-v$. Thus the lemma implies the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2 directly follows from the lemma.
Corollary. $\widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_{n}$ is a subgraph of $Q_{n+2}$, for $n \geqq 1$.
Let $n \geqq 2$. By $\tilde{D}_{n}$ we denote the tree obtained from disjoint $D_{n-1}$ and $D_{n}$ by joining their roots by an edge. As $\tilde{D}_{n}$ is a subgraph of $\widetilde{\tilde{D}}_{n}$, it is also a subgraph of $Q_{n+2}$.

It has been pointed out by Havel and Liebl [4] that the trees $\tilde{D}_{n}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{D}}_{n}$ are useful for a study of trees with the maximum degree 3 .

Theorem 3. Let $T$ be a tree with the diameter $d \geqq 2$ and with the maximum degree 3. Then $T$ is a subgraph of $Q_{[d / 2]+2}$.

Proof. The case $d=2$ is obvious. Let $d=2 n, n \geqq 2$; it is easily seen that $T$ is a subgraph of $\widetilde{D}_{n}$ and thus $T$ is a subgraph of $Q_{n+2}$. Let $d=2 n+1, n \geqq 1$; then $T$ is a subgraph of $\widetilde{\widetilde{D}}_{n}$ and thus $T$ is a subgraph of $Q_{n+2}$. Hence the theorem follows.
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