Ján Šipoš A Decomposition of a Functional as a Difference of Two Positive Functionals

Matematický časopis, Vol. 23 (1973), No. 4, 364--373

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126577

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

A DECOMPOSITION OF A FUNCTIONAL AS A DIFFERENCE OF TWO POSITIVE FUNCTIONALS

JÁN ŠIPOŠ, Bratislava

The present paper deals with a generalization of the theorem concerning the decomposition of a generalized measure as a difference of two measures and of the theorem concerning the decomposition of Daniell integrals. Functions on lattices of a certain type are examined. A special selection of lattices gives the theorem about the decomposition of the measure and of the integral. A similar method was used in papers [2] and [4].

Let us introduce some notation first. $x \vee y$, $x \wedge y$ — will denote lattice operations. $x_n \nearrow x$ ($x_n \searrow x$) will be written iff $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ ($x_{n+1} \leq x_n$) for every n and $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} = x$ ($\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} = x$).

Let S be a distributive lattice with the operations +, -. We shall use the following conditions:

- (a₁) There is an element $\theta \in S$ such that $x x = \theta$ for every $x \in S$.
- (a₂) If $x, y, v \in S$ and $0 \leq x \leq y \leq v$, then $0 \leq y x \leq v x \leq v$. If $x, y, v \in S$ and $v \leq x \leq y \leq 0$, then $v \leq v - y \leq x - y \leq 0$.

(a3) If $a, x, x_n \in S$ and $x_n \nearrow x (x_n \searrow x)$, then $x_n \land a \nearrow x \land a$ and $a - x_n \searrow a - x (x_n \lor a \searrow x \lor a$ and $a - x_n \nearrow a - x)$.

- (a₄) b = a + (b a) if $0 \le a \le b$ or if $b \le a \le 0$.
- (a₅) If $u \leq v$ and $a \leq b$, then $a + u \leq b + v$.

Let I be such a function on S that, for every $a \in S$, the set

$$\{I(x)| a \land \theta \leq x \leq a \lor \theta\}$$

is either upper or lower bounded. We shall use the following conditions:

(b₁)
$$I(a) + I(b) = I(a \lor b) + I(a \land b)$$

for every $a, b \in S$.

(b₂) $I(\theta) = 0$.

(b₃) If $0 \leq x \leq a \leq b, 0 \leq y \leq b - a$ or if $b \leq a \leq x \leq 0, b - a \leq y \leq 0$, then

$$I(x + y) = I(x) + I(y) .$$

(b₄) If $a_n \nearrow a$ or $a_n \searrow a$, and $|I(a_n)| < \infty$, for every *n*, then

 $\lim l(a_n) = I(a) .$

Definition. For $a \in S$ we define

$$\begin{split} I_1(a) &= \sup \left\{ I(x) \mid 0 \leq x \leq a \right\}, \ I_2(a) &= \inf \left\{ I(x) \mid 0 \leq x \leq a \right\} \text{ if } a \geq 0, \text{ and} \\ I_3(a) &= \sup \left\{ I(x) \mid a \leq x \leq 0 \right\}, \ I_4(a) &= \inf \left\{ I(x) \mid a \leq x \leq 0 \right\} \text{ if } a \leq 0. \end{split}$$

Proposition 1. Let S satisfy (a_1) and I satisfy (b_2) . Then the following assertions hold:

(i) $I_1(0) = I_2(0) = I_3(0) = I_4(0) = 0$,

(ii) I_1 and I_3 are non-negative, I_2 and I_4 are non-positive,

(iii) If $0 \leq a \leq b$, then $I_1(a) \leq I_1(b)$ and $I_2(a) \geq I_2(b)$,

If $a \leq b \leq 0$, then $I_3(a) \geq I_3(b)$ and $I_4(a) \leq I_4(b)$.

Proposition 2. Let S satisfy (a₁), (a₂), (a₄) and I satisfy (b₂) and (b₃).

Proof. We shall prove the assertion only for I_1 . The proofs for I_2 , I_3 and I_4 are analogous.

Let $0 \leq v \leq x \leq u$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $I_1(u) < \infty$ and $I_1(u) \leq I(x) + \varepsilon$ and let $I(v) < -\varepsilon$. Since $0 \leq v \leq x$, it follows from (b₃) and (a₂) that

(1)
$$I(x) = I(v) + I(x - v), \quad 0 \leq x - v \leq u$$

and

$$I(v) + I(x - v) \leq I_1(u) + I(v) < I_1(u) - \varepsilon$$
.

From this and from (1) it follows

 $I(x) < I_1(u) - \varepsilon ,$

which contradicts the assumption. Hence $I(v) \ge -\varepsilon$.

Proposition 3. Let S satisfy (a_1) , (a_2) and (a_4) , and let I satisfy (b_1) , (b_2) and (b_3) . Then

(2)
$$I_j(a) + I_j(b) = I_j(a \vee b) + I_j(a \wedge b)$$

for j = 1, 2, 3, 4 where $a, b \ge 0$ in case $j = 1, 2, and a, b \le 0$ in case j = 3, 4. Proof. The proposition will be proved only for j = 1. The proof for j = 2, 3, 4

is analogous.

Let $a, b \ge 0$, and let $I_1(a \lor b) - \infty$. Let t be such that

$$\theta \leq t \leq a \vee b ,$$

then (since S is distributive)

$$t = (a \wedge t) \vee (b \wedge t) .$$

From the definition of I_1 and from (b₁), (b₃), (a₄) and (a₂) we obtain

$$I(t) = I(t \land a) + I(t \land b) - I(t \land a \land b) =$$

= $I(t \land a) + I((t \land b) - (t \land a \land b))$

and

$$0 \leq (b \wedge t) - (a \wedge b \wedge t) \leq b.$$

Since $0 \leq t \wedge a \leq a$, we have

$$I(t) \leq I_1(a) + I_1(b) .$$

Further,

$$\infty = I_1(a \vee b) = \sup \{I(t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq a \vee b\} \leq I_1(a) + I_1(b),$$

hence (2) holds.

Now if $I_1(a \lor b) < \infty$, then also $I_1(a)$, $I_1(b)$, $I_1(a \land b) < \infty$. Let $a, b \in S$, $a, b \ge 0$ and let ε be any positive number. Choose $x, y \in S$ with

then using (b_1) we obtain

$$I_1(a) + I_1(b) \leq I(x) + I(y) + \varepsilon = I(x \lor y) + I(x \land y) + \varepsilon$$
,

where

$$0 \leq x \lor y \leq a \lor b$$
, $0 \leq x \land y \leq a \land b$.

Therefore

$$I_1(a) + I_1(b) \leq I_1(a \lor b) + I_1(a \land b) + \varepsilon$$
.

The inequality holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, hence

(4)
$$I_1(a) + I_1(b) \leq I_1(a \vee b) + I_1(a \wedge b)$$

Now we prove the opposite inequality. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $x \in S$ with

(5)
$$I_1(a \lor b) \leq I(x) + \varepsilon, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a \lor b,$$

$$\mathbf{then}$$

(6)
$$I_1(a \vee b) + I_1(a \wedge b) \leq I(x) + I_1(a \wedge b) + \varepsilon.$$

Clearly $x = (x \land a) \lor (x \land b)$ (since S is distributive). This gives

(7)
$$I(x) + I_1(a \wedge b) + \varepsilon = I((x \wedge a) \vee (x \wedge b)) + I_1(a \wedge b) + \varepsilon.$$

From (6), (7) and from (b_1) we have

where $\theta \leq x \wedge a \leq a$, $\theta \leq x \wedge b \leq b$, therefore

(8) $I_1(a \lor b) + I_1(a \land b) \leq I_1(a) + I_1(a \land b) - I(x \land a \land b) + I_1(b) + \varepsilon$. Choose $y \in S$ with

$$I_1(a \wedge b) \leq I(y) + \varepsilon, \quad \theta \leq y \leq a \wedge b,$$

ther

$$I_1(a \wedge b) - I(x \wedge a \wedge b) \leq I(y) - I(x \wedge a \wedge b) + \varepsilon$$

Owing to (b_1) we have

 $I_1(a \wedge b) - I(x \wedge a \wedge b) \leq I(x \vee y) + I(x \wedge y) - I(x) - I(x \wedge a \wedge b) + \varepsilon,$ where $0 \leq x \vee y \leq a \vee b$, therefore

$$I_1(a \wedge b) - I(x \wedge a \wedge b) \leq I_1(a \vee b) - I(x) - (I(x \wedge a \wedge b) - l(x \wedge y)) + \varepsilon.$$

From (5) and from the condition (b_3) it follows that

(9)
$$I_1(a \wedge b) - I(x \wedge a \wedge b) \leq 2\varepsilon - I(x \wedge a \wedge b - x \wedge y),$$

where $0 \leq x \wedge y \leq x \wedge a \wedge b$. Then from (a₂) it follows

$$0 \leq x \wedge a \wedge b - x \wedge y \leq x \leq a \lor b$$
.

If in Proposition 2 we put

$$u = a \lor b$$
 and $v = x \land a \land b - x \land y$,

we can see that

(10)
$$-I(x \wedge a \wedge b - x \wedge y) \leq \varepsilon$$

From (8), (9) and (10) we have

$$I_1(a \vee b) + I_1(a \wedge b) \leq I_1(a) + I_1(b) + 4\varepsilon$$
,

and so

(11)
$$I_1(a \vee b) + I_1(a \wedge b) \leq I_1(a) + I_1(b)$$

From (4) and (11) it follows

$$I_1(a \vee b) + I_1(a \wedge b) = I_1(a) + I_1(b)$$
.

Proposition 4. Let S satisfy (a_1) , (a_2) , (a_4) , (a_5) and I satisfy (b_1) , (b_2) , (b_3) . Then

$$I_j(b) = I_j(a) + I_j(b-a)$$

for j = 1, 2 if $0 \leq a \leq b$, and for j = 3, 4 if $b \leq a \leq 0$.

Proof. Let $\theta \leq a \leq b$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $I_1(b) < \infty$. Choose $x \in S$ with

 $I_1(b) \leq I(x) + \varepsilon$ and $0 \leq x \leq b$.

It follows from the last inequality, (b_1) and (b_3) that

(12) $I_1(b) \leq J(x \wedge a) + I(x \vee a) - I(a) + \varepsilon = I(x \wedge a) + I(x \vee a - a) + \varepsilon$

Since $0 \leq a \leq x \lor a \leq b$, from (a₂) it follows that $0 \leq x \lor a - a \leq b - i$, and so from (12) we obtain

$$I_1(b) \leq I_1(a) + I_1(b-a) + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore

(13)
$$I_1(b) \leq I_1(a) + I_1(b-a)$$

If $I_1(b) = \infty$, then the proof of (13) is similar but we must use the fact that

$$\{I(x) \mid a \land \theta \leq x \leq a \lor \theta\}$$

is lower bounded.

Now we prove the opposite inequality. Choose $x, y \in S$ with

$$I_1(a) \leq I(x) + \varepsilon/2$$
 $0 \leq x \leq a$

and

$$I_1(b-a) \leq I(y) + \varepsilon/2$$
 $0 \leq y \leq b-a$.

Then from (a_2) , (b_3) , (a_5) and (a_4) it follows that

$$I_1(a) + I_1(b-a) \leq I(x) + I(y) + \varepsilon = I(x+y) + \varepsilon$$

and

$$0 \leq x + y \leq a + (b - a) = b$$

Hence

(14)
$$I_1(a) + I_1(b-a) \leq I_1(b) + \varepsilon.$$

From (13) and (14) we have

$$I_1(a) + I_1(b-a) = I_1(b)$$
.

The proofs for I_2 , I_3 and I_4 are analogous.

Proposition 5. Let S satisfy (a₁), (a₂), (a₃), (a₄), (a₅), I satisfy (b₁), (b₂), (b₃), (b₄). If $a, x_n \geq 0$ and $x_n \neq a(x_n \searrow a)$, then $I_1(x_n) \neq I_1(a)$ and $I_2(x_n) \smallsetminus I_2(a)$ $(I_1(x_n) \supseteq I_1(a)$ and $I_2(x_n) \neq I_2(a)$). If $a, x_n \leq 0$ and $x_n \neq a(x_n \supseteq a)$, then $I_3(x_n) \supseteq I_3(a)$ and $I_4(x_n) \neq I_4(a)$ ($I_3(x_n) \neq I_3(a)$ and $I_4(x_n) \supseteq I_4(a)$).

Proof. Let $x_n \nearrow a$, $x_n, a \ge 0$, then for every n

$$(15) I_1(x_n) \leq I_1(a)$$

Let $I_1(a) < \infty$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $t \in S$ such that

(16)
$$I_1(a) \leq I(t) + \varepsilon \text{ and } \theta \leq t \leq a$$

From (a₃) we have $x_n \wedge t \nearrow a \wedge t = t$.

Since $0 \leq x_n \wedge t \leq x_n$, on grounds of (b₄) we have

(17)
$$I(t) = \lim I(x_n \wedge t) \leq \lim I_1(x_n)$$

From (16) and (17) we obtain

(18)
$$I_1(a) \leq \lim I_1(x_n) + \varepsilon.$$

The proof follows from (15) and (18).

Let now $I_1(a) = \infty$, then for every N there is an element $t \in S$ such that $0 \leq t \leq a$ and $N \leq I(t)$. Similarly we can easily see that

$$N \leq I(t) \leq \lim I_1(x_n)$$

for every N, therefore

 $\lim I_1(x_n) = \infty.$

Let now $x_n \searrow a$. From (a₃) it follows that

$$x_1 - x_n \nearrow x_1 - a$$
 and $x_1 - x_n, x_1 - a \ge 0$.

From the first part of the proposition it follows that

 $I_1(x_1 - x_n) \nearrow I_1(x_1 - a)$.

According to Proposition 4 we obtain

$$I_1(x_1) = I_1(x_n) + I_1(x_1 - x_n)$$
.

Hence

$$I_{1}(x_{1}) = \lim I_{1}(x_{n}) + \lim I_{1}(x_{1} - x_{n}) = \lim I_{1}(x_{n}) + I_{1}(x_{1} - a)$$

and so

$$I_1(x_1) - I_1(x_1 - a) = \lim I_1(x_n)$$

therefore from Proposition 4 it follows that

$$I_1(a) = \lim I_1(x_n) \; .$$

The proofs for I_2 , I_3 and I_4 are analogous.

Proposition 6. Let S satisfy (a₁), (a₂), let I satisfy (b₂), (b₃). If $a \ge 0$, then (19) $I(a) = I_1(a) + I_2(a)$, if $a \le 0$, then (20) $I(a) = I_3(a) + I_4(a)$.

Proof. Let $a \ge 0$, then $I(a) = \infty$ $(I(a) = -\infty)$ if and only if $I_1(a) = -\infty$ $(I_2(a) = -\infty)$.

That means, if I(a), $I_1(a)$ or $I_2(a)$ is ∞ or $-\infty$, then (19) holds. Let now I(a), $I_1(a)$, $I_2(a)$ be finite. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $x \in S$ with

$$I_1(a) \leq I(x) + \varepsilon$$
 and $\theta \leq x \leq a$,

then $\theta \leq a - x \leq a$ and hence

$$I(a-x) \geq J_2(a) .$$

Therefore it follows from (b₃), that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$I_1(a) + I_2(a) \leq I(a) - I(a - x) + \varepsilon + I_2(a) \leq$$
$$\leq I(a) + I(a - x) - I(a - x) + \varepsilon = I(a) + \varepsilon.$$

Hence

(21)
$$I_1(a) + I_2(a) \leq I(a)$$

Let now $\varepsilon > 0$ and x be such that

$$I(x) \leq I_2(a) + \varepsilon \text{ and } \theta \leq x \leq a.$$

Then $\theta \leq a - x \leq a$ and from (b₃) we obtain

$$I(a) = I(x) + I(a - x) \leq I(x) + I_1(a) \leq I_2(a) + I_1(a) + \varepsilon$$

It follows from the last inequality that

(22)
$$I(a) \leq I_1(a) + I_2(a)$$
.

The obtained inequalites (21) and (22) complete the proof. The proof for $a \leq \theta$ is analogous.

Definition. We denote

$$I^+(a) = I_1(a \lor \theta) + I_4(a \land \theta), \quad I^-(a) = -I_2(a \lor \theta) - I_3(a \land \theta).$$

Then the following theorem holds:

Theorem. Let S satisfy (a_1) , (a_2) , (a_3) , (a_4) , (a_5) and I satisfy (b_1) , (b_2) , (b_3) , (b_4) . Then

(i)
$$I^+(\theta) = I^-(\theta) = \theta$$
,

(ii) If $a \leq b$, then $I^+(a) \leq I^+(b)$ and $I^-(a) \leq I^-(b)$,

(iii) If
$$x_n \nearrow a$$
 $(x_n \searrow a)$, then $I^+(x_n) \nearrow I^+(a)$ $(I^+(x_n) \searrow I^+(a))$ and $I^-(x_n) \nearrow I^-(a)$ $(I^-(x_n) \searrow I^-(a))$,

(iv) For every $a, b \in S$ we have

$$I^{+}(a) + I^{+}(b) == I^{+}(a \lor b) + I^{+}(a \land b)$$

and

$$I^{-}(a) + I^{-}(b) == I^{-}(a \vee b) + I^{-}(a \wedge b).$$

(v)
$$I^{+}(b) = I^{+}(a) + I^{+}(b-a)$$
 and $I^{-}(b) = I^{-}(a) + I^{-}(b-a)$

if $0 \leq a \leq b$ or if $b \leq a \leq 0$.

(vi) For every $a \in S$ we have

$$I(a) = I^+(a) - I^-(a)$$
.

Proof. The statements (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) follow from Propositions 1, 3, 4, 5. We prove (vi). Let $a \in S$. From (b₁) and (b₂) it follows that

$$I(a) = I(a \vee \theta) + I(a \wedge \theta).$$

However $a \lor 0 \ge 0$ and $a \land 0 \le 0$, hence from proposition 6 we have

$$I(a)=I_1(a\lor 0)+I_2(a\lor 0)+I_3(a\land 0)+I_4(a\land 0)=I^+(a)-I^-(a)$$

It is a natural question whether the decomposition of I is unique. If, e. g. $I^+(a)$ is finite, then

$$I(a) = 2I^{+}(a) - (I^{+}(a) + I^{-}(a))$$

and this decomposition is a different one. Yet the following proposition is true:

Proposition 7. Let S satisfy (a₁), (a₂), (a₃), (a₄) and (a₅), I satisfy (b₁), (b₂), (b₃) and (b₄). Let $|I(a)| < \infty$ and $I = J^+ - J^-$, where J^+ , J^- satisfy (i), (ii), (v) and (vi). Then $I^+(a) \leq J^+(a)$, $I^-(a) \leq J^-(a)$.

Proof. Let first $a \ge \theta$. Then

$$I^+(a) = I_1(a)$$
 and $I^-(a) = -I_2(a)$.

Since $|I(a)| < \infty$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $u \in S$ such that

$$I_1(a) \leq I(u) + \varepsilon$$
 and $0 \leq u \leq a$.

It follows from the last inequality and from Proposition 2 that $0 \leq x \leq u$ implies $I(x) \geq -\varepsilon$, therefore $I_2(u) \geq -\varepsilon$ and hence

(23)
$$I_1(u) \leq I_1(a) \leq I(u) + \varepsilon, \quad I_2(u) \geq -\varepsilon.$$

Further, according to (b_3) and (23),

$$I(a - u) = I(a) - I(u) = I_1(a) + I_2(a) - I(u) \le I_2(a) + \varepsilon$$

$$I_1(a - u) = I(a - u) - I_2(a - u) \le I(a - u) - I_2(a) \le \varepsilon.$$

Hence

(24)
$$I_2(a-u) \leq I(a-u) \leq I_2(a) + \varepsilon$$
, $I_1(a-u) \leq \varepsilon$.
Since $I^+(b) - I^-(b) = J^+(b) - J^-(b)$, for every $b \in S$ we have

$$I^{+}(u) - I^{-}(u) = J^{+}(u) - J^{-}(u)$$
.

Thus,

$$I^{+}(u) = J^{+}(u) - J^{-}(u) + I^{-}(u)$$
.

However, $I^{-}(u) = -I_{2}(u), -J^{-}(u) \leq 0$, hence according to (23) (25) $I^{+}(u) \leq J^{+}(u) + \varepsilon$.

Similarly from the relations

$$I^{-}(a - u) = J^{-}(a - u) - J^{+}(a - u) + I^{+}(a - u),$$

 $I^{+}(a - u) = I_{1}(a - u), \ -J^{+}(a - u) \leq 0$

and from (24) we obtain

(26)
$$I^{-}(a-u) \leq J^{-}(a-u) + \varepsilon.$$

Using (26) and

$$I^{+}(a - u) = J^{+}(a - u) + I^{-}(a - u) - J^{-}(a - u)$$

we have

(27)
$$I^+(a-u) \leq J^+(a-u) + \varepsilon.$$

From (25), (27) and from the property (v) (Theorem) for I^+ and J^+ we have

 $I^+(a) = I^+(u) + I^+(a-u) \leq J^+(u) + J^+(a-u) + 2\varepsilon = J^-(a) + 2\varepsilon,$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, hence

 $I^+(a) \leq J^+(a)$.

Further

$$I^{-}(a) = I^{+}(a) - J^{+}(a) + J^{-}(a) \leq J^{-}(a)$$
.

Hence we obtained

$$I^{+}(a) \leq J^{-}(a)$$
 and $I^{-}(a) \leq J^{-}(a)$.

For $a \leq \theta$, the proof is similar.

Let a be an arbitrary element from S. From the validity of (i) and (iv) for I^+ and I^- we obtain

 $I^+(a) = I^+(a \lor 0) + I^+(a \land 0) \leq J^+(a \lor 0) + J \ (a \land 0) = J \ (a)$. Similarly we obtain that $I^-(a) \leq J^-(a)$. The following example shows that if $|I(a)| = \infty$, then the statement of Proposition 7 need not be valid.

Example. Denote J^+ , J^- by

 $\begin{array}{ll} J^+(\theta)=0, & J^+(a)=-1, & J^-(\theta)=0, & J^-(a)=-\infty \ .\\ \text{Then} \ I=J^+-J^- \ \text{implies that} \ I(\theta)=0, \ I(a)=\infty, \ \text{where} \ S=\{\theta,a\} \ \text{and} \\ \theta>a, & \theta+a=a+\theta=a+a=a-\theta=a, & \theta+\theta=\theta-\theta=\theta-a=a \\ a-a=\theta. \ \text{We see that} \ I=I^+-I^-=J^+-J^- \ \text{is valid although} \\ & -1=J^+(a)< J^+(a)=0 \ . \end{array}$

Corollary 1. Let S be a σ -algebra of subsets of X. Let μ be the generalized measure on S. Then there are measures μ^+ and μ^- such that

$$\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$$
 .

Proof. If A and B are any two sets from S, then let $A \vee B$ denote their union, $A \wedge B$ denote their intersection, A - B denote the relative complement of B in A, and $A + B = A \vee B$. Then (a₁), (a₂), (a₃), (a₄), (a₅), (b₁), (b₂), (b₃), (b₄) hold and the Corollary is a consequence of the Theorem.

Corollary 2. Let S be a σ -algebra of real functions. Let μ be the Daniell integral on S. Then there are integrals μ^+ and μ^- such that $\mu^+(f) \ge 0$ and $\mu^-(f) \ge 0$ if $f \ge 0$, and

$$\mu := \mu^+ - \mu^-.$$

Proof. If f, g are any two functions, let $(f \lor g)(x) = \max \{f(x), g(x)\}$ $(f \land g) = \min \{f(x), g(x)\}, (f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), (f - g)(x) = f(x) - g(x).$ Then (a₁), (a₂), (a₃), (a₄), (a₅), (b₁), (b₂), (b₃), (b₄) are valid and an application of the Theorem completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] FEDERER H.: Geometric Measure Theory. Berlin 1969.
- [2] FUTÁŠ E.: Extension of continuous functionals, Mat. časop., 21, 1971, 191-198.
- [3] HALMOS P. R.: Measure Theory. New York 1950.
- [4] RIEČAN B.:О непрерывном продолжении монотонных функционалов некоторого типа. Mat.-fyz. časop. 15, 1965, 116-125. Received July 26, 1972

Katedra matematiky a deskriptívnej geometrie Stavebnej fakulty Slovenskej vysokej školy technickej Bratislava