Wojciech Bartoszek On concentrated probabilities on non locally compact groups

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 37 (1996), No. 3, 635--640

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118870

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

# On concentrated probabilities on non locally compact groups

### WOJCIECH BARTOSZEK

Abstract. Let G be a Polish group with an invariant metric. We characterize those probability measures  $\mu$  on G so that there exist a sequence  $g_n \in G$  and a compact set  $A \subseteq G$  with  $\mu^{*n}(g_n A) \equiv 1$  for all n.

*Keywords:* concentration function, random walk, Markov operator, invariant measure *Classification:* 22D40, 43A05, 47A35, 60B15, 60J15

In what follows we shall use the terminology and notation from [1]. However, for the convenience of the reader we briefly recall the most important ones. A metric d on the group G is said to be invariant if  $d(g_1g, g_2g) = d(gg_1, gg_2) = d(g_1, g_2)$ for all  $g, g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $A \subseteq G$  by  $L(A, \varepsilon)$  we denote the largest natural l (if it does not exist, then we set  $L(A, \varepsilon) = \infty$ ) such that there exists a finite set  $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_l\} \subseteq A$  with  $d(y_i, y_j) \ge \varepsilon$  if  $i \ne j$ . For r > 0 by K(A, r)we denote the generalized open ball  $\{g \in G : \inf_{a \in A} d(a, g) < r\}$ .

As usual \* stands for the convolution operation, which is well defined on M(G), the Banach lattice of all finite signed (Borel) measures on G. If  $\mu$  is a probability measure on G then  $S(\mu)$  is its topological support. A measure  $\mu$  is said to be adapted if the closed subgroup generated by  $S(\mu)$  coincides with G. The smallest closed subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that gH = Hg and  $S(\mu) \subseteq gH$  for all  $g \in S(\mu)$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ . If an adapted measure  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu) = G$  then we say that it is strictly aperiodic.

The paper is devoted to asymptotic behaviour of convolution powers  $\mu^{*n}$  of a fixed probability measure  $\mu$ . In particular, we examine when the concentration function does not tend to zero (i.e.  $\sup_{g \in G} \mu^{*n}(gA) \ge \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , compact

 $A \subseteq G$ , and all n).

In the past this problem was studied mainly for locally compact topological groups. The reader is referred to [1], [2] and [4] for more details in this regard. It should be noted that [4] contains an affirmative answer to the so called Hofmann-Mukhereja conjecture, which says that adapted and strictly aperiodic probability

This paper constitutes the main part of the talk which was delivered by the author at the  $23^{rd}$  Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Lhota nad Rohanovem, 22–29 January 1995. The warm hospitality of the organizers is acknowledged.

I thank the Foundation for Research Development for financial support

measures on locally compact, Hausdorff and  $\sigma$ -compact (noncompact) groups have concentration functions tending to zero.

The aim of the present paper is to extend the main result of [1] to non locally compact groups, that is to prove the following result:

**Theorem.** Let (G, d) be a Polish group with an invariant metric d and  $\mu$  be a probability Borel measure on G. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) there exist a sequence  $g_n \in G$  and compact  $A \subseteq G$  such that  $\mu^{*n}(g_n A) \equiv 1$  for all n ( $\mu$  is concentrated),
- (ii) there exist a sequence  $g_n \in G$ , compact  $A \subseteq G$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\mu^{*n}(g_n A) \ge \varepsilon$  for all n ( $\mu$  is **nonscatterred**),
- (iii)  $\check{\mu} * \varrho * \mu = \varrho$  for some probability measure  $\varrho$ ,
- (iv)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} L(S(\mu^{*n}), \varepsilon) = \ell_{\varepsilon} < \infty$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,
- (v)  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  is compact.

Moreover, if the above statements hold then

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mu) = S(\omega), \quad \text{where} \quad \omega = \lim_{n \to \infty} \check{\mu}^{*n} * \mu^{*n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^{*n} * \check{\mu}^{*n}$$

is the normalized Haar measure on  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ , and the convergence holds in the weak measure topology.

Most of the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 1 from [1] is still valid. However, we have to replace those parts of the old proof where we rely on the Haar measure. In particular, the convolution operators  $P_{\mu}$  cannot be introduced. Because of this, the condition (iii) from [1] is scrapped. Our new proof is based on the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 1** (see [3]). Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on G and

$$\alpha_{\mu} = \sup_{\substack{F \subseteq G \\ F \text{ compact}}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{g \in G} \mu^{*n}(gF).$$

Then  $\alpha_{\mu} = 0$  or  $\alpha_{\mu} = 1$ .

**PROOF:** For the proof the reader is referred to (3.6) Theorem 3.1 in [3].

**Lemma 2.** If  $\alpha_{\mu} = 1$  then there exists a probability measure  $\rho$  on G such that  $\check{\mu} * \rho * \mu = \rho$ .

**PROOF:** Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist compact  $F \subseteq G$  and a sequence  $g_n \in G$  such that  $\mu^{*n}(g_n F) > 1 - \varepsilon$ . This implies

$$\check{\mu}^{*n} * \mu^{*n}(F^{-1}F) > (1-\varepsilon)^2.$$

Define  $T_{\mu}(\nu) = \check{\mu} * \nu * \mu$  to be a linear positive contraction on M(G). It follows from Lemma 2 and the Prohorov's criterion (see [5, Proposition 52.3]) that the sequence  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}T_{\mu}^{n}\delta_{e}$  is relatively compact for the weak measure topology. Hence

$$\varrho = \lim_{N_l \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{n=0}^{N_l-1} T^n_{\mu} \delta_e \quad \text{for some sequence} \quad N_l \nearrow \infty$$

Clearly,  $\rho$  is a  $T_{\mu}$ -invariant probability measure (in particular  $\check{\mu} * \rho * \mu = \rho$ ).

PROOF (OF THE THEOREM): For implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) the reader is referred to [1, Theorem 1] and (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) easily follows from Lemmas 1 and 2. To complete the proof we must show that these conditions imply

$$\omega = \lim_{n \to \infty} \check{\mu}^{*n} \, * \, \mu^{*n}$$

exists and coincides with the normalized Haar measure on  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu) = \mathfrak{h}(\check{\mu})$ . For this we define the Markov operator

$$Tf(g) = \iint f(xgy) d\check{\mu}(x) d\mu(y)$$

on the Banach lattice  $C(\mathfrak{h}(\mu))$  of all continuous functions on  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ . Note that T is well defined as

$$x^{-1}gy \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$$
 for all  $x, y \in S(\mu)$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ .

Clearly, the adjoint operator  $T^*$  coincides with  $T_{\mu}$  (restricted to  $M(\mathfrak{h}(\mu))$ ). For every  $f \in C(\mathfrak{h}(\mu))$  the iterations  $T^n f$  are norm (sup) relatively compact. This will follow from the Arzela theorem. In fact, let  $\delta > 0$  be such that

$$|f(g_1) - f(g_2)| < \varepsilon$$
 whenever  $d(g_1, g_2) < \delta$ .

By the invariance of d for arbitrary  $x, y \in S(\mu^{*n})$  we get

$$d(x^{-1}g_1y, x^{-1}g_2y) = d(g_1, g_2).$$

Hence

$$|T^n f(g_1) - T^n f(g_2)| \le \iint |f(x^{-1}g_1y) - f(x^{-1}g_2y)| \, d\mu^{*n}(x) \, d\mu^{*n}(y) < \varepsilon.$$

Now we show that T is irreducible. Given a nonzero and nonnegative  $f \in C(\mathfrak{h}(\mu))$  let us suppose that

$$T^n f(g_n) = 0$$
 where  $g_n \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ .

W. Bartoszek

We choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a convergent subsequence

$$g_0 = \lim_{j \to \infty} g_{n_j}.$$

By continuity

$$f \equiv 0$$
 on  $S(\check{\mu}^{*n})g_n S(\mu^{*n}),$ 

what implies

$$f(g) < \varepsilon$$
 for all  $g \in K(S(\check{\mu}^{*n_j})g_{n_j}S(\mu^{*n_j}), \delta).$ 

From the proof of Theorem 1 in [1] it follows that

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mu) = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S(\check{\mu}^{*n}) \, S(\mu^{*n})}.$$

Hence, there are  $v_j, w_j \in S(\mu^{*j})$  such that

$$\mathrm{d}\left(g_{n_j}, w_j^{-1} v_j\right) \xrightarrow[j \to \infty]{} 0.$$

If j is large enough we get

$$S(\check{\mu}^{*n_j})w_j^{-1}v_j S(\mu^{*n_j}) \subseteq K(S(\check{\mu}^{*n_j})g_{n_j}S(\mu^{*n_j}),\delta).$$

It is proved in [1] (see Theorem 1) that if j tends to infinity and if  $\mu$  is nonscattered then the compact sets

$$S(\check{\mu}^{*n_j})w_j^{-1}$$
 and  $v_j S(\mu^{*n_j})$ 

are close in the Hausdorff metric to

$$S(\check{\mu}^{*(n_j+j)})$$
 and  $S(\mu^{*(n_j+j)})$ 

respectively. Hence

$$S(\check{\mu}^{*(n_j+j)} * \mu^{*(n_j+j)}) \subseteq K(S(\check{\mu}^{*n_j})g_{n_j}S(\mu^{*n_j}), 2\delta)$$

for j large enough. Since the sequence  $S(\check{\mu}^{*n} * \mu^{*n})$  is nondecreasing we obtain

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mu) \subseteq K(S(\check{\mu}^{*n_j})g_{n_j}S(\mu^{*n_j}), 2\delta)$$

for some j, and we get  $f(g) < \varepsilon$  for all  $g \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ . This contradicts f being nonzero as  $\varepsilon$  may be taken as small as we wish. We have proved that for every nonnegative and nonzero  $f \in C(\mathfrak{h}(\mu))$  there exist  $\varepsilon$  and n such that

(a) 
$$T^n f(x) \ge \varepsilon > 0$$
 for all  $x \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ .

638

For arbitrary  $f \in C(\mathfrak{h}(\mu))$  we denote

$$O(f) = \max_{x \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)} f(x) - \min_{y \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)} f(y) \ge 0.$$

Clearly,  $O(T^n f)$  is nonincreasing. By (a)

$$O(T^n f) < O(f)$$
 for some  $n \ge 1$ 

whenever f is nonconstant. If g is any limit function of the sequence  $T^n f$  (it exists by compactness of trajectories), then O(Tg) = O(g), what follows from monotonicity of  $O(T^n f)$ . Therefore all limit functions g are constant. Since Tis markovian  $(T\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1})$  this implies that  $T^n f \to \Lambda(f)$  uniformly, where  $\Lambda(f)$  is a constant function. From the general theory of Markov operators the functional  $\Lambda(f)$  has the form  $\int f \, dm$ , where m is the unique  $T^*$ -invariant probability such that  $S(m) = \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  (see [6] for all details). In particular,

$$\check{\mu}^{*n} * \mu^{*n} = T^{*n}\delta_e$$

converges weakly to m. Clearly

$$m = \varrho = \lim_{N_l \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{n=0}^{N_l - 1} \check{\mu}^{*n} * \mu^{*n}.$$

To prove that m is the Haar measure  $\omega$  on  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  it is sufficient to show that

$$\int f_h(g) \, dm(g) = \int f(g) \, dm(g)$$

for all  $f \in C(\mathfrak{h}(\mu))$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ , where  $f_h(g) = f(gh)$ . For this note that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\check{\mu}^{*n}\,\ast\,\omega\,\ast\,\mu^{*n}=m\quad\text{and}\quad\delta_{x^{-1}}\,\ast\,\omega\,\ast\,\delta_y$$

do not depend on  $x, y \in S(\mu^{*n})$  (thus they coincide with  $\check{\mu}^{*n} * \omega * \mu^{*n}$ ). Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists *n* such that

$$\left|\int f(g)\,dm(g) - \int f(x^{-1}gy)\,d\omega(g)\right| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left|\int f_h(g)\,dm(g) - \int f_h(x^{-1}gy)\,d\omega(g)\right| < \varepsilon$$

#### W. Bartoszek

for all  $x, y \in S(\mu^{*n})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G(\mu)$  we get  $yh = \tilde{h}y$  for some  $\tilde{h} \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ . Hence

$$\int f_h(x^{-1}gy) \, d\omega(g) = \int f(x^{-1}gyh) \, d\omega(g) =$$
$$\int f(x^{-1}g\tilde{h}y) \, d\omega(g) = \int f(x^{-1}gy) \, d\omega(g),$$

and we get

$$\left|\int f(g)\,dm(g) - \int f_h(g)\,dm(g)\right| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary the invariance of *m* follows. We conclude  $m = \omega$ .

Note that  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu) = \mathfrak{h}(\check{\mu})$ . In particular,  $\check{\mu}$  is concentrated as well. Therefore  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu^{*n} * \check{\mu}^{*n} = \omega$  and the proof is complete.

#### References

- [1] Bartoszek W., On concentrated probabilities, Ann. Polon. Math. 61.1 (1995), 25-38.
- [2] Bartoszek W., The structure of random walks on semidirect products, Bull. L'Acad. Pol. Sci. ser. Sci. Math. Astr. & Phys. 43.4 (1995), 277–282.
- [3] Csiszár I., On infinite products of random elements and infinite convolutions of probability distributions on locally compact groups, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 5 (1966), 279–299.
- [4] Jaworski W., Rosenblatt J., Willis G., Concentration functions in locally compact groups, preprint, 17 pages, 1995.
- [5] Parthasarathy K.R., Introduction to Probability and Measure, New Delhi, 1980.
- [6] Sine R., Geometric theory of a single Markov operator, Pacif. J. Math. 27.1 (1968), 155– 166.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA, P.O. BOX 392, PRETORIA 0001, SOUTH AFRICA

E-mail: bartowk@risc5.unisa.ac.za

(Received September 1, 1995, revised January 25, 1996)

640