Piroska Csörgö Extending the structural homomorphism of LCC loops

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 46 (2005), No. 3, 385--389

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119533

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Extending the structural homomorphism of LCC loops

Piroska Csörgő

Abstract. A loop Q is said to be left conjugacy closed if the set $A = \{L_x / x \in Q\}$ is closed under conjugation. Let Q be an LCC loop, let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} be the left and right multiplication groups of Q respectively, and let I(Q) be its inner mapping group, M(Q) its multiplication group. By Drápal's theorem [3, Theorem 2.8] there exists a homomorphism $\Lambda : \mathcal{L} \to I(Q)$ determined by $L_x \to R_x^{-1}L_x$. In this short note we examine different possible extensions of this Λ and the uniqueness of these extensions.

Keywords: LCC loop, multiplication group, inner mapping group, homomorphism Classification: 20D10, 20N05

1. Introduction

Q is a loop if it is a quasigroup with neutral element. The functions $L_a(x) = ax$ (left translation) and $R_a(x) = xa$ (right translation) are permutations on the elements of Q for every $a \in Q$. The permutation group generated by left and right translations $M(Q) = \langle L_a, R_a \mid a \in Q \rangle$ is called the multiplication group of the loop Q. Denote I(Q) the stabilizer of the neutral element in M(Q). I(Q) is a subgroup of M(Q) and it is called the inner mapping group of Q. It is clear that M(Q) is a transitive permutation group on the loop Q. Denote $A = \{L_a \mid a \in Q\}$ and $B = \{R_a \mid a \in Q\}$. It is well known that A and B are left (and right) transversals to I(Q) in M(Q) which satisfy $\langle A, B \rangle = M(Q)$, and the commutator subgroup $[A, B] \leq I(Q)$. Furthermore $\operatorname{core}_{M(Q)} I(Q) = 1$ ($\operatorname{core}_{M(Q)} I(Q)$ means the largest normal subgroup of M(Q) in I(Q).

The subgroups $\mathcal{L} = \langle L_a \mid a \in Q \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R} = \langle R_a \mid a \in Q \rangle$ are called left and right multiplication groups, respectively. Denote $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L} \cap I(Q), \mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{R} \cap I(Q)$ and $T_x = L_x^{-1} R_x$. A standard fact is that I(Q) is generated by $\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \{T_x \mid x \in Q\}$.

The right nucleus of a loop Q is

$$N_{\rho} = \{ a \in Q / (xy)a = x(ya) \text{ for every } x, y \in Q \},\$$

the left nucleus of a loop Q is

 $N_{\lambda} = \{ a \in Q \mid a(xy) = (ax)y \text{ for every } x, y \in Q \}.$

This paper was partly supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant # T034878 and T038059.

We have (see [3, Lemma 1.9])

$$C_{M(Q)}(\mathcal{R}) = \{L_a \mid a \in N_\lambda\} \text{ and} C_{M(Q)}(\mathcal{L}) = \{R_a \mid a \in N_\rho\}.$$

A subset A of a group G is said to be closed under conjugation if $a_1^{a_2} \in A$ for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$. This is clearly true if and only if A is a normal subset in $\langle A \rangle$.

A loop Q is said to be conjugacy closed (CC) if the sets $A = \{L_x \mid x \in Q\}$ and $B = \{R_x \mid x \in Q\}$ are closed under conjugation. The concept of conjugacy closedness was introduced first by Soikis [7] and later independently by Goodaire and Robinson [4].

A loop Q is called left conjugacy closed (LCC) if the set $A = \{L_x \mid x \in Q\}$ is closed under conjugation. Thus for all $a, b \in Q$ there exists $c \in Q$ such that $L_a L_b L_a^{-1} = L_c$. Hence in every LCC loop Q we have $L_a L_b L_a^{-1} = L_{T_a(b)}$ for all $a, b \in Q$.

LCC loops were also introduced by Soikis [7]. We have to mention Basarab's paper [1], A. Drápal's paper [3] and P. Nagy and K. Strambach's paper [6]. This latter paper is in connection with geometry of LCC loops. As a Bol loop Q is LCC if and only if $x^2 \in N_{\lambda}$ for all $x \in Q$ we have to emphasize the relevance of the paper of G.P. Nagy with H. Kiechle [5].

A. Drápal studied in [2] the relationship within multiplication groups of conjugacy closed (CC) loops, and in his other paper [3] concerning LCC loops he could transfer some basic facts from CC loops to LCC loops. The following basic result — which has been used in proofs of many statements — can also be found in this latter paper [3]. This result was first obtained for CC loops in Drápal's earlier paper [2].

Theorem 1.1. Let Q be a left conjugacy closed loop. Denote by \mathcal{L} its left multiplication group. Then there exists a unique homomorphism: $\Lambda : \mathcal{L} \to I(Q)$ that maps L_x to T_x for each $x \in Q$. This homomorphism is the identity on \mathcal{L}_1 and its kernel is equal to $Z(\mathcal{L}) = \{R_x \mid x \in Q\} \cap \mathcal{L}$; furthermore if $R_x \in Z(\mathcal{L})$, then $x \in Z(N_\rho)$.

As the kernel of this homomorphism Λ does not contain the whole set $\{R_a \mid a \in N_\rho\}$ we cannot conclude — using this kernel — if the loop has non-trivial right nucleus. The purpose of this paper is to extend this homomorphism in such a way that the kernel consists of the set $\{R_a \mid a \in N_\rho\}$. Since $C_{M(Q)}(L) = \{R_a \mid a \in N_\rho\}$ it seems natural to examine the relationship between $\{g \in M(Q) \mid L_a^g \in A \text{ for every } L_a \in A\}$ and Λ . It turned out that we can really extend this Λ in the required way.

2. Extension

In this section, for the proofs of our theorems we need the following

Lemma 2.1. Let Q be a loop, M(Q) its multiplication group, $A = \{L_x | x \in Q\}$, $B = \{R_x | x \in Q\}$. Denote $H_0 = \{h \in I(Q) | A^h = A\}$. Then the following statements are true:

- (i) $H_0 = I(Q) \cap \operatorname{Aut}(Q);$
- (ii) $B^h = B$ for some $h \in I(Q)$ if and only if $h \in H_0$.

PROOF: (i) First we show that if $h \in I(Q) \cap \operatorname{Aut} Q$, then $h \in H_0$ i.e. $hL_ah^{-1} \in A$ for every $L_a \in A$.

Let $a_0 \in Q$ be arbitrary, and denote $h(a) = a^*$. Then $(hL_ah^{-1})(a_0) = h(ah^{-1}(a_0)) = h(a)a_0 = a^*a_0 = L_{a^*}(a_0)$. Consequently $hL_ah^{-1} = L_{a^*}$.

Conversely, let $h \in H_0$. It suffices to prove h(xy) = h(x)h(y) for arbitrary $x, y \in Q$. Suppose $hL_xh^{-1} = L_{x_1}$, $hL_yh^{-1} = L_{y_1}$. Then

$$h(x) = h(x \cdot 1) = (hL_x)(1) = (hL_xh^{-1})(1) = L_{x_1}(1) = x_1 \quad \text{and} \\ h(y) = h(y \cdot 1) = (hL_y)(1) = (hL_yh^{-1})(1) = L_{y_1}(1) = y_1,$$

 $h(y) = h(y \cdot 1) = (hL_y)(1) = (hL_yh^{-1})(1) = L_{y_1}(1) = y_1,$ further $h(xy) = h(xy \cdot 1) = (hL_xL_y)(1) = (hL_xL_yh^{-1})(1) = L_{x_1}L_{y_1}(1) = x_1y_1.$

(ii) By (i) it is obvious.

Lemma 2.2. Let Q be an LCC loop, M(Q) its multiplication group, I(Q) its inner mapping group, $A = \{L_a \mid a \in Q\}$. Let Λ be the homomorphism from Theorem 1.1. Suppose $h \in I(Q) \cap \operatorname{Aut} Q$. Then $(\Lambda(L_a))^h = \Lambda(L_a^h)$ for every $L_a \in A$.

PROOF: We have $L_a^h = L_{h^{-1}(a)}$, $T_a^h = T_{h^{-1}(a)}$. Using $\Lambda(L_a) = T_a$ we get our statement.

We observe that for every element g of M(Q) obviously both $(R_{g(1)})^{-1}g$ and $(L_{g(1)})^{-1}g$ belong to I(Q).

In Drápal's theorem (Theorem 1.1) this homomorphism Λ maps L_x to $T_x = R_x^{-1}L_x$ and it is the identity on $\mathcal{L}_1 \ (= \mathcal{L} \cap I(Q))$. Consequently, $\operatorname{Im} \Lambda = \langle T_x \ / \ x \in Q \rangle$. As we have $\mathcal{L} = A\mathcal{L}_1$ and $A \cap \mathcal{L}_1 = \{e\}$, this Λ maps \mathcal{L} into I(Q) in such a way that $\Lambda(\ell) = R_{\ell(1)}^{-1}\ell$ for every $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$.

Extend this function Λ to the whole M(Q). Thus we consider the function Λ_0 which maps $g \in M(Q)$ to $R_{q(1)}^{-1}g \in I(Q)$.

Since Λ_0 is a homomorphism on \mathcal{L} , the question arises: which is the largest subgroup of M(Q) such that Λ_0 is a homomorphism on this subgroup. The following theorem gives the answer.

Theorem 2.3. Let Q be an LCC loop. Let $\Lambda_0 : M(Q) \to I(Q)$ be such that $\Lambda_0(g) = R_{g(1)}^{-1}g$. Then the largest subgroup \mathcal{L}^* of M(Q) such that the restriction of Λ_0 on \mathcal{L}^* is a homomorphism, is the following:

$$\mathcal{L}^* = \{ g \in M(Q) \ / \ L_x^g \in A \text{ for every } L_x \in A \},\$$
$$\mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q) = I(Q) \cap \operatorname{Aut} Q \text{ and } \mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}(I(Q) \cap \operatorname{Aut} Q).$$

Denote Λ^* the restriction of Λ_0 on \mathcal{L}^* . Then Λ^* is the identity on $\mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q)$ and Ker $\Lambda^* = \{R_x \mid x \in Q\} \cap \mathcal{L}^* = \{R_a \mid a \in N_\rho\}$. Furthermore Im Λ^* is generated by $(\mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q)) \cup \{T_x \mid x \in Q\}.$

PROOF: The left conjugacy closedness implies $\mathcal{L}^* \geq \mathcal{L}$. Denote $\mathcal{U} = \{g \in \mathcal{L}\}$ $M(Q) / L_x^g \in A$ for every $L_x \in A$. Clearly \mathcal{U} is a subgroup of M(Q).

First we show $\mathcal{L}^* \leq \mathcal{U}$. Since $B \cap I(Q) = \{e\}$ obviously Λ_0 is the identity on I(Q). Let $h \in \mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q)$, $L_a \in A$. Then $hL_a \in \mathcal{L}^*$ and $\Lambda_0(hL_a) = \Lambda_0(h)\Lambda_0(L_a) = hR_a^{-1}L_a$. On the other hand, $\Lambda_0(hL_a) = R_c^{-1}hL_a$ where $R_c^{-1}hL_a \in I(Q)$. Hence $R_a^{h^{-1}} = R_c$ for every $a \in Q$, $h \in \mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q)$, consequently $B^h = B$. Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain $\mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q) \leq \mathcal{U}$. The left conjugacy closedness implies $\mathcal{L} \leq \mathcal{U}.$

We show Λ_0 is a homomorphism on \mathcal{U} . Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{U}$, clearly $\ell_1 = L_{a_1}h_1, \ell_2 =$ $L_{a_2}h_2$ with $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{U} \cap I(Q)$. We prove $\Lambda_0(L_{a_1}h_1L_{a_2}h_2) = \Lambda_0(L_{a_1}h_1)\Lambda_0(L_{a_2}h_2)$. Clearly $\Lambda_0(L_{a_1}h_1) = R_{a_1}^{-1}L_{a_1}h_1 = \Lambda(L_{a_1})h_1, \ \Lambda_0(L_{a_2}h_2) = R_{a_2}^{-1}L_{a_2}h_2 = \Lambda(L_{a_2})h_2.$ On the other hand, $\Lambda_0(L_{a_1}h_1L_{a_2}h_2) = \Lambda_0(L_{a_1}L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}}h_1h_2) = R_d^{-1}L_{a_1}L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}}h_1h_2$ for some $d \in Q$. By the definition of \mathcal{U} , $L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}} \in A$, whence $L_{a_1}L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}} \in \mathcal{L}$, consequently $\Lambda(L_{a_1}L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}}) = R_d^{-1}L_{a_1}L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}}$, and using that Λ is a homomorphism on \mathcal{L} we get $\Lambda_0(L_{a_1}h_1L_{a_2}h_2) = \Lambda(L_{a_1})\Lambda(L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}})h_1h_2$. Thus it suffices to show $\Lambda(L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}}) = (\Lambda(L_{a_2}))^{h_1^{-1}}, \text{ but this follows immediately from Lemma 2.2.}$ Lemma 2.1 implies $\mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q) = I(Q) \cap \operatorname{Aut} Q$. Since $\mathcal{L} \leq \mathcal{L}^*$, we have $\mathcal{L}^* =$

 $\mathcal{L}(I(Q) \cap \operatorname{Aut} Q).$

As Im $\mathcal{L} = \langle T_x / x \in Q \rangle$ and Λ^* is the identity on $\mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q)$ it follows Im Λ^* is generated by $\mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q)$ and $\{T_x \mid x \in Q\}$. We have Ker $\Lambda^* = \{f \in I\}$ $\mathcal{L}^* / \Lambda^*(f) = R_{f(1)}^{-1} f = e$. Hence $f = R_{f(1)} \in B \cap \mathcal{L}^*$. From $[A, B] \leq I(Q)$ we get $L_x^{-1}L_x^{R_{f(1)}} \in I(Q)$ for every $L_x \in A$, but $R_{f(1)} \in \mathcal{L}^*$ implies $L_x^{R_{f(1)}} \in A$, consequently $R_{f(1)} \in C_{M(Q)}(A)$, whence $R_{f(1)} \in C_{M(Q)}(\mathcal{L})$. Since $C_{M(Q)}(\mathcal{L}) =$ $\{R_a \mid a \in N_\rho\}$, we conclude Ker $\Lambda^* = B \cap \mathcal{L}^* = \{R_a \mid a \in N_\rho\}.$

Our next question is, whether every homomorphism of \mathcal{L}^* into I(Q) which coincides with Λ on the elements of \mathcal{L} is equal to Λ^* .

We give the answer:

Theorem 2.4. Let Q be an LCC loop, M(Q) its multiplication group, I(Q) its inner mapping group, $A = \{L_a \mid a \in Q\}, \mathcal{L}^* = \{g \in M(Q) \mid L_x^g \in A \text{ for every}\}$ $L_x \in A$.

Let $T = \langle T_x \mid x \in Q \rangle, c \in C_{I(Q)}(T)$. Define a function Λ_1 on \mathcal{L}^* : if $\ell = L_a h \in \mathcal{L}_{I(Q)}(T)$. \mathcal{L}^* with $h \in \mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q)$, then $\Lambda_1(\ell) = \Lambda(L_a)h^c$. Then Λ_1 is a homomorphism on \mathcal{L}^* and Λ_1 coincides with Λ on the elements of \mathcal{L} .

PROOF: We have $\operatorname{Im} \Lambda = \langle T_x | x \in Q \rangle$ and $\mathcal{L}_1 \leq \operatorname{Im} \Lambda$, whence $c \in C_G(\mathcal{L}_1)$, consequently Λ_1 coincides with Λ on the elements of \mathcal{L} .

We show Λ_1 is a homomorphism on \mathcal{L}^* . Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}^*, \ \ell_1 = L_{a_1}h_1, \ \ell_2 = L_{a_2}h_2$ with $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q)$. By the definition of $\Lambda_1, \ \Lambda_1(\ell_1) = \Lambda(L_{a_1})h_1^c$, $\Lambda_1(\ell_2) = \Lambda(L_{a_2})h_2^c$. Clearly $\ell_1\ell_2 = L_{a_1}L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}}h_1h_2$, since $(L_{a_2})^{h_1^{-1}} \in A$ we have $L_{a_1}(L_{a_2})^{h_1^{-1}} \in \mathcal{L}$, whence $L_{a_1}(L_{a_2})^{h_1^{-1}} = L_{a_3}h_3$ with $h_3 \in \mathcal{L}_1$. Consequently $\Lambda_1(\ell_1\ell_2) = \Lambda(L_{a_3})(h_3h_1h_2)^c$. As $h_3 \in T$ it follows $\Lambda_1(\ell_1\ell_2) = \Lambda(L_{a_3})h_3(h_1h_2)^c = \Lambda(L_{a_3})\Lambda(L_{a_2})^{h_1^{-1}}(h_1h_2)^c$.

Thus it suffices to prove $(\Lambda(L_{a_2}))^{(h_1^{-1})c} = \Lambda(L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}})$. Using Im $\Lambda = T$ and $c \in C_{I(Q)}(T)$ it is equivalent to $(\Lambda(L_{a_2}))^{h_1^{-1}} = \Lambda(L_{a_2}^{h_1^{-1}})$. The latter equality follows immediately from Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 2.5. Let Q be an LCC loop. Denote $T = \langle T_x \mid x \in Q \rangle$ and suppose that $C_{I(Q)}(T) \not\leq C_{I(Q)}(\mathcal{L}^* \cap I(Q))$. Then there exists a homomorphism Λ_1 on \mathcal{L}^* which coincides with Λ on \mathcal{L} , but $\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda^*$, where Λ^* is the homomorphism described in Theorem 2.3.

References

- [1] Basarab A.S., A class of LK-loops (in Russian), Mat. Issled. 120 (1991), 3-7.
- [2] Drápal A., Conjugacy closed loops and their multiplication groups, J. Algebra 272 (2004), 838–850.
- [3] Drápal A., On multiplication groups of left conjugacy closed loops, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 45 (2004), 223–236.
- [4] Goodaire E.G., Robinson D.A., A class of loops which are isomorphic to all loop isotopes, Canad. J. Math. 34 (1982), 662–672.
- [5] Kiechle H., Nagy G.P., On the extension of involutorial Bol loops, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 72 (2002), 235–250.
- [6] Nagy P., Strambach K., Loops as invariant sections in groups and their geometry, Canad. J. Math. 46 (1994), 1027–1056.
- [7] Soikis L.R., The special loops (in Russian), in: Voprosy teorii kvazigrupp i lup (V.D. Belousov, ed.), Akademia Nauk Moldav. SSR, Kishinyev, 1970, pp. 122–131.

Department of Algebra and Number Theory, Eötvös University, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, H–1117 Budapest, Hungary

E-mail: ska@cs.elte.hu

(Received October 22, 2004, revised December 14, 2004)