

Tamás Glavosits; Árpád Száz  
Decompositions of commuting relations

*Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, Vol. 11 (2003), No. 1, 25--28

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120592>

**Terms of use:**

© University of Ostrava, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Decompositions of commuting relations

*Tamás Glavosits and Árpád Száz*

**ABSTRACT.** After some preparations, we show that if  $R$  and  $S$  are full relations on the sets  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, then  $R \circ S = S \circ R$  if and only if there exist full relations  $R_1$  and  $S_1$  on  $A \cap B$ ,  $R_2$  on  $A \setminus B$  and  $S_2$  on  $B \setminus A$  such that  $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ ,  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$  and  $R_1 \circ S_1 = S_1 \circ R_1$ .

### 1. A few basic facts on relations

A subset  $R$  of a product set  $X^2$  is called a relation on  $X$ . For any  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset X$ , the sets  $R(x) = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in R\}$  and  $R[A] = \bigcup_{a \in A} R(a)$  are called the images of  $x$  and  $A$  under  $R$ , respectively. If  $R$  is a relation on  $X$ , then the images  $R(x)$ , where  $x \in X$ , uniquely determine  $R$  since we have  $R = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} \times R(x)$ . Therefore, the inverse  $R^{-1}$  of  $R$  can be defined such that  $R^{-1}(x) = \{y \in X : x \in R(y)\}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, if  $R$  and  $S$  are relations on  $X$ , then the composition  $R \circ S$  of  $R$  and  $S$  can be defined such that  $(R \circ S)(x) = R[S(x)]$  for all  $x \in X$ . The relations  $R$  and  $S$  are said to commute with each other if  $R \circ S = S \circ R$ . If  $R$  is a relation on  $X$ , then the sets  $\mathcal{R}_R = R[X]$  and  $\mathcal{D}_R = R^{-1}[X]$  are called the range and the domain of  $R$ , respectively. If in particular  $X = \mathcal{D}_R$  and  $X = \mathcal{R}_R$ , then we say that  $R$  is a full relation on  $X$ . In the sequel, whenever confusions seem unlikely, we shall simply write  $A^c$  and  $R(A)$  in place of  $X \setminus A$  and  $R[A]$ , respectively. Note that the latter convention may only cause some serious troubles whenever  $A \subset X$  such that  $A \in X$ .

### 2. Images under commuting relations

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $R$  and  $S$  are relations on  $X$  such that  $R \circ S \subset S \circ R$ , then*

$$R(\dot{S}(X)) \subset R(X) \cap S(X).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 04A05; 08A02.

*Key words and phrases:* Relations and images, inversion and composition, commutativity of composition.

The work of the second author was supported by the grants OTKA T-030082 and FKFP 0310/1997.

*Proof.* We evidently have  $R(S(X)) \subset R(X)$ . Moreover, it is clear that

$$R(S(X)) = (R \circ S)(X) \subset (S \circ R)(X) = S(R(X)) \subset S(X).$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $R$  and  $S$  are relations on  $X$  such that  $S \circ R \subset R \circ S$ , then*

$$R(S^{-1}(X)^c) \subset R(X) \cap S^{-1}(X)^c.$$

*Proof.* We evidently have  $R(S^{-1}(X)^c) \subset R(X)$ . Moreover, it is clear that

$$R^{-1} \circ S^{-1} = (S \circ R)^{-1} \subset (R \circ S)^{-1} = S^{-1} \circ R^{-1}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we also have

$$R^{-1}(S^{-1}(X)) \subset S^{-1}(X), \quad \text{and thus} \quad R^{-1}(S^{-1}(X)) \cap S^{-1}(X)^c = \emptyset.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$S^{-1}(X) \cap R(S^{-1}(X)^c) = \emptyset, \quad \text{and thus} \quad R(S^{-1}(X)^c) \subset S^{-1}(X)^c.$$

□

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $R$  and  $S$  are full relations on  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, such that  $R \circ S = S \circ R$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad R(A \cap B) &= A \cap B, & (2) \quad R(A \setminus B) &= A \setminus B; \\ (3) \quad S(A \cap B) &= A \cap B, & (4) \quad S(B \setminus A) &= B \setminus A. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By letting  $X = A \cup B$  and using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we can see that

$$R(A \cap B) \subset R(B) = R(S(X)) \subset R(X) \cap S(X) = A \cap B$$

and

$$R(A \setminus B) \subset R(B^c) = R(S^{-1}(X)^c) \subset R(X) \cap S^{-1}(X)^c = A \cap B^c = A \setminus B.$$

Hence, since

$$R(A \cap B) \cup R(A \setminus B) = R((A \cap B) \cup (A \setminus B)) = R(A) = A,$$

it is clear that the assertions (1) and (2) are also true.

From the assertions (1) and (2), by changing the roles of  $R$  and  $S$ , we can at once see that the assertions (3) and (4) are also true. □

### 3. Decompositions of commuting relations

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $R$  and  $S$  are full relations on  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, such that  $R \circ S = S \circ R$ , then there exist full relations  $R_1$  and  $S_1$  on  $A \cap B$ ,  $R_2$  on  $A \setminus B$  and  $S_2$  on  $B \setminus A$  such that*

$$R = R_1 \cup R_2, \quad S = S_1 \cup S_2 \quad \text{and} \quad R_1 \circ S_1 = S_1 \circ R_1.$$

*Proof.* Define  $X = A \cup B$  and

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= R \cap (A \cap B)^2, & R_2 &= R \cap (A \setminus B)^2; \\ S_1 &= S \cap (A \cap B)^2, & S_2 &= S \cap (B \setminus A)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the corresponding definitions and Lemma 2.3, it is clear that

$$R_1(x) = (R \cap (A \cap B)^2)(x) = R(x) \cap (A \cap B)^2(x) = R(x) \cap (A \cap B) = R(x)$$

for all  $x \in A \cap B$ . Moreover, it is clear  $R_1(x) = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in (A \cap B)^c$ . And, quite similarly, we can also see that

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(x) &= R(x) \text{ for all } x \in A \setminus B \text{ and } R_2(x) = \emptyset \text{ for all } x \in (A \setminus B)^c; \\ S_1(x) &= S(x) \text{ for all } x \in A \cap B \text{ and } S_1(x) = \emptyset \text{ for all } x \in (A \cap B)^c; \\ S_2(x) &= S(x) \text{ for all } x \in B \setminus A \text{ and } S_2(x) = \emptyset \text{ for all } x \in (B \setminus A)^c. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is clear that  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are full relations on  $A \cap B$ ,  $A \setminus B$ ,  $A \cap B$  and  $B \setminus A$ , respectively. Moreover, it is clear that

$$R(x) = R_1(x) \cup R_2(x) = (R_1 \cup R_2)(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , and thus  $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ . And, quite similarly,  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ . On the other hand, it is clear that

$$(R_1 \circ S_2)(x) = R_1(S_2(x)) \subset R_1(B \setminus A) = \emptyset$$

for all  $x \in X$ , and hence  $R_1 \circ S_2 = \emptyset$ . Moreover, quite similarly, we can also see that  $R_2 \circ S_1 = R_2 \circ S_2 = \emptyset$  and  $S_1 \circ R_2 = S_2 \circ R_1 = S_2 \circ R_2 = \emptyset$ . Therefore,

$$R \circ S = (R_1 \cup R_2) \circ (S_1 \cup S_2) = R_1 \circ S_1 \cup R_1 \circ S_2 \cup R_2 \circ S_1 \cup R_2 \circ S_2 = R_1 \circ S_1, \quad (1)$$

and quite similarly  $S \circ R = S_1 \circ R_1$ . Therefore,  $R_1 \circ S_1 = S_1 \circ R_1$  is also true.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be full relations on  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Moreover, suppose that  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are relations on  $A \cap B$ ,  $A \setminus B$ ,  $A \cap B$  and  $B \setminus A$ , respectively, such that the assertions of Theorem 3.1 hold. Then  $R \circ S = S \circ R$ . Moreover,  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.*

*Proof.* From the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is clear that  $R \circ S = S \circ R$ . Moreover, if  $x \in A \cap B$ , then  $x \notin A \setminus B$ . Therefore, by the inclusion  $R_2 \subset (A \setminus B)^2$ , we have

$R_2(x) \subset (A \setminus B)^2(x) = \emptyset$ , and thus  $R_2(x) = \emptyset$ . Hence, by the equality  $R = R_1 \cup R_2$  and Lemma 2.3, it is clear that

$$R_1(x) = R_1(x) \cup R_2(x) = R(x) = R(x) \cap (A \cap B) = (R \cap (A \cap B)^2)(x).$$

Therefore, the equality  $R_1 = R \cap (A \cap B)^2$  is true. The equalities  $R_2 = R \cap (A \setminus B)^2$ ,  $S_1 = S \cap (A \cap B)^2$  and  $S_2 = S \cap (B \setminus A)^2$  can be proved quite similarly.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Note that the relations  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1, inherit several useful properties of the relations  $R$  and  $S$ . For instance, if  $R$  and  $S$  are preorders (equivalences), then  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are also preorders (equivalences). To construct commuting preorders, we can note that if  $R_1$  and  $S_1$  are preorders on  $A \cap B$  such that  $R_1 \subset S_1$ , and moreover  $R_2$  and  $S_2$  are preorders on  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus A$ , respectively, then  $R = R_1 \cup R_2$  and  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$  are preorders on  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, such that  $R \circ S = S \circ R$ . (Necessary and sufficient conditions for equivalences to be commuting can be found in [1].)

## References

- [1] T. Glavosits and Á. Száz *Characterizations of commuting relations*, Tech. Rep., Inst. Math. Inf., Univ. Debrecen, Vol. 279, 2002

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, H-4010  
DEBRECEN, PFI2, HUNGARY  
E-mail address: glavositdragon.klte.hu, szazmath.klte.hu