

Alessandro Fedeli

Two cardinal inequalities for functionally Hausdorff spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 35 (1994), No. 2, 365--369

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118676>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Two cardinal inequalities for functionally Hausdorff spaces

ALESSANDRO FEDELI

Abstract. In this paper, two cardinal inequalities for functionally Hausdorff spaces are established. A bound on the cardinality of the $\tau\theta$ -closed hull of a subset of a functionally Hausdorff space is given. Moreover, the following theorem is proved: if X is a functionally Hausdorff space, then $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)wcd(X)}$.

Keywords: cardinal functions, $\tau\theta$ -closed sets, w -compactness degree

Classification: 54A25, 54D20

A space X is said to be functionally Hausdorff if whenever $x \neq y$ in X there is a continuous real valued function f defined on X such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$. A well-known Arhangel'skii's theorem states that if X is a Hausdorff space, then $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)L(X)}$ ([1], [6]). Bella and Cammaroto [2] established some cardinal inequalities for Urysohn spaces that improve, for non regular spaces, the Arhangel'skii's formula. In this paper, a bound on the cardinality of the $\tau\theta$ -closed hull of a subset of a functionally Hausdorff space and a bound on the cardinality of a functionally Hausdorff space are given. We refer the reader to [3] and [4] for notations and definitions not explicitly given. All topological spaces considered here are assumed to be infinite. Let E be a set; the cardinality of E is denoted by $|E|$, $\mathcal{P}_k(E)$ is the collection of all subsets of E of cardinality $\leq k$. $\chi(X)$ and $L(X)$ denote respectively the character and the Lindelöf degree of a space X .

Definition 1 [5]. Let A be a subset of a space X . A is called τ -open if A is a union of cozero-sets of X . The τ -closure of A , denoted by $cl_\tau(A)$, is the set of all points $x \in X$ such that any cozero-set neighbourhood of x intersects A . The τ -interior of A , denoted by $int_\tau(A)$, is the set of all x such that there is a cozero-set neighbourhood of x contained in A .

Definition 2. Let X be a topological space and A a subset of X . The $\tau\theta$ -closure of A , denoted by $cl_{\tau\theta}(A)$, is the set of all points $x \in X$ such that $cl_\tau(V) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every open neighbourhood V of x . A is said to be $\tau\theta$ -closed if $A = cl_{\tau\theta}(A)$.

As pointed to me by S. Watson, the $\tau\theta$ -closure is not in general idempotent.

Definition 3. Let X be a topological space and A a subset of X . The $\tau\theta$ -closed hull of A , denoted by $[A]_{\tau\theta}$, is the smallest $\tau\theta$ -closed subset of X containing A .

Clearly, $[A]_{\tau\theta} = \bigcap \{F : A \subset F \text{ and } \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(F) = F\}$. For every space X and every $A \subset X$ we have $\overline{A} \subset \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A) \subset [A]_{\tau\theta} \subset \text{cl}_{\tau}(A)$. It is obvious that if X is a Tychonoff space, then $\overline{A} = \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A) = [A]_{\tau\theta} = \text{cl}_{\tau}(A)$ for any $A \subset X$.

The next result gives some conditions on a functionally Hausdorff space which are equivalent to $\text{cl}_{\tau\theta} = \text{cl}_{\tau}$.

Proposition 4. *For a functionally Hausdorff space X the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *For each τ -open set V of X , $\overline{V} = \text{cl}_{\tau}(V)$.*
- (ii) *For each open set G of X , $G \subset \text{int}_{\tau}(\text{cl}_{\tau}(G))$.*
- (iii) *For each subset A of X , $\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A) = \text{cl}_{\tau}(A)$.*
- (iv) *For each τ -open subset V of X , $\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(V) = \text{cl}_{\tau}(V)$.*

PROOF: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) Lemma 28 in [9]. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $A \subset X$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A)$, then there is an open neighbourhood G of x such that $\text{cl}_{\tau}(G) \cap A = \emptyset$. By hypothesis $G \subset \text{int}_{\tau}(\text{cl}_{\tau}(G))$, then there is a cozero set V such that $x \in V \subset \text{cl}_{\tau}(G)$, so $V \cap A = \emptyset$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_{\tau}(A)$. Hence, $\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A) = \text{cl}_{\tau}(A)$. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) is obvious. (iv) \Rightarrow (i) Let V be a τ -open subset of X , by hypothesis $\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(V) = \text{cl}_{\tau}(V)$. Now let $x \notin \overline{V}$, then there is an open set G such that $x \in G$ and $G \cap V = \text{cl}_{\tau}(V)$. Since V is τ -open, we have $\text{cl}_{\tau}(G) \cap V = \emptyset$, hence $x \notin \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(V)$. Therefore, $\overline{V} = \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(V) = \text{cl}_{\tau}(V)$. □

Remark 5. A functionally Hausdorff space X is called weakly absolutely closed [8] provided that every τ -open filter base on X has an adherent point. An SW space is a functionally Hausdorff space X such that every point-separating subalgebra of $C^*(X)$ which contains the constants is uniformly dense in $C^*(X)$ [8]. It is worth noting that by Lemma 25 in [9] and Proposition 4, a functionally Hausdorff space X is weakly absolutely closed iff it is an SW space and $\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A) = \text{cl}_{\tau}(A)$ for every $A \subset X$.

The following result gives an upper bound on the $\tau\theta$ -closed hull.

Theorem 6. *Let X be a functionally Hausdorff space. If A is a subset of X , then $|[A]_{\tau\theta}| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)}$.*

PROOF: Let $m = \chi(X)$ and $k = |A|$. For each $x \in X$ let $\mathcal{B}(x)$ be a base for X at the point x such that $|\mathcal{B}(x)| \leq m$. If $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A)$, choose a point in $\text{cl}_{\tau}(U) \cap A$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ and let B_x be the set so obtained. Clearly, $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(B_x)$ and $|B_x| \leq m$. Let $\mathcal{G}_x = \{\text{cl}_{\tau}(U) \cap B_x : U \in \mathcal{B}(x)\}$. For every $U \in \mathcal{B}_x$ we have $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(\text{cl}_{\tau}(U) \cap B_x)$, in fact, if $V \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ let $W \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ such that $W \subset V \cap U$, then

$$\emptyset \neq \text{cl}_{\tau}(W) \cap B_x \subset \text{cl}_{\tau}(V \cap U) \cap B_x \subset \text{cl}_{\tau}(V) \cap (\text{cl}_{\tau}(U) \cap B_x).$$

Since X is functionally Hausdorff, then $\bigcap \{\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(\text{cl}_{\tau}(U) \cap B_x) : U \in \mathcal{B}(x)\} = \{x\}$, in fact let $y \neq x$, then there exist open sets G and H such that $x \in G$, $y \in H$ and $\text{cl}_{\tau}(G) \cap \text{cl}_{\tau}(H) = \emptyset$, now let $U \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ such that $U \subset G$, then $\text{cl}_{\tau}(H) \cap \text{cl}_{\tau}(U) = \emptyset$, so $y \notin \bigcap \{\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(\text{cl}_{\tau}(U) : U \in \mathcal{B}(x))\}$, and, a fortiori, $y \notin \bigcap \{\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(\text{cl}_{\tau}(U) \cap B_x) :$

$U \in \mathcal{B}(x)$. So the map $\psi : \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{P}_m(A))$ defined by $\psi(x) = \mathcal{G}_x$ for every $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A)$, is one to one. Since $|\mathcal{P}_m(\mathcal{P}_m(A))| \leq (k^m)^m = k^m$, then $|\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A)| \leq k^m = |A|^{\chi(X)}$. Let $A_0 = A$ and, by transfinite induction, define for every $\alpha < m^+$ sets A_α such that $A_\alpha = \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(\bigcup\{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\})$. Clearly $\bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\} \subset [A]_{\tau\theta}$. Now let $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(\bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\})$, for each $V \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ choose a point in $\text{cl}_\tau(V) \cap (\bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\})$ and let B be the set so obtained, obviously $B \in \mathcal{P}_m(\bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\})$ and $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(B)$. Since m^+ is regular, there is an ordinal $\alpha < m^+$ such that $B \subset A_\alpha$, so

$$x \in \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(B) \subset \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(A_\alpha) \subset A_{\alpha+1} \subset \bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\},$$

therefore $\bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\}$ is $\tau\theta$ -closed. Hence $[A]_{\tau\theta} = \bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\}$. It remains to show that $|A_\alpha| \leq k^m$ for each $\alpha < m^+$ (this is equivalent to $|\bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\}| \leq k^m$). Suppose there is an ordinal $\alpha < m^+$ such that $|A_\alpha| > k^m$ and let $\gamma = \min\{\alpha : |A_\alpha| > k^m\}$. Since $|A_\alpha| \leq k^m$ for every $\beta < \gamma$, we have $|\bigcup\{A_\beta : \beta < \gamma\}| \leq k^m$. Now $A_\gamma = \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(\bigcup\{A_\beta : \beta < \gamma\})$, hence

$$|A_\gamma| = |\text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(\bigcup\{A_\beta : \beta < \gamma\})| \leq |\bigcup\{A_\beta : \beta < \gamma\}|^{\chi(X)} \leq (k^m)^m = k^m,$$

a contradiction. □

Definition 7. Let X be a topological space. The w -compactness degree of X , denoted by $wcd(X)$, is defined as the smallest infinite cardinal number k with the property that for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X there is a subcollection $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{P}_k(\mathcal{U})$ for which $X = \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\tau(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$.

For every space X we have $wcd(X) \leq L(X)$ and this inequality can be proper.

Example 8. Let X be any infinite T_3 -space such that every continuous real valued function defined on X is constant. Clearly $wcd(X) = \aleph_0 < L(X)$.

Example 9. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$ let $I(\alpha) = \{\alpha\} \times$ an open interval in the real line. Set $X = \omega_1 \cup \bigcup\{I(\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and for $x, y \in X$ define $x < y$ if (i) $x, y \in \omega_1$ and $x < y$ in ω_1 , or (ii) $x \in \omega_1, y \in I(\beta)$ and $x \leq \beta$ in ω_1 , or (iii) $x \in I(\gamma), y \in \omega_1$ and $\gamma < y$ in ω_1 , or (iv) $x \in I(\alpha), y \in I(\beta)$ and $\alpha < \beta$ in ω_1 , or (v) $x, y \in I(\alpha)$ and $x < y$ in $I(\alpha)$. Let σ be the order topology on X . Let $Y = X \cup \{\omega_1\}$, define $x < \omega_1$ for every $x \in X$ and let ϱ be the order topology on Y . If τ is the topology on Y generated by $\varrho \cup \{Y - L : L \text{ is the set of limit ordinals in } Y - \{\omega_1\}\}$, then (Y, τ) is a functionally Hausdorff H -closed space which fails to be Lindelöf [7], so $wcd(Y) = \aleph_0 < L(Y)$.

Theorem 10. If X is a functionally Hausdorff space, then $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)wcd(X)}$.

PROOF: Let $m = \chi(X)wcd(X)$ and for every $x \in X$ let $\mathcal{B}(x)$ be a base for X at the point x such that $|\mathcal{B}(x)| \leq m$. Construct a family $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\}$ of subsets of X such that

- (1) for any $\alpha < m^+$ C_α is $\tau\theta$ -closed;
- (2) for any $\alpha < m^+$ $|C_\alpha| \leq 2^m$;
- (3) if $\alpha < \beta < m^+$, then $C_\alpha \subset C_\beta$;
- (4) for any $\alpha < m^+$, if $\mathcal{U} \subset \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}(x) : x \in \bigcup\{C_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}\}$, $|\mathcal{U}| \leq m$ and $X - \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\tau(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \emptyset$, then $C_\alpha - \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\tau(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \emptyset$.

The construction is done by transfinite induction. Let $p \in X$ and $C_0 = \{p\}$. Let $0 < \alpha < m^+$ and assume that C_β has been constructed for every $\beta < \alpha$. Let $\mathcal{B}_\alpha = \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}(x) : x \in \bigcup\{C_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}\}$, clearly $|\mathcal{B}_\alpha| \leq 2^m$. For any $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ such that $|\mathcal{U}| \leq m$ and $X - \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\tau(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \emptyset$, choose a point in $X - \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\tau(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and let A be the set so obtained, obviously $|A| \leq 2^m$. Let $C_\alpha = [A \cup (\bigcup\{C_\beta : \beta < \alpha\})]_{\tau\theta}$, C_α satisfies (1), (3), (4) and, by Theorem 6, also (2). The set $C = \bigcup\{C_\alpha : \alpha < m^+\}$ is $\tau\theta$ -closed, in fact let $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(C)$, for every $V \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ choose a point in $\text{cl}_\tau(V) \cap C$ and let K be the set so obtained, clearly $|K| \leq m$, therefore there exists an $\alpha < m^+$ such that $K \subset C_\alpha$, then $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(K) \subset \text{cl}_{\tau\theta}(C_\alpha) = C_\alpha \subset C$. Obviously $|C| \leq 2^m$, so to complete the proof it suffices to show that $C = X$. Let us suppose that $y \in X - C$, since X is functionally Hausdorff, then for any $x \in C$ there is a $U_x \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ such that $y \notin \text{cl}_\tau(U_x)$; for every $x \in X - C$ let $U_x \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ such that $\text{cl}_\tau(U_x) \cap C = \emptyset$ (C is $\tau\theta$ -closed). $\{U_x\}_{x \in X}$ is an open cover of X , since $wcd(X) \leq m$ there is a $B \subset X$ such that $|B| \leq m$ and $X = \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\tau(U_x) : x \in B\}$, clearly $C \subset \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\tau(U_x) : x \in B \cap C\}$. Since $|B \cap C| \leq m$, there is an $\alpha < m^+$ such that $B \cap C \subset C_\alpha$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_x : x \in B \cap C\}$, $\mathcal{U} \subset \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}(x) : x \in \bigcup\{C_\beta : \beta < \alpha + 1\}\}$, $|\mathcal{U}| \leq m$, $y \in X - \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\tau(U_x) : U_x \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and $C_{\alpha+1} - \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\tau(U_x) : U_x \in \mathcal{U}\} = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Hence $C = X$ and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 11. Let X be a functionally Hausdorff space and let wX be the completely regular space which has the same points and continuous real valued functions as those of X . Clearly $L(wX) \leq wcd(X)$ for every functionally Hausdorff space X . On the other hand, there exist functionally Hausdorff spaces X such that $\chi(X) < \chi(wX)$ (see e.g. [9, Example 36]). I do not know if $\chi(wX)L(wX) \leq \chi(X)wcd(X)$ for every functionally Hausdorff space X ; if this is the case, then Theorem 10 is a consequence of the Arkhangel'skii's inequality quoted at the beginning.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arkhangel'skii A.V., *The power of bicompacta with the first axiom of countability*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **10** (1969), 951–955.
- [2] Bella A., Cammaroto F., *On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **31** (2) (1988), 153–158.
- [3] Engelking R., *General Topology. Revised and completed edition*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] Hodel R., *Cardinal Functions I*, in Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds.), Elsevier Science Publishers, B.V., North Holland, 1984, pp. 1–61.
- [5] Ishii T., *On the Tychonoff functor and w -compactness*, Topology Appl. **11** (1980), 173–187.
- [6] Pol R., *Short proofs of two theorems on cardinality of topological spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser., Math. Astr. Phys. **22** (1974), 1245–1249.

- [7] Stephenson R.M., Jr., *Spaces for which the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (1968), 537–546.
- [8] ———, *Product spaces for which the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 284–288.
- [9] ———, *Pseudocompact and Stone-Weierstrass product spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **99** (1) (1982), 159–174.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ, 67100 L'AQUILA, ITALY

(Received July 27, 1993)