Zdeněk Andres; Bohdan Zelinka Logics of bipartite graphs and complete multipartite graphs

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 107 (1982), No. 4, 425--427

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118139

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

LOGICS OF BIPARTITE GRAPHS AND COMPLETE MULTIPARTITE GRAPHS

ZDENĚK ANDRES and BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received September 28, 1981)

In [1] the concept of the logic of a graph is introduced.

Let G be a finite undirected graph without loops and multiple edges, let V(G) be its vertex set. If A is a non-empty subset of V(G), then by A^{\perp} we denote the set of all vertices of G which are adjacent to all vertices of A. For the empty set we put $\emptyset^{\perp} = V(G)$. Further, denote $A^{\perp \perp} = (A^{\perp})^{\perp}$. For each $A \subseteq V(G)$ we have $A \cap A^{\perp} = \emptyset$, $A \subseteq A^{\perp \perp}, (A^{\perp \perp})^{\perp} = A^{\perp}, (A^{\perp \perp})^{\perp \perp} = A^{\perp \perp}$. For any two subsets A, B of V(G) the inclusion $A \subseteq B$ implies $B^{\perp} \subseteq A^{\perp}$ and $A^{\perp \perp} \subseteq B^{\perp \perp}$. Hence the mapping $A \mapsto A^{\perp \perp}$ is a certain closure operation on the set of all subsets of V(G). The sets A for which $A^{\perp \perp} = A$ will be called the $\perp \perp$ -closed sets in G. The empty set and the whole set V(G) are among them.

The intersection of two $\perp \perp$ -closed sets is again a $\perp \perp$ -closed set. For the union this is not true in general. Nevertheless, to any two $\perp \perp$ -closed sets A, B in G there exists exactly one $\perp \perp$ -closed set which contains both A and B as subsets and is a subset of each $\perp \perp$ -closed set in G which contains A and B; we shall denote it by $A \lor B$ and call it the join of A and B. Evidently $A \lor B = (A \cup B)^{\perp \perp}$.

The set of all $\perp \perp$ -closed sets in G with the operation of join and the operation of meet equal to the intersection forms a lattice. This lattice with the operation $A \mapsto A^{\perp}$ added is called the logic of G and denoted by $\mathscr{L}(G)$.

The logic $\mathscr{L}(G)$ of a graph G is called orthomodular, if each set $A \in \mathscr{L}(G)$ has the property that an arbitrary set $B \in \mathscr{L}(G)$ such that A is a proper subset of B has a nonempty intersection with A^{\perp} . If $\mathscr{L}(G)$ is a modular lattice, then it is orthomodular.

We shall prove two theorems.

Theorem 1. Let G be a finite connected undirected graph without loops and multiple edges, let V(G) be its vertex set, let $\mathscr{L}(G)$ be its logic. Let $\mathscr{L}(G)$ have the property that to each atom $A \in \mathscr{L}(G)$ exactly one element $\overline{A} \in \mathscr{L}(G)$ exists such that $A \wedge \overline{A} = \emptyset$, $A \vee \overline{A} = V(G)$. Then $\mathscr{L}(G)$ is a Boolean algebra and G is a complete n-partite graph, where n is such an integer that 2^n is the number of elements of $\mathscr{L}(G)$.

425

Proof. Let A be an atom of $\mathscr{L}(G)$. Suppose that there exist two vertices x, y of A which are joined by an edge in G. Then $\{y\} \subseteq \{x\}^{\perp}$ and consequently $\{x\}^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \{y\}^{\perp}$. As $\{x\} \subseteq A$, we have $\{x\}^{\perp\perp} \subseteq A^{\perp\perp} = A$. As A is an atom of $\mathscr{L}(G)$ and $\{x\}^{\perp\perp} \neq \emptyset$, we must have $\{x\}^{\perp\perp} = A$. But then $A \subseteq \{y\}^{\perp}$, therefore $y \in \{y\}^{\perp}$, which is impossible, because G has no loops. Therefore A is an independent set in G.

Now consider V(G) - A. Obviously $(V(G) - A)^{\perp} \subseteq A$; as A is an independent set, so is $(V(G) - A)^{\perp}$ Therefore $(V(G) - A)^{\perp \perp} \cap A = \emptyset$ and $(V(G) - A)^{\perp \perp} \subseteq$ $\subseteq V(G) - A$, which implies $(V(G) - A)^{\perp \perp} = V(G) - A$ and $V(G) - A \in \mathscr{L}(G)$. We have $A \cap (V(G) - A) = \emptyset$, $A \cup (V(G) - A) = V(G)$, hence V(G) - A is the complement of A in $\mathscr{L}(G)$. On the other hand, we have $A \wedge A^{\perp} = A \cap A^{\perp} = \emptyset$. The set $A \vee A^{\perp}$ is equal to $(A \cup A^{\perp})^{\perp \perp}$. If $(A \cup A^{\perp})^{\perp}$ is non-empty, then there exists $x \in (A \cup A^{\perp})^{\perp}$. This element x must be adjacent to all vertices of A, hence $x \in A^{\perp}$, but then ti is not adjacent to all elements of A^{\perp} . Hence $(A \cup A^{\perp})^{\perp} = \emptyset$ and $(A \cup A^{\perp})^{\perp \perp} = V(G)$. As the complement of A is unique (according to the assumption), we have $A^{\perp} = V(G) - A$. Hence each vertex of A is adjacent to all vertices of V(G) - A.

It remains to prove that each vertex of G is contained in an atom of $\mathscr{L}(G)$. Suppose that there exists a vertex y for which this is not true. Then $\{y\}^{\perp\perp}$ is not an atom of $\mathscr{L}(G)$ and there exists an atom A of $\mathscr{L}(G)$ such that A is a proper subset of $\{y\}^{\perp\perp}$. Denote $B = \{y\}^{\perp\perp} - A$. We have $B \subseteq V(G) - A$, therefore each vertex of A is adjacent to all vertices of B. Obviously $y \in B$, therefore $A \subseteq \{y\}^{\perp}$ and $\{y\}^{\perp\perp} \subseteq A^{\perp} = V(G) - A$, which is a contradiction, because A is a subset of $\{y\}^{\perp\perp}$.

Therefore the atoms of $\mathscr{L}(G)$ (being pairwise disjoint) form a partition of V(G). Each of them is an independent set in G and all of its vertices are adjacent to all vertices not belonging to it. This implies that G is a complete *n*-partite graph for a positive integer *n*. But such a graph is the direct sum of *n* graphs, each of which consists only of isolated vertices. The logic of a graph consisting only of isolated vertices is a Boolean algebra with 2 elements. The logic of the complete *n*-partite graph is then the direct product of *n* such Boolean algebras, hence a Boolean algebra with 2^n elements.

Theorem 2. Let G be a finite connected bipartite graph on the sets A, B. Then the logic $\mathcal{L}(G)$ of G is orthomodular if and only if G is a complete bipartite graph.

Proof. If G is a complete bipartite graph, then by Theorem 1 its logic is a Boolean algebra, therefore it is orthomodular. Now suppose that G is not a complete bipartite graph. If M is a subset of $V(G) = A \cup B$ such that $M \cap A \neq \emptyset$, $M \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then no vertex is adjacent to all vertices of M, because a vertex of A (or of B) can be adjacent only to vertices of B (or A, respectively). Hence $M^{\perp} = \emptyset$, $M^{\perp \perp} = V(G)$. This implies that each element of $\mathscr{L}(G)$ different from V(G) is a subset of A or of B. As G is connected, for each $a \in A$ the set $\{a\}^{\perp}$ is a non-empty subset of B and hence $\{a\}^{\perp \perp} \subseteq A$. Analogously $\{b\}^{\perp \perp} \subseteq B$ for each $b \in B$. Hence there exists at least one

set A_0 which is a non-empty subset of A and belongs to $\mathscr{L}(G)$. The set A_0^{\perp} is a nonempty subset of B. The subgraph G_0 of G induced by the set $A_0 \cup A_0^{\perp}$ is a complete bipartite graph on the sets A_0, A_0^{\perp} . As G is not a complete bipartite graph, the graph G_0 is a proper subgraph of G; as G is connected, there exists either a vertex of A_0 adjacent to a vertex of $B - A_0^{\perp}$, or a vertex of A_0^{\perp} adjacent to a vertex of $A - A_0$. If there exists a vertex $x \in A_0$ adjacent to a vertex of $B - A_0^{\perp}$, then A_0^{\perp} is a proper subset of $\{x\}^{\perp}$ and consequently $\{x\}^{\perp\perp}$ is a proper subset of A_0 . Denote $X = \{x\}^{\perp\perp}$. Then $\{x\}^{\perp} = X^{\perp} \subseteq B$ and we have $A_0 \wedge X^{\perp} = \emptyset$ and X is a proper subset of A_0 , hence $\mathscr{L}(G)$ is not orthomodular. If there exists a vertex $y \in A_0$ adjacent to a vertex of $A - A_0$, then A_0 is a proper subset of $\{y\}^{\perp}$ and consequently $\{y\}^{\perp\perp}$ is a proper subset of A_0 . Denote $Y = \{y\}^{\perp\perp}$. Then $\{y\}^{\perp} = Y^{\perp} \subseteq A$ and we have $A_0^{\perp} \wedge Y^{\perp} = \emptyset$ and Y is a proper subset of A_0 , hence again $\mathscr{L}(G)$ is not orthomodular.

Corollary. Let G be a finite connected bipartite graph on the sets A, B. Then the logic of G is modular if and only if G is a complete bipartite graph.

This follows immediately from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Reference

 D. J. Foulis, C. H. Randall: Operational statistics. I. Basic concepts. Math. Physics, Vol. 13, No. 11.

Authors' address: 460 01 Liberec 1, Komenského 2 (katedra matematiky VŠST).