# Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica 

Ivan Chajda<br>Varieties having the congruence extension property

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 34 (1995), No. 1, 63--68

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120333

## Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.
This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped
with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics
Library http://project.dml.cz

# Varieties Having the Congruence Extension Property 

Ivan CHAJDA<br>Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 77900 Olomouc, Czech Republic<br>E-mail: chajda@risc.upol.cz

(Received November 18, 1994)


#### Abstract

A variety $\mathcal{V}$ has the Congruence Extension Property, briefly CEP, if for each $A \in \mathcal{V}$, every subalgebra $B$ of $A$ and each $\Theta \in \operatorname{Con} B$ there exists $\Phi \in \operatorname{Con} A$ with $\Phi \mid B=\Theta$. It is known that varieties having CEP cannot be characterized by a Mal'cev condition. It motivates us to give another condition using term functions characterizing varieties having CEP.
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If $A$ is an algebra, denote by $\operatorname{Con} A$ the lattice of all congruence of $A$. If $a, b$ are elements of $A$, denote by $\Theta_{A}(a, b)$ the least congruence on $A$ containing the pair $\langle a, b\rangle$, the so called principal congruence generated by $\langle a, b\rangle$. If $B$ is a subalgebra of $A$ and $\Theta \in \operatorname{Con} A$, denote by $\Theta \mid B$ the restriction of $\Theta$ onto $B$, i.e. $\Theta \mid B=\Theta \cap(B \times B)$.

Recall (see [1]) that a variety $\mathcal{V}$ has the Congruence Extension Property, briefly CEP, if for each $\Theta \in \operatorname{Con} B$ there exists $\Phi \in \operatorname{Con} A$ such that $\Phi \mid B=\Theta$; $\Phi$ is called the extension of $\Theta$.

It was mentioned already in [1] that varieties having CEP cannot be characterized by a Mal'cev condition. The paper [2] contains another condition using term functions characterizing varieties which are congruence permutable and have CEP. The aim of this paper is to generalize it also for non-permutable varieties and for varieties having trivial principal tolerances, see [3]. If $\mathcal{V}$ is
a variety and $x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ are free generators, denote by $F_{\mathcal{V}}\left(x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ the free algebra of $\mathcal{V}$ generated by the set $\left\{x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$. For the sake of brevity, denote by $\vec{z}$ the sequence $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$.

Theorem 1 For a variety $\mathcal{V}$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\mathcal{V}$ has CEP;
(2) for every $(2+n)$-ary terms $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ satisfying

$$
p_{i}(y, x, \vec{z})=p_{i+1}(x, y, \vec{z}) \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, k-1
$$

there exist 6-ary terms $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z})=q_{1}\left(x, y, x, y, p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z}), p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})\right) \\
& q_{j}\left(y, x, x, y, p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z}), p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})\right)=q_{j+1}\left(x, y, x, y, p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z}), p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})\right) \\
& \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})=q_{m}\left(y, x, x, y, p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z}), p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})\right)
$$

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ : Let $\mathcal{V}$ have CEP, let $A=F_{\mathcal{V}}\left(x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$, and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ be $(2+n)$-ary terms satisfying

$$
p_{i}(y, x, \vec{z})=p_{i+1}(x, y, \vec{z}) \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, k-1
$$

Let $B$ a subalgebra of $A$ generated by the four elements: $x, y, p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z})$, $p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})$. Then clearly $\left\langle p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z}), p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})\right\rangle \in \Theta_{A}(x, y)$ of Con $A$. However, $p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z}), p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z}) \in B$ and $\mathcal{V}$ has CEP, thus also

$$
\left\langle p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z}), p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})\right\rangle \in \Theta_{A}(x, y) \mid B=\Theta_{B}(x, y) .
$$

Hence, there exist binary polynomial functions $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}$ over $B$ such that

$$
p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z})=\varphi_{1}(x, y), \quad p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})=\varphi_{m}(y, x)
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{j}(y, x)=\varphi_{j+1}(x, y) \quad \text { for } j=1 \ldots, m-1
$$

Since $B$ has four generators $x, y, p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z}), p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})$, there exist 6 -ary terms $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}$ such that

$$
\varphi_{j}(a, b)=q_{j}\left(a, b, x, y, p_{1}(x, y, \vec{z}), p_{k}(y, x, \vec{z})\right) \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m
$$

Hence, we obtain (2).
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a variety satisfying (2) and $A \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $B$ be subalgebra of $A$, let $a, b, c, d \in B$ and $\langle c, d\rangle \in \Theta_{A}(a, b) \mid B$. Hence, there exit $(2+n)$-ary terms $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ (for some $n \geq 0, k \geq 1$ ) and elements $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of $A$ such that

$$
c=p_{1}\left(a, b, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right), \quad d=p_{k}\left(b, a, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
p_{i}\left(b, a, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=p_{i+1}\left(a, b, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, k-1 .
$$

By (2), there exist 6 -ary $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}$ with

$$
c=q_{1}(a, b, a, b, c, d), \quad d=q_{m}(b, a, a, b, c, d)
$$

and

$$
q_{j}(b, a, a, b, c, d)=q_{j+1}(a, b, a, b, c, d) \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m-1 \text {, }
$$

thus $\langle c, d\rangle \in \Theta_{B}(a, b)$, i.e. $\Theta_{A}(a, b) \mid B \subseteq \Theta_{B}(a, b)$. The converse inclusion is trivial thus $\mathcal{V}$ satisfies the Principal Congruence Exclusion Property. By [1], it is equivalent with CEP.

The condition (2) of Theorem 1 can be essentially simplified provided $\mathcal{V}$ satisfies one interesting condition on tolerances. Let $A$ be an algebra. By a tolerance is meant a reflexive, symmetric and compatible binary relation on $A$, i.e. it is a reflexive and symmetric binary relation on the support of $A$ which is a subalgebra of the direct power $A \times A$. The set of all tolerances on $A$ forms a complete lattice, see e.g. [4], hence, for any $a, b$ of $A$ there exist the least tolerance containing the pair $\langle a, b\rangle$. This tolerance is denoted by $T_{A}(a, b)$ and called the principal tolerance generated by $\langle a, b\rangle$. An algebra $A$ is called principal tolerance trivial, see e.g. [3], [5], if $T_{A}(a, b)=\Theta_{A}(a, b)$ for any $a, b$ of $A$. A variety $\mathcal{V}$ is principal tolerance trivial if every $A$ of $\mathcal{V}$ has this property.

Principal tolerance trivial algebras and varieties were characterized in [3], [5] and [6]. Especially, every congruence-permutable variety is principal tolerance trivial. However, also the variety of all distributive lattices is principal tolerance trivial although it is not permutable, see [4].

Varieties having CEP were characterized also among principal tolerance trivial varieties, see e.g. the Corollary of Theorem 5.5 in [4]. The aim of the next theorem is to characterize varieties which are simultaneously principal tolerance trivial and have CEP. For the convenience of the reader, let us mention one important characterization of principal tolerance trivial varieties, see Theorem 4.19 in [4]:

Proposition 1 For a variety $\mathcal{V}$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\mathcal{V}$ is principal tolerance tolerance trivial;
(2) for each $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and every $a, b, c, d$ of $A$,

$$
T_{A}(a, b) \bullet T_{A}(c, d) \bullet T_{A}(a, b)=T_{A}(c, d) \bullet T_{A}(a, b) \bullet T_{A}(c, d) .
$$

Now, we are ready to formulate our result:
Theorem 2 For a variety $\mathcal{V}$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\mathcal{V}$ is principal tolerance trivial and has $C E P$;
(2) for every $(2+n)$-ary terms $f, g$ there exist 8 -ary terms $p, q, r$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y, \vec{z}) & =q(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z}), w) \\
p(x, y, w) & =q(g(x, y, \vec{z}), f(y, x, \vec{z}), w) \\
p(y, x, w) & =r(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z}), w) \\
g(y, x, \vec{z}) & =r(g(x, y, \vec{z}), f(y, x, \vec{z}), w),
\end{aligned}
$$

where, for the sake of brevity, $w$ denotes the sequence: $x, y, f(x, y, \vec{z})$, $f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z}), g(y, x, \vec{z})$.
Proof (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ : Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a principal tolerance trivial having CEP. Let $A=F_{\mathcal{V}}\left(x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ and $f, g$ be $(2+n)$-ary terms. Then clearly

$$
\langle f(x, y, \vec{z}), f(y, x, \vec{z})\rangle \in T_{A}(x, y), \quad\langle g(x, y, \vec{z}), g(y, x, \vec{z})\rangle \in T_{A}(x, y)
$$

whence

$$
\langle f(x, y, \vec{z}), g(y, x, \vec{z})\rangle \in T_{A}(x, y) \bullet T_{A}(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z})) \bullet T_{A}(x, y) .
$$

Since $\mathcal{V}$ is principal tolerance trivial, we can apply Proposition 1 to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle f(x, y, \vec{z}), g(y, x, \vec{z})\rangle \in \\
& \quad \in T_{A}(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z})) \bullet T_{A}(x, y) \bullet T_{A}(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z})) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $B$ be a subalgebra of $A$ generated by the six generators: $x, y, f(x, y, \vec{z})$, $f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z}), g(y, x, \vec{z})$. Since $\mathcal{V}$ has CEP, the foregoing relation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle f(x, y, \vec{z}), g(y, x, \vec{z})\rangle \in \\
& \quad \in T_{B}(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z})) \bullet T_{B}(x, y) \bullet T_{B}(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z}))
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, there exist elements $c, d \in B$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle f(x, y, \vec{z}), c\rangle & \in T_{B}(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z})) \\
\langle c, d\rangle & \in T_{B}(x, y) \\
\langle d, g(y, x, \vec{z})\rangle & \in T_{B}(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z}))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
c=p(x, y, w), \quad d=p(y, x, w)
$$

for some 8 -ary term $p$, where $w$ denotes the sequence: $x, y, f(x, y, \vec{z}), f(y, x, \vec{z})$, $g(x, y, \vec{z}), g(y, x, \vec{z})$ of generators of $B$, and, moreover, there exist 8 -ary terms $q, r$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y, \vec{z}) & =q(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y, \vec{z}), w) \\
c & =q(g(x, y, \vec{z}), f(y, x, \vec{z}), w)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d & =r(f(y, x, \vec{z}), g(x, y \vec{z}), w) \\
g(y, x, \vec{z}) & =r(g(x, y, \vec{z}), f(y, x, \vec{z}), w)
\end{aligned}
$$

Altogether, we obtain (2).
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : Let $A \in \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}$ satisfy (2) and $a, b, c, d, x, y$ be elements of $A$. At first, we prove that $\mathcal{V}$ is principal tolerance trivial. Suppose $\langle x, y\rangle \in T_{A}(a, b) \bullet$ $T_{A}(c, d) \bullet T_{A}(a, b)$. Hence, there exist $z, v \in A$ with

$$
\langle x, z\rangle \in T_{A}(a, b), \quad\langle z, v\rangle \in T_{A}(c, d), \quad\langle v, y\rangle \in T_{A}(a, b) .
$$

Therefore, there exist $(2+n)$-ary terms $f, g$ and elements $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in A$ such that

$$
x=f(a, b, \vec{e}), \quad z=f(b, a, \vec{e}) \quad \text { and } \quad v=g(a, b, \vec{e}), \quad y=g(b, a, \vec{e}) .
$$

By (2), there are 8 -ary terms $p, q, r$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=f(a, b, \vec{e})=q(z, v, a, b, x, z, v, y) \\
p(a, b, a, b, x, z, v, y)=q(v, z, a, b, x, z, v, y)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(b, a, a, b, x, z, v, y)=r(z, v, a, b, x, z, v, y) \\
y=g(b, a, \vec{e})=r(v, z, a, b, x, z, v, y) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle x, p(a, b, a, b, x, z, v, y)\rangle \in T_{A}(z, v) \subseteq T_{A}(c, d) \\
& \langle p(b, a, a, b, x, z, v, y), y\rangle \in T_{A}(z, v) \subseteq T_{A}(c, d)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $\langle x, y\rangle \in T_{A}(c, d) \bullet T_{A}(a, b) \bullet T_{A}(c, d)$. By Proposition 1, $A$ and hence also $\mathcal{V}$ is principal tolerance trivial.

Now, we prove that $\mathcal{V}$ has CEP.
Let again $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and $B$ be a subalgebra of $A$. Let $x, y, a, b \in B$ and suppose

$$
\langle x, y\rangle \in T_{A}(a, b) \mid B .
$$

Since $\omega_{B}=\omega_{A}\left|B=T_{A}(a, a)\right| B$, we have also

$$
\langle x, y\rangle \in T_{A}(a, b)\left|B \bullet \omega_{B} \bullet T_{A}(a, b)\right| B .
$$

Hence, there exist $z, v \in B$ with

$$
\langle x, z\rangle \in T_{A}(a, b)\left|B, \quad\langle z, v\rangle \in \omega_{B}, \quad\langle v, y\rangle \in T_{A}(a, b)\right| B .
$$

Thus there are $(2+n)$-ary terms $f, g$ and elements $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in A$ with

$$
x=f(a, b, \vec{e}), \quad z=f(b, a, \vec{e})
$$

and

$$
v=g(a, b, \vec{e}), \quad y=g(b, a, \vec{e}) .
$$

By (2), there exist 8 -ary terms $p, q, r$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =q(z, v, a, b, x, z, v, y) \\
p(a, b, a, b, x, z, v, y) & =q(v, z, a, b, x, z, v, y) \\
p(b, a, a, b, x, z, v, y) & =r(z, v, a, b, x, z, v, y) \\
y & =r(v, z, a, b, x, z, v, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle x, p(a, b, a, b, x, z, v, y)\rangle \in T_{B}(z, v)=\omega_{B} \\
& \langle p(b, a, a, b, x, z, v, y), y\rangle \in T_{B}(z, v)=\omega_{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\langle p(a, b, a, b, x, z, v, y), p(b, a, a, b, x, z, v, y)\rangle \in T_{B}(a, b)$. So we have $\langle x, y\rangle \in$ $\omega_{B} \bullet T_{B}(a, b) \bullet \omega_{B}=T_{B}(a, b)$. Hence, $A$ and also $\mathcal{V}$ has PCEP. By [1], $\mathcal{V}$ has CEP.
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