Alois Švec A remark on the differential equations on the sphere

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 101 (1976), No. 3, 278--282

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117921

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## A REMARK ON THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ON THE SPHERE

Alois Švec, Olomouc

(Received October 15, 1975)

1. Let  $S^n$  be the unit-sphere in  $\mathcal{R}^{n+1}$ . A function  $f: S^n \to \mathcal{R}$  is called *linear* if  $f(m) = \langle m, a \rangle$ , *m* being the position vector of  $S^n$  and *a* a constant vector. Let *g* be the metric tensor of  $S^n$  and  $\nabla$  the covariant differentiation with respect to it. Introduce the following differential operators for functions on  $S^n$ :

$$(1.1) \Delta f = g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_i f,$$

$$(1.2) \qquad \qquad \mathscr{L}f = \Delta f + nf$$

(1.3) 
$$\mathscr{M}f = \frac{\det\left(\nabla_i\nabla_jf\right)}{\det\left(g_{ij}\right)} + f\Delta f + f^2;$$

 $\Delta$  is, of course, the Laplacian,  $\mathcal{M}$  is the so called Weingarten operator. The following assertion is known: The only solutions  $f: S^n \to \mathcal{R}$  of  $\mathcal{L}f = 0$  or  $\mathcal{M}f = 0$  resp. are linear. For the proofs, see, p. ex., [1] and [2]. U. Simon [2] proves the linearity of solutions of a class of more general operators. In what follows, I propose, for n = 2, to present another class of operators with the desired property taking in regard the boundary conditions as well. Namely, I am going to prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $D \subset S^2$  be a domain,  $\partial D$  its boundary and  $f : \overline{D} \to \mathcal{R}$  a function. If

$$(1.4) \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathscr{L}f = 0 \quad \text{in} \qquad D$$

$$\mathcal{M}f=0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D,$$

f is linear.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $D \subset S^2$  be a domain,  $\partial D$  its boundary and  $f : \overline{D} \to \mathcal{R}$  a function. Let  $F : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$  be a function satisfying, for each  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ ,

(1.6) 
$$F(t) > F'(t) \cdot (t - F'(t))$$
 or  $F(t) = 0$  resp.

If

(1.7)  $\mathcal{M}f = F(\mathcal{L}f) \text{ in } D,$ 

(1.8) 
$$(\mathscr{L}f)^2 - 4F(\mathscr{L}f) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial D,$$

f is linear.

For the omitted details of the proofs, see [3].

2. On S<sup>2</sup>, consider a domain G which may be covered by a system of tangent orthonormal frames  $\sigma = \{m, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . We then have

(2.1) 
$$dm = \omega^1 v_1 + \omega^2 v_2$$
,  $dv_1 = \omega_1^2 v_2 + \omega^1 v_3$ ,  $dv_2 = -\omega_1^2 v_1 + \omega^2 v_3$ ,  
 $dv_3 = -\omega^1 v_1 - \omega^2 v_2$ 

with the usual integrability conditions. For a function  $f: G \to \mathcal{R}$  introduce the covariant derivatives  $f_i, f_{ij}, P, ..., S, T_1, ..., T_5$  with respect to  $\sigma$  by means of formulae (2.2), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.8):

(2.2) 
$$df = f_1 \omega^1 + f_2 \omega^2;$$

(2.3) 
$$(df_1 - f_2\omega_1^2) \wedge \omega^1 + (df_2 + f_1\omega_1^2) \wedge \omega^2 = 0;$$

(2.4) 
$$df_1 - f_2\omega_1^2 = f_{11}\omega^1 + f_{12}\omega^2$$
,  $df_2 + f_1\omega_1^2 = f_{12}\omega^1 + f_{22}\omega^2$ ;

(2.5) 
$$\{ df_{11} - 2f_{12}\omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^1 + \{ df_{12} + (f_{11} - f_{22})\omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^2 = f_2\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 , \\ \{ df_{12} + (f_{11} - f_{22})\omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^1 + \{ df_{22} + 2f_{12}\omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^2 = -f_1\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 ;$$

(2.6) 
$$df_{11} - 2f_{12}\omega_1^2 = P\omega^1 + Q\omega^2,$$
$$df_{12} + (f_{11} - f_{22})\omega_1^2 = (Q + f_2)\omega^1 + (R + f_1)\omega^2,$$
$$df_{22} + 2f_{12}\omega_1^2 = R\omega^1 + S\omega^2;$$

$$(2.7) \quad \{ dP - (3Q + 2f_2) \omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^1 + \{ dQ + (P - 2R - 2f_1) \omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^2 = \\ = 2f_{12}\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 , \\ \{ dQ + (P - 2R - 2f_1) \omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^1 + \{ dR + (2Q - S + 2f_2) \omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^2 = \\ = 2(f_{22} - f_{11}) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 , \\ \{ dR + (2Q - S + 2f_2) \omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^1 + \{ dS + (3R + 2f_1) \omega_1^2 \} \wedge \omega^2 = \\ = -2f_{12}\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 ; \\ (2.8) \qquad dP - (3Q + 2f_2) \omega_1^2 = T_1\omega^1 + T_2\omega^2 , \\ dQ + (P - 2R - 2f_1) \omega_1^2 = (T_2 + 2f_{12}) \omega^1 + (T_3 + 2f_{11}) \omega^2 , \\ \end{cases}$$

$$dR + (2Q - S + 2f_2)\omega_1^2 = (T_3 + 2f_{22})\omega^1 + (T_4 + 2f_{12})\omega^2,$$
  
$$dS + (3R + 2f_1)\omega_1^2 = T_4\omega^1 + T_5\omega^2.$$

It is easy to see that, in our notation,

(2.9) 
$$\mathscr{L}f = f_{11} + f_{22} + 2f$$
,  $\mathscr{M}f = f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}^2 + f(f_{11} + f_{22} + f)$ 

From this

(2.10) 
$$(\mathscr{L}f)^2 - 4\mathscr{M}f = (f_{11} - f_{22})^2 + 4f_{12}^2 \ge 0 ,$$

and we have

(2.11) 
$$d\mathscr{L}f = (P + R + 2f_1)\omega^1 + (Q + S + 2f_2)\omega^2,$$
$$d\mathscr{M}f = \{(f_{22} + f)P - 2f_{12}Q + (f_{11} + f)R + f_1\mathscr{L}f - 2f_2f_{12}\}\omega^1 + \{(f_{22} + f)Q - 2f_{12}R + (f_{11} + f)S + f_2\mathscr{L}f - 2f_1f_{12}\}\omega^2,$$

On G, consider the 1-form

(2.12) 
$$\tau = \{(f_{11} - f_{22})(Q + f_2) + f_{12}(R - P)\}\omega^1 + \{(f_{11} - f_{22})(R + f_1) + f_{12}(S - Q)\}\omega^2.$$

It may be shown that  $\tau$  does not depend on the choice of the frames  $\sigma$ . We have

(2.13) 
$$d\tau = -2\{\Phi + \frac{1}{2}(\mathscr{L}f)^2 - 2\mathscr{M}f\}\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$$
  
with  $\Phi = (Q + f_2)(Q - S) + (R + f_1)(R - P)$ 

our main tool in proving Theorems 1 and 2 will be the Stokes formula  $\int_{\partial D} \tau = \int_{D} d\tau$ .

First of all, let us prove that the suppositions of our Theorems imply  $\Phi \ge 0$  in D. Suppose (1.4). Then, see (2.11),

(2.14) 
$$P + R + 2f_1 = 0, \quad Q + S + 2f_2 = 0,$$

and we have

(2.15) 
$$\Phi = 2(Q + f_2)^2 + 2(R + f_1)^2 \ge 0.$$

Next, let

$$(2.16) Mf = 0 in D.$$

Then  $(2.11_2)$  implies

(2.17) 
$$(f_{22} + f)(P - R) + \mathscr{L}f \cdot (R + f_1) - 2f_{12}(Q + f_2) = 0,$$
$$(f_{11} + f)(S - Q) + \mathscr{L}f \cdot (Q + f_2) - 2f_{12}(R + f_1) = 0.$$

fet  $m \in D$  be a fixed point; the frames  $\sigma$  may be always chosen in such a way that  $L_{12}(m) = 0$ . If  $\mathcal{L}f(m) \neq 0$ , we have

$$\Phi(m) = (\mathscr{L}f)^{-1} \{ (f_{11} + f) (Q - S)^2 + (f_{22} + f) (R - P)^2 \} |_m.$$

Now, quite generally,

$$(f_{11}+f) \mathscr{L}f = \mathscr{M}f + f_{12}^2 + (f_{11}+f)^2, \ (f_{22}+f) \mathscr{L}f = \mathscr{M}f + f_{12}^2 + (f_{22}+f)^2,$$

i.e,  $\Phi(m) \ge 0$ . In the case  $\mathscr{L}f(m) = 0$ , there are two possibilities: a)  $\mathscr{L}f = 0$  in a neighborhood of m, b) there is a sequence  $\{m_i\}, m_i \to m$ , such that  $\mathscr{L}f(m_i) \ne 0$ for each  $m_i$ . The preceding results prove  $\Phi(m) \ge 0$  in these cases, too. Finally, consider the general supposition of Theorem 2. From (1.7) and (2.11), we get

$$(2.18) \qquad (f_{22} + f) P - 2f_{12}Q + (f_{11} + f) R + f_1 \mathscr{L} f - 2f_2 f_{12} - F'(P + R + 2f_1) = 0,$$
  
$$(f_{22} + f) Q - 2f_{12}R + (f_{11} + f) S + f_2 \mathscr{L} f - 2f_1 f_{12} - F'(Q + S + 2f_2) = 0,$$

i.e.,

(2.19) 
$$(f_{22} + f - F')(P - R) + (\mathscr{L}f - 2F')(R + f_1) - 2f_{12}(Q + f_2) = 0,$$
  
 $(f_{11} + f - F')(S - Q) + (\mathscr{L}f - 2F')(Q + f_2) - 2f_{12}(R + f_1) = 0.$ 

Suppose  $\mathscr{L}f - 2F'(\mathscr{L}f) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\mathscr{M}f = F(\mathscr{L}f) = \frac{1}{4}(\mathscr{L}f)^2 + c$ , c = const. The condition  $(1.6_1)$  implies  $\frac{1}{4}t^2 + c > \frac{1}{2}t(t - \frac{1}{2}t)$ , i.e, c > 0. On the other hand, (2.10) implies  $-4c = (\mathscr{L}f)^2 - 4\mathscr{M}f \ge 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\mathscr{L}f - 2F'(\mathscr{L}f) \neq 0$  in D. Let  $m \in D$  be again a point, and suppose  $f_{12}(m) = 0$ . Then

$$\Phi(m) = (\mathscr{L}f - 2F')^{-1} \{ (f_{11} + f - F') (Q - S)^2 + (f_{22} + f - F') (R - P)^2 \} |_m \cdot$$

It is easy to verify

$$(f_{11} + f - F') (\mathscr{L}f - 2F') = F'^2 - F' \cdot \mathscr{L}f + \mathscr{M}f + (f_{11} + f - F')^2 , (f_{22} - f - F') (\mathscr{L}f - 2F') = F'^2 - F' \cdot \mathscr{L}f + \mathscr{M}f + (f_{22} + f - F')^2 ;$$

because of (1.7) and (1.6),

$$(f_{11} + f - F')(\mathscr{L}f - 2F') > 0, \quad (f_{22} + f - F')(\mathscr{L}f - 2F') > 0,$$

and  $\Phi(m) \ge 0$  follows.

By means of (2.10), we get

(2.20) 
$$f_{11} - f_{22} = f_{12} = 0$$
 on  $\partial D$ 

in all cases. Thus  $\tau = 0$  on  $\partial D$ , and we get

$$(2.21) f_{11} - f_{22} = f_{12} = 0 in D$$

from the Stokes formula for  $\tau$ . From this and (1.4) or (1.7) resp., we obtain

(2.22) 
$$f_{11} = -f, f_{22} = -f, f_{12} = 0$$
 in  $D$ .

Now, consider the vector field

$$(2.23) a = -f_1v_1 - f_2v_2 + fv_3$$

Then da = 0, i.e., a = const., and  $f = \langle v_3, a \rangle$ . QED.

₹.

## Bibliography

- [1] D. Koutroufiotis: On a conjectured characterization of the sphere. Math. Ann. 205, 211-217, 1973,
- [2] U. Simon: Differential equations on the sphere and generalizations. To appear.
- [3] A. Švec: Contributions to the global differential geometry fo surfaces. Rozpravy ČSAV, Praha (to appear).

Author's address: 771 46 Olomouc, Leninova 26 (Přírodovědecká fakulta UP).