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# A REMARK ON CANCELLATION IN DIRECT PRODUCTS OF GRAPHS 

Bohdan Zelinka, Liberec

(Received July 21, 1986)

Summary. The direct product of two graphs $G, G^{\prime}$ is the graph $G \times G^{\prime}$ whose vertex set is the Cartesian product of vertex sets of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ and in which two vertices ( $\left.v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(\nu_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are adjacent if and only if $v_{1}, v_{2}$ are adjacent in $G$ and $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}$ are adjacent in $G^{\prime}$. There exists a family $\underset{\mathbb{J}}{ }$ of the power of continuum consisting of pairwise non-isomorphic locally connected non-bipartite graphs with the property that for every bipartite graph $G$ and for any two graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ from $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ the graphs $G \times G_{1}, G \times G_{2}$ are isomorphic. For every positive integer $n$ there exists such a family. of finite graphs which has the cardinality greater than $n$. This is a negative solution of a problem by V. Puš.

Keywords: direct product of graphs, isomorphism of graphs.
AMS classification: 05C99.
We consider undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. If $G$ is a graph, then $V(G)$ denotes its vertex set. The symbol $G+G^{\prime}$ denotes the union of two vertexdisjoint graphs $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. By $C_{n}$ we denote a circuit of the length $n$.

The direct product $G \times G^{\prime}$ of two graphs $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ is the graph with the vertex set $V\left(G \times G^{\prime}\right)=V(G) \times V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ in which two vertices $\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are adjacent if and only if $v_{1}, v_{2}$ are adjacent in $G$ and $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}$ are adjacent in $G^{\prime}$.

The aim of this paper is to show an infinite class of graphs for which the implication

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \times G_{1} \cong G \times G_{2} \Rightarrow G_{1} \cong G_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is not true.
L. Lovász [1,2] has proved that (1) holds, if $G$ is not bipartite or if all graphs $G, G_{1}, G_{2}$ are bipartite and $G$ is not discrete. Further, for each odd number $k \geqq 3$ and for any bipartite graph we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \times C_{2 k} \cong G \times\left(C_{k}+C_{k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the Czechoslovak Conference on Graph Theory and Combinatorics in Raček. Valley in May 1986, V. Puš proposed the following problem [3].

Decide whether (1) holds provided that
(i) neither $G_{1}$ nor $G_{2}$ is bipartite;
(ii) all graphs $G, G_{1}, G_{2}$ are connected.

We shall extend (2), thus giving the negative answer to this question.

Theorem 1. Let a finite graph $G_{1}$ contain an induced subgraph $G_{0}$ isomorphic to the circuit of a length congruent with 2 modulo 4. Let $G_{0}$ have the property that any vertex $x \in V\left(G_{1}\right)-V\left(G_{0}\right)$ is adjacent to a vertex $y \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$ if and only if $x$ is adjacent to $\bar{y}$, where $\bar{y}$ is the opposite vertex to $y$ in the circuit $G_{0}$. Then there exists a graph $G_{2}$ non-isomorphic to $G_{1}$ and such that $G \times G_{1} \cong G \times G_{2}$ for any bipartite graph $G$.

Proof. As the length of the circuit $G_{0}$ is congruent with 2 modulo 4, it is equal to $2 k$, where $k$ is an odd integer. Let $V\left(G_{0}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}, u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$, let the edges of $G_{0}$ be $u_{1} u_{k}^{\prime}, u_{k} u_{1}^{\prime}$ and $u_{i} u_{i+1}, u_{i}^{\prime} u_{i+1}^{\prime}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$. The graph $G_{2}$ is obtained from $G_{1}$ by deleting the edges $u_{1} u_{k}^{\prime}, u_{k} u_{1}^{\prime}$ and adding the edges $u_{1} u_{k}$, $u_{1}^{\prime} u_{k}^{\prime}$.

Now let $G$ be a bipartite graph. Consider the direct products $G \times G_{1}, G \times G_{2}$. As $V\left(G_{1}\right)=V\left(G_{2}\right)$, also $V\left(G \times G_{1}\right)=V\left(G \times G_{2}\right)$; this is the set of all ordered pairs $(v, w)$ where $v \in V(G), w \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$. Let $A, B$ be the bipartition classes of $G$. We define a mapping $\varphi$ of $V\left(G \times G_{1}\right)$ onto $V\left(G \times G_{1}\right)$. If $v \in V(G), w \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ -$-V\left(G_{0}\right)$, then $\varphi((v, w))=(v, w)$. If $v \in A$, then $\varphi\left(\left(v, u_{i}\right)\right)=\left(v, u_{i}\right), \varphi\left(\left(v, u_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ $=\left(v, u_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i$ odd and $\varphi\left(\left(v, u_{i}\right)\right)=\left(v, u_{i}^{\prime}\right), \varphi\left(\left(v, u_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(v, u_{i}\right)$ for $i$ even. If $v \in B$, then $\varphi\left(\left(v, u_{i}\right)\right)=\left(v, u_{i}\right), \varphi\left(\left(v, u_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(v, u_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i$ even and $\varphi\left(\left(v, u_{i}\right)\right)=\left(v, u_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, $\varphi\left(\left(v, u_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(v, u_{i}\right)$ for $i$ odd. We shall prove that $\varphi$ is an isomorphic mapping of $G \times G_{1}$ onto $G \times G_{2}$. Let $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right),\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)$ be two vertices of $V\left(G \times G_{1}\right)$. Suppose that they are adjacent in $G \times G_{1}$. Then $v_{1}, v_{2}$ are adjacent in $G$ and $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are adjacent in $G_{1}$. The vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$ must belong to different bipartition classes of $G$; without loss of generality we may suppose that $v_{1} \in A, v_{2} \in B$. If both $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are in $V\left(G_{1}\right)-V\left(G_{)}\right)$, then $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right)=\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right), \varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)=\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)$; the vertices $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are adjacent also in $G_{2}$ and $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right),\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)$ are adjacent also in $G \times G_{2}$. Suppose that $w_{1} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)-V\left(G_{0}\right), w_{2} \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$. Then again $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right)=\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)$. If $w_{2}=u_{i}$, where $i$ is odd, then $\varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, u_{i}\right)\right)=\left(v_{2}, u_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. As $w_{1}, u_{i}$ are adjacent in $G_{1}$, so are $w_{1}, u_{i}^{\prime}$, because $u_{i}^{\prime}$ is the opposite vertex to $u_{i}$ in $G_{0}$. They are adjacent also in $G_{2}$ and thus $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right), \varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)$ are adjacent in $G \times G_{2}$. Analogously if $w_{2}=u_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i$ odd. If $w_{2}=u_{i}$ or $w_{2}=u_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i$ even, then $\varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)=$ $=\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)$ and again $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right), \varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)$ are adjacent in $G \times G_{2}$. If $w_{1} \in V\left(G_{0}\right)$, $w_{2} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)-V\left(G_{0}\right)$, the considerations are analogous. Now let $w_{1} \in V\left(G_{0}\right), w_{2} \in$ $\in v\left(G_{0}\right)$. If both $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are in $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$, then $w_{1}=u_{i}, w_{2}=u_{j}$, where $j=i+1$ or $j=i-1$. If $i$ is odd, then $j$ is even. We have $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, u_{i}\right)\right)=\left(v_{1}, u_{i}\right)=$ $=\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right), \varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, u_{j}\right)\right)=\left(v_{2}, u_{j}\right)=\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)$ and again $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right)$, $\varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)$ are adjacent in $G \times G_{2}$. If $i$ is even, then $j$ is odd. We have $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right)=$ $=\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, u_{i}\right)\right)=\left(v_{1}, u_{i}^{\prime}\right), \varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, u_{j}\right)\right)=\left(v_{2}, u_{j}^{\prime}\right)$. As $j=i+1$ or $j=$ $=i-1$, the vertices $u_{i}^{\prime}, u_{j}^{\prime}$ are adjacent in $G_{1}$ and in $G_{2}$ and the vertices $\left(v_{1}, u_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, $\left(v_{2}, u_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ are adjacent in $G \times G_{2}$. Analogously if both $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are in $\left\{u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$. If $w_{1} \in\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}, w_{2} \in\left\{u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$, then either $w_{1}=u_{1}, w_{2}=u_{k}^{\prime}$, or $w_{1}=u_{k}$, $w_{2}=u_{1}^{\prime}$. In the former case $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, u_{1}\right)\right)=\left(v_{1}, u_{1}\right), \varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)=$
$=\varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, u_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(v_{2}, u_{k}\right)$. As $u_{1}, u_{k}$ are adjacent in $G_{2}$, the vertices $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, u_{1}\right)\right)$, $\varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, u_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are adjacent in $G \times G_{2}$. In the latter case $\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\left(v_{1}, u_{k}\right)\right)=$ $=\left(v_{1}, u_{k}\right), \varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\left(v_{2}, u_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(v_{2}, u_{1}\right)$ and the situation is the same as in the former. Analogously if $w_{1} \in\left\{u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{k}^{\prime}\right\}, w_{2} \in\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$. We have proved that $\varphi$ maps each pair of vertices adjacent in $G \times G_{1}$ onto a pair of vertices adjacent in $G \times G_{2}$. Analogously we may prove that $\varphi^{-1}$ maps each pair of vertices adjacent in $G \times G_{2}$ onto a pair of vertices adjacent in $G \times G_{1}$. The mapping $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of $G \times G_{1}$ onto $G \times G_{2}$.

It remains to prove that $G_{2}$ is not isomorphic to $G_{1}$. Suppose $G_{1} \cong G_{2}$. The graph $\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$ contains an induced subgraph consisting of two vertex-disjoint circuits of the length $k$ with the property that in $G_{1}$ none of these circuits exists. As $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are finite, the graph $G_{1}$ must also contain an induced subgraph consisting of two vertexdisjoint circuits $D_{1}, D_{2}$ of the length $k$ with the property that in $G_{2}$ none of these circuits exists. This implies that one of these circuits, say $D_{1}$, contains the edge $u_{1} u_{k}^{\prime}$ and the other contains the edge $u_{k} u_{1}^{\prime}$. Let $x$ be the vertex of $D_{1}$ adjacent to $u_{1}$. Then, according to the assumption, $x$ is adjacent to $u_{1}^{\prime}$, because this is the opposite vertex to $u_{1}$ in $G$. But $u_{1}^{\prime}$ belongs to $D_{2}$ and thus there exists an edge joining a vertex of $D_{1}$ with a vertex of $D_{2}$, which is a contradiction with the assumption that the union of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ is an induced subgraph of $G_{1}$. Hence $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are not isomorphic.

Note that the assumption that $G_{1}$ is finite was used only in the proof that $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are not isomorphic. Other considerations may be easily extended to the case when $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are infinite. Therefore we may prove another theorem.

Theorem 2. There exists a family $\mathfrak{F}$ of the power of continuum consisting of pairwise non-isomorphic locally finite connected non-bipartite graphs with the property that for any bipartite graph $G$ and any two graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ from $\mathfrak{F}$ we have $G \times G_{1} \cong G \times G_{2}$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a one-way infinite path whose vertices are $x_{i}$ and whose edges are $x_{i} x_{i+1}$ for all positive integers $i$. Let $D_{i}$ for all positive integers $i$ be pairwise vertexdisjoint circuits of the length 6 vertex-disjoint with $P$. In each $D_{i}$ choose a vertex $y_{i}$ and by $\bar{y}_{i}$ denote the vertex of $D_{i}$ opposite to $y_{i}$. Join both $y_{i}$ and $\bar{y}_{i}$ by edges with $x_{i}$ for each $i$. Denote the graph thus obtained by $H$. Let $\mathscr{A}=\left(a_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence such that $a_{i}=0$ or $a_{i}=1$ for each $i$. To the sequence $\mathscr{A}$ we assign the graph $H(\mathscr{A})$ in such a way that for each $i$ such that $a_{i}=1$ we perform in $H$ the transformation from the proof of Theorem 1 with $D_{i}$, i.e. we replace $D_{i}$ by two triangles, each of which has one vertex adjacent to $x_{i}$. Evidently any two graphs $H\left(\mathscr{A}_{1}\right), H\left(\mathscr{A}_{2}\right)$ for different sequences $\mathscr{A}_{1}, \mathscr{A}_{2}$ are non-isomorphic. It follows from the considerations in the proof of Theorem 1 that $G \times H\left(\mathscr{A}_{1}\right) \cong G \times H\left(\mathscr{A}_{2}\right)$ for any bipartite graph $G$ and any two sequences $\mathscr{A}_{1}, \mathscr{A}_{2}$ with the described property. As the set of all such sequences is of the power of continuum, the assertion is proved.

Theorem 3. For any positive integer $n$ there exists a family $\mathfrak{F}$ of a finite cardinality greater than $n$ consisting of pairwise non-isomorphic finite connected non-bipartite graphs with the property that for any bipartite graph $G$ and any two graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ from $\mathcal{F}$ we have $G \times G_{1} \cong G \times G_{2}$.

Proof is done analogously as that of Theorem 2 with the only difference that $P$ is a finite path (of an arbitrarily large length).

Evidently there exists no infinite family of finite graphs with this property, because the vertex sets of all graphs of such a family would have to be of the same cardinality and there are only finitely many non-isomorphic graphs with a given finite number of vertices.
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Souhrn
POZNÁMKA O KRÅCENÍ V DIREKTNÍCH SOUČINECH GRAFỦ

Bohdan Zelinka

Existuje systém 厅ֻ mohutnosti kontinua skládající se z neisomorfních lokálně konečných souvislých nikoliv sudých grafủ té vlastnosti, že pro každý sudý graf $G$ a pro každé dva grafy $G_{1}, G_{2}$ z §̆ platí $G \times G_{1} \cong G \times G_{2}$. Pro každé přirozené číslo $n$ existuje takový systém konečných grafư, který má koneと̌nou mohutnost větší než $n$. Tóto je negativní řešení problému V. Puše.

## Резюме

# ЗАМЕЧАНИЕ О СОКРАЩЕНИИ В ПРЯМЫХ ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИЯХ ГРАФОВ 

Bohdan Zelinka

Существуют семейство $\mathbb{\delta}$ мощности континуума, состоящее из попарно неизоморфных локально конечных связных недвудольных графов и обладающее тем свойством, что для
 $\boldsymbol{G} \times \boldsymbol{G}_{1} \cong \boldsymbol{G} \times \boldsymbol{G}_{2}$. Для каждого натурального числа $n$ существует аналогичное семейство конечных графов, которое имеет конечную мощность больше чем $n$. Это решает отрицательно проблему В. Пуша.
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