Zdeněk Dostál $\ell_\infty\text{-norm}$ of iterates and the spectral radius of matrices

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 105 (1980), No. 3, 256--260

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118067

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

l_{∞} -NORM OF ITERATES AND THE SPECTRAL RADIUS OF MATRICES

ZDENĚK DOSTÁL, Ostrava

(Received October 18, 1977)

Let B be a finite dimensional Banach space. Let L(B) denote the algebra of all linear operators on B and let the operator norm and the spectral radius of $A \in L(B)$ be denoted by |A| and $|A|_{\sigma}$, respectively.

If $A \in L(B)$ and |A| = 1, then the spectral radius formula suggests the conjecture that for some natural number *m*, nontrivial bounds for $|A^m|$ in terms of $|A|_{\sigma}$ and vice versa may be given.

The first positive result of the kind was presented by V. PTÁK and J. MAŘÍK [1], who have computed the critical exponent of the l_{∞} -space. If we denote the complex *n*-dimensional vector space by $B_{n,\infty}$, the norm $|x|_{\infty}$ of the vector $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ being defined by the formula

$$|x|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} |x_i|,$$

then their theorem says that the spectral radius of $A \in L(B_{n,\infty})$, $|A|_{\infty} = |A^{n^2-n+1}|_{\infty} = 1$, is equal to one.

Later, V. Pták [2] introduced for 0 < r < 1 the quantity

$$C(B, r, m) = \sup \{ |A^m| : A \in L(B), |A| \leq 1, |A|_{\sigma} \leq r \}$$

and found, for an *n*-dimensional Hilbert space H_n , a certain operator $A \in L(H_n)$ such that |A| = 1, $|A|_{\sigma} = r$ and $|A^n| = C(H_n, r, n)$. Recently, the present author [3] has proved that this extremal operator is unique up to multiplication by a complex unit and similarity by a unitary mapping. For further references see [2].

The purpose of this note was originally to find the extremal operators in $L(B_{n,\infty})$. We have not succeeded in general, nevertheless, we have found for each $r, 0 \leq r \leq \leq 2^{1/n} - 1$, an operator $A \in L(B_{n,\infty})$ such that $|A|_{\infty} = 1$, $|A|_{\sigma} = r$ and $|A^m|_{\infty} = C(B_{n,\infty}, r, m)$ for all natural m.

Let n be a fixed natural number and let M_n denote the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex valued matrices.

Regarding a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ as an operator on $B_{n,\infty}$, we can write

$$|A|_{\infty} = \max_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|.$$

256

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be given complex numbers. Consider the recursive relation

(1)
$$x_{k+n} = \alpha_1 x_k + \ldots + \alpha_n x_{k+n-1}$$

For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote by $w_i(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$ the solution $(w_{i0}, w_{i1}, w_{i2}, ...)$ of this relation with initial conditions

(2)
$$w_{ik}(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n) = \delta_{i,k+1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

In the following lemma we shall learn the meaning of w_{ik} :

Lemma 1. Let $A \in M_n$ and

(3)
$$A^n = \alpha_1 E + \alpha_2 A + \ldots + \alpha_n A^{n-1} .$$

Then for all $k \geq 0$,

(4)
$$A^{k} = w_{1k}E + w_{2k}A + \ldots + w_{nk}A^{n-1}.$$

Proof. The statement is obvious for $k \leq n$. To prove the lemma for k > n by induction, suppose that s > n and that (4) holds for k = 0, 1, ..., s - 1. Put q = s - n. If we multiply (3) by A^q and use the induction hypothesis, we successively get

$$A^{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} A^{q+i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j,q+i-1} A^{j-1} =$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} w_{j,q+i-1} \right) A^{j-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{js} A^{j-1} .$$

Let us denote now the companion matrix of the equation

(5)
$$x^n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + \ldots + \alpha_n x^{n-1}$$

by $T(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, that is

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \dots & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix},$$

and observe that (5) is the characteristic equation of T. Thus by Cayley-Hamilton's theorem T satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1 and we can write for each k = 0, 1, 2, ...

(6)
$$T^{k} = w_{1k}E + w_{2k}T + \ldots + w_{nk}T^{n-1}.$$

This equation enables us to solve the special maximum problem:

Lemma 2. Let $A \in M_n$, $|A|_{\infty} \leq 1$. If the characteristic equation (5) of the matrix A fulfils

(7)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i| \leq 1$$

then for all $k \ge 0$,

$$|A^k|_{\infty} \leq T(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)^k = \sum_{i=1}^n |w_{ik}|.$$

,

Proof. We may apply Lemma 1 to get

$$|A^{k}|_{\infty} = |\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{ik} A^{i-1}|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |w_{ik}| |A^{i-1}|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |w_{ik}|$$

for each A under the assumptions. Note that, in particular, T satisfies the assumptions. The first row of T^k being $(w_{1k}, w_{2k}, ..., w_{nk})$ (see (6)), we get

$$|T^k|_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^n |w_{ik}|.$$

Now we shall denote, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, by E_i the polynomial

(8)
$$E_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{e_j \in \{0, 1\}\\e_1 + \ldots + e_n = i}} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \ldots x_n^{e_n}.$$

For any complex numbers $\varrho_1, \ldots, \varrho_n$ and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we put

$$\alpha_i(\varrho_1,\ldots,\varrho_n)=(-1)^{n-i}E_{n-i+1}(\varrho_1,\ldots,\varrho_n),$$

so that the roots of the equation (5) with coefficients $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(\varrho_1, ..., \varrho_n)$ are exactly $\varrho_1, ..., \varrho_n$.

Let us compute an upper bound for such r's that $|\varrho_i| \leq r$ implies

(9)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i(\varrho_1, \ldots, \varrho_n)| \leq 1$$

Lemma 3. Let $\varrho_1, \ldots, \varrho_n$ be any complex numbers. If $|\varrho_i| \leq 2^{1/n} - 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then the inequality (9) holds true.

Proof. Let 0 < r < 1 and note that

$$\alpha_i(r, r, ..., r) = (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{n-i+1} r^{n-i+1},$$

i = 1, ..., n. If $|\varrho_i| \leq r$ holds for all i = 1, ..., n, then $|\alpha_i(\varrho_1, ..., \varrho_n)| \leq |\alpha_i(r, r, ..., r)|$. Thus the supremum r_0 of the set of all r's we are interested in is the only positive root of the equation

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i = 0.$$

258

Easy computation shows that $r_0 = 2^{1/n} - 1$.

To compute $C(B_{n,\infty}, r, k)$ for $r \leq 2^{1/n} - 1$ and given k, it is enough to find

$$\max_{|\varrho_1| \leq r, \ldots, |\varrho_n| \leq r} \sum_{i=1}^n |w_{ik}(\varrho_1, \ldots, \varrho_n)|.$$

The fact that the maximum is attained for all natural k if $\varrho_i = r$ is an easy consequence of the following lemma, which was proved by V. KNICHAL ([2], Lemma 7).

Lemma 4. For each i = 1, 2, ..., n and each $k \ge n$,

$$w_{ik}(\varrho_1,\ldots,\varrho_n)=\varepsilon_i Q_{ik}(\varrho_1,\ldots,\varrho_n),$$

where $\varepsilon_i = (-1)^{n-i}$ and

$$Q_{ik}(\varrho_1,\ldots,\varrho_n) = \sum_{\substack{e_j \ge 0\\e_1+\ldots+e_n=k-i+1}} c_{ik}(e_1,\ldots,e_n) \varrho_1^{e_1} \ldots \varrho_n^{e_n},$$

where all $c_{ik}(e_1, \ldots, e_n) \geq 0$.

The point of the lemma is that for $k \ge n$ and *i* fixed, all the coefficients of w_{ik} are of the same sign. Thus if $|\varrho_i| \le r$ for i = 1, ..., n, then

$$|w_{ik}(\varrho_1,\ldots,\varrho_n)| = |Q_{ik}(\varrho_1,\ldots,\varrho_n)| \leq \leq |Q_{ik}(r,\ldots,r)| = |w_{ik}(r,\ldots,r)|, \quad i = 1,\ldots,n.$$

We can sum up our results into the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $0 < r \leq 2^{1/n} - 1$, let

$$\alpha_i = (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{n-i+1} r^{n-i+1}$$

for i = 1, ..., n and let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \dots & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $|T|_{\infty} = 1$, $|T|_{\sigma} = r$ and for each natural k,

$$|T^k|_{\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^n |w_{ik}| = C(B_{n,\infty}, r, k),$$

where w_{ik} are the solutions of the recurrent relation

$$x_{s+n} = \alpha_1 x_s + \alpha_2 x_{s+1} + \ldots + \alpha_n x_{s+n-1}$$

with initial conditions $w_{ij} = \delta_{i,j+1}$, i = 1, ..., n, j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1.

We close the paper by two simple corollaries of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Let $0 \leq r < 1$. Then $C(B_{n,\infty}, r, n) = \min \{1, (1 + r)^n - 1\}$.

Proof. Note that $w_{in} = \alpha_i$ for i = 1, ..., n and apply Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. Let $0 < s \leq 1$. If $A \in L(B_{n,\infty})$, $|A|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $|A^n|_{\infty} = s$, then $|A|_{\sigma} \geq (1 + s)^{1/n} - 1$.

Proof. If $|A|_{\sigma} = r < (1 + s)^{1/n} - 1$, then

$$|A^n|_{\infty} \leq C(B_{n,\infty}, r, n) \leq (1+r)^n - 1 < s.$$

This study was suggested by V. Pták, to whom I wish to express here my thanks.

References

- J. Mařík, V. Pták: Norms, spectra and the combinatorial properties of matrices. Czech. Math. Journal 10 (85) (1960), 181-195.
- [2] V. Pták: Spectral Radius, Norms of Iterates, and the Critical Exponent. Linear Algebra Appl. 1 (1968), 245-260.
- [3] Z. Dostál: Uniqueness of the operator attaining $C(H_n, r, n)$. To appear.

Author's address: 708 33 Ostrava, Třída vítězného února (Katedra matematiky VŠB).