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# ON THE EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

Bahman Mehri, Teheran

(Received June 16, 1975)

In this note, we are concerned with the following non-linear second order differential equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}+K x=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{1}\\
x(0)=x(\omega), \quad x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(\omega)
\end{gather*}
$$

It shall be shown that if certain conditions are imposed on the function $f$, then there exists a unique solution of (1) satisfying the boundary conditions (2). In so doing we shall use a result reported by Durikovič in [1].

If in addition to our assumptions given below, the function $f$ is $\omega$-periodic function of $t$ i.e.

$$
f\left(t+\omega, x, x^{\prime}\right)=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

then the result of our paper gives an $\omega$-periodic solution (see [3]).
In the sequel it is assumed that:
$\left(A_{1}\right) f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is a real-valued, continuous, bounded function with the domain $E=[0, T] \times R^{2}, T>0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right| \leqq K_{2}^{\mathbf{I}}\left\{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|+1 / \sqrt{ }|K|\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|\right\}, \quad K_{2}>0 \\
\frac{2 K_{2}}{|K|}<1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $\omega \in(0, \pi / \sqrt{ } K), K>0$, let $G(t, s)$ be the Green's function
(3) $\quad G(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{K}} \cdot \frac{\cos \sqrt{ } K\left(\frac{\omega}{2}+s-t\right)}{\sin \sqrt{ } K \frac{\omega}{2}} \text { for } 0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq \omega, \\ \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{ } K} \cdot \frac{\cos \sqrt{ } K\left(\frac{\omega}{2}+t-s\right)}{\sin \sqrt{ } K \frac{\omega}{2}} \text { for } 0 \leqq t \leqq s \leqq \omega,\end{array}\right.$
and, $\omega \in(0,+\infty), K<0$, let $G(t, s)$ be defined as
(4) $G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{\exp [-\sqrt{ }|K|(t-s)] \cdot \exp [\sqrt{ }|K| w]+\exp [\sqrt{ }|K|(t-s)]}{2 \sqrt{ }|K|[1-\exp \sqrt{ }|K| \omega]} & \text { for } s \leqq t, \\ \frac{\exp [-\sqrt{ }|K|(s-t)] \cdot \exp [\sqrt{ }|K| \omega]+\exp [\sqrt{ }|K|(s-t)]}{2 \sqrt{ }|K|[1-\exp \sqrt{ }|K| \omega]} & \text { for } s \geqq t\end{cases}$
and $K>0$,
(5)

$$
G_{t}(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin \sqrt{ } K\left(\frac{\omega}{2}+s-t\right)}{\sin \sqrt{ } K \frac{\omega}{2}} \text { for } 0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq \omega, \\
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin \sqrt{ } K\left(\frac{\omega}{2}+t-s\right)}{\sin \sqrt{ } K \frac{\omega}{2}} \text { for } 0 \leqq t \leqq s \leqq \omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

$K<0$,
(6) $G_{t}(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{\exp [\sqrt{ }|K|(t-s)]-\exp [-\sqrt{ }|K|(t-s)] \cdot \exp [\sqrt{ }|K| \omega]}{2[1-\exp \sqrt{ }|K| \omega]} & \text { for } s \leqq t, \\ \frac{-\exp [\sqrt{ }|K|(s-t)]+\exp [-\sqrt{ }|K|(s-t)] \cdot \exp [\sqrt{ }|K| \omega]}{2[1-\exp \sqrt{ }|K| \omega]} & \text { for } s \geqq t .\end{cases}$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{\infty}|G(t, s)| \mathrm{d} s \leqq \frac{1}{|K|} \\
\int_{0}^{\omega}\left|G_{t}(t, s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \leqq \frac{1}{\sqrt{ }|K|}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, the equation (1) together with boundary conditions (2) is equivalent to solving the integrodifferential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{0}^{\omega} G(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

With respect to (7), the sequence of Piccard's successive approximations $\left\{x_{n}(t)\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}(t)=\int_{0}^{\omega} G(t, s) f\left(s, x_{n-1}(s), x_{n-1}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sequence of derivatives is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} G_{t}(t, s) f\left(s, x_{n-1}(s), x_{n-1}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy the assumptions $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$. Then there exists one and only one solution $x(t)$ of the problem (1) satisfying boundary conditions (2). Moreover Piccard's sequence of successive appriximations which is defined by (8) for any function $x_{0}(t)$ specified below converges uniformly to $x(t)$.

Proof. We consider the space $S$ of all continuous function with continuous first derivative on $[0, T],[0, \omega] \subset[0, T]$. Let us define the distance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{t \in\left[0, r_{]}\right.} \frac{\left|x_{1}(t)-x_{2}(t)\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{ }|K|}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)-x_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right|}{|K|^{p}}, \quad p \in R \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary pair of elements $x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t) \in S$. It is obvious that the set $S$, on which the distance is defined by equality (10) is a complete metric space $X$ (see [1]). Let us define an operator $U$ on $X$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U x(t)=\int_{0}^{\omega} G(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $U$ maps the space $X$ into itself. Moreover

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} U x(t)=\int_{0}^{\omega} G_{t}(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

To complete the proof, we have to show that all the hypothesis of Luxemburg's Theorem 1, [1] are satisfied. Proof of the property $1^{\circ}$. Let $x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)$ be two arbitrary functions from the space $X$, then

$$
\left|U x_{1}(t)-U x_{2}(t)\right| \leqq \int_{0}^{\omega}|G(t, s)|\left|f\left(s, x_{1}(s), x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x_{2}(s), x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| \mathrm{d} s \leqq
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leqq K_{2} \int_{0}^{\omega}|G(t, s)| \frac{\left|x_{1}(s)-x_{2}(s)\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{|K|}}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)-x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right|}{|K|^{p}}|K|^{p} \mathrm{~d} s \leqq \\
\leqq \frac{K_{2}}{|K|} d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)|K|^{p},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{ }|K|}\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} U x_{1}(t)-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} U x_{2}(t)\right| \leqq \\
\leqq \frac{K_{2}}{\sqrt{ }|K|} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|G_{t}(t, s)\right| \frac{\left|x_{1}(s)-x_{2}(s)\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{ }|K|}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)-x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right|}{|K|^{p}} \leqq \\
\leqq \frac{K_{2}}{|K|} d\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right) \cdot|K|^{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the given inequalities, it follows

$$
d\left(U x_{1}(t), U x_{2}(t)\right) \leqq \frac{2 K_{2}}{|K|} d\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)
$$

Thus, the first condition of Theorem 1 is proved. It follows from the definition of the metric (10) that the arbitrary two elements of $S$ have a finite distance. So conditions $2^{\circ}$ and $3^{\circ}$ of Theorem 1, [1] is obvious. Thereby, we have proved the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of the integro-differential equation (3), and the uniform convergence of successive approximation (8) to this solution for any function $x_{0}(t) \in S$.

In the following two theorems, we shall assume $K>0$. It is easy to see that $G(t, s)$ is nonnegative in $0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq \omega$ and in $0 \leqq t \leqq s \leqq \omega$. Since $\omega \in(0, \pi / \sqrt{ } K)$, and hence

$$
\sin \sqrt{ } K \frac{\omega}{2} \geqq \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{ } K \frac{\omega}{2}
$$

which implies

$$
G(t, s) \leqq \frac{\pi}{2 K \omega}
$$

and

$$
\left|G_{t}(t, s)\right| \leqq \frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{ }(K) \omega}
$$

Now, let us assume that $U$ is the operator defined by (11) and $U S$ is the set of all images $S$ under the mapping $U$. If we denote the complete metric space which was
obtained by the completion of the metric space [ $U S, d_{2}$ ] in the sence of the distance

$$
d_{2}\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)\right)=\operatorname{Max}_{[0, T]}\left(\left|z_{1}(t)-z_{2}(t)\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } K}\left|z_{1}^{\prime}(t)-z_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)
$$

by $\left[S^{*}, d_{2}\right]$, then the following theorems hold.
Theorem 2. Let $f(t, x, y)$ be a function defined and continuous on $E$, and let it fulfil the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, x, y)| \leqq \frac{K}{2 \pi} t^{p}, \quad p \geqq 0, \quad(t, x, y) \in E \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A4) $\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right|<\frac{K}{2 \pi t^{r}}\left(\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{q}+\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } K}\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|\right]^{q}\right)$,
for $\left(t, x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in E, i=1,2$ where $q \geqq 1,0<r<1, r=p(q-1)$
and

$$
\frac{1}{(1-r)}\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{q-1}<1
$$

Then there exists one and only one solution $x(t) \in S^{*}$ of problem (1), (2). And moreover the sequence of Piccord's approximations defined by (8) for any $x_{0}(t) \in S$ in $[0, T]$ converges uniformly on $[0, T]$ to this unique solution.

Proof. The proof will be given similarly as that of Theorem 1. The set $S^{*}$ is a subset of the set $S$. On the set we can define the distance

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)\right)=\max _{t \in[0, T]} \frac{\left|z_{1}(t)-z_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right|+\frac{1}{K}\left|z_{1}^{\prime}(t)-z_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right|}{\omega^{p}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again the set $S^{*}$, on which the distance is defined by equality (12) is a complete metric space $X$ (see [1]). The operator $U$ by (11) maps $X$ into itself. To complete the proof we have to show all conditions of Luxemburg's theorem are satisfied. The proof of condition $1^{\circ}$. Let $z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)$ be two arbitrary elements of $X$. Then from (11) and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\left|z_{1}(t)-z_{2}(t)\right| \leqq \frac{K}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\omega}|G(t, s)| s^{p} \mathrm{~d} s<\frac{1}{2(p+1)} \omega^{p}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } K}\left|z_{1}^{\prime}(t)-z_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq \frac{K}{\pi \sqrt{ } K} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|G_{t}(t, s)\right| s^{p} \mathrm{~d} s<\frac{1}{2(p+1)} \omega^{p} .
$$

Moreover by ( $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ ) and (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|U z_{1}(t)-U z_{2}(t)\right| \leqq \frac{K}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{\left|z_{1}(s)-z_{2}(s)\right|^{q}+\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } K}\left|z_{1}^{\prime}(s)-z_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right|\right]^{q}}{s^{r}} G(t, s) \mathrm{d} s \leqq \\
\leqq \frac{K}{\pi} \omega^{p}\left(\frac{\omega^{p}}{p+1}\right)^{q-1} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2 K \omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{\left|z_{1}(s)-z_{2}(s)\right|+\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\right|\left|z_{1}^{\prime}(s)-z_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right|}{\omega^{p}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s^{r}} \leqq \\
\leqq \frac{\omega^{p}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(p+1)^{q-1}} \cdot \frac{d\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)\right)}{1-r}
\end{gathered}
$$

and similarly

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } K}\left|\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} U z_{1}(t)-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} U z_{2}(t)\right| \leqq \frac{\omega^{p}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(p+1)^{q-1}} \cdot \frac{d\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)\right)}{1-r}
$$

From the last two inequalities it follows that

$$
d\left(U z_{1}(t), U z_{2}(t)\right) \leqq \frac{1}{(p+1)^{q-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-r} d\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)\right)
$$

Hence Condition $1^{\circ}$ is proven. Condition $2^{\circ}$ follows directly from (12) because we have $d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leqq(p+1)^{-1}<\infty$, for $n=1,2, \ldots$. From (12) we also obtain Condition ( $3^{\circ}$ ) too.

Remark. The assumption ( $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ) of Theorem (2) guarantees the boundedness of the function $f(t, x, y)$ in $E$. In the following theorem we shall show that this assumption is not necessary.

Theorem 3. Let the function $f(t, x, y)$ be continuous in $E$ and let it satisfy the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, x, y)| \leqq \frac{K}{\pi} t^{-p}, \quad 0<p<1^{\prime} \quad \text { for all } \quad(t, x, y) \in E \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A $\left.\mathrm{A}_{6}\right) \left.\quad\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right| \leqq \frac{K}{\pi} t^{p(q-1)} \right\rvert\,\left\{z_{1}-\left.x_{2}\right|^{q}+\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } K}\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|^{q}\right]\right\}$
and

$$
\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)^{q-1} \cdot \frac{1}{p(q-1)+1}<1
$$

Then, there exists one and only one solution of problem (1) satisfying boundary conditions (2), and moreover the sequence of Piccard's approximations defined by (8) for arbitrary function $x_{0 .}(t) \in S$ in $t \in[0, T]$, converges uniformly on $[0, T]$ to this unique solution.

Proof. The operator $U$ is defined by relation (11) as in proceeding theorems.

Anologically to Theorem 2, it can be shown that the set $S^{*}$ with the distance

$$
d\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)\right)=\max _{t \in[0, T]} \frac{\left|z_{1}(t)-z_{2}(t)\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\left|z_{1}^{\prime}(t)-z_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right|}{\omega^{-p}}
$$

is a complete metric space. Let us denote it by $X$, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z_{1}(t)-z_{2}(t)\right| & \leqq \frac{2 K}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\omega} G(t, s) s^{-p} \mathrm{~d} s \leqq \frac{1}{1-p} \omega^{-p}, \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } K}\left|z_{1}^{\prime}(t)-z_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leqq \frac{2 K}{\pi \sqrt{ } K} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left|G_{t}(t, s)\right| s^{-p} \mathrm{~d} s \leqq \frac{1}{1-p} \omega^{-p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the obove inequalities and assumption $\left(\mathrm{A}_{6}\right)$ we obtain the estimates

$$
\left|U z_{1}(t)-U z_{2}(t)\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2(1-p)^{q-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{p(q-1)+1} \omega^{-p} d\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } K}\left|\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} U z_{1}(t)-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} U z_{2}(t)\right|<\frac{1}{2(1-p)^{q-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{p(q-1)+1} \omega^{-p} d\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)\right)
$$

for $t \in[0, T]$, hence we have

$$
d\left(U z_{1}(t), U z_{2}(t)\right) \leqq \frac{1}{(1-p)^{q-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{p(q-1)+1} d\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t)\right) .
$$

Condition $1^{\circ}$ of Theorem 1 of [1] is proved. With a similar estimations we can show that Conditions $\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ and $\left(3^{\circ}\right)$ are satisfied, hence the theorem is proven.
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