Włodzimierz M. Mikulski The natural affinors on  $(J^r T^{\ast,a})^\ast$ 

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 40 (2001), No. 1, 179--184

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120429

## Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## The Natural Affinors on $(J^rT^{*,a})^*$

Włodzimierz M. MIKULSKI

Institute of Mathematics, Jagellonian University, Kraków, Reymonta 4, Poland e-mail: mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl

(Received May 10, 2000)

## Abstract

Let  $J^r T^{*,a} M$  be the *r*-th jet prolongation of the cotangent bundle with weight *a* of an *n*-dimensional manifold *M*. If  $n \geq 2$  and a < 0, then all natural affinors on  $(J^r T^{*,a} M)^*$  are the constant multiples of the identity affinor only.

**Key words:** Bundle functors, natural transformations, natural affinors.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58A20, 53A55

**0.** Let *n* and *r* be natural numbers and *a* be a real number. We consider a linear action  $\alpha^a : GL(n,r) \times \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  by  $\alpha^a(B,x) = |det(B)|^a(B^{-1})^*x$ and let  $T^{*,a}$  be the corresponding vector natural bundle over *n*-manifolds. We recall that  $T^{*,a}M = LM \times_{\alpha^a} \mathbf{R}^n$  for any *n*-manifold *M*, and  $T^{*,a}\varphi = L\varphi \times_{\alpha^a}$  $id_{\mathbf{R}^n} : T^{*,a}M \to T^{*,a}N$  for any embedding  $\varphi : M \to N$  between *n*-manifolds, where *LM* is the principal fibre bundle over *M* of linear frames.  $T^{*,a}$  is called the cotangent bundle of weight *a* over *n*-manifolds. Let  $J^rT^{*,a}$  be the *r*-jet prolongation of  $T^{*,a}$ . We recall that  $J^rT^{*,a}$  is a vector natural bundle over *n*-manifolds such that  $J^rT^{*,a}M = \{j_x^r\sigma \mid \sigma \text{ is a section of } T^{*,a}M, x \in M\}$ and  $J^rT^{*,a}\varphi : J^rT^{*,a}M \to J^rT^{*,a}N, J^rT^{*,a}\varphi(j_x^r\sigma) = j_{\varphi(x)}^r(T^{*,a}\varphi \circ \sigma \circ \varphi^{-1}),$  $j_x^r\sigma \in J^rT^{*,a}M$ , where *M* and  $\varphi$  are as above. Let  $(J^rT^{*,a})^*$  be the dual (to  $J^rT^{*,a})$  vector natural bundle over *n*-manifolds, i.e.  $(J^rT^{*,a})^*M = (J^rT^{*,a}M)^*$ and  $(J^rT^{*,a})^*\varphi = (J^rT^{*,a}\varphi^{-1})^*$  for any *M* and  $\varphi$  as above.

In general, a natural affinor A on a natural bundle F over n-manifolds is a system of affinors  $A : TFM \to TFM$  (i.e. tensor fields of type (1,1) on FM) for any n-manifold M which is invariant with respect to local embeddings between *n*-manifolds. For example, the family  $id = id_{TFM} : TFM \to TFM$  for any *n*-manifold M is a natural affinor on F.

The main result of this short note is the following classification theorem.

**Theorem 1** If  $n \ge 2$  and r are natural numbers and a < 0 is a negative real number, then all natural affinors on  $(J^r T^{*,a})^*$  over n-manifolds are the constant multiples of the identity natural affinor id only.

For a = 0 the classification is different. In [9], we proved that if r and  $n \ge 2$  are natural numbers, then the vector space of natural affinors A on  $(J^rT^*)^*$  is 2-dimensional.

In Item 1, for natural numbers  $n \geq 2$  and r and a negative real number awe present a classification of all natural transformations  $(J^rT^{*,a})^* \to (J^rT^{*,a})^*$ over *n*-manifolds. In Item 2, using similar arguments as in Item 1, for n, rand a as above we present a classification of all linear natural transformations  $T(J^rT^{*,a})^* \to (J^rT^{*,a})^*$  over *n*-manifolds. In Item 3, as a corollary of the result from Item 2, we present a classification of natural affinors of vertical type on  $(J^rT^{*,a})^*$  for n,r and a as above. In Item 4, for n, r and a as above we present a classification of all natural transformations  $T(J^rT^{*,a})^* \to T$  over *n*-manifolds. In Item 5, using the results of Items 3 and 4, we prove Theorem 1. In Item 6, we remark the same results for  $(J^r\tilde{T}^{*,a})^*$  instead of  $(J^rT^{*,a})^*$ , where  $\tilde{T}^{*,a}$  is given by a linear action  $GL(n,r) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, (B, x) \to sgn(det(B))|det(B)|^a(B^{-1})^*x$ .

Natural affinors on F play a very important role in the differential geometry. For example, they can be used to define torsions of a connection on F, see [5]. That is why classifications of natural affinors on some natural bundles have been studied in many papers, see e.g. [1]–[3] and [6]–[9].

Throughout this note the usual coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are denoted by  $x^1, \ldots, x^n$ and  $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

All manifolds and maps are assumed to be of class  $C^{\infty}$ .

1. In this item we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** If  $n \ge 2$  and r are natural numbers and a is a negative real number, then every natural transformation  $B : (J^r T^{*,a})^* \to (J^r T^{*,a})^*$  over *n*-manifolds is proportional (by a real number) to the identity natural transformation.

**Proof** From now on the set of all pairs  $(\alpha, i)$ , where  $\alpha \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})^n$  is such that  $|\alpha| \leq r$  and i = 1, ..., n, will be denoted by P(r, n).

Clearly, sections of  $T^{*,a}\mathbf{R}^n$  are 1-forms on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  satisfying respective new transformation rules. Then any element v from the fibre  $(J^TT^{*,a})_0^*\mathbf{R}^n$  is a linear combination of the  $(j_0^r(x^{\alpha}dx^i))^*$  for all  $(\alpha, i) \in P(r, n)$ , where the  $(j_0^r(x^{\alpha}dx^i))^*$  form the basis dual to the basis  $j_0^r(x^{\alpha}dx^i) \in (J^rT^{*,a})_0\mathbf{R}^n$ . From now on we denote the coefficient of v corresponding to  $(j_0^r(x^{\alpha}dx^i))^*$  by  $[v]_{\alpha,i}$ 

Of course, any natural transformation B as in the proposition is uniquely determined by the values  $\langle B(u), j_0^r(x^{\alpha}dx^i) \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$  for  $u \in (J^rT^{*,a})_0^*\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $(\alpha, i) \in P(r, n)$ , where  $j_0^r(x^{\alpha}dx^i) \in (J^rT^{*,a})_0\mathbf{R}^n$ . Since B is invariant with respect to the coordinate permutations, it is determined by the  $\langle B(u), j_0^r(x^{\alpha}dx^1) \rangle$ . We are going to prove that B is determined by the values  $\langle B(u), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle$  for  $u \in (J^rT^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n$ , where  $j_0^r(dx^1) \in (J^rT^{*,a})_0 \mathbf{R}^n$ .

For any  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$  and any  $\alpha \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})^n$  with  $|\alpha| \leq r$  the local diffeomorphism  $\psi_{\tau,\alpha} = (x^1, \ldots, x^{n-1}, x^n + \frac{1}{\alpha_n+1}\tau x^{\alpha+1_n})$  sends the section  $dx^1$  of  $T^{*,a}\mathbf{R}^n$  into the section  $(1 + \tau x^{\alpha})^a dx^1$  near  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , i.e. it sends  $j_0^r (dx^1) \in (J^r T^{*,a})_0 \mathbf{R}^n$  into  $j_0^r (dx^1) + \tau a j_0^r (x^\alpha dx^1) + \tau^2 (\ldots)$  (we consider the Taylor expansion at  $\tau = 0$  of  $(1 + \tau x^{\alpha})^a$  for any x), where the dots is the element from  $(J^r T^{*,a})_0 \mathbf{R}^n$  depending polynomially on  $\tau$ . By the naturality of B with respect to  $\psi_{\tau,\alpha}$ , the values  $\langle B(u), j_0^r (dx^1) + \tau a j_0^r (x^\alpha dx^1) + \tau^2 (\ldots) \rangle$  for  $u \in (J^r T^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$  are determined by the values  $\langle B(u), j_0^r (dx^1) \rangle$  for  $u \in (J^r T^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n$ . Clearly,  $\langle B(u), j_0^r (dx^1) + \tau a j_0^r (x^\alpha dx^1) + \tau^2 (\ldots) \rangle$  depends polynomially on  $\tau$  for any u. The coefficient on  $\tau$  of the above polynomial is  $a \langle B(u), j_0^r (x^\alpha dx^1) \rangle$ . Hence (since  $a \neq 0$ ) the values  $\langle B(u), j_0^r (dx^2) \rangle$  for  $u \in (J^r T^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n$  are fully determined by the values  $\langle B(u), j_0^r (dx^1) \rangle$  for  $u \in (J^r T^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n$ . That is why B is fully determined by the values  $\langle B(u), j_0^r (dx^1) \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$  for  $u \in (J^r T^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n$ .

We continue the proof of the proposition. For any  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$  and any  $(\alpha, i) \in P(r, n)$  the homothety  $a_t = (tx^1, \ldots, tx^n)$  sends  $j_0^r(x^\alpha dx^i) \in (J^r T^{*,a})_0 \mathbf{R}^n$  into  $t^{na-|\alpha|-1}j_0^r(x^\alpha dx^i)$ , i.e.  $(j_0^r(x^\alpha dx^i))^*$  into  $t^{|\alpha|+1-na}(j_0^r(x^\alpha dx^i))^*$ . Then (since a < 0) by the naturality of B with respect to  $a_t$  and by the homogeneous function theorem, [4], we deduce that given  $u \in (J^r T^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n$  we have  $\langle B(u), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i [u]_{(0),i}$ . Similarly, for any  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$  the homothety  $b_t = (x^1, tx^2, \ldots, tx^n)$  sends  $(j_0^r(dx^i))^*$  into  $t^{1-(n-1)a}(j_0^r(dx^i))^*$  for  $i = 2, \ldots, n$ , and it sends  $(j_0^r(dx^1))^*$  into  $t^{-(n-1)a}(j_0^r(dx^1))^*$ . Then  $\langle B(u), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle$  is proportional to  $[u]_{(0),1}$ .

Hence the vector space of natural transformations  $B: (J^r T^{*,a})^* \to (J^r T^{*,a})^*$ over *n*-manifolds has dimension  $\leq 1$ . This ends the proof of Proposition 1.  $\Box$ 

2. The crucial point in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following proposition.

**Proposition 2** If  $n \geq 2$  and r are natural numbers and a is a negative real number, then every linear natural transformation  $C : T(J^rT^{*,a})^* \to (J^rT^{*,a})^*$  over n-manifolds is 0.

**Proof** The linearity of C means that C gives a linear map  $T_y(J^rT^{*,a})^*M \to (J^rT^{*,a})^*M$  for any  $y \in (J^rT^{*,a})^*M$ ,  $x \in M$ . We will use the notation as in the proof of Proposition 1.

Similarly as in the proof of Proposition 1 we deduce that C is fully determined by the values  $\langle C(u), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$  for  $u \in (T(J^rT^{*,a})^*\mathbf{R}^n)_0 = \mathbf{R}^n \times (J^rT^{*,a})^*\mathbf{R}^n \times (J^rT^{*,a})^*\mathbf{R}^n \times (J^rT^{*,a})^*\mathbf{R}^n$ , where  $\tilde{=}$  is the standard trivialization and the canonical identification and where  $j_0^r(dx^1) \in (J^rT^{*,a})_0\mathbf{R}^n$ .

We continue the proof of the proposition. Similarly as in the proof of Proposition 1, by the naturality of C with respect to  $a_t$  and the homogeneous function theorem, we deduce that given  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in (T(J^r T^{*,a})^* \mathbf{R}^n)_0 = \mathbf{R}^n \times (J^r T^{*,a})^*_0 \mathbf{R}^n \times (J^r T^{*,a})^*_0 \mathbf{R}^n, u_1 = (u_1^1, \ldots, u_1^n) \in \mathbf{R}^n, u_2, u_3 \in (J^r T^{*,a})^*_0 \mathbf{R}^n$  we have  $\langle C(u), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i [u_2]_{(0),i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i [u_3]_{(0),i} + \ldots$ , where  $\lambda_i$ ,

 $\mu_i$  are the reals and the dots denote the linear combination of monomials in  $u_1^1, \ldots, u_1^n$  of degree  $\geq 2$ . Since *C* is linear,  $\langle C(u), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle$  depends linearly on  $(u_1, u_3)$  for any  $u_2$ . Then  $\langle C(u), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i [u_3]_{(0),i}$  for the reals  $\mu_i$ . Then, by the naturality of *C* with respect to  $b_t$  (see the proof of Proposition 1) and a < 0,

(\*) 
$$< C(u), j_0^r(dx^1) > = \mu[u_3]_{(0),1}$$

for the real number  $\mu = \mu_1$ . In particular, if  $n \ge 2$ 

$$(**) \qquad \qquad < C(\partial_1^C|_{\omega}), j_0^r(dx^1) > = < C(e_1, \omega, 0), j_0^r(dx^1) > = 0$$

for any  $\omega \in (J^r T^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n$ , where ()<sup>C</sup> is the complete lift to  $(J^r T^{*,a})^*$ .

Clearly, the proof of the proposition will be complete after proving that  $\mu = 0$ , i.e.  $\langle C(0,0,(j_0^r(dx^1))^*), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle = 0$ . But (if  $n \ge 2$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= < C(((x^2)^{r+1}\partial_1)^C|_{\omega}), j_0^r(dx^1) > \\ &= < C(0, \omega, (j_0^r(dx^1))^* + \ldots), j_0^r(dx^1) > \\ &= < C(0, 0, (j_0^r(dx^1))^*), j_0^r(dx^1) > , \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega = \frac{1}{r+1} (j_0^r((x^2)^r dx^2))^*$  and where the dots denote the linear combination with real coefficients of the  $(j_0^r(x^\alpha dx^i))^*$  with  $(\alpha, i) \in P(r, n) \setminus \{((0), 1)\}.$ 

The last equality of  $(^{***})$  is an immediate consequence of the formula  $(^*)$ . We prove the first equality of  $(^{***})$ . The vector fields  $\partial_1$  and  $\partial_1 + (x^2)^{r+1}\partial_1$  have the same *r*-jets at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Hence there exists a diffeomorphism  $\psi$  with  $j_0^{r+1}(\psi) = id$  sending  $\partial_1$  into  $\partial_1 + (x^2)^{r+1}\partial_1$  near 0. Clearly,  $\psi$  preserves  $j_0^r(dx^1) \in (J^rT^{*,a})_0\mathbf{R}^n$  because of the order argument. Then using the naturality of *C* with respect to  $\psi$  from  $(^{**})$  it follows that  $< C((\partial_1 + (x^2)^{r+1}\partial_1)^C|_{\omega}), j_0^r(dx^1) > = 0$  for any  $\omega \in (J^rT^{*,a})_0^*\mathbf{R}^n$ . Next we apply the linearity of *C* and  $(^{**})$ .

It remains to prove the second equality of  $(^{***})$ . The flow of  $(x^2)^{r+1}\partial_1$  is  $\varphi_t = (x^1 + t(x^2)^{r+1}, x^2, \ldots, x^n)$ . Clearly,  $det(d_0(\tau_{-\varphi_t(y)} \circ \varphi_t \circ \tau_y)) = 1$  for any  $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , where  $\tau_y : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  is the translation by y. Then  $\varphi_{-t}$  sends  $dx^1$  into  $d(x^1 \circ \varphi_t)$  because of the Jacobian argument. Then

$$\begin{split} &<((x^2)^{r+1}\partial_1)^C_{|\omega}, j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle = <\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}(J^rT^{*,a})^*(\varphi_t)(\omega), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} <(J^rT^{*,a})^*(\varphi_t)(\omega), j_0^r(dx^1) \rangle = \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} <\omega, j_0^r(d(x^1 \circ \varphi_t)) \rangle \\ &= <\omega, j_0^r(d(\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}(x^1 \circ \varphi_t))) \rangle = <\omega, j_0^r(d((x^2)^{r+1})) \rangle = 1 \end{split}$$

because of the definition of  $\omega$ . Then  $((x^2)^{r+1}\partial_1)^C|_{\omega} = (j_0^r(dx^1))^* + \dots$  under the isomorphism  $V_{\omega}(J^rT^{*,a})^*\mathbf{R}^n = (J^rT^{*,a})^*_{\mathbf{0}}\mathbf{R}^n$ . It implies the second equality. The natural affinors on  $(J^r T^{*,a})^*$ 

3. From Proposition 2 we obtain the following corollary

**Corollary 1** If r and  $n \ge 2$  are natural numbers and a is a negative real number, then every natural affinor  $A: T(J^rT^{*,a})^*M \to V(J^rT^{*,a})^*M$  on  $(J^rT^{*,a})^*$  over n-manifolds is 0.

**Proof** Define a linear natural transformation  $\tilde{A} = pr_2 \circ A : T(J^r T^{*,a})^* M \to V(J^r T^{*,a})^* M \cong (J^r T^{*,a})^* M \times_M (J^r T^{*,a})^* M \to (J^r T^{*,a})^* M$ , where  $pr_2$  is the projection onto second factor. By Proposition 2,  $\tilde{A} = 0$ . Then  $A = (\pi^T, \tilde{A}) = (\pi^T, 0) = 0$ .

4. The tangent map  $T\pi : T(J^rT^{*,a})^*M \to TM$  of the bundle projection  $\pi : (J^rT^{*,a})^*M \to M$  defines a natural transformation  $T\pi : T(J^rT^{*,a})^* \to T$  over *n*-manifolds.

**Proposition 3** If r and n are natural numbers and a is a negative real number, then every natural transformation  $D : T(J^rT^{*,a})^* \to T$  over n-manifolds is proportional (by a real number) to  $T\pi$ .

**Proof** Clearly, any natural transformation D as in the proposition is determined by the contractions  $\langle D(u), d_0 x^1 \rangle$  for

$$u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in (T(J^r T^{*,a})^* \mathbf{R}^n)_0 = \mathbf{R}^n \times (J^r T^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n \times (J^r T^{*,a})_0^* \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Using the invariancy of D with respect to the homotheties  $a_t = (tx^1, .., tx^n)$  for  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$  and the homogeneous function theorem we deduce (similarly as in the proof of Proposition 1) that  $\langle D(u), d_0x^1 \rangle$  for  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$  is the linear combination (with real coefficients) of the  $u_1^1, ..., u_1^n$  and it is independent of  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ , where  $u_1 = (u_1^1, ..., u_1^n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Next, using the invariance of D with respect to the homotheties  $b_t = (x^1, tx^2, ..., tx^n)$  we see that  $\langle D(u), d_0x^1 \rangle$  is proportional (by a real number) to  $u_1^1 = \langle T\pi(u), d_0x^1 \rangle$ .

5. We are now in position to prove Theorem 1. Let  $A: T(J^{r}T^{*,a})^{*}M \to T(J^{r}T^{*,a})^{*}M$  be a natural affinor on  $(J^{r}T^{*,a})^{*}$  over *n*-manifolds. Then  $T\pi \circ A: T(J^{r}T^{*,a})^{*} \to T$  is a natural transformation. By Proposition 3,  $T\pi \circ A = \lambda T\pi$  for some  $\lambda$ . Clearly,  $T\pi \circ id = T\pi$ . Then  $A - \lambda id$  is an affinor on  $(J^{r}T^{*,a})^{*}$  of vertical type. Now, applying Corollary 1 of Proposition 2 we end the proof.

6. Remark Starting from a linear action  $GL(n,r) \times \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $(B,x) \to sgn(det(B))|det(B)|^a (B^{-1})^*x$  instead of the one from Item 0, we get natural vector budle  $\hat{T}^{*,a}$ . Clearly, all results presented in this note are true for  $(J^r \tilde{T}^{*,a})^*$  instead of  $(J^r T^{*,a})^*$ . We use the same proofs with  $(J^r \tilde{T}^{*,a})^*$  instead of  $(J^r T^{*,a})^*$ .

## References

- Doupovec, M.: Natural transformations between TTT\*M and TT\*TM. Czechoslovak Math. J. 43, 118 (1993), 599-613.
- [2] Doupovec, M., Kolář, I.: Natural affinors on time-dependent Weil bundles. Arch. Math. (Brno) 27 (1991), 205-209.
- [3] Gancarzewicz, J., Kolář, I.: Natural affinors on the extended r-th order tangent bundles. Suppl. Rendiconti Circolo Mat. Palermo 30 (1993), 95-100.
- [4] Kolář, I., Michor, P. W., Slovák, J.: Natural operations in differential geometry. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [5] Kolář, I., Modugno, M.: Torsions of connections on some natural bundles. Diff. Geom. and Appl. 2 (1992), 1-16.
- Kurek, J.: Natural affinors on higher order cotangent bundles. Arch. Math. (Brno) 28 (1992), 175-180.
- Mikulski, W. M.: Natural affinors on r-jet prolongation of the tangent bundle. Arch. Math. (Brno) 34, 2 (1998), 321-328.
- [8] Mikulski, W. M.: The natural affinors on  $\otimes^k T^{(r)}$ . Note di Matematica, to appear.
- [9] Mikulski, W. M.: The natural affinors on (J<sup>r</sup>T<sup>\*</sup>)<sup>\*</sup>. Arch. Math. (Brno) 36, 4 (2000), 261-267.