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# Natural sinks on $Y_{\beta}$ 

J. SCHRÖDER


#### Abstract

Let $\left(e_{\beta}: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$ be the large source of epimorphisms in the category Ury of Urysohn spaces constructed in [2]. A sink $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$ is called natural, if $g_{\beta} \circ e_{\beta}=g_{\beta^{\prime}} \circ e_{\beta^{\prime}}$ for all $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in \mathbf{O r d}$. In this paper natural sinks are characterized. As a result it is shown that Ury permits no ( $E p i, \mathcal{M}$ )-factorization structure for arbitrary (large) sources.
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Classification: 18A20, 18A30, 18B30, 54B30, 54C10, 54D10, 54D35, 54G20

## Introduction.

In [2] a large source $\left(e_{\beta}: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$ of epimorphisms in Ury was constructed, showing that Ury is not cowellpowered. The purpose of this paper is twofolded:
(a) Every natural sink $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$ is defined uniquely by $g_{1}: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow X$. $Y_{\beta}$ can be as large as might be required. How does $g_{\beta}$ look? There are rare instances in General Topology where a smallness condition has overall consequences, e.g. the arbitrary product of separable spaces fulfills the countable chain condition.
(b) Because of non-cowellpoweredness, some categorical theorems should not be applicable in Ury. The investigation of sinks $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$ have as a result the non-existence for any $\mathcal{M}$ of a $(E p i, \mathcal{M})$-factorization structure for (large) sources.

Notation. $\mathbf{Q}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{+}=\mathbf{Q}^{+} \cup\{0\}\right)$ are the (positive) rationals. $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{N}=\mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ are the real and the natural numbers, respectively. $\omega_{0}$ is the first infinite ordinal. $w$ is a fixed positive irrational number. $\epsilon, \delta$ are real numbers $>0 . h, l, m, n$ are elements of $\mathbf{N}$. Ord is the class of ordinal numbers. $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa, \lambda, \xi, \tau$ are ordinal numbers. $[0,1),[-1,+1]$ are as usual intervals of real numbers. $d(x, y)$ is the euclidean distance of $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ or of $x, y \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$. If $B \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ (or $\subseteq \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ ), then $d(x, B):=\inf \{d(x, b) \mid b \in B\} . U(x, \epsilon)$ is an $\epsilon$-neighbourhood, taken in $\mathbf{R}$, if $x \in \mathbf{R}$; taken in $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ if $x \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$. Every ordinal $\tau$ has a unique representation $\tau=\lambda+n$, where $\lambda$ is a limit ordinal and $n$ is a finite ordinal (natural number). This representation we will use often. Top, Ury, $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ are the categories of topological, Urysohn, and regular $T_{1}$ spaces, respectively. Recall that a topological space is

[^0]called Urysohn, if distinct points have disjoint closed neighbourhoods. $c l A$ is, as usual, the topological closure of $A \subseteq X, \operatorname{cl} A=: \bar{A}$. If $(X, \mathcal{X}) \in \mathbf{T o p}$ and $A \subseteq X$, then $c_{\theta} A:=\{x \in X \mid x \in U \in \mathcal{X} \Rightarrow c l U \cap A \neq \emptyset\}, l_{\theta \theta} A:=\bigcap\left\{c_{\theta} c l U \mid A \subseteq U \in \mathcal{X}\right\}$. $c l_{\theta}^{\omega_{0}} A:=\bigcup_{\mathbf{N}} c l_{\theta}^{n} A$, where $c l_{\theta}^{0} A:=A$ and $c l_{\theta}^{n+1} A:=c l_{\theta} c l_{\theta}^{n} A . \triangle:=\{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$. Definition 1. A sink $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$ is called natural, if $g_{\beta} \circ e_{\beta}=g_{1} \circ e_{1}$ for all $\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}$.
$X_{0}=\mathbf{Q} \times\{0\} \times\{1\}, X_{\alpha}=\mathbf{Q} \times(\mathbf{Q} \cap[0,1)) \times\{\alpha\}, \alpha>0$,
$Y_{1}=X_{0}, Y_{\beta}=\bigcup\left\{X_{\alpha} \mid \alpha<\beta\right\}, \beta>1$,
$e_{\beta}: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}$ is defined by $e_{\beta}(q)=(q, 0,1)$ for all $q \in \mathbf{Q}$.
$K(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon)=\left\{(u, v, \alpha) \in X_{\alpha} \mid v>0 \wedge d((u, v),(r-s / w, 0))<\epsilon\right\}, 0<\epsilon<1$.
$Y_{\beta}$ becomes a Urysohn space equipped with the following sets forming neighbourhood bases of ( $r, s, \alpha$ ) (see [2]):
$\alpha=1$ :
$s \neq 0: K(r, s, 1, \epsilon) \cup\{(r, s, 1)\}=: U(r, s, 1, \epsilon)$.
$s=0: K(r, 0,1, \epsilon) \cup\{(u, 0,1) \mid d(u, r)<\epsilon\}$.


Fig. 2
$\alpha>1$ :
$\alpha$ limit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \neq 0 & : K(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon) \cup\{(r, s, \alpha)\}=: U(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon) . \\
s= & 0:\{(r, 0, \alpha)\} \cup K(r, 0, \alpha, \epsilon) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha>\tau \geq \gamma} K(r, 0, \tau, \epsilon)=: \\
& U(r, 0, \alpha, \gamma, \epsilon), \gamma<\alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\alpha$ non-limit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \neq 0 & : K(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon) \cup\{(r, s, \alpha)\}=: U(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon) . \\
s=0 & : K(r-1 / w, 0, \alpha-1, \epsilon) \cup K(r, 0, \alpha, \epsilon) \cup\{(r, 0, \alpha)\}=: \\
& U(r, 0, \alpha, \epsilon) \quad \text { (see Fig. 1). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider now a limit ordinal $\lambda$ and $\bigcup_{\mathbf{N}} X_{\lambda+n}=: X_{\lambda}^{\infty}$. There is a bijection $\phi: X_{\lambda}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}^{+} \times\{\lambda\}$ given by $\phi(r, s, \lambda+n):=(r, s+n, \lambda)$. We refer to this bijection in the following construction.
Define $\bigcup_{n \leq l \leq h+n} X_{\lambda+l} \cup\{(r, 0, \lambda+h+n+1) \mid r \in \mathbf{Q}\}=: X_{\lambda+n}^{h}, L(r, s, \lambda+m, \epsilon, \lambda+$ $n, h):=\left\{(u, v+l, \lambda) \in X_{\lambda+n}^{h} \mid d((u, v+l), L(r, s, \lambda+m)) \leq \epsilon\right\}$, where $L(r, s, \lambda+m):=$ $\{(x, w(x-r)+s+m) \mid x \in \mathbf{R}\} \times\{\lambda\}$, i.e. $L(r, s, \lambda+m, \epsilon, \lambda+n, h)$ is the $2 \epsilon$-stripe around $L(r, s, \lambda+m)$ passing through $(r, s, \lambda+m)=\phi^{-1}(r, s+m, \lambda)$ with bottom at $\{(r, 0, \lambda+n) \mid r \in \mathbf{Q}\}$ and height $h$. Note that we identify via $\phi$ the point $(u, v+l, \lambda)$ with $(u, v, \lambda+l)$, where $v<1$, i.e. $(u, v+l, \lambda) \in X_{\lambda+l}$. The meaning of $h=\omega_{0}$ is obvious (see Fig. 2).
Lemma 2. Let $(X, \mathcal{X})$ be a Urysohn space, $A \subseteq X, c l_{\theta \theta} A=A$. Define an equivalence relation by $\sim_{A}:=A \times A \cup \triangle$. Then the quotient $X / \sim_{A}$ is a Urysohn space.
Proof: Take $x \notin A$. Then there exists $U \in \mathcal{X}$ s.t. $A \subseteq U$ and $x \notin c l_{\theta} c l U$. Hence there is $U_{x}$ s.t. $x \in U_{x}, c l U_{x} \cap c l U=\emptyset$. Since $A$ is $\theta$-closed, distinct points in $X-A$ can be separated by disjoint closed neighbourhoods.

Lemma 3. Let $(r, s, \alpha) \in Y_{\beta}$. We consider basic neighbourhoods of $(r, s, \alpha)$.
(a) if $s \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{clU}(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon)=L(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon, \alpha, 1) \cup U(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon)$
(b) if $s=0$, $\alpha$ non-limit, then $\operatorname{clU}(r, 0, \alpha, \epsilon)=L(r, 0, \alpha, \epsilon, \alpha-1,2) \cup U(r, 0, \alpha, \epsilon)$
(c) if $s=0, \alpha=\lambda$ limit, then $\operatorname{clU}(r, 0, \lambda, \gamma, \epsilon)=\bigcup\{L(r, 0, \tau, \epsilon, \tau, 1) \mid \alpha \geq \tau \geq$ $\gamma\} \cup U(r, 0, \lambda, \gamma, \epsilon)$
(see Fig. 3).
Proof: A point $(u, v, \alpha)$ is in the closure of $K(r, s, \alpha)$ if $d(r-s / w, u-v / w) \leq \epsilon$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$. Where in case $v=1$ the point $(u, 1, \alpha)$ is identified with $(u, 0, \alpha+1)$.

Lemma 4. If $\lambda$ is a limit ordinal and $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, then $c_{\theta}^{\omega_{0}} L(r, s, \lambda+m, \epsilon, \lambda+n, h)=$ $L\left(r, s, \lambda+m, \epsilon, \lambda, \omega_{0}\right)$.
Proof: By induction with the help of Lemma 3 (b).
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Lemma 5. Let $\lambda$ be a limit ordinal, $\lambda<\beta$. Then $\bigcup_{\lambda \leq \alpha<\beta} X_{\alpha}=Y_{\beta}-Y_{\lambda}$ and $c l_{\theta \theta}\left(Y_{\beta}-Y_{\lambda}\right)=Y_{\beta}-Y_{\lambda}$.
Proof: Take $(r, s, \alpha) \notin Y_{\beta}-Y_{\lambda}$, i.e. $(r, s, \alpha) \in Y_{\lambda}$. We will construct disjoint closed neighbourhoods of $(r, s, \alpha)$ and of $Y_{\beta}-Y_{\lambda}$. It is $\alpha<\lambda$ and $\lambda$ is limit ordinal. The set $\left\{(u, v, \gamma) \in Y_{\beta} \mid(\gamma>\alpha+2) \vee((\gamma=\alpha+2) \wedge(v>0))\right\}$ is an open set containing $Y_{\beta}-Y_{\lambda}$. Its closure is $\left\{(u, v, \gamma) \in Y_{\beta} \mid \gamma \geq \alpha+2\right\}$. By Lemma 3 above, the closure of an open basic neighbourhood of $(r, s, \alpha)$ is contained in $Y_{\alpha+2}$.

Remark 6. Are there non-constant natural sinks on $Y_{\beta}$ ? We must find a sink $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$ coinciding on $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq Y_{\beta}$. As we know, each morphism $g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta}$ $\rightarrow X$ is defined by its values on the countable set $\mathbf{Q}$. Since $Y_{\gamma}$ is subspace of $Y_{\beta}$, if $\gamma<\beta, g_{\beta}$ can be regarded as continuous extension of $g_{\gamma}$. Hence there are not so many morphisms into $X$. Additionally $X$ has fixed weight, character, cardinality, etc.. All these cardinality functions have no bound on the class $Y_{\beta}, \beta \in$ Ord. The answer is given by the following

## Example 7.

(a) Let $\lambda$ be a limit ordinal. We apply Lemma 2 and Lemma 5. Take $A=$ $Y_{\lambda+1}-Y_{\lambda}$. Then $Y_{\lambda+1} / \sim_{A}=: Y_{\lambda} \cup\{*\}=: Y_{\lambda}^{*}$ is a Urysohn space. Define $g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow Y_{\lambda}^{*}$ by

$$
g_{\beta}(r, s, \alpha)= \begin{cases}(r, s, \alpha) & \text { if } \alpha<\lambda \\ * & \text { if } \alpha \geq \lambda, \alpha<\beta\end{cases}
$$

(b) Define $\sin _{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow[-1,+1]$ by $\sin _{\beta}(r, s, \alpha)=\sin (2 \pi(w r-s)$ ) for all $(r, s, \alpha) \in Y_{\beta}$. Let $U(r, s, \alpha, \delta) \subseteq Y_{\beta}$ be a basic neighbourhood of $(r, s, \alpha)$. If $(p, q, \tau) \in U(r, s, \alpha, \delta)$, then $d(r-\bar{s} / w, p-q / w)<\delta\left(1+1 / w^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=: \delta c$, where

$$
\bar{s}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \tau=\alpha-1 \\ s & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

( $c$ appears for geometrical reasons, as one can see in Fig. 3 or Fig. 4: some points of $U(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon)$ lie outside $L(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon, \alpha, 1)$.) Now take an $\epsilon$ neighbourhood $U\left(\sin \left(2 \pi\left(w r_{0}-s_{0}\right)\right), \epsilon\right)$. The mapping $x \mapsto \sin (2 \pi w x)$ is continuous. There is a $\delta$-neighbourhood $U\left(r_{0}-s_{0} / w, \delta\right)$ s.t. $p-q / w \in$ $U\left(r_{0}-s_{0} / w, \delta\right) \Rightarrow \sin (2 \pi w(p-q / w)) \in U\left(\sin \left(2 \pi\left(w r_{0}-s_{0}\right)\right), \epsilon\right)$. Now assume $\tau=\alpha-1$, then $s_{0}=0$. Take $p, q$ with $d\left(p-q / w, r_{0}-1 / w\right)<\delta c$, of course $d\left(p-q / w+1 / w, r_{0}\right)<\delta c$, but $\sin (2 \pi w(p-q / w))=\sin (2 \pi w(p-q / w+1 / w))$ and hence $\sin (2 \pi(w p-q)) \in U\left(\sin \left(2 \pi w r_{0}\right), \epsilon\right)$.
(c) Define $P_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow[0,1], \beta \geq 1$, by

$$
P_{\beta}(r, s, \alpha)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1+\left(r-\frac{s+n}{w}\right)^{2}} & \text { if } \alpha=n<\omega_{0} \\ 0 & \text { if } \alpha \geq \omega_{0}, \alpha<\beta\end{cases}
$$

The proof of continuity is similar to (b): The mapping $x \mapsto \frac{1}{1+x^{2}}$ is continuous. Fix $U\left(P_{\beta}\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, n\right), \epsilon\right) \subseteq[0,1]$. There is $\delta>0$ s.t. $p-\frac{q+n}{w} \in$
$U\left(\left(r_{0}-\frac{s_{0}+n}{w}\right), \delta c\right)$ implies $\frac{1}{1+\left(p-\frac{q+n}{w}\right)^{2}} \in U\left(P_{\beta}\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, n\right), \epsilon\right)$, showing that even $P_{\beta}\left[L\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, n, \delta c, 0, \omega_{0}\right)\right] \subseteq U\left(P_{\beta}\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, n\right), \epsilon\right)$. Finally $P_{\beta}$ is arbitrary small on $U\left(r_{0}, 0, \omega_{0}, n, \delta\right)$, if $n$ increases.
(d) Take $Y_{\lambda}^{*}, \lambda>\omega_{0}$, from (a) and a limit ordinal $\xi<\lambda$. If $(r, s, \alpha) \in Y_{\lambda}^{*}, \alpha=$ $\xi+n$, then $c l_{\theta \theta} L\left(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon, \xi, \omega_{0}\right)=L\left(r, s, \alpha, \epsilon, \xi, \omega_{0}\right)=: F$ and $Y_{\lambda}^{*} / \sim_{F}$ is a Urysohn space. Combination with (a) gives many different sinks $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow Y_{\lambda}^{*} / \sim_{F}\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d} .}$.

Definition 8. Let $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X\right)_{\beta \in \text { Ord }}$ be a natural sink.
(a) $\left(g_{\beta}\right)$ is called periodic, if for all $\beta>\omega_{0}$ and for all $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \geq \omega_{0} ; \alpha, \tilde{\alpha}<\beta$ : $g_{\beta}(r, s, \alpha)=g_{\beta}(r, s, \tilde{\alpha})$.
(b) $\left(g_{\beta}\right)$ is called eventually periodic, if there exists an ordinal $\tau$, s.t. for all $\beta>\tau$ and for all $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \geq \tau ; \alpha, \tilde{\alpha}<\beta: g_{\beta}(r, s, \alpha)=g_{\beta}(r, s, \tilde{\alpha})$.

Theorem 9. Let $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$ be a natural sink and let $X$ be a $T_{3}$-space. Then $\left(g_{\beta}\right)$ is periodic.
Proof: Take $x \in X$. For every neighbourhood $W_{x}$ of $x$ there is a neighbourhood $V_{x}$ of $x$ with $c l V_{x} \subseteq W_{x}$, and of course $c l_{\theta} c l V_{x}=c l V_{x}, c_{\theta}^{\omega_{0}} c l V_{x}=c l V_{x}$. If $A \subseteq Y_{\beta}$, then $g_{\beta}\left[c l_{\theta}^{\omega_{0}} A\right] \subseteq c l_{\theta}^{\omega_{0}} g_{\beta}[A]=\overline{g_{\beta}[A]}$. Take a basic neighbourhood $U(r, s, n, \delta)=: U$ of $(r, s, n)$. Then $c l_{\theta}^{\omega_{0}}[U]=L\left(r, s, n, \delta, 0, \omega_{0}\right) \cup U$. For every neighbourhood $W$ of $g_{\beta}(r, s, n)$ there is $\delta>0$ s.t. $g_{\beta}\left[L\left(r, s, n, \delta, 0, \omega_{0}\right)\right] \subseteq W$. Consider $\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}\right)$ and $\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}+1\right)$. For every neighbourhood $U\left(g_{\beta}\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}\right)\right)$ there is a neighbourhood $U\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}, k, \delta\right)$ s.t. $g_{\beta}\left[L\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}, \delta, \omega_{0}, \omega_{0}\right) \cup \bigcup_{n \geq k} L\left(p, 0, n, \delta, 0, \omega_{0}\right)\right] \subseteq$ $U\left(g_{\beta}\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}\right)\right)$. Assume $g_{\beta}\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}\right) \neq g_{\beta}\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}+1\right)$. Then we have open sets $U_{1}, V_{1}, U_{0}$ s.t. $g_{\beta}\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}+1\right) \in U_{1} \subseteq \overline{U_{1}} \subseteq V_{1}, g_{\beta}\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}\right) \in U_{0}=U\left(g_{\beta}\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}\right)\right)$, $V_{1} \cap U_{0}=\emptyset$. Now take an open basic neighbourhood $U\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}+1, \delta\right)=$ : $U^{*}$ fulfilling $g_{\beta}\left[U^{*}\right] \subseteq U_{1}$. Then $c l U^{*}=L\left(p, 0, \omega_{0}+1, \delta, \omega_{0}, 2\right) \cup U^{*}=L\left(p-1 / w, 0, \omega_{0}, \delta, \omega_{0}, 2\right) \cup$ $U^{*}$. Let $O^{*} \subseteq g_{\beta}^{-1}\left[V_{1}\right]$ be an open set containing $\overline{U^{*}}$. Then for all $\left(u, 0, \omega_{0}\right) \in \overline{U^{*}}$ there is $\delta_{u}<\delta, k_{u} \in \mathbf{N}$ s.t. $K\left(u, 0, l, \delta_{u}\right) \subseteq O^{*}$ for all $l \geq k_{u}$ (see Fig. 4). Take a $(x, 0, l) \in K\left(u, 0, l, \delta_{u}\right), l \geq \max \left\{k_{u}, k\right\}$. Then $(x, 0, l) \in L\left(p, 0, l+1, \delta, 0, \omega_{0}\right)$. But $g_{\beta}(x, 0, l) \in V_{1}$ and $g_{\beta}(x, 0, l) \in g_{\beta}\left[L\left(p, 0, l+1, \delta, 0, \omega_{0}\right)\right] \subseteq U_{0}$, contradicting $V_{1} \cap U_{0}=\emptyset$. Hence $g_{\beta}$ assumes the same values on $\mathbf{Q}_{\omega_{0}}:=\left\{\left(r, 0, \omega_{0}\right) \mid r \in \mathbf{Q}\right\}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\omega_{0}+1}:=\left\{\left(r, 0, \omega_{0}+1\right) \mid r \in \mathbf{Q}\right\}$. Since $c l_{\theta} \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_{0}}=\overline{X_{\omega_{0}}}$ and $c l_{\theta} \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_{0}+1}=\overline{X_{\omega_{0}+1}}$, this is also true for $X_{\omega_{0}}$ and $X_{\omega_{0}+1}$ (there is another argument: the two mappings $h_{1}:=g_{\beta} / X_{\omega_{0}+1}$ and $h_{0}$ defined by $h_{0}\left(r, s, \omega_{0}+1\right):=g_{\beta}\left(r, s, \omega_{0}\right)$ are continuous and coincide on $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{\omega_{0}+1}\right)$. The function $\tilde{g_{\beta}}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
\tilde{g_{\beta}}(r, s, \alpha)= \begin{cases}g_{\beta}(r, s, \alpha) & \text { if } \alpha<\omega_{0} \\ g_{\beta}\left(r, s, \omega_{0}\right) & \text { if } \alpha \geq \omega_{0}, \alpha<\beta\end{cases}
$$

is continuous and coincides with $g_{\beta}$ on $\mathbf{Q}$, hence $g_{\beta}=\tilde{g_{\beta}}$. To show continuity, take $K(r-1 / w, 0, \alpha-1, \epsilon) \cup K(r, 0, \alpha, \epsilon) \cup\{(r, 0, \alpha)\}=: U$, an $\epsilon$-neighbourhood
of $(r, 0, \alpha), \alpha>\omega_{0}$ non-limit. Then $\tilde{g_{\beta}}[U]=\tilde{g_{\beta}}\left[K\left(r-1 / w, 0, \omega_{0}, \epsilon\right) \cup K\left(r, 0, \omega_{0}+\right.\right.$ $\left.1, \epsilon) \cup\left\{\left(r, s, \omega_{0}\right)\right\}\right]=\tilde{g_{\beta}}\left[K\left(r-1 / w, 0, \omega_{0}, \epsilon\right) \cup K\left(r, 0, \omega_{0}, \epsilon\right) \cup\left\{\left(r, s, \omega_{0}\right)\right\}\right]=g_{\beta}[K(r-$ $\left.\left.1 / w, 0, \omega_{0}, \epsilon\right) \cup K\left(r, 0, \omega_{0}+1, \epsilon\right) \cup\left\{\left(r, s, \omega_{0}\right)\right\}\right]$. $\alpha$ limit or $s \neq 0$ is not a problem.

Theorem 10. Let $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X\right)_{\beta \in \text { Ord }}$ be a natural sink in Ury, then $\left(g_{\beta}\right)$ is eventually periodic.

Proof: Let $\chi(X)$ be the character of $X$. Let $\lambda$ be a regular limit ordinal with $(\operatorname{cof}(\lambda)=) \lambda>\max \left\{\chi(X), \omega_{0}\right\}$. Take a space $Y_{\beta}$ with $\beta>\lambda$. Look at the bottom edge of $X_{\lambda} \subseteq Y_{\beta}:\{(p, 0, \lambda) \mid p \in \mathbf{Q}\}$. Let $\mathcal{U}\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)\right)$ be a neighbourhood base of cardinality $\leq \chi(X)$ of the point $g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)$ in $X$. For every $V \in$ $\mathcal{U}\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)\right)$ there exists a neighbourhood $U\left(p, 0, \lambda, \kappa_{V}^{p}, \epsilon_{V}^{p}\right)=: U$ of $(p, 0, \lambda)$ in $Y_{\beta}$ fulfilling $g_{\beta}[U] \subseteq V$. We have $\left|\left\{\left(\kappa_{V}^{p}, \epsilon_{V}^{p}\right) \mid V \in \mathcal{U}\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)\right)\right\}\right|<\chi(X)$, then also $\sup \left\{\kappa_{V}^{p} \mid V \in \mathcal{U}\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)\right)\right\}=: \kappa^{p}<\lambda$ and $\sup \left\{\kappa^{p} \mid p \in \mathbf{Q}\right\}=: \kappa<\lambda$. This shows that for every $V \in \mathcal{U}\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)\right), p \in \mathbf{Q}$, there is $U:=U\left(p, 0, \lambda, \kappa, \epsilon_{V}^{p}\right)$ s.t. $g_{\beta}[U] \subseteq V$. Now take $\tau<\lambda, \tau \geq \kappa$. We show $g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)=g_{\beta}(p, 0, \tau)$. If we assume the contrary, then there are neighbourhoods $U\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)\right)$ and $U\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \tau)\right)$ of $g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)$ and $g_{\beta}(p, 0, \tau)$ respectively with disjoint intersection. Of course $g_{\beta}\left[c l U\left(p, 0, \lambda, \kappa, \epsilon_{U\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)\right)}^{p}\right) \subseteq c l U\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)\right)\right.$, but $(p, 0, \tau) \quad \in$ $c l U\left(p, 0, \lambda, \kappa, \epsilon_{U\left(g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)\right)}^{p}\right)$ is a contradiction. We are ready to show $g_{\beta}(p, 0, \tau)=$ $g_{\beta}(p, 0, \tau+1)$ for a limit ordinal $\tau<\lambda, \tau \geq \kappa$. (There is such a limit ordinal.) But this is easy, since $g_{\beta}(p, 0, \tau)=g_{\beta}(p, 0, \lambda)=g_{\beta}(p, 0, \tau+1)$. By the same argument such as in the proof of the previous Theorem 9 we get $g_{\beta}=\tilde{g_{\beta}}$ for all $\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}$, where

$$
\tilde{g_{\beta}}(r, s, \alpha)= \begin{cases}g_{\beta}(r, s, \alpha) & \text { if } \alpha<\tau \\ g_{\beta}(r, s, \lambda) & \text { if } \alpha \geq \tau, \alpha<\beta\end{cases}
$$

Remark 11. The proof illustrates that for showing $g_{\beta}(p, 0, \tau)=g_{\beta}(p, 0, \tau+1)$ for all $p \in \mathbf{Q}$ we only need the Hausdorff property of $X$. To show $g_{\beta}=\tilde{g_{\beta}}$ we need the Urysohn separation axiom, of course.
Example 12. Let $\tau>1$. Define a new Urysohn space $Y_{\tau}^{\tau+1}$ on the set $Y_{\tau+1}=$ $Y_{\tau} \cup X_{\tau}$, where
(a) the neighbourhoods of the points $(r, s, \alpha), \alpha<\tau$, and of $(r, s, \tau), s \neq 0$, are not changed.
(b) neighbourhood bases of $(r, 0, \tau) \in X_{\tau}$ are $U(r, 0, \tau, \gamma, \epsilon) \cup K(r-1 / w, 0, \tau, \epsilon)$. Note that $(r, 1, \tau) \notin X_{\tau}$ by definition. Define $g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow Y_{\tau}^{\tau+1}$ by

$$
\tilde{g_{\beta}}(r, s, \alpha)= \begin{cases}(r, s, \alpha) & \text { if } \alpha<\tau \\ (r, s, \tau) & \text { if } \alpha \geq \tau, \alpha<\beta\end{cases}
$$

$\left(g_{\beta}\right)$ is an eventually periodic sink, if $\tau>\omega_{0}$. The maps $g_{\beta}$ in case $\beta>\tau$ are pressing down all $X_{\alpha}$ above $\tau$ onto the modified $X_{\tau}$. The topology on $Y_{\tau}^{\tau+1}$ is coarser then on $Y_{\tau+1}$.

## Remark 13.

(a) The proof of the previous Theorem will apply for arbitrary $X$ which has a coarser $T_{3}$-topology. This shows that in all eventually periodic, and non periodic examples the codomain has no coarser $T_{3}$-topology ( $Y_{\omega_{0}}$ has!).
(b) All sinks are uniquely defined by $g_{1}: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow X$. In all the examples, except $7(\mathrm{~b})$ and $7(\mathrm{c}), g_{1}$ is the identity on $\mathbf{Q}$. This illustrates that in determining $\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow X\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}, g_{1}$ is as important as the codomain $X$ of the sink.
(c) Theorem 9 is a strong restriction, however the arithmetic sum of the functions in 7 (b) and 7 (c) provides a new sink.
(d) After these preparations have been completed it becomes an easy task to show that Ury allows no ( $E p i, \mathcal{M})$-factorization structure for (large) sources and any $\mathcal{M}$. Since Ury has coequalizers this means that Ury is not (Epi, extremal Mono Source)-category (see [1, Proposition 15.8 (3)]).

Lemma 14. Let a sink $\left(g_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow Y\right)_{I}$ in Top be given s.t. for all $j \in I$ and all $x_{j}, y_{j} \in X_{j}, x_{j} \neq y_{j}$, there is a sink $\left(h_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow Z_{x_{j} y_{j}}\right)_{I}$ with $h_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \neq h_{j}\left(y_{j}\right)$ and a continuous map $h: Y \rightarrow Z_{x_{j} y_{j}}$ s.t. $h \circ g_{j}=h_{j}$, then for all $i \in I, g_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow Y$ is injective.

Proof: Assume we can find $j \in I, x_{j}, y_{j} \in X_{j}, x_{j} \neq y_{j}$, s.t. $g_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)=g_{j}\left(y_{j}\right)$. Take $h_{i}, h$ as in the Lemma. Then $h_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)=h \circ g_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)=h \circ g_{j}\left(y_{j}\right)=h_{j}\left(y_{j}\right)$, contradicting $h_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \neq h_{j}\left(y_{j}\right)$.
Theorem 15. The large source $\left(e_{\beta}: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow Y_{\beta}\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$ consisting of epimorphisms has no cointersection in Ury.
Proof: Assume there is a cointersection $\left(f_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow Z\right)_{\beta \in \mathbf{O r d}}$. Take $x_{\beta}, y_{\beta} \in Y_{\beta}$, $x_{\beta} \neq y_{\beta}$. There is a limit ordinal $\lambda>\beta$. Take the natural $\operatorname{sink}\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.Y_{\lambda}^{\lambda+1}\right)$ from Example 12 or the natural $\operatorname{sink}\left(g_{\beta}: Y_{\beta} \rightarrow Y_{\lambda}^{*}\right)$ from Example 7. By Lemma 14, all $f_{\beta}$ are injective, which is impossible.
Corollary 16. Ury is no $(E p i, \mathcal{M})$-category for any $\mathcal{M}$.
Proof: If we had some $\mathcal{M}$ giving a factorization structure for large sources, then by [1, Corollary $15.16(1)]$ cointersections exist, which contradicts Theorem 15.

Remark 17. If we only allow $T_{3}$-spaces as codomains of a natural sink, then by Theorem 9 each such sink factorizes through $Y_{\omega_{0}}^{\omega_{0}+1}$, which gives some kind of cointersection.
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