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ON A CLASS OF FUNCTIONAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR THIRD-ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH PARAMETER

## SVATOSLAV STANEK

(Received October 27, 1990)


#### Abstract

In this paper we study the existence of solutions of a one parameter value problem $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-Q\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right](t) \cdot y^{\prime}(t)=$ $F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, \mu\right](t), \quad \alpha(y)=0, \quad y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=0 \quad$ in which $Q: X^{3} \rightarrow X, \quad F: X^{3} \times I \rightarrow X$ are continuous operators, $\alpha: X \rightarrow R$ is a continuous increasing functional, $\alpha(0)=0$, where $X=C^{0}\left(\left\langle t_{1}, t_{3}\right\rangle\right)$, $I=\langle a, b\rangle,-\infty<t_{1}<t_{2}\left\langle t_{3}\langle\infty,-\infty<a<b<\infty\right.$.

Key words: Third-order functional differential equation depending on a paramerer, functional boundary value problem, Schauder linearization technique, Schauder fixed point theorem.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $-\infty<t_{1}\left\langle t_{3}\left\langle t_{3}\left\langle\infty,-\infty<a<b<\infty, J=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{3}\right\rangle, I=\langle a, b\rangle\right.\right.\right.$ and let $X$ be the Banach space of $C^{0}$-functions on $J$ with the norm $\|y\|=\max \{|y(t)| ; t \in J\}$. Consider the functional differential

## equation

(1) $\quad y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-Q\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right](t) \cdot y^{\prime}(t)=F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \mu\right](t)$
in which $Q: X^{3} \rightarrow X, \quad F: X^{3} \times I \longrightarrow X$ are continuous operators, $Q[y, z, w](t)>0$ on $X^{3}$ for all $t \in J$, depending on the parameter $\mu$.

Let $\alpha: X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous increasing (i.e. $\alpha(x)<\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in X, x(t)<y(t)$ on $J)$ functional, $\alpha(0)=0$. The purpose of this paper is to obtain by the Schauder linearization technique sufficient conditions imposed on $Q, F$ such that equation (I) admits, for a suitable value of the paramerer $\mu$, a solution $y$ satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(y)=0, \quad y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A special case of (1) is the differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}-q\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot y^{\prime}=f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \mu\right)
$$

in which $q \in C^{0}\left(J \times R^{3}\right), f \in C^{0}\left(J \times R^{3} \times I\right)$ and $q(t, y, z, w)>0$ for all $(t, y, z, w) \in J \times \mathbf{R}^{3}$.

Many sufficient conditions are known for the existence and uniqueness of solutions of boundary value problems for the third-order differential equations under various types of boundary conditions using different techniques ( see for example [1]-[31], [34]).

The boundary value problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=h\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \mu\right),
$$

$y\left(t_{1}\right)=y\left(t_{2}\right)=y\left(t_{3}\right)=0$ where $\mu$ is a parameter, was investigated in [25] using a suitable version of the Banach fixed point theorem.

## 2. Notation, lemmas

Let $\varphi \in C^{2}(J)$ and let $u_{\varphi}, v_{\varphi}$ be the solution of the differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right](t) \cdot y
$$

$u_{\varphi}\left(t_{1}\right)=0, \quad u_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=1, \quad v_{\varphi}\left(t_{1}\right)=1, \quad v_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. For $\quad(t, s) \in J \times J$ define $r(t, s ; \varphi)$ by

$$
r(t, s ; \varphi)=u_{\varphi}(t) v_{\varphi}(s)-u_{\varphi}(s) v_{\varphi}(t) \quad(=-r(s, t ; \varphi))
$$

Then $r(t, s ; \varphi)>0$ for $t_{1} \leq s<t \leq t_{3}$ and $r(t, s ; \varphi)<0$ for $t_{1} \leq t<s \leq t_{3}$ (see [32]).

Lemma 1. Assume $\varphi \in C^{2}(J), h \in C^{0}(J \times I), h(t,$.$) is increasing$ on $I$ for each fixed $t \in J$ and
(3)

$$
h(t, a) \cdot h(t, b) \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } t \in J .
$$

Then there is a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime}=Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right](t) \cdot y+h(t, \mu) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a solution $y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{1}\right)=y\left(t_{2}\right)=y\left(t_{3}\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover this solution $y$ is unique.
Proof. Setting

$$
y(t, \mu)=\frac{r\left(t_{2}, t ; \varphi\right)}{r\left(t_{2}, t_{1} ; \varphi\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r\left(t_{1}, s ; \varphi\right) h(s, \mu) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; \varphi) h(s, \mu) d s
$$

for $(t, \mu) \in J \times I, y$ is the unique solution of (4) satisfying the boundary conditions $y\left(t_{1}, \mu\right)=0=y\left(t_{2}, \mu\right)$ and since

$$
y\left(t_{3}, \mu\right)=\frac{r\left(t_{2}, t_{3} ; \varphi\right)}{r\left(t_{2}, t_{1} ; \varphi\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r\left(t_{1}, s ; \varphi\right) h(s, \mu) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} r\left(t_{3} ; s ; \varphi\right) h(s, \mu) \mathrm{d} s,
$$

$y\left(t_{3^{\prime}}.\right)$ is an increasing function on $I, Y\left(t_{3^{\prime}}, a\right) \cdot y\left(t_{3}, b\right) \leq 0$ (by (3)) and hence $y\left(t_{3}, \mu\right)=0$ only for a unique $\mu=\mu_{0} \in I$. Consequently, equation (4) admits a solution $y$ satisfying (5) if and only if $\mu=\mu_{0}$. This solution is necessary unique.

Lemma 2. Assume the assumptions of Lemma 1 are fulfilled. Then there is a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right](t) \cdot y^{\prime}+h(t, \mu) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a solution $y$ satisfying (2). Moreover this solution $y$ is unique.

Proof. By Lemma 1 there is a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that equation (4) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a (and then unique) solution $z$ satisfying $z\left(t_{1}\right)=z\left(t_{2}\right)=z\left(t_{3}\right)=0$ consequently, equation (6) admits a solution $y$ satisfying $y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=0$ exactly if $\mu=\mu_{0}$ and then $y(t)=\int_{t}^{t} z(t)$ dstc are all such solutions, where $c$ is an arbitrary constant.

Since $\alpha\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} z(s) d s+c_{1}\right)<0, \alpha\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} z(s) d s+c_{2}\right)>0$ for $c_{1}\left\langle-\left\|\int_{t_{0}}^{t} z(s) d s\right\|, c_{2}\right\rangle$ $\left\|\int_{t_{1}}^{t} z(s) \mathrm{d} s\right\|$ and $p(c):=\alpha\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} z(s) \mathrm{d} s+c\right)$ is a continuous increasing function on $R, p\left(c_{1}\right)<0, \quad p\left(c_{2}\right)>0$, the equation $p(c)=0$ has a unique solution $c_{0}$. Therefore $y=\int_{t}^{t} z(s) d s+c_{0}$ is a (and then unique) solution of (6) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ satisfying (2).

Remark 1. If $\alpha(y)=0$ for some $y \in X$, then $y(\xi)=0$ for a $\xi \in J$. In the opposite case either $y(t)>0$ or $y(t)<0$ for all $t \in J$ and since $\alpha(0)=0$ and $\alpha$ is increasing we have either $\alpha(y)>0$ or $\alpha(y)<0$, respectively.

Next we will assume there are positive constants $r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}$, (i)

$$
r_{1}\left(t_{3}-t_{1}\right) \leq r_{0}
$$

such that the operators $Q, F$ satisfy the following assumptions :
(ii) $\left|F\left[Y_{0}, Y_{1}, y_{2}, \mu\right](t)\right| \leq r_{1} \cdot Q\left[y_{0}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right](t)$ for all $t \in J$ and $\left[y_{0}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \mu\right] \in D \times I$, where $D=\left\{\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right] ; Y_{1} \in X,\left\|Y_{i}\right\| \leq r_{i}\right.$ for $i=0,1,2\}$;
(iii) $F\left[y_{0}, Y_{1}, y_{2}, \mu_{1}\right](t)<F\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \mu_{2}\right](t)$ for all $t \in J$, $\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right] \in D$ and $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in I, \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}$;
(iv) $F\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, a\right](t) \cdot F\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, b\right](t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in J$ and $\left[y_{0}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right] \in D$;
(v) $\min \left\{\left(A+r_{1} B\right) \tau, 2\left(r_{1}\left(A+r_{1} B\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \leq r_{2}$, where
$A=\sup \left\{\left\|F\left[Y_{0}, Y_{1}, Y_{2^{\prime}} \mu\right]\right\| ; \quad\left[y_{0}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \mu\right] \in D \times I\right\}$, $B=\sup \left\{\left\|Q\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right\| ;\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right] \in D\right\}, \tau=\max \left\{t_{2}-t_{1}, t_{3}-t_{2}\right\}$.

Lemma 3. Assume assumptions (i)-(v) are fulfilled for positive constants $r_{0}, \quad r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$. If $\varphi \in C^{2}(J),\left\|\varphi^{(1)}\right\| \leq r_{1}$ ( $i=0,1,2$ ), then there is a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime},-Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right](t) \cdot y^{\prime}=F\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}, \mu\right](t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a (and then unique) solution $y$ satisfying (2) and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y^{(1)}\right\| \leq r_{i} \text { for } i=0,1,2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Setting $h(t, \mu)=F\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \mu\right](t)$ for $(t, \mu) \in J \times I$, then $h \in C^{0}(J \times I), h(t,$.$) is increasing on I$ for every fixed $t \in J$ (by (iii)), $h(t, a) . h(t, b) \leq 0$ on $J$ (by (iv)) consequenty, by Lemma 2 there is a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that equation (7) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a (and then unique) solution $y$ satisfying (2).

Let $\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq\left|y^{\prime}(\xi)\right|>r_{1}$ for all $t \in J$ and a $\xi \in\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$. If $y^{\prime}(\xi)>r_{1}\left(y^{\prime}(\xi)<-r_{1}\right)$ then by (ii) we have $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)>0 \quad\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)<0\right)$ which contradicts that $y^{\prime}$ has a local maximum (minimum) at the point $t=\xi$. Thus $\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leq r_{1}$. Since $y(\eta)=0$ for a $\eta \in J$ (see Remark 1 ) and

$$
y(t)=\int_{\eta}^{t} y^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

we have $\|y\| \leq\left(t_{3}-t_{1}\right)\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leq r_{0}$.
Let $\quad r_{2} \geq\left(A+r_{1} B\right) \tau$. Since $y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=0$ there are $\xi_{1} \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \xi_{2} \in\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ such that $y^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0=y^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ and form the equalities
$y^{\prime \prime}(t)=\int_{\xi_{1}}^{t}\left\{F\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{0}\right](s)+Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right](s) \cdot y^{\prime}(s)\right\} \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in J, i=1,2$, we obtain

$$
\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq\left(A+r_{1} B\right)\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) \text { for } t \in\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq\left(A+r_{1} B\right)\left(t_{3}-t_{2}\right) \text { for } t \in\left\langle t_{2}, t_{3}\right\rangle
$$

consequently, $\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leq r_{2}$.
Let $r_{2} \geq 2\left(r_{1}\left(A+r_{1} B\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $y^{\prime \prime}(t) \neq 0$ on an open interval $J_{1} \subset J$ with an end-point $\xi, y^{\prime \prime}(\xi)=0$. Integrating the equality $\frac{d}{d t}\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}=2 Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right](t) \cdot y^{\prime}(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)+2 F\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{0}\right](t) \cdot y^{\prime \prime}(t)$ from $\xi$ to $t\left(\in J_{1}\right)$ after evident estimates we obtain $\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2} \leq 2 r_{1} B\left|\int_{\xi}^{t}\right| y^{\prime \prime}(s)|\mathrm{d} s|+2 A\left|\int_{\xi}^{t}\right| y^{\prime \prime}(s)|\mathrm{d} s|=$ $=2\left(A+r_{1} B\right)\left|y^{\prime}(t)-y^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leq 4 r_{1}\left(A+r_{1} B\right)$
hence

$$
\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq 2\left(r_{1}\left(A+r_{1} B\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text { for all } t \in J
$$

and $\left\|Y^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq r_{2}$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Existence theorem

Theorem 1. Assume assumptions (i)-(v) are fulfilled for positive constants $r_{0}, r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$. Then there is $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that equation (1) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a solution $y$ satisfying (2) and (8).

Proof. Let $Y$ be the Banach space of $C^{2}$-functions on $J$ with the norm $\|y\|_{2}=\|y\|+\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|$ and let $\mathcal{K}=\left\{y ; y \in Y, \quad\left\|y^{(1)}\right\| \leq r_{i}\right.$ for $i=0,1,2\}$. $\mathcal{K}$ is a closed bounded convex subset of $Y$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$. BY Lemma 3 there is a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that equation (7) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a (and then unique) solution $y$ satisfying (2) and (8). Setting $T(\varphi)=y$ we obtain an operator $T: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and to proof of Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that $T$ has a fixed point.

Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{K}$ be a convergent sequence, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=y$ and let $T\left(y_{n}\right)=z_{n}, T(y)=z$. Then there are a sequence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset I$ and a $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that we have (see the proof of Lemma 2)

$$
z_{n}(t)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p_{n}(s) d s+c_{n} \text { for all } t \in J \text { and } n \in N
$$

and

$$
z(t)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(s) d s+c_{0} \text { for all } t \in J,
$$

where $c_{0}, c_{n} \in R$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{n}(t)=\frac{r\left(t_{2}, t_{i} y_{n}\right)}{r\left(t_{2}, t_{1} ; y_{n}\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r\left(t_{1}, s ; y_{n}\right) F\left[y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{n}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s+ \\
\quad \int_{t_{2}}^{t} r\left(t, s ; y_{n}\right) F\left[y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{n}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s, \\
p(t)=\frac{r\left(t_{2^{\prime}}, t ; y\right)}{r\left(t_{\left.2^{\prime}, t_{1} ; y\right)}^{t_{2}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}}\left(t_{1}, s ; y\right) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{0}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s+\right.} \\
\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{0}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p_{n}(s) d s+c_{n}\right)=0, \quad \alpha\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(s) d s+c_{0}\right)=0, \\
p_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)=p_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)=p_{n}\left(t_{3}\right)=0, \quad p\left(t_{1}\right)=p\left(t_{2}\right)=p\left(t_{3}\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is not a convergent sequence there are convergent subsequences

$$
\left\{\mu_{k_{n}}\right\}, \quad\left\{\mu_{r_{n}}\right\}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{k_{n}}=\lambda_{1}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{r_{n}}=\lambda_{2^{\prime}} \quad \lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2^{\prime}}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{k_{n}}(t)= & \frac{r\left(t_{2}, t ; y\right)}{r\left(t_{2^{\prime}} t_{1} ; y\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r\left(t_{1}, s ; y\right) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{1}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s+ \\
& \int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}\right](s) d s, \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{r_{n}}(t)= & \frac{r\left(t_{2}, t ; y\right)}{r\left(t_{2}, t_{1} ; y\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} r\left(t_{1}, s ; y\right) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s+ \\
& \int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly on $J$. Since $r\left(t_{2}, t_{3} ; y\right)<0, \quad r\left(t_{2}, t_{1} ; y\right)>0, \quad r\left(t_{1}, s ; y\right)<0$ for all $s \in\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right\rangle, r\left(t_{3}, s ; y\right)>0$ for all $s \in\left\langle t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$ and (by (iii))

$$
F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{1}\right](t)\left\langle F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \lambda_{2}\right](t) \text { on } J,\right.
$$

we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{k_{n}}\left(t_{3}\right)<\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{r_{n}}\left(t_{3}\right)$ which contradicts $p_{n}\left(t_{3}\right)=0$ for all $n \in N$. Hence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is convergent and let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=\mu^{*}$.

$$
\text { Since }\left\{\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p_{n}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right\} \text { is uniformly bounded on } J, \alpha \text { is an }
$$ increasing continuous functional and $\alpha\left(\int_{t}^{t} p_{n}(s) d s+c_{n}\right)=0$ for all $\mathrm{n} \in N$, we see $\left\{c_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence. If $\left\{c_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is not a convergent sequence there are convergent subsequences

$$
\left\{c_{k_{n}}\right\}, \quad\left\{c_{r_{n}}\right\}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{k_{n}}=d_{1}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{r_{n}}=d_{2^{\prime}} \quad d_{1}<d_{2^{\prime}}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{k_{n}}(t)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \bar{p}(s) d s+d_{1}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{r_{n}}(t)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \bar{p}(s) d s+d_{2}
$$

uniformly on $J$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{p}(t)=\frac{r\left(t_{2}, t ; y\right)}{r\left(t_{2}, t_{1} ; y\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} r\left(t_{1}, s ; y\right) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \mu^{*}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s+ \\
& \int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \mu^{*}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { for all } t \in J .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p_{k_{n}}(s) \mathrm{d} s+c_{k_{n}}\right)=\alpha\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \bar{p}(s) \mathrm{d} s+d_{1}\right), \\
& 0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p_{r_{n}}(s) \mathrm{d} s+c_{r_{n}}\right)=\alpha\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \bar{p}(s) \mathrm{d} s+d_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

consequently, $d_{1}=d_{2}$ which contradicts $d_{1}<d_{2}$. Therefore $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ is convergent, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=c^{*}$ and then

$$
\left(z^{*}(t):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}(t)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \bar{p}(s) \mathrm{d} s+c^{*}\right.
$$

uniformly on $J$ and $\alpha\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \bar{p}(s) \mathrm{d} s+C^{*}\right)=0$. Evidently $z^{*}$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$
w^{\prime \prime \prime}-Q\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right](t) \cdot w^{\prime}=F\left[y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \mu^{*}\right](t)
$$

and $\alpha\left(z^{*}\right)=0, z^{* \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=z^{* \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=z^{* \prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=0$.
From Lemma 2 it follows $\mu^{*}=\mu_{0}$ and $z^{*}=z$. Due to the fact that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}^{(1)}(t)=z^{(1)}(t)
$$

uniformly on $J$ for $i=0,1,2, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(y_{n}\right)=T(y)$ and $T$ is a continuous operator.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$ and $T(\varphi)=y$. From the equality

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right](t) y^{\prime}(t)+F\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{0}\right](t)
$$

holding on $J$ for a $\mu_{0} \in I$, we get $\left\|y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\| \leq A+r_{1} B\left(:=r_{3}\right)$ consequently, $T(\mathcal{K}) \subset\left\{y ; \quad y \in C^{3}(J),\left\|y^{(1)}\right\| \leq r_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i=0,1,2,3\right\} \quad(=: \mathscr{L})$. By the Ascoli theorem $\mathscr{L}$ is a compact subset of $Y$ and therefore $T(\mathcal{K})$ is a compact subset of $Y$ too. This proves $T$ is a completely continuous operator and by the Schauder fixed point theorem there is a fixed point of $T$.

Remark 2. Using the results from the paper [33] we can prove that the boundary conditions $y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=0$ in (2) can be repalced by $y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-y^{\prime}\left(t_{4}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)-y^{\prime}\left(t_{5}\right)=0$, where $t_{1}<t_{4}<t_{2}<t_{5}<t_{3}$.

## Example 1.

Consider the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-k(t) \exp \left\{\left|y\left(h_{0}(t)\right) y^{\prime}\left(h_{1}(t)\right)\right|\right\} y^{\prime}(t)= \\
=m(t) \cos \left(s(t) y^{\prime \prime}\left(h_{2}(t)\right)\right)+\mu \cdot p(t) \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $k, m, s, p, h_{i} \in C^{0}(\langle-1,1\rangle), h_{1}:\langle-1,1\rangle \longrightarrow\langle-1,1\rangle,(i=0,1,2)$, $k_{0} \leq k(t) \leq k_{1}, \quad p_{0} \leq p(t) \leq p_{1}, \quad|m(t)| \leq k_{0} p_{0} /\left(2\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right)\right)$ for $t \in\langle-1,1\rangle$, where $k_{0}, k_{1}, p_{0}, p_{1}$ are positive constants. The assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled with $J=\langle-1,1\rangle, \quad I=\left\langle-k_{0} /\left(2\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right)\right)\right.$, $\left.k_{0} /\left(2\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right)\right)\right\rangle, \quad r_{0}=1, \quad r_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad r_{2}=\left(k_{0}+e^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $t_{2} \in(-1,1) \quad$ and let $\alpha$ be a continuous increasing functional on the Banach space $C^{0}(J)$ with the sup norm, $\alpha(0)=0$ (for example $\alpha(y)=\int_{-1}^{1} y(s) d s$ or $\alpha(y)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{k} y\left(\tau_{k}\right)$, where $\quad \beta_{k} \in(0, \infty), \quad \tau_{k} \in J$ for $\left.k=1,2, \ldots, n\right)$. By Theorem 1 there is $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that equation (9) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a solution $y$ satisfying

$$
\alpha(y)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(-1)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=y^{\prime}(y)=0
$$

and

$$
|y(t)| \leq 1, \quad\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq\left(k_{0}+e^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text { for all } t \in J .
$$

Next let $-1<t_{4}<t_{2}<t_{5}<1$. By Remark 2 there is $\mu_{1} \in I$ such that equation (9) with $\mu=\mu_{1}$ admits a solution $y_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\alpha\left(y_{1}\right)=0, \quad y_{1}^{\prime}(-1)-y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{4}\right)=y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=y_{1}^{\prime}(1)-y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{5}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\left|Y_{1}(t)\right| \leq 1,\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2},\left|Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq\left(k_{0}+e^{\frac{1}{2}} k_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { for all } t \in J
$$
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