

David Pavlica

On the points of non-differentiability of convex functions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 45 (2004), No. 4, 727--734

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119496>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On the points of non-differentiability of convex functions

DAVID PAVLICA

Abstract. We characterize sets of non-differentiability points of convex functions on \mathbb{R}^n . This completes (in \mathbb{R}^n) the result by Zajíček [2] which gives a characterization of the magnitude of these sets.

Keywords: differentiability, convex functions

Classification: Primary 26B05; Secondary 26B25

In the present paper we give a complete characterization of sets of non-differentiability points of convex functions on \mathbb{R}^n . For a convex function f on \mathbb{R}^n , $0 \leq k \leq n$, $S_k(f)$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $\dim \partial f(x) \geq n - k$ ($\partial f(x)$ denotes the subdifferential of f at the point x). In [2] the following characterization of the magnitude of $S_k(f)$ is given.

Definition 1. A set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a δ -convex surface of dimension k ($k = 1, \dots, n - 1$) if there exists a permutation π of the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ and $2n - 2k$ convex functions $f_{k+1}, g_{k+1}, \dots, f_n, g_n$ defined on the whole space \mathbb{R}^k such that

$$S = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_{\pi(j)} = f_j(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(k)}) - g_j(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(k)}) \text{ for } j = k + 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Theorem Z. A set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subset of the set $S_k(f)$ ($1 \leq k \leq n - 1$) for some convex function f defined on \mathbb{R}^n iff M can be covered by countably many δ -convex surfaces of dimension k .

It is known that, for any convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $S_k(f)$ is a F_σ -set. We shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, P be an F_σ -subset of a countable union of δ -convex surfaces of dimension k . Then there exists a convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $S_k(f) = P$ and f is differentiable at all points of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus P$.

In the proof we shall use the notion of a dual convex function.

The author was supported by the grants GAČR 201/00/0767 and GAČR 201/03/0931.

Definition. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a convex function. The dual function f^* of the function f is defined on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ by

$$f^*(x^*) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (\langle x, x^* \rangle - f(x)), \quad x^* \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*.$$

It follows immediately from the definition that if $f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are convex functions, $f \leq g$ and f^* is finite everywhere then g^* is finite everywhere.

As usual, we identify the dual space $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ with \mathbb{R}^n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes both duality and scalar product.

Facts. If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function then

- (1) $(f^*)^* = f$,
- (2) $x^* \in \partial f(x) \Leftrightarrow x \in \partial f^*(x^*)$,
- (3) if f^* is finite on \mathbb{R}^n , then the epigraph of f contains no non-vertical halflines.

The statement (1) can be found in [1, Theorem 12.2], (2) in [1, Theorem 23.5] and (3) in [1, Corollary 13.3.1].

Fact (4). A closed convex set in \mathbb{R}^n containing no halflines is bounded.

Fact (4) can be easily proved by a compactness argument.

Fact (5). If f^* is finite on \mathbb{R}^n , then for each affine functional π , the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq \pi(x)\}$ is bounded.

Fact (5) is a consequence of Facts (3) and (4).

If a convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is not differentiable at some point x then there exist $x^* \neq y^*$, $x^*, y^* \in \partial f(x)$, and therefore, by the fact (2), $x \in \partial f^*(x^*) \cap \partial f^*(y^*)$. Consequently there is a line segment on the graph of f^* with endpoints $(x^*, f^*(x^*))$, $(y^*, f^*(y^*))$. Conversely, if there is a line segment on the graph of f^* with a supporting linear functional $\langle x, \cdot \rangle$ (it means that for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the graph of $\langle x, \cdot \rangle + \alpha$ contains this line segment and $\langle x, \cdot \rangle + \alpha \leq f^*$) then f is not differentiable at x .

In particular, the dual function of a strictly convex function is differentiable everywhere.

In the proof of our theorem we need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 1. Let T be a compact convex set in \mathbb{R}^n with a non-empty interior, $h: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a convex function, $h|_{\partial T} \equiv 0$ and $h(x) < 0$ for some $x \in T$. Then there exists a convex function $\bar{h}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{h}|_{\partial T} \equiv 0$, $\bar{h} \geq h$ on T and \bar{h} is affine on no line segment in $\text{int } T$.

PROOF: For a compact convex set C in \mathbb{R}^n such that $0 \in \text{int } C$, denote

$$\gamma(y|C) := \inf\{\mu \geq 0 : y \in \mu C\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By [1, §15] $\gamma(\cdot|C)$ is a convex function (therefore it is continuous), obviously it is positively homogenous and equal to 1 on ∂C .

Let us denote for $x \in \text{int } T$

$$h_x(z) := -h(x) (\gamma(z - x|T - x) - 1), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For $x \neq z$ denote $r_x(z)$ the point of intersection of ∂T and the halfline starting at x and containing z . It is easy to check that

$$r_z(y) = z + \frac{y - z}{\gamma(y - z|T - z)}, \quad z \in \text{int } T, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{z\}.$$

For $y = 2z - x$ we get

$$r_x(z) = r_z(y) = z + \frac{z - x}{\gamma(z - x|T - z)}, \quad x, z \in \text{int } T, \quad x \neq z.$$

Hence, for $z \in \text{int } T$, $g(x) = r_x(z)$ is a continuous mapping on $\text{int } T \setminus \{z\}$.

Clearly h_x is convex, $h_x \equiv 0$ on ∂T , $h_x < 0$ on $\text{int } T$, $h_x \geq h$ on T , and h_x is affine on every halfline starting at the point x .

If $y \neq x \neq z$ and h_x is affine on $\text{conv}\{y, z\}$ then it is affine on $\text{conv}\{x, r_x(y), r_x(z)\}$ and therefore $\text{conv}\{r_x(y), r_x(z)\} \subset \partial T$.

We choose a countable dense set $x_1, x_2, \dots \in \text{int } T$ and set

$$\bar{h} := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_{x_i}}{2^i}.$$

Then obviously $\bar{h} \geq h$ on T and $\bar{h}|_{\partial T} \equiv 0$.

For a contradiction let us suppose \bar{h} is affine on some line segment $\text{conv}\{y, z\}$, $y \neq z$, $y, z \in \text{int } T$. Then, for each i , h_{x_i} is affine on $\text{conv}\{y, z\}$. We choose a sequence $\{x_{k_i}\}$ such that $x_{k_i} \rightarrow \frac{y+z}{2}$ for $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have

$$\text{conv} \left\{ r_{x_{k_i}}(y), r_{x_{k_i}}(z) \right\} \subset \partial T.$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ we get (since $g(x) = r_x(z)$ is a continuous mapping)

$$\text{conv} \left\{ r_{\frac{y+z}{2}}(y), r_{\frac{y+z}{2}}(z) \right\} \subset \partial T,$$

a contradiction. □

Lemma 2. *Assume $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed subset of a δ -convex surface S of dimension k , $0 < k < n$. Then there exists a convex function $H: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that H is differentiable at all points of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F$ and $S_k(H) = F$.*

PROOF: By Theorem Z there is a convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $S \subset S_k(f)$. We may assume that f is strictly convex and f^* is finite everywhere since otherwise we take $f(x) + \|x\|^2$ (there exists an affine functional p such that $p \leq f$ and since $(p(x) + \|x\|^2)^*$ is finite everywhere we have that $(f(x) + \|x\|^2)^*$ is finite everywhere too).

Therefore f^* is differentiable everywhere. Let us denote

$$F^* := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla(f^*)(x) \in F\}.$$

Since the mapping $\nabla(f^*)$ is continuous, F^* is closed. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote by

$$p_x(z) = \langle z, x \rangle + \alpha_x$$

the supporting affine functional to f^* (it exists for all x since $(f^*)^* = f$ is finite everywhere). For $\varepsilon > 0$ let us denote

$$U_{x,\varepsilon} := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : f^*(z) < p_x(z) + \varepsilon\},$$

$$T_{x,\varepsilon} := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : f^*(z) \leq p_x(z) + \varepsilon\}.$$

By the fact (5) applied to f^* , the set $T_{x,\varepsilon}$ is compact and clearly it is convex. The set $U_{x,\varepsilon}$ is open.

Claim. *For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus F$,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \text{dist}(T_{x,\varepsilon}, F^*) > 0$$

holds.

PROOF OF CLAIM: Let us denote

$$W_x := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : f^*(z) = p_x(z)\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} T_{x,\varepsilon}.$$

Clearly $W_x \cap F^* = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} (T_{x,\varepsilon} \cap F^*) = \emptyset$. Since $T_{x,\varepsilon} \cap F^*$ are compact, for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ we have $T_{x,\varepsilon_0} \cap F^* = \emptyset$. Thus $\text{dist}(T_{x,\varepsilon_0}, F^*) > 0$ and consequently, since $g(\varepsilon) = \text{dist}(T_{x,\varepsilon}, F^*)$ is a non-increasing function, our Claim is proved. \square

By above Claim we can, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus F$, fix $0 < \varepsilon_x < 1$ such that

$$[\text{dist}(T_{x,\varepsilon_x}, F^*)]^2 \geq \varepsilon_x.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F^* = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus F} U_{x, \varepsilon_x},$$

since, for $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus F^*$, we have $x^* \in W_x \subset U_x$ for $x = \nabla f^*(x^*) \notin F$. Therefore there exist points $x_1, x_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus F$ such that

$$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{x_i, \varepsilon_{x_i}}.$$

According to Lemma 1, choose for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ a convex function $h_i : T_{x_i, \varepsilon_{x_i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$h_i|_{\partial T_{x_i, \varepsilon_{x_i}}} \equiv 0,$$

h_i is affine on no line segment in $U_{x_i, \varepsilon_{x_i}}$ and $h_i \geq f^* - p_{x_i} - \varepsilon_{x_i}$. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_i &: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \tilde{h}_i &= h_i + p_{x_i} + \varepsilon_{x_i} && \text{on } T_{x_i, \varepsilon_{x_i}}, \\ &= f^* && \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus T_{x_i, \varepsilon_{x_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $f^* \leq \tilde{h}_i \leq f^* + \varepsilon_{x_i}$.

Observation. If h is a convex function on \mathbb{R}^n , \bar{h} is a convex function on a compact convex set $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\bar{h}|_{\partial T} \equiv h|_{\partial T}$, $\bar{h} \geq h$ on T , then the function

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h} &= h && \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus T; \\ \tilde{h} &= \bar{h} && \text{on } T \end{aligned}$$

is convex.

PROOF OF OBSERVATION: For $n = 1$ it is elementary and the higher dimensional case is an immediate consequence of the 1-dimensional one. □

By this Observation functions \tilde{h}_i are convex. Set

$$\tilde{h} := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{h}_i}{2^i}.$$

Clearly $\tilde{h} = f^*$ on F^* , and $0 \leq \tilde{h} - f^* \leq 1$. Hence $\tilde{h} < +\infty$. Moreover \tilde{h} is affine on no line segment in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F^*$. Now we shall prove that $H := (\tilde{h})^*$ fulfills the assertion of the lemma. The function H is finite everywhere since $\tilde{h} \geq f^*$ and $(f^*)^*$ is finite everywhere.

Let $x \in F$. There exist affine independent $y_i \in \partial f(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, n - k + 1$. By Fact (2) we have $x \in \partial f^*(y_i)$ and so $y_i \in F^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n - k + 1$. Thus $\tilde{h}(y_i) = f^*(y_i)$ and consequently, since $\tilde{h} \geq f^*$, we have $x \in \partial \tilde{h}(y_i)$. Therefore $y_i \in \partial H(x)$, and so $x \in S_k(H)$.

Let us suppose for a contradiction that H is not differentiable at a point $x \notin F$. Then there exist $z_1 \neq z_2$, $z_1, z_2 \in \partial H(x)$. Thus $x \in \partial \tilde{h}(z_1) \cap \partial \tilde{h}(z_2)$. Further, \tilde{h} is affine on no line segment in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F^*$, therefore $z_1, z_2 \in F^*$.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $f^* \leq \tilde{h}_i \leq f^* + \varepsilon_{x_i}$ and

$$\varepsilon_{x_i} \leq \left[\text{dist}(z_1, T_{x_i, \varepsilon_{x_i}}) \right]^2.$$

Therefore

$$|f^*(z) - \tilde{h}_i(z)| \leq \varepsilon_{x_i} \leq \|z - z_1\|^2 \text{ for } z \in T_{x_i, \varepsilon_{x_i}}.$$

Since also $f^*(z) = \tilde{h}_i(z)$ for $z \notin T_{x_i, \varepsilon_{x_i}}$, we have for all z

$$\begin{aligned} |f^*(z) - \tilde{h}(z)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} (f^*(z) - \tilde{h}_i(z)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \|z - z_1\|^2 \leq \|z - z_1\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This easily implies $\partial \tilde{h}(z_1) = \partial f^*(z_1)$, a contradiction with $x \in \partial \tilde{h}(z_1)$, $\partial f^*(z_1) \subset F$.

Lemma 3. *If $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and $f_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, are convex functions, each differentiable at all points of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_k(f_i)$, then there exists a convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$S_k(f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_k(f_i)$$

and f is differentiable at all points of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_k(f)$.

PROOF: Let us denote $B(0, r) := \{z : \|z\| \leq r\}$.

Choose $c_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$|c_i f_i| \leq \frac{1}{2^i} \text{ on } B(0, i),$$

$$c_i f_i \text{ is Lipschitz with the constant } \frac{1}{2^i} \text{ on } B(0, i).$$

Set $f := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i f_i$. Clearly $S_k(f) \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_k(f_i)$. Let us suppose for a contradiction f is not differentiable at some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all f_i are differentiable at x .

There exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|v\| = 1$ and

$$d := d^+ f(x)(v) + d^+ f(x)(-v) > 0,$$

where $d^+ f(x)(v) := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+\lambda v) - f(x)}{\lambda}$.

Find $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-j+1} < d$ and $x \in B(0, j)$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^j c_i f_i$ is differentiable at x ,

$$d^+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^j c_i f_i \right) (x)(v) + d^+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^j c_i f_i \right) (x)(-v) = 0.$$

Further, $\sum_{i=j+1}^\infty c_i f_i$ is Lipschitz with the constant $\frac{1}{2^j}$ on $B(0, j+1)$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d^+ \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^\infty c_i f_i \right) (x)(v) &\leq \frac{1}{2^j}, \\ d^+ \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^\infty c_i f_i \right) (x)(-v) &\leq \frac{1}{2^j}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $d^+ f(x)(v) + d^+ f(x)(-v) \leq \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^j} < d$, a contradiction. □

PROOF OF THEOREM: Let $P = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty S_i$, where F_i is closed, S_i is a δ -convex surface of dimension k for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $P = \bigcup_{i,j=1}^\infty (F_i \cap S_j)$ and, since S_j are closed sets, we get by Lemma 2 functions $f_{i,j}$ differentiable at all points of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (F_i \cap S_j)$ such that $S_k(f_{i,j}) = F_i \cap S_j$. By Lemma 3 we then get a convex function f differentiable at all points of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus P$ such that $S_k(f) = P$. □

Corollary. *Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Then $F = S_k(f)$ holds for some convex function f on \mathbb{R}^n iff F is an F_σ -subset of a countable union of δ -convex surfaces of dimension k .*

PROOF: By our Theorem, for every F_σ -subset P of a countable union of δ -convex surfaces of dimension k , there exists a convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $S_k(f) = P$.

Conversely, for a convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, according to Theorem Z, $S_k(f)$ can be covered by countably many δ -convex surfaces of dimension k . And it is known that $S_k(f)$ is an F_σ -set. Since I do not know any reference to this simple result, I shall sketch the proof. Let $S_{k,j}(f)$ be the set of all points x such that there exist $u_0, \dots, u_k \in \partial f(x)$ such that $(u_i - u_0) \cdot (u_j - u_0) = 0$, $\|u_i - u_0\| = 1/j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then we have $S_k(f) = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty S_{k,j}(f)$ and $S_{k,j}(f)$ are closed sets. Thus we are done. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Rockafellar R.T., *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [2] Zajíček L., *On the differentiability of convex functions in finite and infinite dimensional spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **29** (104) (1979), 340–348.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS,
CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAGUE 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received October 2, 2003, revised January 12, 2004)