Diego Vaggione On Jónsson's theorem

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 121 (1996), No. 1, 55-58

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125941

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

121 (1996)

MATHEMATICA BOHEMICA

No. 1, 55-58

ON JÓNSSON'S THEOREM

DIEGO VAGGIONE, Córdoba

(Received July 25, 1994)

Summary. A proof of Jónsson's theorem inspired by considering a natural topology on algebraic lattices is given.

Keywords:Jónsson's theorem, compact subset of an algebraic lattice, meet prime elements

AMS classification: 06B05, 08B15, 06B30, 08B10

In [5] and [6] we have introduced the concept of compactness of a set of congruences and shown that this concept is central in the study of sheaf representation of semiirreducible algebras (see, for example, [5, Corollary 1 of 3.3, Corollary 1 of 3.4 and 3.5] and [6, 8.3 and Corollary 1 of 8.3]). In this note we give a natural proof of Jónsson's famous theorem [3] using the concept of compactness of a set of congruences. The theorem states that every subdirectly irreducible algebra in the variety generated by a class \mathscr{K} of similar algebras is in $HSP_u(\mathscr{K})$, provided $V(\mathscr{K})$ is congruence distributive. This theorem is the main impetus for the resurgence in the study of varieties of algebras, in particular lattice varieties.

For basic facts and notation on universal algebra we refer the reader to [2]. If \mathscr{K} is a class of algebras then $I(\mathscr{K})$, $S(\mathscr{K})$, $P(\mathscr{K})$, $P_u(\mathscr{K})$ and $H(\mathscr{K})$ denote the classes of isomorphic images, subalgebras, direct products, ultraproducts and hommorphic images of members of \mathscr{K} , respectively, and $V(\mathscr{K})$ is the variety generated by \mathscr{K} . For any algebra A, \mathbb{I}^A is the universal congruence on A and \mathbb{O}^A is the trivial congruence on A. Con(A) denotes the congruence lattice of A. Given a set B, by an *ultrafilter* over B we understand a prime filter of the Boolean algebra { $S: S \subseteq B$ } (see [2]).

Let L be an algebraic lattice. Suppose B_1, B_2 are subsets of L. We say that B_1 minorizes B_2 when for each $x \in B_2$ there exists $y \in B_1$ such that $y \leq x$. A set $B \subseteq L$ is called *compact* if for every set C of compact elements of L which minorizes B there

55

exists a finite set $C_0 \subseteq C$ which minorizes B. If we consider in L the topology with the basis

 $\{\{x \in L \colon x \ge c\} \colon c \text{ is a compact element of } L\},\$

then L becomes a topological lattice [1, p. 63], and the above defined notion of compactness coincides with the one in this topological lattice.

An element $x \in L$ is called *meet irreducible (prime)* if for every $z, w \in L$ we have that $x = z \land w$ ($x \ge z \land w$) implies x = z or x = w ($x \ge z$ or $x \ge w$). It is well known that if L is distributive, then meet irreducibles and meet primes coincide.

Lemma 1. x is meet prime iff for every compact set $B \subseteq L$, $x \ge \bigwedge B \Rightarrow x \ge z$ for some $z \in B$.

Proof. Let $B \subseteq L$ be a compact set such that $x \ge \bigwedge B$. Suppose there is no such z. Then for each $z \in B$ let c_z be such that $c_z \le z$ and $c_z \le x$. The set $\{c_z : z \in B\}$ minorizes B. Therefore there exists a finite set $B_0 \subseteq B$ such that $\{c_z : z \in B_0\}$ minorizes B. Thus we have $\bigwedge \{c_z : z \in B_0\} \le \bigwedge B \le x$, which is absurd.

Let $B \subseteq L$. For each ultrafilter \mathscr{U} over B, let $I_{\mathscr{U}} = \{c: c \text{ is compact and } \{x \in B: x \ge c\} \in \mathscr{U}\}$. Note that $I_{\mathscr{U}}$ is an ideal in the semilattice of compact elements. Let $x_{\mathscr{U}} = \bigvee I_{\mathscr{U}}$ and define $B' = \{x_{\mathscr{U}} : \mathscr{U} \text{ is an ultrafilter over } B\}$.

Lemma 2. Let c be a compact element of L. Then $c \in I_{\mathscr{U}}$ if and only if $c \leq x_{\mathscr{U}}$.

Lemma 3. $B \subseteq B'$, B'' = B' and $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ implies $B'_1 \subseteq B'_2$.

Proof. Note that for every $x \in B$ we have $x = x_{\mathscr{U}}$, where $\mathscr{U} = \{S \subseteq B : x \in S\}$. Thus $B \subseteq B'$. Let \mathscr{Y} be an ultrafilter over B'. Let

 $\mathscr{U}_1 = \{ S \subseteq B \colon \{ x_{\mathscr{U}} \colon \mathscr{U} \in U_L \text{ and } S \in \mathscr{U} \} \in \mathscr{Y} \},\$

where U_L denotes the set of all ultrafilters over B. It is easy to check that $\mathscr{U}_1 \in U_L$. Furthermore if c is compact, then

$$\begin{split} c \in I_{\mathscr{Y}} & \text{ iff } \{x \in B' : x \geqslant c\} \in \mathscr{Y} \\ & \text{ iff } \{x_{\mathscr{U}} : \mathscr{U} \in U_L \text{ and } c \in I_{\mathscr{U}}\} \in \mathscr{Y} \\ & \text{ iff } \{x_{\mathscr{U}} : \mathscr{U} \in U_L \text{ and } \{x \in B : x \geqslant c\} \in \mathscr{U}\} \in \mathscr{Y} \\ & \text{ iff } \{x \in B : x \geqslant c\} \in \mathscr{U}_1 \\ & \text{ iff } c \in I_{\mathscr{U}_1}. \end{split}$$

Thus we have proved that $B'' \subseteq B'$. Next suppose that $B_1 \subseteq B_2$. Let \mathscr{U}_1 be an ultrafilter over B_1 . Let \mathscr{U}_2 be an ultrafilter over B_2 such that $\mathscr{U}_1 \subseteq \mathscr{U}_2$. It is easy to check that $I_{\mathscr{U}_1} = I_{\mathscr{U}_2}$.

56

Lemma 4. B' is compact.

Proof. Suppose that C is a set of compact elements such that no finite subset of C minorizes B'. It is easy to check that the set $\{x \in B : c \notin x\}: c \in C\}$ can be extended to an ultrafilter \mathscr{U} over B, which by Lemma 2 implies that C does not minorize $\{x_{\mathscr{U}}\}$.

Lemma 5. Let A be an algebra. If $B \subseteq Con(A)$, then $A/x_{\mathscr{U}} \in ISP_u(\{A/\theta: \theta \in B\})$.

Proof. Let f be the map

$$\begin{split} A &\to \Pi\{A/\theta \colon \theta \in B\}/\mathscr{U} \\ a &\to \langle a/\theta \colon \theta \in B \rangle /\mathscr{U} \end{split}$$

Note that f(a) = f(b) iff $\{\theta \in B : (a, b) \in \theta\} \in \mathscr{U}$ iff $\{\theta \in B : \theta(a, b) \subseteq \theta\} \in \mathscr{U}$ iff $\theta(a, b) \subseteq x_{\mathscr{U}}$ iff $(a, b) \in x_{\mathscr{U}}$, where $\theta(a, b)$ is the principal congruence generated by the pair (a, b).

We recall that a class is universal (i.e., definable by a set of universal first-order sentences) iff it is of the form $ISP_u(\mathscr{K})$ for some class \mathscr{K} . In particular, we obtain that $ISP_uISP_u(\mathscr{K}) = ISP_u(\mathscr{K})$.

Theorem (Jónsson [3]). Let \mathscr{K} be a class such that $V(\mathscr{K})$ is a congruence distributive variety. Then the subdirectly irreducible elements of $V(\mathscr{K})$ are in $HSP_u(\mathscr{K})$.

Proof. Let $A \in SP(\mathscr{K})$ and let $B = \{\theta \in Con(A) : A/\theta \in ISP_u(\mathscr{K})\}$. Note that by Lemmas 3, 4 and 5, B = B' is compact. Furthermore note that $\bigcap B = \mathbb{O}^A$. Suppose A/θ is subdirectly irreducible. Since Con(A) is distributive, θ is a meet prime element of Con(A) and therefore there exists $\delta \in B$ such that $\theta \supseteq \delta$ (Lemma 1). Thus $A/\theta \in HSP_u(\mathscr{K})$. Now, recall that $V(\mathscr{M}) = HSP(\mathscr{M})$.

In a similar way as above the generalizations of Jónsson's theorem for quasivarieties, established by D. Pigozzi in [4], can be proved.

57

References

[1] G. Birkhoff: Lattice Theory. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 25, Revised Edition, D. During, Lascale Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Conoq. Publ. vol. 25, Revised Edition, Providence, 1948.
S. Burris and H.P. Sankappanavar: A Course in Universal Algebra. Springer-Verlag, Networks and Science Science

Derris and A.F. Sankappanavar: A Course in Universal Algebra. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
B. Jónsson: Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive. Math. Scand. 21 (1967),

110-121.

[4] D. Pigozzi: Finite basis theorems for relatively congruence-distributive quasivarieties. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 310 (1988), 499-533.

[5] D. J. Vaggione: Sheaf Representation and Chinese Remainder Theorems. Algebra Universalis 29 (1992), 232-272.

[6] D. J. Vaggione: Locally Boolean Spectra. Algebra Universalis 33 (1995), 319-354.

Author's address: Diego Vaggione, Facultad de Matemática Astronomía y Física (FaMAF), Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (Ciudad Universitaria), 5000 Córdoba, Argentina, e-mail: vaggione@mate.uncor.edu. .