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# Some results on the product of distributions and the change of variable 

Emin Özcag , Brian Fisher


#### Abstract

Let $F$ and $G$ be distributions in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and let $f$ be an infinitely differentiable function with $f^{\prime}(x)>0,($ or $<0)$. It is proved that if the neutrix product $F \circ G$ exists and equals $H$, then the neutrix product $F(f) \circ G(f)$ exists and equals $H(f)$.
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In the following, we let $N$ be the neutrix, see van der Corput [1], having domain $N^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, n, \ldots\}$ and range the real numbers, with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

$$
n^{\lambda} \ln ^{r-1} n, \quad \ln ^{r} n: \lambda>0, \quad r=1,2, \ldots
$$

and all functions which converge to zero in the normal sense as $n$ tends to infinity.
We will use $n$ or $m$ to denote a general term in $N^{\prime}$ so that if $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of real numbers, then $\mathrm{N}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ means exactly the same thing as $\mathrm{N}-\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{m}$.

Note that if $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of real numbers which converges to $a$ in the normal sense as $n$ tends to infinity, then the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ converges to $a$ in the neutrix sense as $n$ tends to infinity and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\mathrm{N}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}
$$

We now let $\rho(x)$ be a fixed infinitely differentiable function having the following properties:
(i) $\rho(x)=0$ for $|x| \geq 1$,
(ii) $\rho(x) \geq 0$,
(iii) $\rho(x)=\rho(-x)$,
(iv) $\int_{-1}^{1} \rho(x) d x=1$.

Putting $\delta_{n}(x)=n \rho(n x)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$, it follows that $\left\{\delta_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the Dirac delta-function $\delta(x)$.

Now let $\mathcal{D}$ be the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support and let $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ be the space of distributions defined on $\mathcal{D}$. Then, if $F$ is an arbitrary distribution in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$, we define

$$
F_{n}(x)=\left(F * \delta_{n}\right)(x)=\left\langle F(t), \delta_{n}(x-t)\right\rangle
$$

for $n=1,2, \ldots$. It follows that $\left\{F_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the distribution $F(x)$.

The following definition for the product of two distributions was given in [2].
Definition 1. Let $F$ and $G$ be distributions in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and let $G_{n}=G * \delta_{n}$. We say that the neutrix product $F \circ G$ of $F$ and $G$ exists and is equal to the distribution $H$ on the interval $(a, b)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F G_{n}, \phi\right\rangle=\langle H, \phi\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all functions $\phi$ in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$. If

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F G_{n}, \phi\right\rangle=\langle H, \phi\rangle
$$

we simply say that the product $F . G$ exists and equals $H$.
Note that if we put $F_{m}=F * \delta_{m}$, we have

$$
\left\langle F G_{n}, \phi\right\rangle=\underset{m \rightarrow \infty}{\mathrm{~N}-\lim _{m}\left\langle F_{m} G_{n}, \phi\right\rangle}
$$

and so the equation (1) could be replaced by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\mathrm{~N}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}}\left[\underset{m}{\mathrm{~N}-\lim _{m}}\left\langle F_{m} G_{n}, \phi\right\rangle\right]=\langle H, \phi\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next definition for the change of variable in distributions was given in [3].
Definition 2. Let $F$ be a distribution in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and let $f$ be a locally summable function. We say that the distribution $F(f(x))$ exists and is equal to the distribution $H$ on the interval $(a, b)$ if

$$
\mathrm{N}_{n \rightarrow \infty}-\lim _{-\infty} F_{n}^{\infty}(f(x)) \phi(x) d x=\langle H, \phi\rangle
$$

for all test functions $\phi$ in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$, where

$$
F_{n}(x)=\left(F * \delta_{n}\right)(x)
$$

The following theorem was proved in [5].
Theorem 1. Let $F$ be a distribution in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and let $f$ be an infinitely differentiable function with $f^{\prime}(x)>0$, (or $\left.<0\right)$, for all $x$ in the interval $(a, b)$. Then the distribution $F(f(x))$ exists on the interval $(a, b)$.

Further, if $F$ is the $p$-th derivative of a locally summable function $F^{(-p)}$ on the interval $(f(a), f(b))$, (or $f(b), f(a)),(g$ inverse of $f)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle F(f(x)), \phi(x)\rangle & =(-1)^{p} \int_{f(a)}^{f(b)} F^{(-p)}(x)\left[g^{\prime}(x) \phi(g(x))\right]^{(p)} d x=  \tag{3}\\
& =(-1)^{p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^{(-p)}(f(x)) f^{\prime}(x)\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{p}\left[\frac{\phi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right] d x \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\phi$ in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$.
Using the equation (3), it was proved that if $f$ had a single simple zero at the point $x=x_{1}$ in the interval $(a, b)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(s)}(f(x))=\frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|}\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{s} \delta\left(x-x_{1}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the interval $(a, b)$ for $s=0,1,2, \ldots$, showing that the Definition 2 is in agreement with the definition of $\delta^{(s)}(f(x))$ given by Gel'fand and Shilov [6].

The problem of defining the product $F(f) \circ G(g)$ was considered in [4]. Putting $F(f)=F_{1}$ and $G(g)=G_{1}$, the product $F_{1} \circ G_{1}=H_{1}$ is of course defined by the equation

$$
\mathrm{N}-\lim \left[\mathrm{N}-\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F_{1 m} G_{1 n}, \phi\right\rangle\right]=\left\langle H_{1}, \phi\right\rangle
$$

for all $\phi$ in $\mathcal{D}$, where $F_{1 m}=F_{1} * \delta_{m}$ and $G_{1 n}=G_{1} * \delta_{n}$.
However, it was pointed out that since the distributions $F(f)$ and $G(g)$ were defined by the sequences $\left\{F_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$, the product $F(f) \circ G(g)$ should be defined by these sequences, leading to the following definition.

Definition 3. Let $F$ and $G$ be distributions in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$, let $f$ and $g$ be locally summable functions and let $F_{m}=F * \delta_{m}$ and $G_{n}=G * \delta_{n}$. We say that the neutrix product $F(f) \circ G(g)$ of $F(f)$ and $G(g)$ exists and is equal to the distribution $H$ on the interval $(a, b)$ if $F_{m}(f) G_{n}(g)$ is a locally summable function on the interval $(a, b)$ and

$$
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\mathrm{~N}-\lim }\left[\mathrm{N}-\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F_{m}(f) G_{n}(g), \phi\right\rangle\right]=\left\langle H_{1}, \phi\right\rangle
$$

for all $\phi$ in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$.
The following two examples were given in [4] and show that the neutrix product $F(f) \circ G(g)$ can be equal to, but is not necessarily equal to the neutrix product $F_{1} \circ G_{1}$.
Example 1. Let $F=x_{+}^{1 / 2}, G=\delta^{\prime}(x), f=x_{+}^{2}$ and $g=x_{+}$. Then

$$
F(f)=F_{1}=x_{+}, \quad G(g)=G_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \delta^{\prime}(x)
$$

and

$$
F(f) \circ G(g)=-\frac{1}{2} \delta(x)=F_{1} \circ G_{1}
$$

Example 2. Let $F=x_{+}^{-1 / 2}, G=\delta(x), f=x$ and $g=x_{+}^{1 / 2}$. Then

$$
F(f)=F_{1}=x_{+}^{-1 / 2}, \quad G(g)=G_{1}=0
$$

and

$$
F(f) \circ G(g)=\delta(x) \neq 0=F_{1} \circ G_{1} .
$$

The following theorem was, however, proved in [4].

Theorem 2. Let $F$ and $G$ be distributions in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$, let $f$ be a locally summable function and let $g$ be an infinitely differentiable function. If the distributions $F(f)=$ $F_{1}$ and $G(g)=G_{1}$ exist and the neutrix product $F(f) \circ G(g)$ exists on the interval $(a, b)$, then

$$
F(f) \circ G(g)=F_{1} \circ G(g)
$$

on the interval $(a, b)$. In particular, if $g(x)=x$, then

$$
F(f) \circ G(g)=F_{1} \circ G_{1}
$$

on the interval $(a, b)$.
In this theorem, $F_{1} \circ G(g)$ was used to denote the distribution defined by

$$
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\mathrm{~N}-\lim _{n}}\left\langle F_{1} G_{n},(g), \phi\right\rangle
$$

We now prove the following theorem.
Theorem 3. Let $F$ and $G$ be distributions in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and let $f$ be an infinitely differentiable function with $f^{\prime}(x)>0$, (or $\left.<0\right)$, for all $x$ in the interval $(a, b)$. If the neutrix product $F \circ G$ exists and is equal to $H$ on the interval $(f(a), f(b))$, (or $(f(b), f(a)))$, then

$$
F(f) \circ G(f)=H(f)
$$

on the interval $(a, b)$.
Proof: Note first of all that the distributions $F(f)$ and $G(f)$ exist on the interval $(f(a), f(b)),($ or $(f(b), f(a)))$, by Theorem 1.

We will suppose that $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ and that $g$ is the inverse of $f$ on the interval $(a, b)$. Letting $\phi$ be an arbitrary function in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$ and making the substitution $t=f(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{m}(f(x)) G_{n}(f(x)) \phi(x) d x & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{m}(t) G_{n}(t) \phi(g(t)) g^{\prime}(t) d t= \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{m}(t) G_{n}(t) \psi(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi(t)=\phi(g(t)) g^{\prime}(t)$ is a function in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(f(a), f(b))$. It follows that

$$
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\mathrm{~N}-\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}}\left[\underset{m}{\mathrm{~N}-\lim _{m}}\left\langle F_{m}(f) G_{n}(f), \phi\right\rangle\right]=\langle H, \psi\rangle
$$

for all $\phi$ or $\psi$.
Further, on making the substitution $t=f(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n}(t) \psi(t) d t & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n}(t) \phi(g(t)) g^{\prime}(t) d t= \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n}(f(x)) \phi(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\mathrm{N}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle H_{n}, \psi\right\rangle=\langle H(f), \phi\rangle .
$$

The result of the theorem follows.

Theorem 4. Let $F$ and $G$ be distributions in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and let $f$ be an infinitely differentiable function with $f^{\prime}(x)>0,(o r<0)$, for all $x$ in the interval $(a, b)$. If the neutrix products $F \circ G$ and $F \circ G^{\prime}$, or $\left.F^{\prime} \circ G\right)$, exist on the interval $(f(a), f(b))$, (or $(f(b), f(a)))$, then

$$
[F(f) \circ G(f)]^{\prime}=[F(f)]^{\prime} \circ G(f)+F(f) \circ[G(f)]^{\prime}
$$

on the interval $(a, b)$.
Proof: The usual law

$$
(F \circ G)^{\prime}=F^{\prime} \circ G+F \circ G^{\prime}
$$

for the differentiation of a product holds, see [2], and so the result of the theorem follows immediately from Theorem 3.
Theorem 5. Let $f$ be an infinitely differentiable function with $f^{\prime}(x)>0$, (or $\left.<0\right)$, for all $x$ in the interval ( $a, b$ ) and having a simple zero at the point $x=x_{1}$ in the interval $(a, b)$. Then the neutrix products $(f(x))_{+}^{r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x))$ and $\delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ$ $(f(x))_{+}^{r}$ exist and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(x))_{+}^{r} \cdot \delta^{(s)}(f(x))=\delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \cdot(f(x))_{+}^{r}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s=0,1, \ldots, r-1$ and $r=1,2, \ldots$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
(f(x))_{+}^{r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x)) & =\delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ(f(x))_{+}^{r}= \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{r} s!}{2(s-r)!} \frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|}\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{s-r} \delta\left(x-x_{1}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

for $r=0,1, \ldots, s$ and $s=r, r+1, r+2, \ldots$ on the interval $(a, b)$.
Proof: If $g$ is an $s$ times continuously differentiable function at the origin, then the product $g \cdot \delta^{(s)}=\delta^{(s)} \cdot g$ is given by

$$
g(x) \cdot \delta^{(s)}(x)=\delta^{(s)}(x) \cdot g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{s}(-1)^{s+i}\binom{s}{i} g^{s-i}(0) \delta^{(i)}(x)
$$

It follows that

$$
x_{+}^{r} \cdot \delta^{(s)}(x)=\delta^{(s)}(x) \cdot x_{+}^{r}=0
$$

for $s=1,2, \ldots, r-1$ and $r=1,2, \ldots$ and the equation (6) follows immediately on using Theorem 3.

It was proved in [2] that

$$
x_{+}^{r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(x)=\delta^{(s)}(x) \circ x_{+}^{r}=\frac{(-1)^{r} s!}{2(s-r)!} \delta^{(s-r)}(x)
$$

for $r, s=0,1,2, \ldots, s \geq r$, and it follows on using Theorem 3 that

$$
(f(x))_{+}^{r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x))=\delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ(f(x))_{+}^{r}=\frac{(-1)^{r} s!}{2(s-r)!} \delta^{(s-r)}(f(x))
$$

for $r, s=0,1,2, \ldots$ The equation (7) follows immediately on using equation (5).

## Example 3.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(x+x^{2}\right)_{+}^{r} \circ \delta^{(r)}\left(x+x^{2}\right)=\delta^{(r)}\left(x+x^{2}\right) \circ\left(x+x^{2}\right)_{+}^{r}= \\
=\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{r} r![\delta(x)+\delta(x+1)]  \tag{8}\\
\left(x+x^{2}\right)_{+}^{r} \circ \delta^{(r+1)}\left(x+x^{2}\right)=\delta^{(r+1)}\left(x+x^{2}\right) \circ\left(x+x^{2}\right)_{+}^{r}= \\
=\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{r}(r+1)!\left[\delta^{\prime}(x)+2 \delta(x)+\delta^{\prime}(x+1)+2 \delta(x+1)\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

for $r=0,1,2, \ldots$ on the real line.
Proof: The function $f(x)=x+x^{2}$ has simple zeros at the points $x=0,-1$. It follows from the equations (5) and (7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x+x^{2}\right)_{+}^{r} \circ \delta^{(r)}\left(x+x^{2}\right) & =\delta^{(r)}\left(x+x^{2}\right) \circ\left(x+x^{2}\right)_{+}^{r}= \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{r} r!\delta\left(x+x^{2}\right)= \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{r} r![\delta(x)+\delta(x+1)]
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the equation (8) for $r=0,1,2, \ldots$
It again follows from the equations (5) and (7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x+x^{2}\right)_{+}^{r} \circ \delta^{(r+1)}\left(x+x^{2}\right) & =\delta^{(r+1)}\left(x+x^{2}\right) \circ\left(x+x^{2}\right)_{+}^{r}= \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{r}(r+1)!\frac{1}{1+2 x}\left[\delta^{\prime}(x)+\delta^{\prime}(x+1)\right]= \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{r}(r+1)!\left[\delta^{\prime}(x)+2 \delta(x)+\delta^{\prime}(x+1)+2 \delta(x+1)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the equation (9) for $r=0,1,2, \ldots$
Theorem 6. Let $f$ be an infinitely differentiable function with $f^{\prime}(x)>0$, (or $\left.<0\right)$, for all $x$ in the interval $(a, b)$ and having a simple zero at the point $x=x_{1}$ in the interval $(a, b)$. Then the neutrix products $(f(x))^{-r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x))$ and $\delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ$ $(f(x))^{-r}$ exist and

$$
\begin{gather*}
(f(x))^{-r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(f(x))=\frac{(-1)^{r} s!}{(r+s)!} \frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|}\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r+s} \delta\left(x-x_{1}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\delta^{(s)}(f(x)) \circ(f(x))^{-r}=0 \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $r=1,2, \ldots$ and $s=0,1,2, \ldots$ on the interval $(a, b)$.
Proof: It was proved in [2] that

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{-r} \circ \delta^{(s)}(x)=\frac{(-1)^{r} s!}{(r+s)!} \delta^{(r+s)}(x) \\
\delta^{(s)}(x) \circ x^{-r}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for $r=1,2, \ldots$ and $s=0,1,2, \ldots$ Equations (10) and (11) follow immediately as in the proof of Theorem 6.

## Example 4.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{-1} \circ \delta\left(x^{2}-1\right)=-\frac{1}{4}\left[\delta^{\prime}(x-1)+\delta(x-1)-\delta^{\prime}(x+1)+\delta(x+1)\right]  \tag{12}\\
\delta^{(s)}\left(x^{2}-1\right) \circ\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{-r}=0, \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $r=1,2, \ldots$ and $s=0,1,2, \ldots$ on the real line.
Proof: The function $f(x)=x^{2}-1$ has simple zeros at the points $x= \pm 1$. It follows from the equations (5) and (10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{-1} \circ \delta\left(x^{2}-1\right) & =-\frac{1}{4 x}\left[\delta^{\prime}(x-1)+\delta^{\prime}(x+1)\right]= \\
& =-\frac{1}{4}\left[\delta^{\prime}(x-1)+\delta(x-1)-\delta^{\prime}(x+1)+\delta(x+1)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

proving equation (12).
The equation (13) follows immediately from the equations (5) and (11) for $r=$ $1,2, \ldots$ and $s=0,1,2, \ldots$
Theorem 7. Let $f$ be an infinitely differentiable function with $f^{\prime}(x)>0$, (or $<0$ ), for all $x$ in the interval $(a, b)$ and having a simple zero at the point $x=$ $x_{1}$ in the interval $(a, b)$. Then the neutrix products $(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda} \circ(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-r}$ and $(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-r} \circ(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda}$ exist and

$$
\begin{align*}
(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda} \circ(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-r} & =(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-r} \circ(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda}= \\
& =-\frac{\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda)}{2(r-1)!} \frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|}\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r-1} \delta\left(x-x_{1}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ and $r=1,2, \ldots$ on the interval $(a, b)$
Proof: It was proved in [2] that

$$
x_{+}^{\lambda} \circ x_{-}^{-\lambda-r}=x_{-}^{-\lambda-r} \circ x_{+}^{\lambda}=-\frac{\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda)}{2(r-1)!} \delta^{(r-1)}(x),
$$

for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ and $r=1,2, \ldots$ Equation (14) follows immediately as in the proof of Theorem 6.

Example 5. Let $f(x)=t$ be the inverse of the function $g(t)=t+t^{3}=x$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda} \circ(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-1} & =(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-1} \circ(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda}=  \tag{15}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda) \delta(x), \\
(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda} \circ(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-2} & =(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-2} \circ(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda}=  \tag{16}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda)\left[\delta^{\prime}(x)+\delta(x)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ on the real line.
Proof:

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=1+3 t^{2}>0
$$

for all $t$, it follows that $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ for all $x$ and so on using the equation (3) with $p=1$, we have for all $\phi$ in $\mathcal{D}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\delta(f(x)), \phi(x)\rangle & =-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x) d\left[\left(1+3 x^{2}\right) \phi\left(x+x^{3}\right)\right]= \\
& =-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\left[\left(1+3 x^{2}\right) \phi\left(x+x^{3}\right)\right]=\phi(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(f(x))=\delta(x) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the equation (3) again with $p=2$, we have for all $x$ in $\mathcal{D}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\delta^{\prime}(f(x)), \phi(x)\right\rangle & =\int_{0}^{\infty} d\left[\left(1+3 x^{2}\right) \phi\left(x+x^{3}\right)\right]^{\prime}= \\
& =-\phi^{\prime}(0)-\int_{0}^{\infty} d\left[\left(1+3 x^{2}\right) \phi\left(x+x^{3}\right)\right]= \\
& =-\phi^{\prime}(0)+\phi(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime}(f(x))=\delta^{\prime}(x)+\delta(x) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It now follows from the equations (15) and (17) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda} \circ(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-1} & =(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-1} \circ(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda}= \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda) \delta(f(x))= \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda) \delta(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the equation (15) for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$
It again follows from the equations (14) and (18) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda} \circ(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-2} & =(f(x))_{-}^{-\lambda-2} \circ(f(x))_{+}^{\lambda}= \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda) \delta^{\prime}(f(x))= \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda)\left[\delta^{\prime}(x)+\delta(x)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the equation (16) for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$
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