

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Ivan Chajda

A characterization of congruence kernels in pseudocomplemented semilattices

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 41 (2002), No. 1, 35--37

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120452>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>



A Characterization of Congruence Kernels in Pseudocomplemented Semilattices

IVAN CHAJDA

*Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science,
Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic
e-mail: chajda@risc.upol.cz*

(Received May 25, 2001)

Abstract

We set two necessary and sufficient conditions such that any subset of a pseudocomplemented semilattice which satisfies these conditions is a kernel (i.e. a 0-class) of some congruence.

Key words: Pseudocomplemented semilattice, congruence kernel.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06A12, 06D15

By a *pseudocomplemented semilattice* is meant an algebra $S = (S; \wedge, *, 0)$ of type $\langle 2, 1, 0 \rangle$ such that $(S; \wedge)$ is a \wedge -semilattice with the least element 0 (with respect to the induced order) and a^* denotes the *pseudocomplement* of $a \in S$, i.e. a^* is the greatest element of the set $\{b \in S; a \wedge b = 0\}$. It is well-known that the class of all pseudocomplemented semilattices forms a variety, see e.g. [3].

Let $S = (S; \wedge, *, 0)$ be a pseudocomplemented semilattice and R be a binary relation on S . Denote by $[0]_R = \{x \in S; \langle x, 0 \rangle \in R\}$. Especially, if R is a congruence on S , $[0]_R$ is called a *congruence kernel* (of R). The aim of our paper is to characterize congruence kernels in pseudocomplemented semilattices. Let us note that a similar characterization (containing three conditions) was recently settled by P. Agliano and A. Ursini [1] based on the concept of ideal in universal algebra. Although our result is similar, our reasoning is based on different concepts.

Recal from [1] that a variety \mathcal{V} is *subtractive* if there exists a binary term $s(x, y)$ of \mathcal{V} such that \mathcal{V} satisfies the identities $s(x, x) = 0$ and $s(x, 0) = x$. Of course, the variety of pseudocomplemented semilattices is subtractive since $s(x, y) = x \wedge y^*$.

Moreover, \mathcal{V} is subtractive if and only if for each $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and every $\Theta, \Phi \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$ it holds that $[0]_{\Theta \cdot \Phi} = [0]_{\Phi \cdot \Theta}$; algebras satisfying the later condition are usually called *permutable at 0*.

Let us recall the following result (Lemma 2 of [2]):

Lemma *Let \mathcal{V} be a subtractive variety, $\mathcal{A} = (A, F) \in \mathcal{V}$ and R be a reflexive and compatible binary relation on A . Let $\Theta(R)$ be the least congruence on A containing R . Then*

$$[0]_R = [0]_{\Theta(R)}.$$

We are able to formulate our result.

Theorem *Let $S = (S; \wedge, *, 0)$ be a pseudocomplemented semilattice and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S$. Then I is a kernel of some congruence on S if and only if I satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (i) *if $x \in I$ and $a \in S$ then $x \wedge a \in I$*
- (ii) *if $x, y \in I$ then $(x^* \wedge y^*)^* \in I$.*

Before the proof, let us note that (i) yields $0 \in I$ and $x \in I, a \leq x \Rightarrow a \in I$ and (ii) yields $x \in I \Rightarrow x^{**} \in I$ (taking $x = y$).

Proof If $I = [0]_{\Theta}$ for some $\Theta \in \text{Con } \mathcal{A}$ and $x, y \in I, a \in S$ then $\langle x, 0 \rangle \in \Theta$ implies $\langle x \wedge a, 0 \rangle = \langle x \wedge a, 0 \wedge a \rangle \in \Theta$ proving (i); moreover, $\langle x, 0 \rangle \in \Theta$ and $\langle y, 0 \rangle \in \Theta$ thus also $\langle (x^* \wedge y^*)^*, 0 \rangle = \langle (x^* \wedge y^*)^*, (0^* \wedge 0^*)^* \rangle \in \Theta$ proving (ii).

Conversely, suppose that $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq S$ satisfies (i) and (ii) and define R on S by setting

$$\langle x, y \rangle \in R \text{ iff } x \wedge y^* \in I \text{ and } y \wedge x^* \in I.$$

Clearly, R is reflexive. Prove compatibility of R :

if $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$ then $a \wedge b^* \in I$ and $b \wedge a^* \in I$ thus, by (ii), also $(a \wedge b^*)^{**} \in I$ and $(b \wedge a^*)^{**} \in I$, i.e. also $a^{**} \wedge b^* \in I$ and $b^{**} \wedge a^* \in I$ proving $\langle a^*, b^* \rangle \in R$.

Suppose $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$ and $\langle c, d \rangle \in R$. Then $a \wedge b^* \in I, b \wedge a^* \in I, c \wedge d^* \in I$ and $d \wedge c^* \in I$ thus, by (ii), also

$$((a \wedge b^*)^* \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^*)^* \in I \text{ and } ((b \wedge a^*)^* \wedge (d \wedge c^*)^*)^* \in I. \quad (*)$$

We have

$$a \wedge c \wedge (a \wedge b^*)^* \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^* \wedge b^* = (a \wedge b^*) \wedge (a \wedge b^*)^* \wedge c \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^* = 0 \wedge c \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^* = 0,$$

and, analogously,

$$a \wedge c \wedge (a \wedge b^*)^* \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^* \wedge d^* = 0.$$

Thus

$$a \wedge c \wedge (a \wedge b^*)^* \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^* \leq b^{**} \quad \text{and} \quad a \wedge c \wedge (a \wedge b^*)^* \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^* \leq d^{**}$$

whence

$$a \wedge c \wedge (a \wedge b^*)^* \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^* \leq b^{**} \wedge d^{**} = (b \wedge d)^{**}$$

which yields

$$a \wedge c \wedge (a \wedge b^*)^* \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^* \wedge (b \wedge d)^* = 0.$$

It gives

$$a \wedge c \wedge (b \wedge d)^* \leq ((a \wedge b^*)^* \wedge (c \wedge d^*)^*)^*$$

which, together with (*) and (i) yields

$$(a \wedge c) \wedge (b \wedge d)^* \in I.$$

Analogously, we can show

$$(b \wedge d) \wedge (a \wedge c)^* \in I$$

thus $\langle a \wedge c, b \wedge d \rangle \in R$. Hence, R is reflexive and compatible relation on \mathcal{S} .

If $a \in I$ then also $a \wedge 0^* = a \in I$ and $0 \wedge a^* = 0 \in I$ showing $\langle a, 0 \rangle \in R$, i.e. $a \in [0]_R$. If $a \in [0]_R$ then $\langle a, 0 \rangle \in R$ and hence $a = a \wedge 0^* \in I$. We have shown $[0]_R = I$. By the Lemma, $I = [0]_{\Theta(R)}$, i.e. I is a congruence kernel. \square

References

- [1] Agliano, P., Ursini, A.: *On subtractive varieties III: From ideals to congruences*. Algebra Universalis **37** (1997), 296–333.
- [2] Chajda, I., Länger H.: *Ideals in locally regular and permutable at 0 varieties*. Contributions to General Algebra **13** (2001), 63–72.
- [3] Frink, O.: *Pseudo-complements in semi-lattices*. Duke Math. J. **29** (1962), 505–514.