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# HAMILTONIAN CONNECTEDNESS AND A MATCHING <br> IN POWERS OF CONNECTED GRAPHS 

Elena Wisztová, Žilina

(Received March 24, 1994)

Summary. In this paper the following results are proved:

1. Let $P_{n}$ be a path with $n$ vertices, where $n \geqslant 5$ and $n \neq 7,8$. Let $M$ be a matching in $P_{n}$. Then $\left(P_{n}\right)^{4}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected.
2. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $p \geqslant 5$, and let $M$ be a matching in $G$. Then $G^{5}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected.
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AMS classification: 05C70, 05C45

## 1. Introduction

By a graph we mean a finite undirected graph with no loops or multiple edges (a graph in the sense of [1] and [2]). If $G$ is a graph, then we denote by $V(G), E(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ the vertex set, the edge set and the diameter of $G$, respectively. The number $|V(G)|$ is called the order of $G$. If $u, v, w \in V(G)$, then the degree of $u$ in $G$ and the distance between $v$ and $w$ in $G$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{deg}_{G} u$ and $d_{G}(v, w)$, respectively. If $W \subseteq V(G)$, then we denote by $\langle W\rangle_{G}$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $W$.

A path connecting vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G$ is referred to as $u-v$ path in $G$. We say that a graph $G$ is hamiltonian-connected if for every pair of distinct vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$, there exists a hamiltonian $u-v$ path in $G$.

If a spanning subgraph $F$ of $G$ is a regular graph of degree one, then we say that $F$ is a 1-factor of $G$. A set $M \subseteq E(G)$ is called a matching in $G$ if no two edges in $M$ are incident with the same vertex. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(G)$ and $\mathcal{H}(G)$ the set of matchings in $G$ and the set of hamiltonian paths of $G$, respectively.

For every integer $n \geqslant 1$, by the $n$-th power $G^{n}$ of $G$ we mean the graph with $V\left(G^{n}\right)=V(G)$ and

$$
E\left(G^{n}\right)=\left\{u v ; u, v \in V(G) \quad \text { and } \quad 1 \leqslant d_{G}(u, v) \leqslant n\right\} .
$$

We now mention some results concerning hamiltonian properties of powers of connected graphs.

Theorem A. [5] If $G$ is a nontrivial connected graph, then $G^{3}$ is hamiltonianconnected.

Theorem B. [6] Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $p \geqslant 4$ and let $M$ be a matching in $G$. Then there exists a hamiltonian cycle $C$ of $G^{4}$ such that $E(C) \cap M=\emptyset$.

Theorem C. [3] Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $p \geqslant 4$. Then for every matching $M$ in $G^{4}$ there exists a hamiltonian cycle $C$ of $G^{4}$ such that $E(C) \cap M=\emptyset$.

## 2. Results

In the present paper we prove the following two theorems

Theorem 1. Let $P_{n}$ be a path with $n$ vertices, where $n \geqslant 5$ and $n \neq 7,8$. Let $M$ be a matching in $P_{n}$. Then $\left(P_{n}\right)^{4}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $p \geqslant 5$ and let $M$ be a matching in $G$. Then $G^{5}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected.

To prove Theorem 1 we will use two lemmas and five remarks. The following lemma immediately follows from Theorem B.

Lemma 1. Let $M$ be a matching in a complete graph $K_{n}$, where $n \geqslant 5$. Then $K_{n}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected.

The following notation will be useful for us
Let $n \geqslant 1$ be an integer, and let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ be mutually distinct vertices. We denote by $A_{n}$ the path with

$$
V\left(A_{n}\right)=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad E\left(A_{n}\right)=\left\{w_{i} w_{i+1} ; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1\right\} .
$$

A permutation $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with the property that $\left|k_{i}-k_{i+1}\right| \leqslant k$ for every $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ determines the hamiltonian path $P \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(A_{n}\right)^{k}\right)$ with $E(P)=\left\{w_{k_{1}} w_{k_{2}}, w_{k_{2}} w_{k_{3}}, \ldots, w_{k_{n-1}} w_{k_{n}}\right\}$. The path $P$ is a $w_{k_{1}}-w_{k_{n}}$ path of $\left(A_{n}\right)^{k}$ and also a $w_{k_{n}}-w_{k_{1}}$ path of $\left(A_{n}\right)^{k}$.

Finally, we define

$$
A_{n *}=A_{n}-w_{n-1} w_{n}+w_{n-2} w_{n} \quad \text { for } n \geqslant 3
$$

Remark 1. Let $M$ be a matching in $A_{4}$. Then there exist hamiltonian $w_{1}-w_{3}$, $w_{2}-w_{3}$ and $w_{2}-w_{4}$ paths of $\left(A_{4}\right)^{3}-M$.

Let $T$ be a tree of order $p=4$ which is not isomorphic to $A_{4}$. Then $T$ is isomorphic to $A_{4 *}$. For the sake of simplicity we will assume that $T=A_{4^{*}}$. Let $M$ be a matching in $T$. For every $j, j \in\{1,3,4\}$, there exists a hamiltonian $w_{2}-w_{j}$ path of $T^{2}-M$.

Remark 2. Let $M$ be a matching in $A_{5}$. Clearly, $\left(A_{5}\right)^{4}$ is the complete graph. It follows from Lemma 1 that $\left(A_{5}\right)^{4}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected.

We define the following matchings in $A_{5}$ :

$$
M_{1}=\left\{w_{1} w_{2}, w_{3} w_{4}\right\}, \quad M_{2}=\left\{w_{1} w_{2}, w_{4} w_{5}\right\}, \quad M_{3}=\left\{w_{2} w_{3}, w_{4} w_{5}\right\} .
$$

For every matching $M^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}\left(A_{5}\right)$ there exists $k \in\{1,2,3\}$ such that $M^{\prime} \subseteq M_{k}$.
The permutations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1,3,5,4,2),(1,4,5,2,3),(1,3,2,5,4),(1,4,2,3,5),(2,4,1,3,5) \text {, } \\
& (3,1,4,2,5),(4,1,3,2,5) \text { for } k=1, \\
& (1,4,3,5,2),(1,4,2,5,3),(1,3,5,2,4),(1,4,3,2,5),(2,4,1,3,5) \text {, } \\
& (3,1,4,2,5),(4,1,3,2,5) \quad \text { for } k=2 \\
& (1,4,3,5,2),(1,4,2,5,3),(1,3,5,2,4),(1,3,4,2,5),(2,1,4,3,5), \\
& (3,4,1,2,5),(4,2,1,3,5) \quad \text { for } k=3
\end{aligned}
$$

of the set $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ determine in $\left(A_{5}\right)^{3}-M_{k}$ the hamiltonian $w_{1}-w_{j}$ and $w_{i}-w_{5}$ paths, where $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 5$.

Hence for every $i, j, i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ and $j \in\{2,3,4,5\}$ there exist hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{5}$ and $w_{1}-w_{j}$ paths of $\left(A_{5}\right)^{3}-M$.

Remark 3. Let $M$ be a matching in $A_{6}$. The permutations
$(1,4,6,3,5,2),(1,4,6,2,5,3),(1,3,5,2,6,4),(1,3,6,4,2,5),(1,3,5,2,4,6)$,
$(2,5,1,4,6,3),(2,6,3,5,1,4),(2,6,4,1,3,5),(2,5,3,1,4,6),(3,6,2,5,1,4)$,
$(3,6,2,4,1,5),(3,5,1,4,2,6),(4,1,3,6,2,5),(4,1,3,5,2,6),(5,2,4,1,3,6)$
of the $\operatorname{set}\{1, \ldots, 6\}$ determine the hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ paths of $\left(A_{6}\right)^{4}-M$, where $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 6$.

This means that $\left(A_{6}\right)^{4}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected.
Remark 4. Let $M$ be a matching in $A_{7}$. The permutations
$(1,4,6,3,7,5,2),(1,4,6,2,5,7,3),(1,3,7,5,2,6,4),(1,3,7,4,6,2,5)$,
$(1,3,7,5,2,4,6),(1,3,6,4,2,5,7),(2,6,4,1,5,7,3),(2,6,3,7,5,1,4)$,
$(2,6,4,1,3,7,5),(2,5,7,3,1,4,6),(2,6,4,1,3,5,7),(6,2,4,1,5,7,3)$,
$(6,2,5,7,3,1,4),(6,2,4,1,3,7,5),(6,2,4,1,5,3,7),(7,5,1,4,2,6,3)$,
$(7,5,2,6,3,1,4),(7,3,6,2,4,1,5)$
of the set $\{1, \ldots, 7\}$ determine the hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ paths of $\left(A_{7}\right)^{4}-M$, where $i \in\{1,2,6,7\}, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, 7\}$ and $i \neq j$.

The permutations
$(3,6,2,7,5,1,4),(3,6,2,7,4,1,5),(4,1,3,6,2,7,5)$ of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, 7\}$ determine the hamiltonian $w_{3}-w_{4}, w_{3}-w_{5}, w_{4}-w_{5}$ paths of $\left(A_{7}\right)^{5}-M$.

If $M=\left\{w_{1} w_{2}, w_{6} w_{7}\right\}$, then there exist no hamiltonian $w_{3}-w_{4}, w_{3}-w_{5}, w_{4}-w_{5}$ paths of $\left(A_{7}\right)^{4}-M$.

This means that $\left(A_{7}\right)^{5}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected and for $i \in\{1,2,6,7\}$, $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, 7\}, i \neq j$ there exist hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ paths of $\left(A_{7}\right)^{4}-M$.

Remark 5. Let $M$ be a matching in $A_{8}$.

1. We denote

$$
M_{1}=E\left(A_{8}-w_{1}\right) \cap M .
$$

Then $M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}\left(A_{8}-w_{1}\right)$. It follows from Remark 4 that for every $j, j \in$ $\{2,4,5,6,7,8\}$, there exists a hamiltonian $w_{3}-w_{j}$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(A_{8}-w_{1}\right)^{4}-M_{1}\right)$. Then
$P=P_{1}+w_{1} w_{3}$ is a hamiltonian $w_{1}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{4}-M$,
$P=P_{1}+w_{1} w_{j}$ is a hamiltonian $w_{1}-w_{3}$ path of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{4}-M$ if $j=4$.
Analogously we can show that for every $j, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, 7\}$, there exists a hamiltonian $w_{8}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{4}-M$.
2. We denote

$$
M_{1}=E\left(A_{8}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right) \cap M
$$

Then $M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}\left(A_{8}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)$. It follows from Remark 2 that for every $j, j \in$ $\{4,6,7,8\}$, there exists a hamiltonian $w_{5}-w_{j}$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(A_{8}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)^{4}-M_{1}\right)$.

We put

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
P=P_{1}+w_{5} w_{3}+w_{3} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{2} & \text { if } & w_{1} w_{2} \notin M \\
P=P_{1}+w_{5} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{3}+w_{3} w_{2} & \text { if } & w_{1} w_{2} \in M
\end{array}
$$

Then $P$ is a hamiltonian $w_{2}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{4}-M$.
Further, we put

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
P=P_{1}+w_{j} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{3}+w_{3} w_{2} & \text { if } j=4 & \text { and } & w_{2} w_{3} \notin M \\
P=P_{1}+w_{j} w_{3}+w_{3} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{2} & \text { if } & j=4 & \text { and }
\end{array} w_{2} w_{3} \in M . ~ \$
$$

Then $P$ is a hamiltonian $w_{2}-w_{5}$ path of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{4}-M$.
The path

$$
P=P_{1}+w_{5} w_{1}+w_{1} w_{3}+w_{2} w_{j} \quad \text { if } \quad j=4
$$

is a hamiltonian $w_{2}-w_{3}$ path of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{4}-M$.
Analogously we can show that for every $j, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, 6,8\}$, there exists a hamiltonian $w_{7}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{4}-M$.
3. The permutations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (3,8,6,2,7,5,1,4),(3,8,6,2,7,4,1,5),(3,8,5,2,7,4,1,6) \\
& (4,1,3,8,6,2,7,5),(4,1,3,8,5,7,2,6),(5,1,3,8,4,7,2,6)
\end{aligned}
$$

of the set $\{1, \ldots, 8\}$ determine the hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ paths of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{5}-M$, where $3 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 6$.
4. If $M=\left\{w_{1} w_{2}, w_{3} w_{4}, w_{5} w_{6}, w_{7} w_{8}\right\}$, then for $i, j, 3 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 6$ there exists no hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{4}-M$.

This means that $\left(A_{8}\right)^{5}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected and for $i \in\{1,2,7,8\}$, $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, 8\}, i \neq j$ there exists a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{8}\right)^{4}-M$.

Lemma 2. Let $n \geqslant 9$, and let $M$ be a matching in $A_{n}$. Then $\left(A_{n}\right)^{4}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected.

Proof. We distinguish the following cases and subcases:

1. Let $n=9$. In $\left(A_{9}\right)^{4}-M$ we shall construct hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ paths, where $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 9$. Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{1}=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{5}\right\}, W_{2}=\left\{w_{5}, \ldots, w_{9}\right\}, \\
G_{1}=\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle_{A_{9}} \text { and } G_{2}=\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle_{A_{9}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, denote by $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ the matchings with the properties

$$
M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{1}\right), M_{2} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{2}\right) \text { and } M_{1} \cup M_{2}=M
$$

## 1.1. $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 5$ or $5 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 9$.

We prove the proposition of Lemma 2 for the case $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 5$.
If $5 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 9$, then the proof is analogous.
It follows from Remark 2 that there exists a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path $P_{1} \in$ $\mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{1}\right)^{4}-M_{1}\right)$ and a hamiltonian $w_{5}-w_{6}$ path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{2}\right)^{4}-M_{2}\right)$. If $w_{j}=w_{5}$, then according to Remark 2 there exists a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{5}$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{1}\right)^{3}-M_{1}\right)$. This implies that there exists $x \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ such that $x w_{5} \in E\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $x \neq w_{1}$.
Then $d_{A_{0}}\left(x, w_{6}\right) \leqslant 4$. We put

$$
P=\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)-x w_{5}+x w_{6}
$$

Then $P$ is a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{9}\right)^{4}-M$.
1.2. $1 \leqslant i<5$ and $5<j \leqslant 9$.

According to Lemma 1 there exists a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{5}$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{1}\right)^{4}-M_{1}\right)$ and a hamiltonian $w_{5}-w_{j}$ path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{2}\right)^{4}-M_{2}\right)$. We put

$$
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}
$$

Then $P$ is a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{9}\right)^{4}-M$.
From these two subcases it follows that $\left(A_{9}\right)^{4}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected.
2. Let $n \geqslant 10$. Assume that for every tree $A_{m}$, where $9 \leqslant m<n$, it is proved that $\left(A_{m}\right)^{4}-M^{*}$ is hamiltonian-connected for any matching $M^{*} \in \mathcal{M}\left(A_{m}\right)$.
In $\left(A_{n}\right)^{4}-M$ we shall construct hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ paths, where $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$.
2.1. $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 5$ or $(n-4) \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$.

We prove the proposition of Lemma 2 for the case $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 5$. If $(n-4) \leqslant i<$ $j \leqslant n$, then the proof is analogous. Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{1}=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{5}\right\}, W_{2}=\left\{w_{5}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\} \\
G_{1}=\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle_{A_{n}} \text { and } G_{2}=\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle_{A_{n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, denote by $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ the matchings with the properties

$$
M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{1}\right), M_{2} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{2}\right) \text { and } M_{1} \cup M_{2}=M
$$

It follows from the induction hypothesis and Remarks 3, 4, 5 that there exists a hamiltonian $w_{5}-w_{6}$ path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{2}\right)^{4}-M_{2}\right)$. It follows from Remark 2 that
there exists a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{1}\right)^{4}-M_{1}\right)$ and if $w_{j}=w_{5}$, then $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{1}\right)^{3}-M_{1}\right)$. This implies that there exists $x \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ such that $x w_{5} \in E\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $x \neq w_{1}$. Then $d_{A_{n}}\left(x, w_{6}\right) \leqslant 4$ and

$$
P=\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)-x w_{5}+x w_{6}
$$

is a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{n}\right)^{4}-M$.
2.2. $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$ and $6 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ or $5 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n-4$ or $5 \leqslant i \leqslant n-5$ and $n-3 \leqslant j \leqslant n$.
2.2.1. There exists $w_{k} \in V\left(A_{n}\right)$ with the property
(1)

$$
i<k<j \text { and } 5 \leqslant k \leqslant n-4
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{1}=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}, W_{2}=\left\{w_{k}, w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\} \\
G_{1}=\left\langle W_{1}\right\rangle_{A_{n}} \text { and } G_{2}=\left\langle W_{2}\right\rangle_{A_{n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Further, denote by $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ the matchings with the properties

$$
M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{1}\right), M_{2} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{2}\right) \text { and } M_{1} \cup M_{2}=M
$$

According to the induction hypothesis and Remarks 2, 3, 4, 5 there exists a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{k}$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{1}\right)^{4}-M_{1}\right)$ and a hamiltonian $w_{k}-w_{j}$ path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{2}\right)^{4}-M_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}
$$

is a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{n}\right)^{4}-M$.
2.2.2. There exists no $w_{k} \in V\left(A_{n}\right)$ with the property (1). Then $w_{i} w_{j} \in E\left(A_{n}\right)$ and $5 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n-4$. Hence $w_{j}=w_{i+1}$.
We denote by $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$ the component of $A_{n}-w_{i} w_{i+1}$ which contains $w_{i}$ or $w_{i+1}$, respectively. Further, we denote by $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ the matchings with the properties

$$
M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{1}\right), M_{2} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{2}\right), M_{1}=M \cap E\left(G_{1}\right) \text { and } M_{2}=M \cap E\left(G_{2}\right)
$$

It follows from the induction hypothesis and Remarks 2, 3, 4, 5 that there exists a hamiltonian $w_{i-1}-w_{i}$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{1}\right)^{4}-M_{1}\right)$ and a hamiltonian $w_{i+1}-w_{i+2}$ path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(G_{2}\right)^{4}-M_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}+w_{i+1} w_{i+2}
$$

is a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path of $\left(A_{n}\right)^{4}-M$.
From this subcases it follows that $\left(A_{n}\right)^{4}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected. Thus the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Theorem 1 immediately follows from Lemma 2 and Remarks 2 and 3.
To prove Theorem 2 we will use the previous lemmas and remarks as well as the two following lemmas.

Lemma 3. Let $T$ be a tree of order $p \geqslant 5$ and let $M$ be a matching in $T$. Then $T^{5}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected.

Proof. The cases when $p \in\{5,6,7\}$ follows immediately from Lemma 1 and Remark 4

Let $p=8$. If $T$ is isomorphic to $A_{8}$, or $\delta(T) \leqslant 5$, then the proposition of Lemma 3 follows from Remark 5 and Lemma 1.

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & =A_{8 *} \\
T_{2} & =A_{8}-w_{7} w_{8}+w_{5} w_{8} \\
T_{3} & =A_{8}-w_{7} w_{8}+w_{4} w_{8} \\
\mathcal{T} & =\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $T$ is not isomorphic to $A_{8}$ and $\delta(T)>5$, then $T$ is isomorphic to one of the elements of $\mathcal{T}$. For the sake of simplicity we shall assume that $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Further, we denote

$$
M_{0}=E\left(T-w_{8}\right) \cap M
$$

Then $T-w_{8}=A_{7}$ and $M_{0} \in \mathcal{M}\left(A_{7}\right)$. It follows from Remark 4 that there exists a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path $P_{0} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(A_{7}\right)^{5}-M_{0}\right)$, where $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, 7\}, i \neq j$. Since $\left|E\left(P_{0}\right)\right|=6$, there exist integers $k, l, k, l \in\{1, \ldots, 7\}, k \neq l$, such that $w_{k} w_{l} \in E\left(P_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k, l \notin\{1,6\} & \text { if } \quad T=T_{1}, \\
k, l \neq 5 & \text { if } \\
k, l \neq 4 & \text { if } \\
k=T_{3}
\end{array}
$$

## Then

$P=P_{0}-w_{k} w_{l}+w_{k} w_{8}+w_{1} w_{8}$ is a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{j}$ path of $T^{5}-M$, where $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, 7\}$,
$P=P_{0}+w_{j} w_{8}$ is a hamiltonian $w_{i}-w_{8}$ path of $T^{5}-M$ if $j=3$ and $i \in\{1,2,4,5,6,7\}$ $P=P_{0}+w_{i} w_{8}$ is a hamiltonian $w_{3}-w_{8}$ path of $T^{5}-M$ if $i=2$ and $j=3$

This means that for $p=8$ the statement of Lemma 3 is correct.
Let $p \geqslant 9$. Assume that for every tree $T^{*}$ of order $p^{*}$, where $5 \leqslant p^{*}<p$, it is proved that $\left(T^{*}\right)^{5}-M^{*}$ is hamiltonian-connected for any matching $M^{*} \in \mathcal{M}\left(T^{*}\right)$.

If $T$ is isomorphic to $A_{p}$, or if $\delta(T) \leqslant 5$, then the result follows from Lemma 2 or Lemma 1. We shall assume that $T$ is not isomorphic to $A_{p}$ and $\delta(T)>5$.

Let $x$ and $y$ be arbitrary distinct vertices of $T$. We shall construct a hamiltonian $x-y$ path $P$ of $T^{5}-M$.
We denote by $t_{x}, t_{y}$ the vertices of $T$ with the following properties:
(1) $t_{x} t_{y} \in E(T)$,
(2) $t_{x}, t_{y}$ belong to the $x-y$ path in $T$,
(3) $0 \leqslant d_{T}\left(t_{x}, x\right)<d_{T}\left(t_{y}, x\right)$.

Then $T-t_{x} t_{y}$ has two components. We denote by $T_{x}$ or $T_{y}$ the component of $T-t_{x} t_{y}$ which contains $x, t_{x}$ or $y, t_{y}$, respectively. Further, we denote by $M_{x}$ and $M_{y}$ the matching with the properties

$$
M_{x} \in \mathcal{M}\left(T_{x}\right), M_{y} \in \mathcal{M}\left(T_{y}\right), M_{x}=M \cap E\left(T_{x}\right) \text { and } M_{y}=M \cap E\left(T_{y}\right)
$$

We define graphs $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ :

$$
T_{1}=T_{x} \quad \text { and } \quad V\left(T_{2}\right)=V\left(T_{y}\right) \cup\left\{t_{x}\right\}, E\left(T_{2}\right)=E\left(T_{y}\right) \cup\left\{t_{x} t_{y}\right\}
$$

Finally, we denote by $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ the matchings with the properties

$$
M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}\left(T_{1}\right), M_{2} \in \mathcal{M}\left(T_{2}\right), M_{1}=M_{x} \text { and } M_{2}=M \cap E\left(T_{2}\right)
$$

We distinguish the following cases and subcases:

1. There exist $t_{x}, t_{y} \in V(T)$ with the properties (1)-(3) such that $\left|V\left(T_{x}\right)\right| \geqslant 5$ and $\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right| \geqslant 5$. Then $\left|V\left(T_{1}\right)\right| \geqslant 5$ and $\left|V\left(T_{2}\right)\right| \geqslant 5$.
1.1. Let $t_{x} \neq x$. According to the induction hypothesis there exists a hamiltonian $x-t_{x}$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{1}\right)^{5}-M_{1}\right)$ and a hamiltonian $t_{x}-y$ path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{2}\right)^{5}-M_{2}\right)$. We put

$$
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}
$$

1.2. Let $t_{x}=x$. We denote by $x_{1}$ the vertex of $T_{x}$ with the property that $x x_{1} \in E\left(T_{x}\right)$. If $t_{y}=y$, then we denote by $y_{1}$ the vertex of $T_{y}$ with the property that $y y_{1} \in E\left(T_{y}\right)$. Then $d_{T}\left(x_{1}, t_{y}\right)=2$ and $d_{T}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=3$. It follows from the induction hypothesis that there exists a hamiltonian $x-x_{1}$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{x}\right)^{5}-M_{x}\right)$ and a hamiltonian path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{y}\right)^{5}-M_{y}\right)$. Let us suppose that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
P_{2} \text { is a hamiltonian } t_{y}-y \text { path } & \text { if } & t_{y} \neq y \\
P_{2} \text { is a hamiltonian } y_{1}-y \text { path } & \text { if } & t_{y}=y .
\end{array}
$$

We put

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}+x_{1} t_{y} & \text { if } \quad t_{y} \neq y \\
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}+x_{1} y_{1} & \text { if } \quad t_{y}=y
\end{array}
$$

2. For every two vertices $t_{x}, t_{y}$ with the properties (1)-(3) we have $\left|V\left(T_{x}\right)\right|<5$ or $\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right|<5$. We put $t_{y}=y$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right|<5$.
2.1. Let $\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right|=1$. Then $V\left(T_{y}\right)=\{y\}$ and $\left|V\left(T_{x}\right)\right| \geqslant 8$. There exists $u \in V\left(T_{x}\right)$ such that $u \neq x, u \neq t_{x}$ and $1 \leqslant d_{T}\left(u, t_{x}\right) \leqslant 2$. Then $2 \leqslant d_{T}(u, y) \leqslant 3$. It follows from the induction hypothesis that there exists a hamiltonian $x-u$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{x}\right)^{5}-M_{x}\right)$. We put

$$
P=P_{1}+u y .
$$

2.2. Let $\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right|=4$. According to Remark 1 there exists a hamiltonian $y-v$ path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{y}\right)^{5}-M_{y}\right)$, where $v \in V\left(T_{y}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
d_{T}(v, y)=1 & \text { if } & T_{y} \text { is not isomorphic to } A_{4} \\
d_{T}(v, y)=2 & \text { if } & T_{y} \text { is isomorphic to } A_{4} .
\end{array}
$$

Since $\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right|=4$ and $p \geqslant 9$, we have $\left|V\left(T_{x}\right)\right| \geqslant 5$. We denote by $u$ the vertex with the properties

$$
u \in V\left(T_{x}\right), \quad u \neq x \quad \text { and } \quad d_{T}(u, y) \leqslant 2
$$

Then $d_{T}(u, v) \leqslant 4$. It follows from the induction hypothesis that there exists a hamiltonian $x-u$ path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{x}\right)^{5}-M_{x}\right)$. We put

$$
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}+v u
$$

2.3. Let $1<\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right|<4$. Let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}$ be all components of $T-t_{x}$ which are different from $T_{y}$. We denote by $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ the matchings in $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}$ such that $L_{j}=M \cap E\left(S_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$.
2.3.1. There exists $i, i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\left|V\left(S_{i}\right)\right| \geqslant 5$.

Then there exist $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V\left(S_{i}\right)$ such that $u_{1} \neq u_{2} \neq x, d_{T}\left(u_{1}, t_{x}\right) \leqslant 2,1<$ $d_{T}\left(u_{2}, t_{x}\right) \leqslant 3$, and if $x \notin V\left(S_{i}\right)$, then $d_{T}\left(u_{1}, t_{x}\right)=1$. According to the induction hypothesis there exists a hamiltonian path $P_{1} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(S_{i}\right)^{5}-L_{i}\right)$. Let us suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1} \text { is a hamiltonian } u_{1}-u_{2} \text { path if } x \notin V\left(S_{i}\right), \\
& P_{1} \text { is a hamiltonian } u_{2}-x \text { path } \quad \text { if } \quad x \in V\left(S_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote

$$
T_{0}=T-V\left(S_{i}\right)
$$

Then $T_{0}$ is a tree, $\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right| \geqslant 3$ and $y \in V\left(T_{0}\right)$. Further we denote by $M_{0}$ the matching in $T_{0}$ such that $M_{0}=M \cap E\left(T_{0}\right)$.
2.3.1.1. Let $\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right|=3$. Then $m=i=1$ and there exists $v \in V\left(T_{0}\right)$ such that $V\left(T_{0}\right)=\left\{t_{x}, y, v\right\}$ and $E\left(T_{0}\right)=\left\{t_{x} y, y v\right\}$. If $x \notin V\left(S_{1}\right)$, then $x=t_{x}$. We put

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
P=P_{1}+u_{1} v+v x+u_{2} y & \text { if } & x \notin V\left(S_{1}\right), \\
P=P_{1}+u_{2} v+v t_{x}+t_{x} y & \text { if } & x \in V\left(S_{1}\right) \text { and } t_{x} y \notin M, \\
P=P_{1}+u_{2} t_{x}+t_{x} v+v y & \text { if } & x \in V\left(S_{1}\right) \text { and } t_{x} y \in M .
\end{array}
$$

2.3.1.2. Let $\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right|=4$. Assume that $x \in V\left(S_{i}\right)$. Then according to Remark 1 there exists a hamiltonian $y-v$ path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{0}\right)^{3}-M_{0}\right)$, where $v \in V\left(T_{0}\right), v \neq y$ and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
d_{T}\left(t_{x}, v\right)=2 & \text { if } & \operatorname{deg}_{T_{0}} t_{x}=1 \\
d_{T}\left(t_{x}, v\right)=1 & \text { if } & \operatorname{deg}_{T_{0}} t_{x}=2 .
\end{array}
$$

Then $d_{T}\left(v, u_{2}\right) \leqslant 5$. We put

$$
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}+u_{2} v .
$$

Let $x \notin V\left(S_{i}\right)$. There exist $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V\left(T_{0}\right)$ such that $v_{1} \neq v_{2} \neq t_{x} \neq y$. Then $V\left(T_{0}\right)=\left\{t_{x}, y, v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. We put

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
P=P_{1}+u_{1} v_{2}+v_{2} y+u_{2} v_{1}+v_{1} x & \text { if } & x=t_{x} \text { and } E\left(T_{0}\right)=\left\{x y, y v_{1}, v_{1} v_{2}\right\}, \\
P=P_{1}+u_{1} v_{2}+v_{2} v_{1}+v_{1} x+u_{2} y & \text { if } & x=t_{x} \text { and } E\left(T_{0}\right)=\left\{x y, y v_{1}, y v_{2}\right\} \\
\text { or } & \text { if } & x=t_{x} \text { and } E\left(T_{0}\right)=\left\{x y, y v_{1}, x v_{2}\right\} \\
P=P_{1}+u_{1} y+u_{2} t_{x}+t_{x} v_{1}+v_{1} x & \text { if } & x=v_{2} \text { and } E\left(T_{0}\right)=\left\{x t_{x}, t_{x} y, y v_{1}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

2.3.1.3. Let $\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right| \geqslant 5$. Since $\left|V\left(T_{x}\right)\right|<5$ or $\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right|<5$ for every two vertices $t_{x}, t_{y}$ of $T$ with the properties (1)-(3), we have $x \notin V\left(S_{i}\right)$. It follows from the induction hypothesis that there exists a hamiltonian $x-y$ path $P_{2} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{0}\right)^{5}-M_{0}\right)$. Since $\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right|<4$, there exists $v \in V\left(T_{0}\right)$ such that $v y \in E\left(P_{2}\right)$ and $d_{T}\left(v, t_{x}\right) \leqslant 4$. We put

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}-y v+u_{1} v+u_{2} y & \text { if } & v \neq t_{x}, \\
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}-y v+u_{2} v+u_{1} y & \text { if } & v=t_{x} .
\end{array}
$$

2.3.2. For every $i, i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ we have $\left|V\left(S_{i}\right)\right|<5$. Denote

$$
T_{0}=T-V\left(T_{y}\right), \quad M_{0}=M \cap E\left(T_{0}\right)
$$

Then $\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right|>5, M_{0} \in \mathcal{M}\left(T_{0}\right), x \in V\left(T_{0}\right)$ and for every $i, i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we have $V\left(S_{i}\right) \subset V\left(T_{0}\right)$. There exists $v \in V\left(T_{0}\right)$ such that $v \neq x$ and $1 \leqslant d_{T}\left(v, t_{x}\right) \leqslant 2$. It follows from the induction hypothesis that there exists a hamiltonian $x-v$ path $P_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{H}\left(\left(T_{0}\right)^{5}-M_{0}\right)$. Since $\left|V\left(T_{y}\right)\right| \in\{2,3\}$ and $\delta(T)>5$, there exists $k, k \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, such that $S_{k}$ is isomorphic to one of the elements of $\mathcal{A}$, where

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left\{A_{3}, A_{4}, A_{4 *}\right\}
$$

For the sake of simplicity we shall assume that $S_{k} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left(S_{k}\right)=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}, \quad \text { where } n \in\{3,4\} \\
& d_{T}\left(w_{j}, t_{x}\right)=j, \quad \text { for every } j, j \in\{1,2,3\} \\
& d_{T}\left(w_{4}, t_{x}\right)=4 \quad \text { if } \quad S_{k}=A_{4} \quad \text { and } \quad d_{T}\left(w_{4}, t_{x}\right)=3 \quad \text { if } \quad S_{k}=A_{4 *}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ be distinct vertices of $T_{0}$ such that $a_{2} w_{2}, a_{3} w_{3} \in E\left(P_{0}\right)$. If $S_{k}=A_{4}$, then there exists $h, h \in\{2,3\}$, such that $a_{h} \neq w_{4}$. Then $d_{T}\left(a_{h}, t_{x}\right) \leqslant 3$. The component $T_{y}$ is isomorphic to one of the elements of $\mathcal{B}$, where

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{3 *}\right\}
$$

We denote the vertices of $T_{y}$ by $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}(n \in\{2,3\})$ so that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
d_{T}\left(t_{j} t_{x}\right)=j & \text { if } & j \in\{1,2\} \\
d_{T}\left(t_{3} t_{x}\right)=3 & \text { if } & T_{y} \text { is isomorphic to } A_{3} \\
d_{T}\left(t_{3} t_{x}\right)=2 & \text { if } & T_{y} \text { is isomorphic to } A_{3 *}
\end{array}
$$

Then $t_{1}=y, d_{T}\left(a_{h}, t_{2}\right) \leqslant 5, d_{T}\left(w_{2}, t_{2}\right)=4, d_{T}\left(w_{3}, t_{2}\right)=5$ and $d_{T}\left(v, t_{3}\right) \leqslant 5$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=P_{0}-a_{h} w_{h}+v y+a_{h} t_{2}+w_{h} t_{2} \quad \text { if } \quad T_{y} \text { is isomorphic to } A_{2} \\
& P=P_{0}-a_{h} w_{h}+v t_{3}+t_{3} y+a_{h} t_{2}+w_{h} t_{2} \quad \text { if } \quad T_{y} \text { is isomorphic to } A_{3} \\
& P=P_{0}-a_{h} w_{h}+v y+a_{h} t_{2}+t_{2} t_{3}+t_{3} w_{h} \quad \text { if } \quad T_{y} \text { is isomorphic to } A_{3 *} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see that in each subcase $P$ is the hamiltonian $x-y$ path of $T^{5}-M$. Thus the proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

Lemma 4. ([4] p.63) Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $L$ be a subgraph of $G$ which contains no cycle. Then there exists a spanning tree $T$ of $G$ such that $L$ is a subgraph of $T$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2 and let $M$ be an arbitrary matching in $G$. As follows from Lemma 4, there exists a spanning tree $T$ of $G$ such that $M$ is a matching in $T$. According to Lemma 3, $T^{5}-M$ is hamiltonian-connected. Thus $G^{5}-M$ is also hamiltonian-connected.

Remark 6. Let $n \geqslant 1$ be an integer, and let $G$ be the tree of order $p=4 n+4$ which is given in Fig. 1. Let

$$
M=\left\{u_{i 1} u_{i 2}, u_{i 3} u_{i 4} ; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\} \cup\left\{x y, w_{3} w_{4}\right\}
$$

be a matching in $G$. Then there exists no hamiltonian $x-y$ path of $G^{4}-M$.
This means that the value 5 of the power in Theorem 2 is the best possible.
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