Marek Jukl Inertial law of symplectic forms on modules over plural algebra

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 122 (1997), No. 2, 191-196

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125919

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

122 (1997)

MATHEMATICA BOHEMICA

No. 2, 191-196

INERTIAL LAW OF SYMPLECTIC FORMS ON MODULES OVER PLURAL ALGEBRA

MAREK JUKL, Olomouc*

(Received January 31, 1996)

Summary. In this paper the problem of construction of the canonical matrix belonging to symplectic forms on a module over the so called plural algebra (introduced in [5]) is solved.

Keywords: linear algebra, free module, symplectic form, symplectic basis

MSC 1991: 15A63, 51A50

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Definition. The plural T-algebra of order m is every linear algebra A on T having as a vector space over T a basis

$\{1, \eta, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^{m-1}\}$ with $\eta^m = 0$.

A plural algebra \mathbf{A} is a local ring the maximal ideal of which is nilpotent. It was proved in [3] that the free finite generated \mathbf{A} -module \mathbf{M} (the so called \mathbf{A} -space in the sense of [6]) has the following properties:

2.1. If one basis of **M** consists of *n* elements then each of its bases consists of the same number of *n* elements. (This is true in every free module over a commutative ring.)¹

^{*}Supported by grant No. 201/95/1631 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic. 1 See [1]

2.2. From every system of generators of ${\bf M}$ we may select a basis of ${\bf M}.$ (This is valid over every local ring.)^2

Moreover, in this case:

2.3. Any linearly independent system may be completed to a basis of M.

2.4. Every maximal linearly independent system in M forms a basis of M.

3. Let $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k$ be a linearly independent system of linear forms $\mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{A}$. Then $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_i$ is a free (n - k)-dimensional submodule of \mathbf{M} .

4. Let K, L be free submodules of an **A**-module **M**. Then K + L is a free **A**-submodule if and only if $K \cap L$ is a free **A**-submodule and the dimensions of **A**-submodules K, L, $K \cap L$, K + L fulfil the relation

 $\dim(K+L) + \dim(K \cap L) = \dim K + \dim L.$

5. Agreement. Throughout the paper we denote by A the plural T-algebra introduced in this section. The capital M always denotes the free *n*-dimensional module over the algebra A.

6. Definition. A bilinear form $\Phi\colon {\bf M}^2\to {\bf A}$ is called a bilinear form of order $k\,(0\leqslant k\leqslant m-1)$ if

(1) $\forall (\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) \in \mathbf{M}^2; \quad \Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) \in \eta^k \mathbf{A},$ (2) $\exists (\underline{U}, \underline{V}) \in \mathbf{M}^2; \quad \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) \notin \eta^{k+1} \mathbf{A}.$

The following proposition is taken form [4].

7. Proposition. If Φ is a bilinear form of order k then there exists at least one form Λ of order 0 such that

 $\Phi=\eta^k\Lambda.$

² See [6]

II. INERTIAL LAW OF SYMPLECTIC FORMS ON MODULES OVER PLURAL ALGEBRA

Let the dimension n of \mathbf{M} be an even number.

Definition. Let Φ: M² → A be a symplectic form³. If all elements of the basis U = {U₁, V₁, U₂, V₂,..., U_r, V_r} of M fulfil the conditions
(1) Φ(U_i, U_j) = Φ(V_i, V_j) = 0,

(2) $\Phi(\underline{U}_i, \underline{V}_i) \simeq \{1, \eta, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^m\},\$

(3) $\Phi(\underline{U}_i, \underline{V}_i) = 0$ for $i \neq j$,

then \mathcal{U} is called the symplectic basis of \mathbf{M} with respect to Φ .⁴

2. Remark. Relative to this basis the matrix of the symplectic form has the form

$\ 0 \varphi_{12} 0 0$	0 0
$-\varphi_{12} = 0 = 0 = 0$	0 0
$0 0 0 \varphi_{34}$	0 0
$0 0 -\varphi_{34} 0$	0 0
	<u></u>
0 0 0 0	$0 = \varphi_{n-1,n}$
$0 0 0 0 : -\varphi$	$c_{n-1,n} = 0$

where $\varphi_{ij} \in \{1, \eta, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^m\}$.

3. Theorem. Let Φ be a symplectic form on the module **M**. Then there exists a symplectic basis of **M** with respect to Φ .

Proof. By induction for $r = \frac{1}{2}n$.

1. The proposition is clear for r = 1.

2. Let the theorem be true for all (n-2)-dimensional A-modules, $n \ge 4$.

(a) Let Φ be a form of order 0, i.e. ∃(<u>U</u>, <u>V</u>) ∈ M²: Φ(<u>U</u>, <u>V</u>) is a unit. Let us suppose—without loss of generality—that Φ(<u>U</u>, <u>V</u>) = 1.

This implies that $\underline{U}, \underline{V}$ are linearly independent. Indeed, if $\alpha \underline{U} + \beta \underline{V} = \underline{o}$ then

$$0 = \Phi(\alpha \underline{U} + \beta \underline{V}, \underline{V}) = \alpha \cdot \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) + \beta \cdot \Phi(\underline{V}, \underline{V}) = \alpha.$$

Analogously, we obtain $\beta = 0$.

Let us consider linear forms $\varphi_U(\underline{X}) \equiv \Phi(\underline{U},\underline{X})$ and $\varphi_V(\underline{X}) \equiv \Phi(\underline{V},\underline{X})$. Evidently, they are linearly independent. According to Proposition I.3 $\mathcal{N} = \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_U \cap \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_V$

³ A form Φ satisfies $\Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{X}) = 0$ for all $\underline{X} \in \mathbf{M}$.

⁴ For m = 1 (i.e. **A** is a field) we get the usual d^{-c} (tion of a symplectic basis over fields (see [2]).

¹⁹³

is a free (n-2)-dimensional submodule. Due to the induction hypothesis we may construct a symplectic basis $\{\underline{U}_1, \underline{V}_1, \underline{U}_2, \underline{V}_2, \dots, \underline{U}_{r-1}, \underline{V}_{r-1}\}$ of \mathcal{N} with respect to the form $\Phi|\mathcal{N}^2$.

Now, let us show $\mathbf{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus [\underline{U}, \underline{V}]$. If $\underline{X} \in [\underline{U}, \underline{V}]$ then $\underline{X} = \xi \underline{U} + \zeta \underline{V}$. Consequently,

$$0 = \varphi_U(\underline{X}) = \Phi(\underline{U}, \xi \underline{U} + \zeta \underline{V}) = \xi \cdot \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{U}) + \zeta \cdot \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) = \zeta.$$

In a similar way we get $\xi = 0$. This gives $\underline{X} = \underline{O}$ and therefore $\mathcal{N} \cap [\underline{U}, \underline{V}]$ is a 0-dimensional submodule. We have (by Proposition I.4) $\mathbf{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus [\underline{Y}]$.

Since $\underline{U}_j, \underline{V}_j \in \mathcal{N}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}(r-1)$, hence $\Phi(\underline{U}_j, \underline{U}) = \Phi(\underline{V}_j, \underline{U}) = 0$ and $\Phi(\underline{U}_j, \underline{V}) = \Phi(\underline{V}_j, \underline{V}) = 0$. Thus $\{\underline{U}_1, \underline{V}_1, \underline{U}_2, \underline{V}_2, \dots, \underline{U}_{r-1}, \underline{V}_{r-1}, \underline{U}, \underline{V}\}$ forms a symplectic basis of **M** with respect to Φ .

(b) Let Φ be a bilinear form of order $k \neq 0$. According to Proposition I.7 there exists a bilinear form Ψ of order 0 with $\Phi = \eta^k \Psi$. By (a) we can construct a symplectic basis for the form Ψ , which is also a symplectic basis for the form Φ .

4. Definition. Let Φ be a symplectic form $\mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$ and let the basis $\mathcal{U} = \{\underline{U}_1, \underline{V}_1, \underline{U}_2, \underline{V}_2, \dots, \underline{U}_r, \underline{V}_r\}$ be symplectic with respect to Φ . Let us define a system of sets $\mathcal{J}_0, \dots, \mathcal{J}_m$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}_{k} = \{i \in \mathbb{N}(r); \Phi(\underline{U}_{i}, \underline{V}_{i}) = \eta^{k}\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

If we denote $\pi_k = 2 \operatorname{card}(\mathcal{J}_k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m$, then

$$\mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{U}) = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_m)$$

is called the characteristic of the symplectic form Φ with respect to the basis \mathcal{U} .

5. Definition. For any symplectic form $\Phi \colon \mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$ let us denote by \mathcal{V}_k^{Φ} the set

$$\{\underline{Y} \in \mathbf{M}; \eta^k \Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) = 0, \forall \underline{X} \in \mathbf{M}\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

The following lemma is evident:

6. Lemma. If ${\mathcal U}$ is a basis of M and Φ is symplectic form, then

$$\mathcal{V}_{k}^{\Phi} = \{\underline{Y} \in \mathbf{M}; \eta^{k} \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{Y}) = 0, \forall \underline{U} \in \mathcal{U}\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

7. Proposition. Let Φ be a symplectic form $\mathbf{M}^2 \to \mathbf{A}$ and let \mathcal{U} be symplectic with respect to Φ . Then a submodule \mathcal{V}_k^{Φ} of \mathbf{M} as an \mathbf{T} -vector subspace has the dimension

$$\lim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k}^{\Phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k-1} (k+j)\pi_{j} + m \sum_{j=m-k}^{m} \pi_{j},$$

where $(\pi_0, \ldots, \pi_m) = \mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{U}).$

Proof. \mathcal{V}_k^{Φ} is clearly a submodule of **M**. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\underline{U}_1, \underline{V}_1, \underline{U}_2, \underline{V}_2, \dots, \underline{U}_r, \underline{V}_r\}$ and let us consider a $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_k^{\Phi}, \underline{X} = \sum_{i=1}^r \xi_i \underline{U}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r \zeta_i \underline{V}_i$. Putting $\gamma_j = \Phi(\underline{U}_j, \underline{V}_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}(r)$, we obtain

$$\Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{U}_j) = -\zeta_j \gamma_j$$
 and $\Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{V}_j) = \xi_j \gamma_j$

which yields $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_k^{\Phi} \Leftrightarrow \forall i, i \in \mathbb{N}(r); \eta^k \Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{U}_i) = \eta^k \Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{V}_i) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall i, i \in \mathbb{N}(r); \eta^k \gamma_i \zeta_i = \eta^k \gamma_i \xi_i = 0$. As every $\gamma_i = \eta^{k(i)}$ we get (according to Definition 4) that $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_k^{\Phi}$ if and only if the following conditions are valid:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (0) \quad i \in \mathcal{J}_0 \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{A} \\ (1) \quad i \in \mathcal{J}_1 \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \eta^{m-k-1} \mathbf{A} \\ & & \\ & & \\ (j) \quad i \in \mathcal{J}_j \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \eta^{m-k-j} \mathbf{A}, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant m-k-1 \\ & & \\ & & \\ (m-k-1) \quad i \in \mathcal{J}_{m-k-1} \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \eta \mathbf{A} \\ & & \\ (m-k) \quad i \in \bigcup_{s=0}^k \mathcal{J}_{m-s} \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \mathbf{A} \end{array}$

Let us construct the following system of submodules in \mathcal{V}_k^{Φ} :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^{\Phi} &= \big\{ \underline{X} \in \mathbf{M}; \ \underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_{k}^{\Phi} \wedge \underline{X} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_{i}} \xi_{i} \underline{U}_{i} \big\}, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant m, \\ \mathcal{W}_{kj}^{\Phi} &= \big\{ \underline{X} \in \mathbf{M}; \ \underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_{k}^{\Phi} \wedge \underline{X} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_{i}} \zeta_{i} \underline{V}_{i} \big\}, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant m. \end{split}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{V}_{k}^{\Phi} = \mathcal{V}_{k0}^{\Phi} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{k1}^{\Phi} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{V}_{km}^{\Phi} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{k0}^{\Phi} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{k1}^{\Phi} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{W}_{km}^{\Phi}$. We get [from (0)], that \mathcal{V}_{k0}^{Φ} or \mathcal{W}_{k0}^{Φ} , has **T**-basis

$$\bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{J}_0} \{\eta^{m-k}\underline{U}_i,\ldots,\eta^{m-1}\underline{U}_i\} \text{ or } \bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{J}_0} \{\eta^{m-k}\underline{V}_i,\ldots,\eta^{m-1}\underline{V}_i\}, \text{ respectively;}$$

therefore $\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k0}^{\Phi} = \dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{W}_{k0}^{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \pi_0 k$. Analogously, conditions (j) imply that $\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^{\Phi} = \dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{W}_{kj}^{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \pi_j (k+j)$, and the condition $(\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{k})$ implies that $\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^{\Phi} = \dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{W}_{kj}^{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \pi_j m, m-k \leq j \leq m$.

The relation for the **T**-dimension of \mathcal{V}_k^{Φ} is now evident.

□ 195 8. Theorem (inertial law). Let a symplectic form $\Phi\colon M^2\to A$ be given. If $\mathcal U,$ $\mathcal V$ are arbitrary symplectic bases of M with respect to this form, then

$$\mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{U}) = \mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{V})$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{U}) = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_m)$. Then Proposition II.7 implies

$$\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k}^{\Phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_{j}(k+j) + \sum_{j=m-k+1}^{m} \pi_{j} \cdot m,$$
$$\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k-1}^{\Phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \left(\pi_{j}(k+j) - \pi_{j} \right) + \sum_{j=m-k+1}^{m} \pi_{j} \cdot m.$$

Consequently, we have $\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k}^{\Phi} - \dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k-1}^{\Phi} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_{j}$. Let $\mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{V}) = (\nu_{0}, \dots, \nu_{m})$. Then we obtain $\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k}^{\Phi} - \dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k-1}^{\Phi} = \sum_{h=0}^{m-k} \nu_{h}$, i.e. $\sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_{j} = \sum_{h=0}^{m-k} \nu_{h}$. Putting k = m, $m-1, \dots, 0$, we get

$$\pi_0 = \nu_0, \ \pi_0 + \pi_1 = \nu_0 + \nu_1, \ \dots, \ \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_j + \pi_m = \sum_{h=0}^{m-k} \nu_h + \nu_m,$$

which successively yields $\pi_0 = \nu_0, \pi_1 = \nu_1, \ldots, \pi_m = \nu_m$.

References

- F. W. Anderson, F. K. Fuller: Rings and Categories of Modules. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [2] E. Artin: Geometric Algebra. Nauka, Moskva, 1969. (In Russian.)
- [3] M. F. Atiyah, I. G. MacDonald: Introduction to Commutative Algebra. Mir, Moskva, 1972. (In Russian.)
- [4] M. Juki: Grassmann formula for certain type of modules. Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math. 34 (1995), 69-74.
- [5] M. Juki: Inertial laws of quadratic forms on modules over plural algebra. Math. Bohem. 120 (1995), 255-263.
- [6] M. Juki: Linear forms on free modules over certain local ring. Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math. 32 (1993), 49-62.
- [7] B. R. McDonald: Geometric Algebra over Local Rings. Pure and applied mathematics, New York, 1976.

Author's address: Marek Jukl, Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 77900 Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: jukl@ matnw.upol.cz.